





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

THE PARABLES OF OUR LORD.

"Λέγει αὐτοῖς ὁ Ἰησοῦς· Συνήκατε ταῦτα πὰντα; Λέγουσιν αὐτῷ· Ναὶ, κύριε· 'Ο δε εἶπεν αὐτοῖς· Λιὰ τοῦτο πὰς γραμματεὺς, μαθητευθεὶς τῆ βασιλεία τῶν οὐρανῶν, ὅμοιός ἐστιν ἀνθρώπῳ οἰκοδεσπότη, ὅστις ἐκβάλλει ἐκ τοῦ θηεσαυροῦ αὐτοῦ καινὰ καὶ παλαιά.''— Matt. xiii. 51, 52.

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.

СНА	D						*					PAGE
I.	. Ои 1	тне D	EFINIT	non c	F THE	PA	RABLE			•	•	7
11.	Ои ′	TEACH	ING BY	PAR	ABLES				•	٠	٠	15
III	. On	THE IN	TERP	RETAT	ION OF	PA	RABLES	5		•		30
IV	On o	OTHER	PARA	BLES	BESIDES	т т	OSE II	THE	Sci	RIPTU	RES	44
THE PARABLES.												
I	Тне	Sowe	R.			•	٠	٠	٠	•		55
2	. Тне	TARE	S .					•		٠		
. 3	. Тне	Must	ARD-S	EED			•		•	٠	•	88
4	. Тне	LEAV	EN				•		•	•	٠	94
5	. Тне	HID '	TREAS	URE				٠	•	•	٠	100
6	. Тне	PEAR	L OF	Grea'	r Pric	E	•	•	•			108
7	. Тне	DRAV	V-NET			•			•	•		I I 2
8	. Тне	Unmi	ERCIFU	L SEI	RVANT	•			٠			
9	. Тн	LABO	URERS	IN T	HE VI	1EYA	RD		•		e	134
10	. Тн	E Two	Sons					•	•			152
11	. Тнв	Wick	ED H	USBAN	DMEN				• =		^	156
12	. Тн	E MAR	RIAGE	OF TI	HE KIN	ıg's	Son					171
ı	3. Тн	E TEN	VIRG	INS						•		192

CONTENTS.

vi

									PAGE
14.	Тне	Talents .			•		•	•	209
15.	THE	SEED GROWING SEC	RETL	Y	•		•		224
16.	$T_{\rm HE}$	Two Debtors					•		229
17.	THE	GOOD SAMARITAN	,		•	•	•		240
18.	THE	FRIEND AT MIDNIC	HT						254
19.	THE	RICH FOOL .	•			•			259
20.	$T_{\rm HE}$	BARREN FIG-TREE							267
2I.	Тне	GREAT SUPPER							277
22.	T_{HE}	LOST SHEEP							285
23.	Тне	LOST PIECE OF MO	NEY						294
24.	Тне	Prodigal Son					•		298
25.	T_{HE}	Unjust Steward							324
26.	Тне	RICH MAN AND LA	AZARU	S	•				343
27.	T_{HE}	Unprofitable Ser	VANT	S					364
28.	Тне	Unjust Judge				•			370
29.	Тне	PHARISEE AND THE	е Рив	LICAN	ī				379
30.	THE	Pounds .							387

INTRODUCTORY ESSAY.

CHAPTER I.

ON THE DEFINITION OF THE PARABLE.

WRITERS who have had occasion to define a parable have found it no easy task to give such a satisfying definition as should omit none of its distinctive marks, and at the same time include nothing superfluous and merely accidental. Rather than attempt to add another to the many definitions already given, I will seek to note briefly what seems to me to difference it from the fable, the allegory, and such other forms of composition as are most nearly allied to, and

^a Παραβολή, from παραβάλλειν, projicere, objicere, i. e. τὶ τινί, to put forth one thing before or beside another; and it is often assumed that the purpose for which they are set side by side is, that they may be compared one with the other; thus Plato (Phil. 33, Β): παραβολή τῶν βίων; Polybius (1. 2. 2): παραβολή καὶ σύγκρισις. In this way we arrive at that technical use of παραβολή; which is not, however, peculiar to sacred Greek; for we meet it in Aristotle (Rhet. ii. 20), and in Longinus (παραβολαὶ καὶ είκόνες, 37). At the same time this notion of comparison is not necessarily included in the word, the whole family of cognate words, as παράβολος, παραβόλως, parabolanus, being used in altogether a different sense, yet one growing out of the same root. In all these the notion of putting forth is retained, but not for the purpose of comparison, which is only the accident, not of the essence, of the word. Thus παράβολος, qui objicit se præsentissimo vitæ ricupelo, one who exposes his life, as the parabolani at Alexandria, who buried infected corpses -The chief Latin writers are not agreed in their rendering of παραβολή. Cicero (De Inv. Rhet. i. 30) represents it by collatio; Seneca (Ep. 59) by imago; Quintilian (Inst. v. II. 8) by similitudo.

b Many from the Greek Fathers are to be found in Suicer, Thes. s. v. παραβολή. Jerome, on Mark iv., defines it thus: Sermonem utilem, sub idoneâ figurâ expressum, et in recessu continentem spiritualem aliquam admonitionem; and elsewhere (Ad Algas.), quasi umbra prævia veritatis. Unger (De Parab. Yesu Naturâ, p. 30): Parabola Jesu est collatio per narratiunculam fictam, sed verisimilem, serio illustrans rem sublimiorem. Teelman: Parabola est similitudo a rebus communibus et obvis desumta ad significandum quicquam spirituale et cæleste. Bengel: Parabola est oratio, quæ per narrationem fictam, sed veræ similem, a rebus ad vitæ communis usum pertinentibus desumtam, veritates minus notas aut morales repræsentat.

most closely border upon, it. In the process of thus distinguishing it from those forms of composition with which it is most likely to be confounded, and of justifying the distinction, something will have been said for the bringing out of its essential properties more clearly than in any other way I could hope to do this.

I. There are some who have identified the parable with the Æsopic fable, or drawn a slight and hardly perceptible line of distinction between the two: as, for instance, Lessing and Storr, who affirm that the fable relates an event as having actually taken place at a certain time, while the parable only assumes it as possible. But not to say that examples altogether fail to bear them out in this assertion, the difference is much more real, and far more deeply-seated, than this. The parable is constructed to set forth a truth spiritual and heavenly: this the fable, with all its value, is not. It is essentially of the earth, and never lifts itself above the earth. It never has a higher aim than to inculcate maxims of prudential morality, industry, caution, foresight and the like; and these it will sometimes recommend even at the expense of the higher self-forgetting virtues. The fable just reaches that pitch of morality which the world will understand and approve. But it has no place in the Scripture, and in the nature of things could have none, for the purpose of Scripture excludes it; that purpose being the awakening of man to a consciousness of a divine original, the education of the reason, and of all which is spiritual in man, and not, except incidentally, the sharpening of the understanding. For the purposes of the fable, which are the recommendation and enforcement of the prudential virtues, the regulation of that in man which is instinct in beasts, in itself a laudible discipline, but by itself leaving him only a subtler beast of the field,—for these purposes examples and illustrations taken from the world beneath him are admirably suited. That world is therefore the haunt

a The two fables of the Old Testament, that of the trees which would choose a king (Judg. ix. 8-15), and of the thistle and the cedar (2 Kin. xiv. 9), may seem to impeach the universitality of this rule, but do not so in fact. For neither is it God who speaks, nor yet messengers of his, delivering his counsel; but men, and from an earthly standing-point, not a divine. Jotham will teach the men of Shechem their folly, not their sin, in making Abimelech king over them: the fable never lifting itself to the rebuke of sin, as it is sin—this is beyond its region—but only in so far as it is also folly. And Jehoash, in the same way, would make Amaziah see his presumption and pride, in challenging him to the conflict; not thereby teaching him any moral lesson, but only giving evidence in the fable which he uttered, that his own pride was offended by the challenge of the Jewish king.

b The greatest of all fables, the Reineke Fuchs, affords ample illustration of all this; it is throughout a glorifying of cunning as the guide of life and the deliverer from all evil.

and the main region, though by no means the exclusive one, of the fable. Even when men are introduced, it is on the side by which they are connected with that lower world; while on the other hand, in the parable, the world of animals, though not wholly excluded, finds only admission in so far as it is related to man. The relation of beasts to one another not being spiritual, can supply no analogies, can be in no wise helpful for declaring the truths of the kingdom of God. But all man's relations to man are spiritual, many of his relations to the world beneath him are so as well. His lordship over the animals, for instance, rests on his higher spiritual nature, is a dominion given to him from above (Gen. i. 28; ii. 19; ix. 2; Ps. viii. 6-8); will serve, therefore, as in the instance of the shepherd and sheep (John. x.), and elsewhere, to image forth deeper truths of the relation of God to man.

It belongs to this, the loftier standing-point of the parable, that it should be deeply earnest, allowing itelf therefore in no jesting nor raillery at the weaknesses, the follies, or the crimes of men. Severe and indignant it may be, but it never jests at the calamities of men, however well deserved, and its indignation is that of holy love: while in this raillery and in these bitter mockings the fabulist not unfrequently indulges; he rubs biting salt into the wounds of men's souls—it may be, perhaps generally is, with a desire to heal those hurts, yet still in a very different spirit from that in which the affectionate Saviour of men poured oil and wine into the bleeding wounds of humanity.

The definition by Phædrus of the fable squares with that here given:

Duplex libelli dos est, quod risum movet,

Et quod prudenti vitam consilio monet.

b As finds place, for instance, in La Fontaine's celebrated fables,-La Cigale ayant chanté tout l'été, -in which the ant, in reply to the grasshopper, which is starving in the winter, reminds it how it sung all the summer, and bids it to dance now. That fable, commending as it does foresight and prudence, preparation against a day of need, might be compared for purposes of contrast to more than one parable urging the same, as Matt. xxv. I; Luke xvi. I; but with this mighty difference, that the fabulist has only worldly needs in his eye, it is only against these that he urges to lay up by timely industry a sufficient store; while the Lord would have us to lay up for eternal life, for the day when not the bodies, but the souls that have nothing in store, will be naked, and hungry, and miserable,—to prepare for ourselves a reception into everlasting habitations. The image which the French fabulist uses was very capable of such higher application, had he been conscious of any such needs (see Prov. vi. 8, and on that verse, Coteler, Patt. Apost. vol. i. p. 104, note 13, and Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. 1xvi. 2). In Saadi's far nobler fable, The Ant and the Nightingale, from whence La Fontaine's is undoubtedly borrowed, such application is distinctly intimated. Von Hammer has in this view an interesting comparison between the French and the Persian fable (Gesch. d. schön. Redek. Pers. p. 207). The fable with which Cyrus answered the Ionian ambassadors, when they offered

There is another point of difference between the parable and the fable. While it cannot be affirmed that the fabulist is regardless of truth, since it is neither his intention to deceive when he attributes language and discourse of reason to trees and birds and beasts, nor is any one deceived by him; yet the severer reverence for truth, which is habitual to the higher moral teacher, will not allow him to include even in this sporting with the truth, this temporary suspension of its laws, though upon agreement, or at least with tacit understanding. In His mind the creation of God, as it came from the Creator's hands, is too perfect, has too much of reverence owing to it, to be represented otherwise than as it really is. The great Teacher by parables, therefore allowed Himself in no transgression of the established laws of nature—in nothing marvellous or anomalous; He presents to us no speaking trees nor reasoning beasts, and we should be at once conscious of an unfitness in His so doing.

2. The parable is different from the *mythus*, inasmuch as in the mythus the truth, and that which is only the vehicle of the truth, are wholly blended together; and the consciousness of any distinction between them, that it is possible to separate the one from the other, belongs only to a later and more reflective age than that in which the mythus itself had birth, or those in which it was heartily believed. The mythic narrative presents itself not merely as the vehicle of the truth, but as itself being the truth; while in the parable there is a perfect consciousness in all minds of the distinctness between form and essence, shell and kernel, the precious vessel and yet more precious wine which it contains. There is also the mythus of another class, the artificial product of a self-conscious age, of which many inimitable specimens are to be found in Plato, be devised with the distinct intention of embodying some immortal spiritual truth, of giving an outward subsistence to an idea. But these, while they have many

him a late submission, is another specimen of the bitter irony, of which this class of composition is often the vehicle (Herodotus, i. 141).

a Klinckhardt (De Hom. Div. et Laz. p. 2): Fabula aliquod vitæ communis morumque præceptum simplici et nonnunquam jocosâ oratione illustrat per exemplum plerumque contra veram naturam fictum: parabola autem sententiam sublimiorem (ad res divinas pertinentem) simplici quidem sed gravi et seriâ oratione illustrat per exemplum ita excogitatum ut cum rerum naturâ maxime convenire videatur. And Cicero (De Invent. i. 19): Fabula est in quâ nec veræ nec verisimiles res continentur. But of the parable Origen says, Ἐστι παραβολή, λόγος ὡν περὶ γινομένου, μὴ γινομένου μὲν κατὰ τὸ ἡητόν, δυναμένου δὲ γενέσθαι. There is, then, some reason for the fault which Calov finds with Grotius, though he is only too ready to find fault, for commonly using fabula and fabella in speaking of our Lord's parables, words which certainly have an unpleasant sound in the ear.

Thus Gorg. 523, a; Phædo, 61, a; cf. Plutarch, De Ser. Num. Vind. 18.

points of resemblance with the parable, yet claim no credence for themselves either as actual or possible (in this differing from the parable), but only for the truth which they embody and declare. The same is the case when upon some old legend or myth that has long been current there is thrust some spiritual significance, clearly by an after-thought; in which case it perishes in the letter that it may live in the spirit; all outward subsistence is denied to it, for the sake of asserting the idea which it is made to contain. To such a process, as is well known, the later Platonists submitted the old mythology of Greece. For instance, Narcissus falling in love with his own image in the water-brook, and pining there, was the symbol of man casting himself forth into the world of shows and appearances, and expecting to find the good that would answer to his nature there, but indeed finding only disappointment and death. It was their meaning hereby to vindicate that mythology from charges of absurdity or immorality, to put a moral life into it, whereby it should maintain its ground against the new life of Christianity; though, indeed, they were only thus hastening the destruction of whatever lingering faith in it there might yet survive in the minds of men.

3. The parable is also clearly distinguishable from the proverb, b though it is true that, in a certain degree, the words are used interchangeably in the New Testament, and as equivalent the one to the other. Thus 'Physician, heal thyself' (Luke iv. 23), is termed a parable, being more strictly a proverb; so, again, when the Lord had used that proverb, probably already familiar to His hearers, c 'If the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch,' Peter said, 'Declare unto us this parable' (Matt. xv. 14, 15); and Luke v. 36 is a proverb or proverbial expression, rather than a parable, which name it bears: compare I Sam. xxiv. 14; I Chron. vii. 20; Ps. xiv. 15; Wis. v. 3. So, upon the other hand, those are called 'proverbs' in St. John, which if not strictly parables, yet claim much closer affinity to the parable than to the proverb, being, in fact, allegories: thus Christ's setting forth of His relations to His people under those of a shepherd to his sheep is termed a 'proverb,' though our Translators, holding fast to the sense rather than to the letter, have ren-

The λόγος ἐν μύθω.

b Παροιμία, that is, παρ' οἶμον, a trite, wayside saying; or, as some have understood it, a saying removed from the ordinary way, an uncommon saying. Some derive it from οἷμη, a tale, or poem; yet Passow's explanation of the latter word shows that at the root the two derivations are the same. See Suicer, Thes. s. v. παροιμία.

^e It is current at least now in the East, as I find it in a collection of Turkish proverbs, in Von Hammer's Morgenl, Kleeblatt, p. 63.

dered it a 'parable' (John x. 6: cf. xvi. 25, 29). a It is easy to account for this interchange of the words. Partly it arose from one word in Hebrew signifying both parable and proverb; b which circumstance must have had considerable influence upon writers accustomed to think in that language, and is itself to be explained from the parable and proverb being alike enigmatical and somewhat obscure forms of speech, 'dark sayings,' uttering a part of their meaning, and leaving the rest to be inferred.° This is evident of the parable, and is not, in fact, less true of the proverb. For though such proverbs as have become the heritage of an entire people, and have obtained universal currency, may be, or rather may have become, plain enough; yet in themselves proverbs are very often enigmatical, claiming a quickness in detecting latent affinities, and not seldom a knowledge which shall enable to catch more or less remote allusions, for their right comprehension.4 And yet further to explain how the terms should be often indifferently used,—the proverb, though not necessarily, is yet very commonly, parabolical, e that is, it rests upon some comparison either expressed or implied, as, for example, 2 Pet. ii. 22. Or again, the proverb is often a concentrated parable; for instance, that one above quoted, 'If the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch,' might evidently be extended with ease into a parable; and not merely might many proverbs thus be beaten out into fables, but they are not unfrequently allusions to or summings up in a single phrase of some well-known fable f

a Παραβολή, as is well known, never occurs in the Gospel of St. John, nor παροιμία

in the Synoptic Gospels.

So we find our Saviour contrasts the speaking in proverbs or parables (John xvi.

25) with the speaking plainly (παρρησία, i. e. παν ρήμα, or every word).

^a For instance, to take two common Greek proverbs: Χρύσεα χαλκείων Would require some knowledge of the Homeric narrative; βοῦς ἐπὶ γλώσσης of Attic moneys. The obscurity that is in proverbs is evidenced by the fact of such books as the Adagia of Erasmus, in which he brings all his immense learning to bear on their elucidation, and yet leaves many with no satisfactory explanation. Cf. the Paramiographi Graci (Oxf. 1836), pp. 11-16.

• It is not necessarily, as some have affirmed, a λόγος ἐσχηνατισμένος: thus, Ἐχθρῶν ἄδωρα δῶρα, οτ Γλυκὺς ἀπείρω πόλεμος, with innumerable others, are expressed without figure; but very many are also parabolical, and generally the best, and those which

have become most truly popular.

f Quintilian: Παροιμία fabella brevior, . . . parabola longius res quæ comparentur repetere solet. On the distinction between the παραβολή and παροιμία there are some good remarks in Hase, Thes. Nov. Theol. Philolog. vol. ii. p. 503; and in Cremer, Wörterbuch, d. Neutest. Gräcität, p. 83, s. v. παραβολή.

τhis word the LXX render παροιμίαι in the title of that book which we also call *The Proverbs* of Solomon; and παραβολή elsewhere, as at 1 Sam. x. 12; Ezek. xviii. 2. In *Ecclesiasticus* the two words more than once occur together: thus, xlvii. 17, παροιμίαις καὶ παραβολαῖς: XXXIX. 3, ἀπόκρυφα παροιμιῶν ἐν αἰνίγμασι παραβολῶν.

4. It remains to consider wherein the parable differs from the allegory. This it does in form rather than in essence; in the allegory an interpenetration of the thing signifying and the thing signified finding place the qualities and properties of the first being attributed to the last, and the two thus blended together, instead of being kept quite distinct, and placed side by side, as is the case in the parable.^a Thus, John xv. 1-8, 'I am the true Vine,' &c., is throughout an allegory, as there are two allegories scarcely kept apart from one another, John x. 1-16; the first, in which the Lord sets Himself forth as the Door, the second as the good Shepherd of the sheep. So, 'Behold the Lamb of God' is an allegorical,—'He is brought as a lamb to the slaughter' a parabolical, expression. The allegory needs not, as the parable an interpretation to be brought to it from without, since it contains its interpretation within itself: and, as the allegory proceeds, the interpretation proceeds hand in hand with it, or at least never falls far behind. And thus the allegory stands to the metaphor, as the more elaborate and long drawn out composition of the same kind, in the same relation that the parable does to the isolated comparison or simile. And as many proverbs are concise parables, so also many are brief allegories. For instance, the following, which is an Eastern proverb—'This world is a carcass, and they who gather round it are dogs,'-does, in fact, interpret itself as it goes along, and needs not, therefore, that an interpretation be brought to it from without; while it is otherwise with the proverb spoken by our Lord, 'Wheresoever the carcass is, there will the eagles be gathered together; '-this gives no help to

^a Thus Lowth (De Sac. Poës. Heb. Præl. 10): His denique subjicienda est quasi lex quædam parabolæ, nimirum ut per omnia sibi constet, neque arcessitis propria admista habeat. In quo multum differt a primâ allegoriæ specie, quæ a simplici metaphorâ paulatim precendens, non semper continue excludit proprium, a propriis in translata paulatim illapsa, nec minus leniter ex translatis in propria per gradus quosdam se recipiens.

b Thus Isai. v. 1-6 is a parable, of which the explanation is separately given, ver. 7; while Ps. lxxx. 8-16, resting on the same image, is an allegory; since, for instance, the casting out of the healhen, that the vine might be planted, is an intermingling of the thing signifying and that signified, wherein the note of the allegory as distinguished from the parable consists, as Quintilian (Inst. viii. 3. 77) observes; for having defined the allegory, he proceeds: In omni autem $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ aut præcedit similitudo, res sequitur, aut præcedit res, similitudo sequitur: sed interim libera et separata est. The allegory, then, is translatio, the parable collatio.

Of all this the Pilgrim's Progress affords ample illustration, 'Interpreter' appearing there as one of the persons of the allegory. Hallam (Liter. of Europe, vol. iv. p. 553) counts it a defect in the book, that, 'in his language, Bunyan sometimes mingles the signification too much with the fable; we might be perplexed between the imaginary and the real Christian;' but is not this of the very nature of the allegorical fable?

its own interpretation from within, and is a saying of which the darkness and difficulty have been abundantly witnessed by the many and diverging interpretations which it has received.

To sum up all, then, the parable differs from the fable, moving as as it does in a spiritual world, and never transgressing the actual order of things natural—from the mythus, there being in the latter an unconscious blending of the deeper meaning with the outward symbol, while the two remain separate and inseparable in the parable—from the proverb, inasmuch as it is more fully carried out, and not accidentally and occasionally, but necessarily figurative—from the allegory, comparing as it does one thing with another, but, at the same time, preserving them apart as an inner and an outer, and not transferring, as does the allegory, the properties and qualities and relations of one to the other.

CHAPTER II.

ON TEACHING BY PARABLES.

OWEVER our Lord may on one or more occasions have made use of this manner of teaching by parables, with the intention of withdrawing from certain of His hearers the knowledge of truths, which they were unworthy or unfit to receive; a so that, in Fuller's words, the parables on such occasions were 'not unlike the pillar of cloud and fire, which gave light to the Israelites, but was a cloud of darkness to the Egyptians; by yet we may assume as certain that his general aim was not different from that of others who have used this

Macrobius Somn. Scrip. i. 2): Figuris defendentibus a vilitate secretum. No one can deny that this was sometimes the Lord's purpose, without doing great violence to his words (Matt. xiii. 10-15; Mark iv. 11, 12; Luke xiii. 9, 10; cf. Ezek. xx. 49). And even if we could successfully deal with the iva and the unmore there, still the passage of Isaiah (vi. 10) is in the way. Where would then be the fulfilment of his prophecy? There can be no doubt that the prophet speaks of a penal blindness, a punishment of the foregoing sins of his people, and namely this punishment, that they should be unable to recognize what was divine in his mission and character; which prophecy had its crowning fulfilment, when the Jewish people were so darkened by previous carnal thoughts and works, that they could see no glory and no beauty in Christ, recognize nothing of divine in the teaching or person of Him who was 'God manifest in the flesh.' It is not that by the command, 'Make the heart of this people fat,' we need understand that any peculiar hardening then passed upon them; but that the Lord, having constituted as the righteous law of his moral government, that sin should produce darkness of heart and moral insensibility, declared that He would allow the law in their case to take its course, and so also with this latter generation: even as that law is declared in the latter half of Rom i. to have taken its course with the Gentile world: in Augustine's awful words, Deus solus magnus, lege infatigabili spargens poenales cæcitates super illicitas cupidines. The fearful curse of sin is that it ever reproduces itself, that he who sows in sin reaps in spiritual darkness, which delivers him over again to worse sin:

> For when we in our viciousness grow hard, Oh, misery on't, the wise gods seal our eyes, In our own filth drop our clear judgments, make us Adore our errors, laugh at us, while we strut To our confusion.'

b Pisgah Sight of Palestine, p. 148.

[·] Bacon has noted this double purpose of parables (De Sap. Vet.): Duplex apud

method of teaching, and who have desired thereby to make clearer, a either to illustrate or to prove the truths which they had in hand:—I say either to illustrate or to prove; for the parable or other analogy to spiritual truth appropriated from the world of nature or man, is not merely illustration, but also in some sort proof. It is not merely that these analogies assist to make the truth intelligible, or, if intelligible before, present it more vividly to the mind, which is all that some will allow them. b Their power lies deeper than this, in the harmony unconsciously felt by all men, and by which all deeper minds have delighted to trace, between the natural and spiritual worlds, so that analogies from the first are felt to be something more than illustrations, happily but yet arbitrarily chosen. They are arguments, and may be alleged as witnesses; the world of nature being throughout a witness for the world of spirit, proceeding from the same hand, growing out of the same root, and being constituted for that very end. All lovers of truth readily acknowledge these mysterious harmonies, and the force of arguments derived from them. To them the things on earth are copies of the things in heaven. They know that the earthly tabernacle is made after the pattern of things seen

homines repertus est atque increbuit parabolarum usus, atque, quod magis mirum sit, ad contraria adhibetur. Faciunt enim parabolæ ad involucrum et velum, faciunt etiam ad lumen et illustrationem. Cf. De Augm. Scient. ii. 13; and the passage from Stobæus, on the teaching of Pythagoras, in Potter's edit. of Clemens Alexandrinus, p. 676, note.

- This has been acknowledged on all sides, equally by profane and sacred writers; thus Quintilian (Inst. viii. 3. 72): Præclare vero ad inferendam rebus lucem repertæ sunt similitudines. And Seneca (Ep. 59) styles them, imbecillitatis nostræ adminicula. Again, they have been called, mediæ scientiam interet ignorantiam. The author of the treatise ad Herennium: Similitudo sumitur aut ornandi causâ aut probandi, out apertius docendi, aut ante oculos ponendi. Tertullian (De Res. Carn. 33) expressly denies of parables, that they darken the light of the Gospel (obumbrant Evangelii lucem). Basil calls the parable λόγος ὡφέλιμος μετ' ἐπικρύψεως μετρίος, with that moderate degree of concealment which shall ptovoke, not such as shall repel or dissappoint, inquiry. The Lord, says Chrysostom (Hom. 69 in Matth.), spoke in parables, ἐρεθίζων καὶ διεγείρων, or, as he expresses it elsewhere (De Prec. Serm. 2), that we might dive down into the deep sea of knowledge, from thence to fetch up pearls and precious stones; see too the quotation from him in Suicer, Thes. s. v. And Jeremy Taylor: 'He taught them by parables, under which were hid mysterious senses, which shined through their veil, like a bright sun through an eye closed with a thin eyelid.'
- b So Stellini: Ita enim fere comparati sumus, ut cum impressionis vivacitate notionis evidentiam confundamus, eaque clarius intelligere nos arbitremur, quibus imaginandi perculsa vis acrius est, et quæ novitate aliquâ commendantur, ea stabilion sunt ad diuturnitatem memoriæ, neque vetustate ullâ consenescunt. And Spanheim (Dub. Evang. vol. ii. p. 497), though he does not urge this side exclusively: They have their use, he says, ex pulsatione affectuum: nec enim major tantum lux ex parabolis, sed etiam vehementior motus.

in the Mount (Exod. xxv. 40; I Chron. xxviii. II, I2); and the question suggested by the angel in Milton is often forced upon their meditations,—

'What if earth
Be but the shadow of heaven, and things therein
Each to other like, more than on earth is thought?'

For it is a great misunderstanding of the matter to think of these as happily, but yet arbitrarily, chosen illustrations, taken with a skillful selection from the great stock and storehouse of unappropriated images; from whence it would have been possible that the same skill might have selected others as good, or nearly as good. Rather they belong to one another, the type and the thing typified, by an inward necessity; they were linked together long before by the law of a secret affinity.° It is not a happy accident which has yielded so wondrous an analogy as that of husband and wife, to set forth the mystery of Christ's relation to His elect Church. There is far more in it than this: the earthly relation is indeed but a lower form of the heavenly, on which it rests, and of which it is the utterance. When Christ spoke to Nicodemus of a new birth, it was not merely because birth into this natural world was the most suitable figure that could be found for the expression of that spiritual act which, without any power of our own, is accomplished upon us when we are brought into God's kingdom; but all the circumstances of this natural birth had been preordained to bear the burden of so great a mystery. The Lord is King, not borrowing this title from the kings of the

See Irenæus, Con. Hær. iv. 14. 3.

b Many are the sayings of a like kind among the Jewish Cabbalists. Thus in the book Sohar: Quodcunque in terrâ est, id etiam in cælo est, et nulla res tam exigua est in mundo, quæ non alii simili, quæ in cælo est, correspondeat. In Gfrörer's Urchristenthum, vol. ii. pp. 26-30, and Bähr's Symb. d. Mos. Cutt. vol. i. p. 109, many like passages are quoted. No one was fuller of this than Tertullian: see his magnificent words on the resurrection (De Res. Carn. 12): All things here, he says, are witnesses of a resurrection, all things in nature are prophetic outlines of divine operations, God not merely speaking parables, but doing them (talia divinarum virium lineamenta, non minus parabolis operato Deo quam locuto). And again, De Animâ, 43, the activity of the soul in sleep is for him at once an argument and an illustration which God has provided us, of its not being tied to the body, to perish with it: Deus . . . manum porrigens fidei, facilius adjuvandæ per imagines et parabolas, sicut sermonum, ita et rerum.

[•] Out of a true sense of this has grown our use of the word likely. There is a confident expectation in the minds of men of the reappearance, in higher spheres, of the same laws and relations which they have recognized in lower; and thus that which is like is also likely or probable. Butler's Analogy is just the unfolding, as he himself declares at the beginning, in one particular line of this our consciousness that the like is also the likely.

earth, but having lent His own title to them-and not the name only, but having so ordered, that all true rule and government upon earth, with its righteous laws, its stable ordinances, its punishment and its grace, its majesty and its terror, should tell of Him and of His kingdom which ruleth over all—so that 'kingdom of God' is not in fact a figurative expression, but most liberal: it is rather the earthly kingdoms and the earthly kings that are figures and shadows of the true. And as in the world of man and human relations, so also is it in the world of nature. The untended soil which yields thorns and briers as its natural harvest is a permanent type and enduring parable of man's heart, which has been submitted to the same curse, and without a watchful spiritual husbandry will as surely put forth its briers and its thorns. The weeds that will mingle during the time of growth with the corn, and yet are separated from it at the last, tell ever one and the same tale of the present admixture, and future sundering, of the righteous and the wicked. The decaying of the slight unsightly seed in the earth, and the rising up, out of that decay and death, of the graceful stalk and the fruitful ear, contain evermore the prophecy of the final resurrection, even as this is itself in its kind a resurrection,—the same process at a lower stage,—the same power putting itself forth upon meaner things. correspondences as drawn out in Scripture you ought not to say, they are finely chosen similitudes, but, they are rightly appropriated types.

Doubtless it will be always possible for those who shrink from contemplating a higher world-order than that imperfect one around them, -and this, because the thought of such would rebuke their own imperfection and littleness,—who shrink, too, from a witness for God so near them as even that imperfect order would render, to deny this conclusion. It will be possible for them to reply that it is not as we affirm; but that our talk of heavenly things is only a transferring of earthly images and relations to them; —that earth is not a shadow of heaven, but heaven, such at least as we conceive it, a dream of earth; that the names Father and Son, for instance (and this is Arianism), are only *improperly* used, and in a secondary sense, when applied to Divine Persons, and then are terms so encumbered with difficulties and contradictions that they had better not be used at all; that we do not find and recognize heavenly things in their earthly counterparts, but only dexterously adapt them. This denial will be always possible, and has a deeper root than that it can be met with argument; yet the lover of a truth which shall be loftier than himself will not be moved from his faith that however man may be the measure of all things here, yet God is the measure of man,—that the

same Lord who sits upon His throne in heaven, does with the skirts of His train fill His temple upon earth, that these characters of nature which everywhere meet the eye are not a common, but a sacred, writing,—that they are hieroglyphics of God: and he counts this his blessedness, that having these round about him, he is therefore never without admonishment and teaching.

For such is, in truth, the condition of man. Around him is a sensuous world, yet one which need not bring him into bondage to his senses, being so framed as, if he will use it aright, continually to lift him above itself-a visible world to make known the invisible things of God, a ladder leading him up to the contemplation of heavenly truth. And this truth he shall encounter and make his own, not fleeing from his fellows and their works and ways, but in the mart, on the wayside, in the field—not by stripping himself bare of all relations, but rather recognizing these as instruments through which he is to be educated into the knowledge of higher mysteries; and therefore dealing with them in reverence, seeking, by faithfulness to them in their lower forms, to enter into their yet deeper significance—entertaining them, though they seem but common guests, and finding that he has unawares entertained angels. And thus, besides His revelation in words, God has another and an elder, and one, indeed, without which it is inconceivable how that other could be made, for from this it appropriates all its signs of communication. This entire moral and visible world from first to last, with its kings and its subjects, its parents and its children, its sun and its moon, its sowing and its harvest, its light and its darkness, its sleeping and its waking, its birth and its death, is from beginning to end a mighty parable, a great teaching of supersensuous truth, a help at once to our faith and to our understanding."

It is true that men are ever in danger of losing 'the key of knowledge,' which should open to them the portals of this palace: and then, instead of a prince in a world of wonder that is serving him, man moves in the midst of this world, alternately its taskmaster and its dradge. Such we see him to become at the two poles of savage and falsely cultivated life—his inner eye darkened, so that he sees nothing, his inner ear heavy, so that there come no voices from nature unto him; and indeed in all, save only in the one Man, there

^{*} Abelard's are striking words (Introd. ad Theol. ii. 2): In tantum vero in ipsâ facturâ delectatur Deus, ut frequentur in ipsis rerum naturis quas creavit se figurari magis quam verbis nostris, quæ nos confinximus aut invenimus, exprimi velit, ut magis ipsâ rerum similitudine, quam verborum nostrorum gaudeat proprietate, ut ad eloquentice venustatem ipsis rerum naturis, juxta aliquam similitudinem, pro verbis Scriptura malit uti, quam propriæ locutionis integritatem sequi.

is more or less of the dulled ear and the filmed eye. There is none to whom nature tells out all that she has to tell, and as constantly as she would be willing to tell it. Now the whole of Scripture, with its ever-recurring use of figurative language, is a re-awakening of man to the mystery of nature, a giving back to him of the key of knowledge, of the true signatura rerum; and this comes out, as we might expect, in its highest form, but by no means exclusively, in those which by pre-eminence we call the parables. They have this point of likeness with the miracles, that those too. were a calling of heed to powers that were daily working, but which. by their continual and orderly repetition, which ought to have kindled the more admiration, had become wonder-works no more, had lost the power of exciting admiration or even attention, until men had need to be startled anew to the contemplation of the energies which were ever working among them. In like manner the parables are a calling of attention to the spiritual facts which underlie all processes of nature, all institutions of human society, and which, though unseen, are the true ground and support of all. Christ moved in the midst of what seemed to the eye of sense an old and worn-out world, and it evidently became new at his touch; for it told to man now the inmost secrets of His being. He found that it answered with strange and marvellous correspondence to another world within him,—that it helped to the birth great thoughts of his heart, which before were helplessly struggling to be born,—that of these two worlds without him and within each threw a light and a glory on the other. For on this rests the possibility of a real teaching by parables, such as, resting upon a substantial ground, shall not be a mere building on the air, or painting upon a cloud, on this, namely, that the world around us is a divine world, that it is God's world, the world of the same God who is leading us into spiritual truth; that the ghastly dream of Gnostic and Manichæan, who would set a great gulf between the worlds of nature and of grace, ascribing this to a good, but that to an imperfect or an evil power, is a lie; and that, being originally God's world, it is therefore a sharer in his redemption.

And yet this redeemed world, like man, is in part redeemed only in hope (Rom. viii. 20); being in no present possession, but only in the assured certainty, of a complete deliverance. For this, too, we must not forget, that nature, in its present state, like man himself, contains but a true prophecy of its coming glory; it 'groaneth and travaileth;' it cannot tell out all its secrets; it has a presentiment of something, which it is not yet, but hereafter shall be. It, too, is

suffering under our curse: yet thus in its very imperfection wonderfully serving us, since thus it has apter signs and symbols to declare to our disease and our misery, and the processes of their healing and removing; it has symbols not merely of God's grace and power, but also of man's sins and wretchedness. It has its sores and its wounds, its storms and its wildernesses, its lion and its adder, by these interpreting to us death and all that leads to death, no less than, by its more beneficent workings, life and all that tends to the restoring and maintaining of life.

But while thus it has this gracious adaptation to our needs, not the less does it, in this fallen estate, come short of its full purpose and meaning: it fails in part to witness for a divine order, tantâ stat prædita culpâ,-as one, whose eye was mainly directed to this, its disorder and deficiency, exclaimed. It does not give always a clear witness, nor speak out in distinct accents, of God's truth and love. Of these it is oftentimes an inadequate expression—yea, sometimes seems not to declare them at all, but rather in volcano and in earthquake, in ravenous beasts and in poisonous herbs, to tell of strife, and disharmony, and all the woful consequences of the Fall. But one day it will be otherwise; one day it will be translucent with the divine idea which it embodies, and which even now, despite these lark spots, shines through it so wondrously. For no doubt the end and consummation will be, not the abolition of this nature, but the the glorifying of it; that which is now nature (natura) always, as the word expresses, striving and struggling to the birth, will then be indeed born. The new creation will be as the glorious child born out of the world-long throes and anguish of the old. It will be as the snake casting its wrinkled and winter skin; not the world, but 'the fashion of the world,' passing away, when it puts off its soiled work-day garments, and puts on its holiday apparel for the great Sabbath which shall arrive at last. Then, when it, too, shall have been delivered from its bondage of corruption, all that it now has of dim and contradictory and perplexing shall disappear. This nature, too, shall be a mirror, in which God will perfectly glass Himself, for it shall tell of nothing but the marvels of His wisdom and power and love.

But at present, while this natural world, through its share in man's fall, has won in fitness for the expression of the sadder side of man's condition, the imperfection and evil that cling to him and beset him, it has in some measure lost in fitness for the expressing of the higher, It possesses the best, yet oftentimes inadequate, helps for this. These human relationships, and this whole constitution of things earthly,

share in the shortcoming that cleaves to all which is of the earth. Obnoxious to change, tainted with sin, shut in within brief limits by decay and death, they are often weak and temporary, where they have to set forth things strong and eternal. A sinful element is evidently iningled with them, while they yet appear as symbols of what is entirely pure and heavenly. They break down under the weight that is laid upon them. The father chastens after his own pleasure, instead of wholly for the child's profit; in this unlike that heavenly Father, whose character he is to declare. The seed which should set forth the word of God, that Word which liveth and abideth for ever, itself decays and perishes at last. Festivals, so frequently the image of the pure joy of the kingdom, of the crowning communion of the faithful with their Lord and with one another, will often, when here celebrated, be mixed up with much that is carnal, and they come to their close in a few hours. There is something exactly analogous to all this in the typical or parabolical personages of Scripture—the men that are to set forth the Divine Man. Through their sins, through their infirmities—yea, through the necessary limitations of their earthly condition, they are unable to carry the correspondences completely out. Sooner or later they break down; and very often even the part which they do sustain, they sustain it not for long. Thus few would deny the typical character of Solomon. His kingdom of peace, the splendour of his court, his wisdom, the temple which he reared, all point to a Greater whom he foreshowed. Yet this gorgeous forecasting of the coming glory is vouchsafed to us only for an instant, we catch a glimpse of it and no more. Even before his reign is done, all is beginning to dislimn again, to lose the distinctness of its outline, the brightness of its colouring. His wisdom is darkened, the perfect peace of his land has disappeared (1 Kin. xi. 14, 23, 26); and the gloom on every side encroaching warns us that this is but a fleeting image, not the very substance, of the true kingdom of peace.

Again, there are men who only in some single point of their history are brought into typical relation with Christ; such was Jonah, the type of the Resurrection. Others, again, there are whose lives at one moment and another seem suddenly to stand out as symbolic, but who then sink back so far that we hesitate whether we may dare to consider them as such at all, and with whom the attempt to carry out the resemblance into greater detail would involve in infinite embarrassment. Samson will at once suggest himself as one of these. Doubtless something more was meant than is contained in the letter, when he out of the eater brought forth meat, and out of the strong,

sweetness (Judg. xiv. 14); or when he wrought a mightier deliverance for Israel through his death than he had wrought in his life (Judg. xvi. 30). Yet we hesitate how far we may proceed. And so it is in every case, for somewhere or other every man is a liar; he is false, that is, to the divine idea, which he was meant to embody, and fails to bring it out in all the fulness of its perfections. So that of the truths of God in the language of men (this language of course including man's acts as well as his words), of these sons of heaven married to the daughters of earth, it may truly be said, 'we have this treasure in earthen vessels.' And we must expect that somewhere or other the earthen vessel will appear, that the imperfection which cleaves to our forms of utterance, to men's words and to their works, will make itself felt either in the misapprehensions of those to whom the language is addressed (as at John iii. 11), or by the language itself, though the best that human speech could supply, by the men themselves, though the noblest, it may be, of their age and nation,—yet failing to set forth the divine truth in all its fulness and completeness.a

No doubt it was a feeling, working more or less consciously, of the dangers and drawbacks that attend all our means of communication—a desire, also, to see eye to eye, or, as St. Paul terms it, face to face^b (I Cor. xiii. 12), which caused the Mystics to press with

* It is now rather ἐκ μέρους, ἐν αἰνίγματι, δι' ἐσόπτρου (1 Cor. xiii. 9, 12), ἐν παροιμίαις (John xvi. 25); cf. Bernard, In Cant. Serm. xxxi. 8. A Persian mystical poet has finely expressed this truth (see Tholuck, Blüthensamm, aus d. Morgent. Mystik, p. 216).

Die Sinnenwelt ein Schatten ist der Geistwelt, Herab von dieser jener Nahrungsmilch quellt. Gefühle sind gefangene Monarchen, Die in der Worte Kerker sich verbargen. Tritt das Unendliche in's Herz des Weisen, Muss flugs hinab er zum Verstande reisen. Der muss die Schattenbilder ihm gewähren, Damit er könn' Unendliches erklären; Doch nimmer ist das Abbild je vollkommen, Nur Selbstverständniss kann dir wahrhaft frommen. Denn ziehst aus jedem Bild du Consequenzen, Musst hier du Vieles wegthun, dort ergänzen.

b John Smith (Select Disc. p. 159) observes that the later Platonists had three terms to distinguish the different degrees of divine knowledge, κατ' ἐπιςτήμην, κατὰ νόησιν, and κατὰ παρουσιαν. If we assumed these into Christian theology,—and they very nearly agree with the threefold division of St. Bernard, (De Consid. v. 3), opinio, fides, and intellectus (intuition),—we might say of the first, that it is common to all men, being merely notional, knowing about God: the second is the privilege of the faithful now, the knowing God: the third, the αὐτοφάνεια of the same school, the Arcanum facierum of the Jewish doctors, will be their possession in the

such earnestness and frequency, that we should seek to abstract ourselves from all images of things; that to raise ourselves to the contemplation of pure and naked truth is the height of spiritual attainment, towards which we should continually be struggling. But in requiring this as a test and proof of spiritual progress—in setting it as the mark towards which men should strive, they are not merely laying unnecessary burdens on men's backs, but actually leading astray. For whether one shall separate in his own consciousness the form from the essence,—whether the images which he uses shall be to him more or less conscious symbols, -does not depend on his greater or less advance in spiritual knowledge, but on causes which may or may not accompany religious growth, and mainly on this one. -whether he has been accustomed to think upon his thoughts, to reflect upon the wonderful instrument which in language he is using. One who possesses the truth only as it is incorporated in the symbol, may have a far stronger hold upon it, may be influenced by it far more mightily, may far more really be nourished by it than another, who, according to the mystic view, would be in a higher and more advanced state. It is true, indeed, that for them who have not merely to live upon the truth themselves, but to guard it for others, -not only to drink themselves of the streams of divine knowledge, but to see that the waters of its well-heads be not troubled for their brethren,-for them it is well that they should be conscious, and the more conscious the better, of the wonderful thing which language is, -of the power and mystery, of the truth and falsehood, of words; and as a part of this acquaintance, that the truth, and that which is the vehicle of the truth, should for them be separable; but then it should be even for them as soul and body, not as kernel and husk. This last comparison has been often used, but may easily be pushed into an error. It has been said that, as when the seed is cast into

world to come, that seeing of God, the reciprocity of which is finally indicated by Augustine, when he terms it, Videre Videntem. It was this, according to Jewish interpreters, which Moses craved, when he said, 'I beseech Thee, show me thy glory,' but which was denied him, as being impossible for man in this present life: 'Thou canst not see my face, for there shall no man see Me, and live 'Exod. xxxiii. 18-20). Yet he, too, they say, came nearer to this than any other of the Lord's prophets (see Meuschen, N. T. ex Talm, illustr. 373). In a striking Mohammedan tradition, the Lord convinces Moses how fearful a thing it would be to comply with his request, 'Show me thy glory,'-by suffering a spark of that glory, the fullness of which Moses had craved to see, to fall upon a mountain, which instantly burst into a

a Thauler, for instance, is continually urging, Ut ab omnibus imaginibus denudemur et exuamur,-Fénelon the same; and, indeed, all the Mystics, from Dionysius

downward, agree in this.

the ground, after a time the kernel disengages itself from the outer coating, and alone remains and fructifies, while the husk decays and perishes; so in the seed of God's word, deposited in man's heart, the sensible form must fall off, that the inner germ, releasing itself, may germinate. But the image, urged thus far, does not aptly set forth the truth; it will lead in the end to a perilous slighting of the written word, under pretence of having the inner life. The outward covering is not to fall off and perish, but to become glorified, being pierced and penetrated by the spirit that is within. Man is body and soul, and, being so, the truth has for him need of a body and soul likewise: it is well that he should know what is body, and what is soul, but not that he should seek to kill the body, that he may get at the scul.

Thus it was provided for us by a wisdom higher than our own, and all our attempts to disengage ourselves wholly from sensuous images must always in the end prove unsuccessful. It will be only a changing of our images, and that for the worst; a giving up of living realities which truly stir the heart, and a getting of dead metaphysical abstractions in their room. The aim of the teacher who would find his way to the hearts and understandings of his hearers, will never be to keep down the parabolical element in his teaching, but rather to make as large use of it as he can. To do this effectually will demand a fresh effort of his own; for while all language is, and must be figurative, yet long familiar use is continually wearing out the freshness and sharpness of the stamp-(who, for example, that speaks of insulting, retains the lively image of a leaping on the prostrate body of a foe?); so that language is ever needing to be recalled, minted and issued anew, cast into novel forms, as was done by Him of whom it is said, that without a parable spake He nothing; He gave no doctrine in an abstract form, no skeletons of truth, but all clothed, as it were, with flesh and blood. He did, as He declared His Apostles must do, if they would be scribes instructed unto the kingdom, and able to instruct others (Matt. xiii. 52); He brought forth out of His treasure things new and old: by the help of the old He made intelligible the new; by the aid of the familiar He introduced that which was strange; from the known He passed more easily to the unknown. And in His own manner of teaching He has given us the secret of all effectual teaching, of all speaking which shall leave, as was said of the eloquence of Pericles,3 stings in the minds and memories of the hearers. There is a natural delight in

^{*} Cicero, De Orat. iii. 34.

This delight has left its mark upon our language itself. To like a thing is to

this manner of teaching, appealing, as it does, not to the understanding only, but to the feelings, to the imagination; calling the whole man, with all his powers and faculties, into pleasurable activity; and things thus learned with delight are those longest remembered.

Had our Lord spoken naked spiritual truth, how many of His words. partly from His hearers' lack of interest in them, partly from their lack of insight, would have passed away from their hearts and memories, and left no trace behind them. But being imparted to them in this form, under some lively image, in some short and perhaps seemingly paradoxical sentence, or in some brief but interesting narrative, they aroused attention, excited inquiry, and even if the truth did not at the moment, by the help of the illustration used, find an entrance into the mind, yet the words must thus often have fixed themselves in their memories and remained by them. And here the comparison of the seed is appropriate, of which the shell should guard the life of the inner germ, till that should be ready to unfold itself, till there should be a soil prepared for it, in which it could take root and find nourishment suitable to its needs. His words, laid up in the memory, were to many that heard Him like the money of another country, unavailable for present use,—the value of which they only dimly know, but which yet was ready in their hand, when they reached that land, and were naturalized in it. When the Spirit came, and brought all things to their remembrance, then He filled all the outlines of truth which they before possessed with its substance. quickened all its forms with the power and spirit of life. Not perhaps at once, but gradually, the meanings of what they had heard unfolded themselves to them. Small to the small, they grew with their growth. And thus must it ever be with all true knowledge, which is not the communication of information, the transfer of a dead sum or capital of facts or theories from one mind to another. but the opening of living fountains within the heart, the scattering

compare it with some other thing which we have already before our natural, or our mind's eye: and the pleasurable emotion always arising from this act of comparison has caused us to give the word a wider sense than belonged to it at first. That we like what is like, is the explanation of the pleasure which rhyme gives us. For the connexion between leikan and leiks see Dieffenbach, Goth. Sprache, vol. ii. pp. 133, 134.

^{*} Thus Jerome (Comm. in Matt. in loc.): Ut quod per simplex præceptum teneri ab auditoribus non potest, per similitudinem exemplaque teneatur.

b It was, no doubt, from a deep feeling of this that the Jewish Cabbalists affirmed, Lumen supernum numpuam discendit sine indumento; with which agrees the saying of the pseudo-Dionysius, so often quoted by the Schoolmen, Impossibile est nobis aliter lucere divinum radium nisi varietate sacrorum velaminum circumvelatum.

Bernard: An non expedit tenere vel involutum, quod nudum non capis?

of sparks which shall kindle where they fall, the planting of seeds of truth, which shall take root in the new soil where they are cast, and striking their roots downward, and sending their branches upward, shall grow up into goodly trees.

Nor is it unworthy of remark, when we are estimating the amount of the parabolic element in Scripture, how much besides the spoken, there is there of acted, parable. In addition to those which, by a more especial right, we separate off, and call by that name, every type is a real parable. The whole Levitical constitution, with its outer court, its Holy, its Holiest of all, its High Priest, its sacrifices, and all its ordinances, is such, and is declared to be such, in the Epistle to the Hebrews (ix. 9). The wanderings of the children of Israel have ever been regarded as a parable of the spiritual life. In like manner we have parabolic persons, who teach us not merely by what in their own characters they did, but as they represented One higher and greater; men whose actions and whose sufferings obtain a new significance, inasmuch as they were in these drawing lines, though often quite unaware of it themselves, which Another and a greater should hereafter fill up; as Abraham when he cast out the bondwoman and her son (Gal. iv. 30), Jonah in the whale's belly, David in his hour of peril or of agony (Ps. xxii.) And in the narrower circles, without touching on the central fact and Person in the kingdom of God, how often has He chosen that His servants should teach by an acted parable rather than by any other means, and this because no other teaching was fitted to make so deep and so lasting an impression. Jeremiah breaks in pieces a potter's vessel, that he may foretell the complete destruction of his people (xix. 1-11); he wears a yoke, himself a prophecy and a parable of their approaching bondage (xxvii. 2; xxviii. 10); he redeems a field, in pledge of a redemption in store for all the land (xxxii. 6-15); and these examples might be infinitely multiplied. And as God will have His servants by these signs to teach others, He continually teaches them by the same. It is not His word only that comes to His prophets, but the great truths of His kingdom pass before their eyes incorporated in symbols, addressing themselves first to the spiritual eye, and only through that to the spiritual ear. They are eminently Seers. Ezekiel and Zechariah will at once suggest themselves, as those of whom more than, perhaps, any others this was true. And in the New Testament we have a great example of the same teaching in St. Peter's vision (Acts x. 9-16), and in all the visions of the Apocalypse. Nay, we might venture to affirm that so it was with the highest and greatest truth of all, that which includes all others—the manifestation of God in the flesh. This, inasmuch as it was a making intelligible of the otherwise unintelligible, a making visible of the invisible, a teaching, not by doctrine, but by the embodied doctrine of a divine life, was the highest and most glorious of all parables.^a

It would be an interesting study to trace the distinctive character of the several Gospels in the parables which they severally record: or, where the parables are common to more than one, in the especial circumstances which they bring prominently out. Here, indeed, only St. Matthew and St. Luke will come into comparison, St. John having allegories, as of the Good Shepherd, the True Vine, but no parables; while St. Mark has only one parable peculiarly his own (iv. 26), and in his record of those which he shares with the other two, presents no distinctive features. We may say generally of the parables thus compared, that St. Matthew's are more theocratic; St. Luke's more ethical; St. Matthew's are more parables of judgment—St. Luke's, of mercy; those are statelier, these tenderer. St. Matthew's are frequently introduced as containing mysteries of the kingdom of God, language which nowhere occurs in St. Luke. In St. Matthew's God evermore appears as the King who, sitting on His throne, scattered away all evil with His eyes, and has in readiness to avenge all disobedience of men; many of them concluding with distinct judgment acts of a greater or a lesser severity (xiii. 42, 49; xviii. 34; xx. 14; xxi. 41; xxii. 7, 13; xxv. 12, 30). Such judgment acts are not wanting in those of St. Luke, but less frequently occur; while mercy supplies to them their ground-tone, as it does to the whole Gospel whereunto they belong. They are of the tree which was spared at the gardener's intercession (xiii. 6); of the Samaritan who poured oil and wine into the traveller's wounds (x. 30); of the father who welcomed back his penitent son (xv. 11); nay, even the parable of Dives and Lazarus is a parable of mercy, for it is the declaration of what the issues of not showing mercy will be.

Nowhere do the characteristic differences of the two Evangelists come out more strikingly than where they record parables, whose features in many respects resemble one another. Thus compare St. Matthew's parable of the Marriage of the King's Son (xxii. 1) with St. Luke's of the Great Supper (xiv. 16). These are not, as I hope by and by to show, two different versions or reports of the same parable, but separate parables, akin to, but yet distinct from, one

See a few words on this in the Epistle of Barnabas, 5, and in Clement of Alexandria (Strom. 6, Potter's ed. p. 802): Παραβολικύς γὰρ ὁ χαρακτήρ ὑπάρχει τῶν γραφῶν· διότι καὶ ὁ Κύριος, οὐκ ῶν κοσμικός εἰς ἀνθρώπους ἡλθεν.

another. As nothing is so ductile as fine gold, so was it with the fine gold of the Saviour's doctrine, which yielded itself easily to be fashioned and shaped into new forms, as need might require; the Evangelists severally giving prominence to that aspect of the parable which corresponded most to their own spiritual predispositions, which consented best with the special purpose of their Gospel. The parable in St. Matthew is of a king, and a king's son, for whom a marriage-festival is made. All is here of the theocracy; roots itself in the hopes which the Old Testament cherishes, in the promises with which it abounds. And then, how characteristic of this Evangelist is the double doom-first, of the open foe, and then of the false friend! In St. Luke all is different, and all characteristic. No longer a king, but simply a certain man, makes a supper; the two judgment acts fall into the background; one, indeed, disappears altogether; while far more is made of the grace and goodness of the giver of the feast, which lead him again and again to send forth his servant that he may gather in the meanest, the most despised, the most outcast to his table. These are but slight hints on a matter which each student of the parables might profitably follow out for himself a

^{*} In addition to our recorded parables, Papias, a hearer of St. John, professed to have received by tradition certain other parables of our Lord's (ξένας παραβολάς, Eusebius, H. E. iii. 39, calls them), which he recorded in his lost book, An Account of the Lord's Sayings.

CHAPTER III.

ON THE INTERPRETATION OF PARABLES.

THE parables, fair in their outward form, are yet fairer within, 'apples of gold in network of silver;' each one of them like a casket, itself of exquisite workmanship, but in which jewels yet richer than itself are laid up; or as fruit, which, however lovely to look upon, is yet in its inner sweetness more delectable still. then, the golden key for this casket, at whose touch it shall reveal its treasures; so to open this fruit, that nothing of its hidden kernel shall be missed or lost, has naturally been regarded ever as a matter of high concern.^b In this, the interpretation of the parable, a subject to which we have now arrived, there is one question of more importance than any other—one so constantly presenting itself anew. that it will naturally claim to be the first and most fully considered. It is this, How much of them is to be taken as significant? and to this question answers the most different have been returned. There are those who expect to trace only the most general correspondence between the sign and the thing signified; while others aim at running out the interpretation into the minutest detail; with those who occupy every intermediate stage between these extremes. Some have gone far in saying, This is merely drapery and ornament, and not the vehicle of essential truth; this was introduced either to give liveliness and a general air of verisimilitude to the narrative, or as actually necessary to make the story, the vehicle of the truth, a consistent whole, without which consistency the hearer would have been perplexed or offended; or else to hold together and connect the different parts,-just as in the most splendid house there must be passages, not for their own sake, but to lead from one room to an-

Bernard: Superficies ipsa, tanquam a foris considerata, decora est valde: et si quis fregerit nucem, intus inveniet quod jucundius sit, et multo amplius delectabile.

b Jerome (In Eccles..): Parabolæ aliud in medullâ habent, aliud in superficie pollicentur: et quasi in terrâ aurum, in nuce nucleus, in hirsutis castanearum operculis absconditus fructus inquiritur, ita in eis divinus sensus altius perscrutandus.

other.* They have used often the illustration of the knife, which is not all edge; of the harp, which is not all string; urging that much in the knife, which does not cut, the handle for example, is yet of prime necessity,—much, in the musical instrument, which is never intended to give sound, must yet not be wanting: or, to use another comparison, that many circumstances 'in Christ's parables are like the feathers which wing our arrows, which, though they pierce not like the head, but seem slight things and of a different matter from the rest, are yet requisite to make the shaft to pierce, and do both convey it to and penetrate the mark.'b To this school Chrysostom belongs. He continually warns against pressing too anxiously all the circumstances of a parable, and often cuts his own interpretation somewhat short in language like this, 'Be not over-busy about the rest.° It is the same with the interpreters that habitually follow him, Theophylactd and others, though not always faithful to their own

* Tertullian (De Pudicitià, 9): Quare centum oves? et quid utique decem drachmæ? et quæ illæ scopæ? Necesse erat qui unius peccatoris salutem gratissimam Deo volebat exprimere, aliquam numeri quanti atem nominaret, de quo unum quidem perisse describeret: necesse erat ut habitus requirentis drachmam in domo, tam scoparum quam lucernæ adminiculo accommodaretur. Hujusmodi enim curiositates et suspecta faciunt quædam, et coactarum expositionum subtilitate plerumque deducunt a veritate. Sunt autem quæ et simpliciter posita sunt ad struendam et disponendam et texendam parabolam, et illuc perducantur, cui exemplum procuratur. Brower (De Par. J. C. p. 175): Talia omitti non potuerunt, quoniam eorum tantum ope res ad eventum facile perduci posset, cum alioquin saltus fieret aut hiatus in narratione, qui rei narratæ similitudini omnino noceret, vel quia eorum neglectus auditores fortasse ad inanes quæstiones et dubitationes invitare posset.

Boyle, Style of the Holy Scriptures; Fifth Objection, Augustine (De Civ. Dei, xvi. 2) carries out this view still further: Non sane omnia quæ gesta narrantur, aliquid etiam significare putanda sunt : sed propter illa quæ aliquid significant, etiam illa quæ nihil significant attexuntur. Solo enim vomere terra proscinditur, sed ut hoc fieri possit, etiam cetera aratri membra sunt necessaria. Et soli nervi in citharis atque hujusmodi vasis musicis aptantur ad cantum, sed ut aptari possint, insunt et cetera in compaginibus organorum, quæ non percutiuntur a canentibus, sed ea quæ percussa resonant his connectuntur. Ita in prophetica historia dicuntur et aliqua, quæ nihil significant, sed quibus adhæreant quæ significant, et quodam modo religentur. Cf. Con. Faust. xxii, 94. A Roman Catholic expositor, Salmeron, has a comparison something similar: Certum est gladium non omni ex parte scindere, sed unâ tantum: nec enim per manubrium secat, neque per partem obtusam oppositam aciei, neque per cuspidem, sed tantum per aciem secat. Et tamen nemo sanæ mentis dixerit aut manubrium aut cuspidem aut partem obtusam oppositam aciei, necessaria non esse ad scindendum: nam etsi per se ipsa non scindant, serviunt tamen ut pars quæ acuta est, et ad secandum nata, scindere fortius et commodius valeat. Ita in parabolis multa afferentur quæ etsi per se ipsa sensum spiritalem non efficiant, conducunt tamen ut parabola per illiam partem scindat et secet, ad quod præstandum ab auctore proposita fuerat.

^c Τάλλα μὴ περιεργάζου.

^d Theophylact (In Luc. 16): Πᾶσα παραβολή πλαγίως και εἰκονικῶς δηλοῖ πραγμάτων τινῶν φύσιν, οὐ κατὰ πάντα ἐοίκυῖα τοῖς πραγμασιν ἐκείνοις, δι' δι παρελήφθη: δι' δι οὐδὲ χρὴ

principles. So also with Origen, who illustrates his meaning by a camparison of much beauty: 'For as the likenesses which are given in pictures and statues are not perfect resemblances of those things for whose sake they are made—but for instance the image which is painted in wax on a plain surface of wood, contains a resemblance of the superficies and colours, but does not also preserve the depressions and prominences, but only a representation of them—while a statue, again, seeks to preserve the likeness which consists in prominences and depressions, but not as well that which is in colours—but should the statue be of wax, it seeks to retain both, I mean the colours, and also the depressions and prominences, but is not an image of those things which are within—in the same manner, of the parables which are contained in the Gospels so account, that the kingdom of heaven, when it is likened to anything, is not likened to it according to all the things which are contained in that with which the comparison is instituted, but according to certain qualities which the matter in hand requires.'a Exactly thus Tillotson has said that the parables and its interpretation are not to be contemplated as two planes, touching one another at every point, but oftentimes rather as a plane and a globe, which, though brought into contact, yet touch one another only at one.

On the other hand, Augustine, though himself sometimes laying down the same canon, frequently extends the interpretation through all the branches and minutest fibres of the narrative; and Origen no less, despite the passage which I have just quoted. And in modern times, the followers of Cocceius have been particularly earnest in affirming all parts of a parable to be significant. Irving has a noble passage describing the long and laborious care which he took to master the literal meaning of every word in the parables being confident of the riches of inward truth which every one of those words contained; he goes on to say: 'Of all which my feeling and progress in studying the parables of our Lord, I have found no similitude worthy to convey the impression, save that of sailing through between the Pillars of Hercules into the Mediterranean Sea, where you have to pass between armed

πάντα τὰ μέρη τῶν παραβολῶν λεπτῶς πολυπραγμονεύσθαι, ἀλγ' ὅσον ἔοικε τῷ προκειμένῷ καρπουμένους. τὰ λοιπὰ ἐᾶν, ὡς τἢ παραβολή συνυφιστάμενα, καὶ μηδὲν πρὸς τὸ προκείμενον συμβαλλόμενα.

[.] Comm. in Matt. xiii. 47.

b His exposition of the Prodigal Son (Quast. Evang. ii. qu. 33) is a marvellous example of this.

Teelman (Comm. in Luc. xvi. 34-52) defends this principle at length and with much ability.

rocks, in a strait, and under a current—all requiring careful and skilful seamanship—but, being passed, opening into such a large, expansive, and serene ocean of truth, so engirdled round with rich and fertile lands, so inlaid with beautiful and verdant islands, and full of rich colonies and populous cities, that unspeakable is the delight and the reward it yieldeth to the voyager. 'a He and others have protested against that shallow spirit which is ever ready to empty Scripture of its deeper meaning, to exclaim, 'This means nothing; this circumstance is not to be pressed; 'which, satisfying itself with sayings like these, fails to draw out from the word of God all the rich treasures contained in it for us, or to recognize the manifold wisdom with which its type is often constructed to correspond with the antitype. They bid us to observe that of those who start with the principle that so much is to be set aside as non-essential, scarcely are to be found any two agreed, when it comes to the application of their principle, concerning what actually is to be set aside; what one rejects another retains, and the contrary: and further, that the more this scheme is carried out, the more the peculiar beauty of the parable disappears, and the interest of it is lost. For example, when Calvin will not allow the oil in the vessels of the wise virgins (Matt. xxv. 4) to mean anything, nor the vessels themselves, nor the lamps; or when Storr, or who, perhaps more than any other, ould leave the parables bare trunks, stripped of all their foliage and branches, of every thing that made for beauty and ornament, denies that the Prodigal leaving his father's house has any direct reference to man's departure from the presence of his heavenly Father, it is at once evident of how much not merely of pleasure, but of instruction, they would deprive us. It is urged, too, in opposition to the interpretation of the parables merely in the gross, that when our Lord Himself interpreted the two first which He delivered, namely the Sower and of the Tares, it is more than probable that He intended to furnish us with a key for the interpretation of all. These explanations, therefore, are most important, not merely for their own sakes, but as supplying principles and canons of interpretation to be applied throughout. Now, in these the moral application descends to some of the minutest details of the narrative: thus, the birds which snatch away the seed sown, are explained as Satan who takes the good word out of the heart (Matt. xiii.

Sermons, Lectures, and Occasional Discourses, 1828, vol. ii. p. 340.

b Multum se torquent quidam in lucernis, in vasis, in oleo; atqui simplex et genuina summa est non sufficere alacre exigui temporis studium, nisi infatigabilis constantia simul accedat.

[·] De Parabolis Christi, in his Opusc. Acad. vol. i. p. 89.

19), the thorns which choke the good seed correspond to the cares and pleasures of life (Matt. xiii. 22), with much more of the same kind.

On a review of the whole controversy it may safely be said, that there have been exaggerations upon both sides. The advocates of interpretation in the gross and not in detail have been too easily satisfied with their favourite maxim, 'Every comparison must halt somewhere; 'a since one may well demand, 'Where is the necessity?' There is no force in the reply, that unless it did so, it would not be an illustration of the thing, but the thing itself; since two lines do not cease to be two, nor become one and the same, because they run parallel through their whole course. b It must be allowed, too, that these interpreters, in their fear of capricious allegories, have often run into an opposite extreme. Doubtless in the opposite extreme of interpretation there lies the danger of an ingenious trifling with the word of God; a danger, too, lest the interpreter's delight in the exercise of this ingenuity, with the admiration of it on the part of others, may not put somewhat out of sight that the sanctification of the heart through the truth is the main purpose of all Scripture: even as we shall presently note the manner in which heretics, through this pressing of all parts of a parable to the uttermost, have been able to extort from it almost any meaning that they pleased.

After all has been urged on the one side and on the other, it must be confessed that no absolute rule can be laid down beforehand to guide the expositor how far he shall proceed. Much must be left to good sense, to spiritual tact, to that reverence for the word of God, which will show itself sometimes in refusing curiosities of interpretation, no less than at other times in demanding a distinct spiritual meaning for the words which are before it. The nearest approach, perhaps, to a canon of interpretation on the matter is that which Tholuck lays down:—'It must be allowed,' he says, 'that a similitude is perfect in proportion as it is on all sides rich in applications; and

a Omne simile claudicat.

b Theophylact (in Suicer, Thes. s. v. παραβολή): 'Η παραβολή, ἐὰν διὰ πάντων σώζηται, οὐκ ἔστι παραβολή, ἀλλ' αὐτὸ ἐκεῖνο, δι' ὁ ἡ παραβολή.

e Vitringa: Placent mihi qui ex parabolis Christi Domini plus veritatis eliciunt, quam generale quaddam præceptum ethicum, per parabolam illustratum et auditorum animis fortius infixum. Non quod audacter pronunciare sustineam, ejusmodi institutionis aut persuasionis genus, si Domino nostro placuisset illud adhibere, cum summâ ejus sapientiâ non potuisse consistere. Contendo tamen de summâ sapientiâ qualis illa fuit Filii Dei, nos merito plus præsumere, ac propterea, si parabolae Christi Domini ita explicari queant, ut singulæ earum partes commode et absque violentis contortionibus transferantur ad œconomiam Ecclesiæ, illud ego explicationis genus tanquam optumum amplectendum, et ceteris præferendum existimo. Quanto

hence, in treating the parables of Christ, the expositor must proceed on the presumption that there is import in every single point, and only desist from seeking it when either it does not result without forcing, or when we can clearly show that this or that circumstance was merely added for the sake of giving intuitiveness to the narrative. We should not assume anything to be non-essential, except when by holding it fast as essential, the unity of the whole is marred and troubled.'a For, to follow up these words of his,—in the same manner as a statue is the more perfect in the measure that the life, the idea that was in the sculptor's mind, breathes out of and looks through every feature and limb, so much the greater being the triumph of spirit, penetrating through and glorifying the matter which it has assumed; so the more translucent a parable is in all parts with the divine truth which it embodies, the more the garment with which that is arrayed, is a garment of light, pierced through, as was once the raiment of Christ, with the brightness within—illuminating it in all its recesses and corners, and leaving no dark place in it-by so much the more beautiful and perfect it must be esteemed.

It will much help us in this matter of determining what is essential and what not, if, before we attempt to explain the particular parts, we obtain a firm grasp of the central truth which the parable would set forth, and distinguish it in the mind as sharply and accurately as we can from all cognate truths which border upon it; for only seen from that middle point will the different parts appear in their true light. 'One may compare,' says a late writer on the parables, b' the entire parable with a circle of which the middle point is the spiritual truth or doctrine, and of which the radii are the several circumstances of the narration; so long as one has not placed oneself in the centre, neither the circle itself appears in its perfect shape, nor will the beautiful unity with which the radii converge to a single point be per-

enim plus solidæ veritatis ex Verbo Dei eruerimus, si nihil obstet, tanto magis divinam commendabimus sapientiam.

* Out of this feeling the Jewish doctors distinguished lower forms of revelation from higher, dreams from prophetic communications thus, that in the higher all was essential, while the dream ordinarily contained something that was superfluous; and they framed this axiom.— As there is no corn without straw, so neither is there any mere dream without something that is $\hat{a}\rho\gamma\delta\nu$, void of reality and insignificant. Thus in Joseph's dream (Gen. xxxvii, 9), the moon could not have been well left out, when all the heavenly hosts bid obeisance to him: yet this circumstance was thus $\hat{a}\rho\gamma\delta\nu$, for his mother, who thereby was signified, was even then dead, and so incapable of rendering the homage to him which the others at last did (see John Smith, Liscourses, p. 178).

Lisco, Die Parabeln Jesu, p. 22; a sound and useful work, though content to remain too much on the surface of its subject.

ceived, but this is all observed as soon as the eye looks forth from the centre. Even so in the parable; if we have recognized its middle point, its main doctrine, its full light, then will the proportion and right signification of all particular circumstances be clear unto us, and we shall lay stress upon them only so far as the main truth is thereby more vividly set forth.'

There is another rule which it is important to observe, one so simple and obvious, that were it not continually neglected, one would be content to leave it to the common sense of every interpreter. It is this, that as, in the explanation of the fable, the introduction $(\pi\rho\sigma\mu\delta\theta\iota\alpha\nu)$ and application $(\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota\mu\delta\theta\iota\sigma\nu)$ claim to be most carefully attended to, so here what some have entitled the pro-parabola and epi-parabola, though the other terms would have done sufficiently well; which are invariably the finger-posts pointing to the direction in which we are to look for the meaning-the key to the whole matter. The neglect of these often involves in the most untenable explanations; for instance, how many interpretations which have been elaborately worked out of the Labourers in the Vineyard could never have been so much as once proposed if heed had been paid to the context, or the necessity been acknowledged of bringing the interpretation into harmony with the saying which introduces and winds up the parable. These helps to interpretation, though rarely or never lacking, a are yet given in no fixed or formal manner; sometimes they are supplied by the Lord Himself (Matt. xxii. 14; xxv. 13); sometimes by the inspired narrators of His words (Luke xv. 1, 2; xviii. 1); sometimes, as the prologue, they precede the parable (Luke xviii. 9; xix. 11); sometimes, as the epilogue, they follow (Matt. xxv. 13; Luke xvi. 9). Occasionally a parable is furnished with these helps to a right understanding both at its opening and its close; as is that of the Unmerciful Servant (Matt. xviii. 23), which is suggested by the question which Peter asks (ver. 21), and wound up by the application which the Lord Himself makes (ver. 35). So again the parable at Matt. xx. 1-15 begins and finishes with the same saying, and Luke xii. 16-20 is supplied with the same amount of help for its right understanding.b

Tertullian (De Res Carn. 33): Nullam parabolam non aut ab ipso invenias edisseratam, ut de Seminatore in verbi administratione; aut a commentatore Evangelii præiuminatam, ut judicis superbi et viduæ instantis ad perseverantiam orationis; aut ultro conjectandam, ut arboris fici, dilatæ in spem, ad instar Judaicæ infructuositatis.

b Salmeron (Serm. in Evang. Par. p. 19) recognizes in the parable a radix, a cortex, a medulla; first, the radix or root out of which it grows, which may also be regarded as the final cause or scope with which it is spoken, which is to be looked

Again, we may observe that a correct interpretation, besides being thus in accordance with its context, must be so without any very violent means being necessary to bring it into such agreement; even as, generally, the interpretation must be easy—if not always easy to discover, yet, being discovered, easy. For it is here as with the laws of nature; the proleptic mind of genius may be needful to discover the law, but, being discovered, it throws back light on itself, and commends itself unto all. And there is this other point of similarity also; it is a proof that we have found the law, when it explains all the phenomena, and not merely some; if, sooner or later, they all marshal themselves in order under it; so it is good evidence that we have discovered the right interpretation of a parable, if it leaves none of the main circumstances unexplained. A false interpretation will inevitably betray itself, since it will 'invariably paralyze and render nugatory some important member of an entire account.' If we have the right key in our hand, not merely some of the wards, but all, will have their corresponding parts; the key, too, will turn without grating or over-much forcing; and if we have the right interpretation it will scarcely need to be defended, and made plausible with great appliance of learning, to be propped up by remote allusions to Rabbinical or profane literature, by illustrations drawn from the recesses of antiquity.a

Once more: the parables may not be made first sources and seats of doctrine. Doctrines otherwise and already established may be illustrated, or, indeed, further confirmed by them; but it is not allowable to constitute doctrine first by their aid.^b They may be the

for in the προμύθιον; next, the cortex or outward sensuous array in which it clothes itself; and lastly, the medulla or inward core, the spiritual truth which it enfolds.

- * Teelman (Comm. in Luc. xvi. 23): Explicatio non sit hiulca, non aspera, non auribus nec judicio difficilis, non ridicula; sed mollis et verecunda, leniter manantis fluvii instar amœnitate in aures auditorumque judicium influens, appropriata, proxima, et ab omni longâ petitione remota.
- b This rule finds its expression in the recognized axiom: Theologia parabolica non est argumentativa;—and again: Ex solo sensu litterali peti possunt argumenta efficacia (see Gerhard, Loc. Theoll. ii. 13. 202). There is a beautiful passage in Anselm, Cur Deus Homo, i. 4, on the futility of using as primary arguments, and against gainsayers, what can only serve as the graceful confirmation of truths already on other grounds received and believed. An objector is made to reply to one who presses him with the wonderful correspondences of Scripture: Omnia hæc pulcra et quasi quædam picturæ suscipienda sunt: sed si non sit aliquid solidum super quod sedeant, non videntur infidelibus satisfacere: nam qui picturam vult facere, aliquid eligit solidum super quod pingat, ut maneat quod pingit. Nemo enim pingit in aqua vel in aëre; quia ibi nulla manent picturæ vestigia. Qua propter cum has convenientias quas dicis, infidelibus quasi quasdam picturas rei gestæ obtendimus, quoniam non rem gestam sed figmentum arbitrantur esse quod credimus; quasi super nubem

outer ornamental fringe, but not the main texture, of the proof. For from the literal to the figurative, from the clearer to the more obscure, has been ever recognized as the order of Scripture interpretation. This rule, however, has been often forgotten, and controversialists, looking around for arguments with which to sustain some weak position, for which they can find no other support in Scripture, often invent for themselves supports in these. Thus Bellarmine presses the parable of the Good Samaritan, and the circumstance that in that the thieves are said first to have stripped the traveller, and afterwards to have inflicted wounds on him (Luke x. 30), as proving certain views of the Romish Church on the order of man's fall, the succession in which, first losing heavenly gifts, the robe of a divine righteousness, he afterwards, and as a consequence. endured actual hurts in his soul. And in the same way Faustus Socinus argues from the parable of the Unmerciful Servant, that as the king pardoned his servant merely on his petition (Matt. xviii, 22). and not on the score of any satisfaction made, or any mediator intervening, we may from this conclude that in the same way, and without requiring sacrifice or intercessor, God will pardon sinners simply on the ground of their prayers.b

But by much the worst offenders against this rule were the Gnostics and Manichæans in old time, and especially the former. Their whole scheme was one, which, however it may have been a result of the Gospel, inasmuch as that set the religious speculation of the world vigorously astir, was yet of independent growth; and they only came to the Scripture to find a varnish, an outer Christian colouring, for a system essentially antichristian;—they came, not to learn its language, but to see if they could not compel it to speak theirs; with

pingere nos existimant. Monstranda est prius veritatis rationabilis soliditas. Deinde, ut ipsum quasi corpus veritatis plus niteat, istæ convenientiæ, quasi picturæ corporis sunt exponendæ.

^{*} De Grat. Prim. Hom.: Neque enim sine causâ Dominus in parabolâ illâ prius dixit, hominem spoliatum, posterius autem, vulneratum fuisse, cum tamen contra accidere soleat in veris latrociniis; nimirum indi are voluit, in hoc spirituali latrocinio ex ipsâ amissione justitiæ originalis nata esse vulnera nostræ naturæ (see Gerhard, Loc. Theoll. ix. 2, 86). His fact is inaccurate, for eastern robbers are careful to strip, if possible, before they slay; that so the wounds and blood may not injure the garments, often the most precious portion of the spoil.

b Deyling, Obss. Sac. vol. iv. p. 649. Socinus here sins against another rule of Scripture interpretation as of common sense, which is, that we are not to expect in every place the whole circle of Christian truth, and that nothing is proved by the absence of a doctrine from one passage, which is clearly stated in others. Thus Jerome (Adv. Yovin. 2): Neque enim in omnibus locis docentur omnia; sed unaquæque similitudo ad id refertur cujus est similitudo.

[·] Jerome: Ad voluntatum suam Scripturam trahere repugnantem.

no desire to draw out of Scripture its meaning, but only to thrust into Scripture their own. When they fell thus to picking and choosing what in it they might best turn to their ends, the parables naturally invited them almost more than any other portions of Scripture. In the literal portions of Scripture they could find no colour for their scheme; their only refuge, therefore, was in the figurative, in those which might receive more interpretations than one; such, perhaps, they might bend to their purposes. Accordingly, we find them claiming continually, the parables for their own; with no joy, indeed, in their simplicity, or practical depth, or ethical beauty; for they seem to have had no sense or feeling of these; but delighted to superinduce upon them their own capricious and extravagant fancies. Irenæus is continually compelled to rescue the parables from the extreme abuse to which these submitted them; for, indeed, they not merely warped and drew them a little aside, but made them tell wholly a different tale from that which they were intended to tell. b Against these Gnostics he lays down that canon, namely, that the parables cannot be in any case the primary, much less the exclusive, foundations of any doctrine, but must be themselves interpreted according to the analogy of faith; since, if every subtle solution of one of these might raise itself at once to the dignity and authority of a Christian doctrine, the rule of faith would be nowhere. So to build, as he shows, were to build not on the rock, but on the sand.°

^{*} Irenæus (Con. Har. i. 8): Ut figmentum illorum non sine teste esse videatur. All this repeats itself in Swedenborg, who has many resemblances to the Gnostics, especially the distinctive one of a division of the Church into spiritual and carnal members. One has well said: His spiritual sense of Scripture is one altogether disconnected from the literal sense, is rather a sense before the sense; not a sense to which one mounts up from the steps of that which is below, but in which one must, as by a miracle, be planted, for it is altogether independent of, and disconnected from, the accidental externum superadditum of, the literal sense.'

b In a striking passage (Con. Hær. i. 8) he likens their dealing with Scripture, their violent transpositions of it till it became altogether a different thing in their hards, to the fraud of those who should break up some work of exquisite mosaic, wrought by a skillful artificer to present the effigy of a king, and should then recompose the pieces upon some wholly different plan, and make them to express some vile image of a fox or dog, hoping that, since they could point to the stones as being the same, they should be able to persuade the simple that this was the king's image still. In the same manner there is a vile poen by one of the later Latin poets in which he puts together lines and half lines and bits of lines from Virgil, so contriving to weave out of the pure a composition of shameful impurity.

^e Thus Con. Her. ii. 27: Et ideo parabolæ debent non ambiguis adaptari: sic enim et qui absolvit, sine periculo absolvit et parabolæ ob omnibus similiter absolutionem accipient: et a veritate corpus integrum, et simili aptatione membrorum et sine concussione perseverat. Sed quæ non aperte dicta sunt, neque ante oculos posita, copulare absolutionibus parabolarum, quas unusquisque prout vult adinvenit

Tertullian has the same conflict to maintain. The whole scheme of the Gnostics, as he observes, was a great floating cloud-palace, the figment of their own brain, with no counterpart in the world of spiritual realities. They could therefore mould it as they would; and thus they found no difficulty in forcing the parables to seem to be upon their side, shaping, as they had no scruple in doing, their doctrine according to the leadings and suggestions of these, till they brought the two into apparent agreement with one another. was nothing to hinder them here; their creed was not a fixed body of divine truth, to which they could neither add nor take away; which was given them from above, and in which they could only acquiesce: but an invention of their own, which they could therefore fashion and alter as best suited the purpose they had in hand. We, as Tertullian often urges, are kept within limits in the exposition of the parables, accepting, as we do, the other Scriptures as the rule of truth, as the rule, therefore, of their interpretation. It is otherwise with these heretics; their doctrine is their own; they can first dexterously adapt it to the parables, and then bring forward the conformity between the two as a testimony of its truth.

As it was with the Gnostics of the early Church, exactly so was it with the sects which, in a later day, were their spiritual successors, the Cathari and Bogomili. They, too, found in the parables no teaching about sin and grace and redemption, no truths of the kingdom of God, but fitted to them the speculations about the creation, the origin of evil, the fall of angels which were uppermost in their own minds; which they had not drawn from Scripture; but which

[stultum est]. Sic enim apud nullum erit regula veritatis, sed quanti fuerint qui absolvent parabolas, tantæ videbuntur veritates pugnantes semet invicem. So too 3: Quia autem parabolæ possunt multas recipere absolutiones, ex ipsis de inquisitione Dei affimare, relinquentes quod certum et indubitatum et verum est, valde præcipitantium se in periculum et irrationabilium esse, quis non amantium veritatem confitebitur? et numquid hoc est non in petrâ firmâ et validâ et in aperto posità ædificare suam domum, sed in incertum effusæ arenæ? Unde et facilis est eversio hujusmodiædificationis. Cf. ii. 10; and i. 16, for monstrous and fantastic interpretations, after this fashion, of Luke xv. 4-6, and 8, 9. The miracles were made by them to yield similar results (see i. 7; ii. 24).

^a De Pudicitiâ, 8, 9. Among much else which is interesting, he says, Hæretici parabolas quo volunt trahunt, non quo debent, aptissime excludunt [his image if from the workers in gold or other metals; called exclusores (see Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. lix. 22), from excludere, to strike or stamp out (Du Cange, s. v.). This meaning of excludo is wanting in Scheller's Dictionary]. Quare aptissime? Quoniam a primordio secundum occasiones parabolarum, ipsas materias confinxerunt doctrinæ. Vacavit scilicet illis solutis a regulâ veritatis, ea conquierere atque componere, quorum parabolæ videnture. Thus, too, De Præsc. Hæret. 8: Valentinus non ad materiam Scripturas, sed materiam ad Scripturas, excogitavit. Compare De Animâ, 18.

having themselves framed, they afterwards turned to Scripture to see if they could not find that there which they could compel to fall into their scheme. Thus, the apostacy of Satan and his drawing after him a part of the host in heaven, they found set forth by the parable of Unjust Steward. Satan was the chief steward over God's house, whom He deposed from his place of highest trust, and who then drew after him the other angels, with the suggestion of lighter tasks and relief from the burden of their imposed duties.^a

But to come to more modern times. Though not testifying to evils at all so grave in the devisers of the scheme, nor leading altogether out of the region of Christian truth, yet sufficiently injurious to the sober interpretation of the parables is such a theory concerning them as that entertained, and in actual exposition carried out, by Cocceius and his followers of what we may call the historico-prophetical school. By the parables, they say, and so far they have right, are declared the mysteries of the kingdom of God. But then, ascribing to those words, 'Kingdom of God,' a far too narrow sense, they are resolved to find in every one of the parables a part of the history of that kingdom's progressive development in the world to the latest They will not allow any to be merely ethical, but affirm all to be historico-prophetical. Thus, to let one of them speak for himself, in the remarkable words of Krummacher: 6 'The parables of Jesus have not primarily a moral, but a politico-religious, or theocratic purpose. To use a comparison, we may consider the kingdom of God carried forward under His guidance, as the action, gradually unfolding itself, of an Epos, of which the first germ lay prepared long beforehand in the Jewish economy of the Old Testament, but which through Him began to unfold itself, and will continue to do so to the end of time. The name and superscription of the Epos is, THE KINGDOM OF GOD. The parables belong essentially to the Gospel of the kingdom, not merely as containing its doctrine, but its progressive development. They connect themselves with certain fixed periods

a Neander, Kirck, Gesch. vol. v. p. 1082. They dealt more perversely still with the parable of the Unmerciful Servant (*lbid*. vol. v. p. 1122): This servant, too, with whom the king reckons, is Satan or the Demiurgus; his wife and children, whom the king orders to be sold, the first is Sophia or intelligence, the second the angels subject to him. God pitied him, and did not take from him his higher intelligence, his subjects, or his goods; he promising, if God would have patience with him, to create so great a number of men as should supply the place of the fallen angels. Therefore God gave him permission that for six days, the six thousand years of the present world, he should bring to pass what he could with the world which he had created—but this will suffice.

b Not the Krummacher lately so popular in England, but his father, himself the author of a volume of very graceful original parables.

of that development, and, as soon as these periods are completed, lose themselves in the very completion; that is, considered as independent portions of the Epos, remaining for us only in the image and external letter.' He must mean, of course, in the same manner and degree as all other fulfilled prophecy; in the light of such accomplished prophecy, he would say, they must henceforth be regarded.

Boyle gives some, though a very moderate, countenance to the same opinion: 'Some, if not most, do, like those oysters that, besides the meat they afford us, contain pearls, not only include excellent moralities, but comprise important prophecies; ' and, having adduced the Mustard-seed and the Wicked Husbandman as plainly containing such prophecies, he goes on, 'I despair not to see unheeded prophecies disclosed in others of them.'a Vitringa's Elucidation of the Parables^b is a practical application of this scheme of interpretation, and one which will scarcely win many supporters for it. Thus, the servant owing the ten thousand talents (Matt. xviii. 23), is the Pope or line of Popes, placed in highest trust in the Church, but who misusing the powers committed to them, were warned by the invasion of Goths, Lombards, and other barbarians, of judgment at the door, and indeed seemed given into their hands for doom; but being mercifully delivered from this fear of imminent destruction by the Carlovingian kings, so far from repenting and amending, on the contrary now more than ever oppressed and maltreated the true servants of God, and who therefore should be delivered over to an irreversible doom. He gives a yet more marvellous explanation of the Merchant seeking goodly pearls, this pearl of price being the Church of Geneva and the doctrine of Calvin, opposed to all abortive pearls, that is, to all the other Reformed Churches. Other examples may be found in Cocceius—an interpretation, for instance, of the Ten Virgins, after this same fashion.º Deyling has an interesting essay on

[•] On the Style of the Holy Scripture; Fifth Objection. There is nothing new in this scheme; Oligen held it long ago; see, for example, on the Labourers in the Vineyard (Comm. in Matt. xx.) how he toils under the sense of some great undisclosed mystery concerning the future destinies of the kingdom lying hidden there. St. Ambrose (Apolog. Alt. David, 57) gives a strange historico-prophetical interpretation of Nathan's parable of the Ewe Lamb (2 Sam. xii. 1-4); and Hippolytus (De Anti-christo, 57) of the Unjust Judge.

b Being published, not like most of his other works in Latin, but in Dutch, it is far less known, as indeed it deserves to be, than his other oftentimes very valuable works. I have used a German translation, Frankfort, 1717. The volume consists of more than a thousand closely-printed pages, with a few grains of wheat to be winnowed out from a most unreasonable proportion of chaff.

Schol. in Matt. xxv. More may be found in Gurtler, Syst. Theol. Proph.; as at pp. 542, 676. Deusingius, Teelman, D'Outrein, Solomon Van Till, are among the chief writers of this school.

this school of interpreters, and passes a severe, though certainly not undeserved, condemnation on them. Prophetical, no doubt, many of the parables are; for they declare how the new element of life, which the Lord was bringing into men's hearts and into the world, would work—the future influences and results of his doctrine—that the little mustard-seed would grow to a great tree_that the leaven would continue working till it had leavened the whole lump. they declare not so much the facts as the laws of the kingdom, or the facts only so far as, by giving insight into the laws, they impart a knowledge of the facts. Historico-prophetical are only a few; as that of the Wicked Husbandmen, which Boyle adduced, in which there is a clear prophecy of the death of Christ; as that of the Marriage of the King's Son, in which there is an equally clear announcement of the destruction of Jerusalem, and the transfer of the privileges of the kingdom of God from the Jews to the Gentiles. subject will again present itself to us, when we consider, in their relation to one another, the seven parables in the thirteenth chapter of St. Matthew.

^{*} Obss. Sac. vol. v. p. 331, seq. The same scheme of interpretation has been applied by the same school of interpreters to the miracles; as by Lampe in his Commentary on St. John, see, for instance, on the feeding of the five thousand (John vi.). They form the weakest side of an admirable book.

CHAPTER IV.

ON OTHER PARABLES BESIDES THOSE IN THE SCRIPTURES.

THE most perfect specimens of this form of composition, and those by which the comparative value of all other in the like kind is to be measured, must be sought in that Book which is the most perfect of all books; yet they do not belong exclusively to it. The parable, as St. Jerome has noted, is among the favourite vehicles for conveying moral truth throughout all the East. Our Lord took possession of it, honoured it by making it His own, by using it as the vehicle for the highest truth of all. But there were parables before the parables which issued from His lips. It belongs to our subject to say something concerning those which, though they did not give the pattern to, yet preceded, His—concerning those also also which were formed more or less immediately on the suggestion and in imitation of His, on the Jewish, that is, and the Christian.

The Jewish Parables will occupy us first. Some, indeed, have denied, but in the face of facts too evident to be explained away, that this method of teaching by parables was current among the Jews before our Saviour's time. They have feared, it would seem, lest it should detract from his glory to suppose that He had availed Himself of a manner of teaching in use already. Yet surely the anxiety to cut off the Lord's teaching from all living connexion with His age and country is very idle; and the suspicion with which parallels from the uninspired Jewish writings have been regarded is altogether misplaced. It is the same anxiety which would cut off the Mosaic legislation and institutions altogether from Egypt; which cannot with honesty be done, and which, in truth, there is no object whatever in attempting. For if Christianity be indeed the world-religion, it must gather into one all dispersed rays of light; it must appropriate to itself all elements of truth which are anywhere scattered

^{*} The attempt fails even when made by so able and learned a man as Witsius. It is not from grounds such as he occupies in his Ægyptiaca, that books like Spencer's De Legibus Hebræorum can be answered.

abroad; not thus adopting what is alien, but rather claiming what is its own, a Our blessed Lord so spake, as that His doctrine, in its outward garb, should commend itself to His countrymen. There were inner obstacles enough to their receiving of it; the more need, therefore, that outwardly it should be attractive. Thus, He appealed to proverbs in common use among them. He quoted the traditionary speeches of their elder Rabbis, to refute, to enlarge, or to correct them. When He found the theological terms of their schools capable of bearing the burden of the new truth which He laid upon them, He willingly used them; b and in using, did not deny their old meaning; while at the same time, making all things new, He glorified and transformed it into something infinitely higher, breathed into them the spirit of a new life. 'Thy kingdom come' formed already a part of the Jewish liturgy, yet not the less was it a new prayer on the lips of all who had realized in any measure the idea of the kingdom, and what the coming of that kingdom meant, as He first had enabled them to realize it. So 'Peace be unto you' was an ordinary salutation among the Jews, yet having how much deeper a significance. and one how entirely new upon His lips, who is our Peace, and who, first causing us to enter ourselves into the peace of God, enabled us truly to wish peace, and to speak peace, to our brethren. So, too, a proselyte was in the Jewish schools entitled 'a new creature,' and his passing over to Judaism was a 'new birth; 'c yet these terms expressed little more than a change in his outward relations: it remained for Christ to appropriate them to the higher mysteries of the kingdom of heaven. Nor less is it certain that the illustrating of doctrines by the help of parables, or briefer comparisons, was common among the Jewish teachers; d of them it might almost be said as of Him, that without a parable they spake nothing. The very formulas with which their parables were introduced remind us of those we meet in the Gospels; for instance, the question, 'Whereunto shall I liken it?' is of continual recurrence. But what then? It was not in the newness of the forms, but in the newness of the

^{*}In the words of Clemens (Strom. i. 13): Δυνατή ή ἀλήθεια συναγαγείν τὰ οἰκ- α στ ματα, κἄν εἰς τὴν ἀλλοδαπήν ἐκπέση γῆν.

b See an essay by Schoettgen (Hor. Heb. vol. ii. p. 883): Christus Rabbinarum

[·] Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. 1. pp. 328, 704.

d Vitringa, De Synagogâ, p. 678, seq. Hillel and Schammai were the most illustrious teachers by parables before the time of our Saviour; R. Meir immediately after. With this last, as the tradition goes, the power of inventing parables notably declined. This is not hard to understand. The fig-tree of the Jewish people was withered, and could put forth no fruit any more (Matt. xxi. t9).

spirit, that the transcendent glory and excellency of Christ's teaching consisted.

As some may desire to see what these Jewish parables are like, I will quote, not, as is often done, the worst, but the best which I have had the fortune to meet. The following is occasioned by a question which has arisen—namely, Why the good so often die young? God, it is answered, foresees that if they lived they would fall into sin. 'To what is this like? It is like a king who, walking in his garden, saw some roses which were vet buds, breathing an ineffable sweetness. He thought, "If these shed such sweetness while yet they are buds, what will they do when they are fully blown?" After a while the king entered the garden anew, thinking to find the roses now blown, and to delight himself with their fragrance; but arriving at the place, he found them pale and withered, and vielding no smell. He exclaimed with regret, "Had I gathered them while yet tender and young, and while they gave forth their sweetness, I might have delighted myself with them, but now I have no pleasure in them." The next year the king walked in his garden, and finding rosebuds scattering fragrance, he commanded his servants, "Gather them. that I may enjoy them before they wither, as last year they did.""a The next is ingenious enough, though a notable specimen of Jewish self-righteousness: 'A man had three friends: being summoned to appear before the king, he was terrified, and looked for an advocate: the first, whom he had counted the best, altogether rejused to go with him; another replied that he would accompany him to the door of the palace, but could not speak for him; the third, whom he had held in least esteem, appeared with him before the king, and pleaded for him so well as to procure his deliverance. So every man has three friends when summoned by death before God his Judge: the first, whom he prized, his money, will not go with him a step; the second, his friends and kinsmen, accompany him to the tomb, but no further, nor can they deliver him in the judgment; while the third, whom he had in least esteem, the Law and good works, appear with him before the king, and deliver him from condemnation.'b But this is in a nobler strain; it is suggested by those words, 'In thy light shall we see light.' As a man travelling by night kindled his torch, which, when it was extinguished, he again lit, and again, but

Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. i. p. 682.

b Ibid. vol. i. p. 1129. This parable, like so much else in the rabbinical books reappears in many quarters; in the Eastern romance, Barlaam and Josaphat, 13; and among the traditional sayings of Mahomet (see Von Hammer, Fundgruben d. Orients, vol. i. p. 315.

at length exclaimed, "How long shall I weary myself in my way? better to wait till the sun arise, and when the sun is shining I will pursue my journey,"-so the Israelites were oppressed in Egypt, but delivered by Moses and Aaron. Again, they were subdued by the Babylonians, when Chananiah, Misael and Azariah delivered them. Again, they were subdued by the Grecians, when Mattathias and his sons helped them. At length the Romans overcame them, when they cried to God, "We are weary with the continual alternation of oppression and deliverance; we ask no further that mortal man may shine upon us, but God, who is holy and blessed for ever." 'a There is a fine one of the fox, which, seeing the fish in great trouble, darting hither and thither, while the stream was being drawn with nets, proposed to them to leap on dry land. This is put in a Rabbi's mouth, who, when the Græco-Syrian kings were threatening with death all who observed the law, was counselled by his friends to abandon it. He would say, 'We, like the fish in the stream, are indeed in danger now, but yet, while we continue in obedience to God, we are in our element; but if, to escape the danger, we forsake that, then we inevitably perish.'b Again, one of much tenderness explains why a proselyte is dearer to the Lord than even a Levite. Such a proselyte is compared to a wild goat, which, brought up in a desert, joins itself freely to the flock, and which is cherished by the shepherd with especial love; since, that his flock, which from its youth he had put forth in the morning and brought back at evening, should love him, was nothing strange; but that the goat, brought up in deserts and mountains, should attach itself to him, demanded an especial return of affection.° There are besides these a multitude of briefer ones, similitudes rather than parables. Thus, there is one, urging collection of spirit in prayer, to this effect: 'If a man brought a request to an earthly monarch, but, instead of making it, were to turn aside and talk with his neighbour, might not the king be justly displeased?'d In another, the death common to all, and the doom after death so different to each, is likened to a king's retinue

^a Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. ii. p. 691.

b Ibid. vol. i. p. 189.

o lbid. vol. i. p. 377. This too on the resurrection is good (Cocceius, Excerpt. Gem. p. 232): R. Ammin replied to a Sadducee who said, Numquid pulvis vivet?—Rem tibi hâc parabolâ explicabo. Rex quidam jusserat a servis suis palatium in loco, qui aquâ et limo careret, exstrui. Factum. Eo collapso, jussit id reædificari in loco ubi utriusque erat copia. Negant se posse. Tum ille iratus, Quum abesset aqua et limus, potuistis: nunc quum utrumque adsit, possetis?

^d Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. i. p. 606. The same comparison with slight variation occurs in Chrysostom, Hom. 1, in Oziam, and again with further modification, Hom. 51, in Matt.

entering a city at a single gate, but afterward lodged within it very differently, according to their several dignity.^a There is a singular one, to explain why God has not told which command should have the greatest reward for its keeping.^b In another it is shown how body and soul are partners in sin, and so will justly be partners in punishment.^c

These, among the Jewish parables, with two or three more, which, bearing some resemblance to Evangelical parables, will be noted in their due places, are the most memorable which I have met. The resemblance, it must be owned, even where the strongest, lies on the surface merely, and is nothing so extraordinary as is often given out. To some, indeed, the similarity has appeared so great, that it needed in one way or another to be accounted for. Those have supposed that our Lord adopted such parables as would in any way fit His purpose, remodelling them and improving as they passed under His hands. Others have thought that the Jewish parables are of later origin than those in the Gospels, and that the Rabbis, while they searched the Christian books for the purpose of ridiculing or gainsaying them, enriched themselves with their spoils, borrowing materials which they afterwards turned to account, concealing carefully the quarter from whence these were derived. d But neither of these suppositions is necessary. Lightfoot has a collection of such sayings under the title, Wit stolen by the Jews out of the Gospel;" but neither here, nor in the parables elsewhere adduced is the resemblance so striking as to carry any conviction of the necessity, or even the probability, of a common origin. The hatred and scorn with

^a *Ibid*. vol. i. p. 388.

b *Ibid.* vol. i. p. 187.

^{**}Cocceius (Excerpt. Gem. p. 232): Antonius cum R. Jehudâ sancto sic colloquutus aliquando est. Corpus, inquit, et anima a judicio se liberare possunt. Quomodo? Corpus dicat, Anima peccavit nam ex quo illa a me discessit, ecce lapidis instar sine sensu in sepulcro jacui. Anima autem dicat, Corpus peccavit, nam ex quo illius laxata sum nexu, ecce volito per aërem aviculæ in morem. Ad hæc Rabbi, Parabolam, inquit, tibi dabo. Rex mortalis horto cuidam amœnissimo, in quo maturi fructus essent, duos custodes apposuit, claudum et cæcum. Claudus, visis, fructibus, cæcum admonuit, ipsum uti in humeros, reciperet, quo inlos decerperet, et illi inter se devorarent. Insedit igitur claudus cæci cervicibus, decerptosque fructus absumserunt. Aliquanto post tempore venit Dominus horti e de fructibus requisivit. Cum cæcui, sibi oculos non esse ut videret, et claudus, sibi pedes deesse, ut accederet. Quid ille? Quum jussisset hunc illius humeris excihi, utrumque simul judicavit et plexit. Consimiliter faciet Deus: animâ corpori indità, pariter animam et corpus judicabit.

^d So Carpzow, Storr, Lightfoot, and Pfeiffer (Theol. Jud. atque Mohamm. th. 40-43).

[·] Erubhin, chap. 20.

which the Jews regarded all foreign literature, and most of all the sacred books of the Christians, make this last supposition extremely improbable.

The resemblance is such as could hardly have been avoided, when the same external life, and the same outward nature, were used as the common storehouse, from whence images, illustrations, and examples were derived alike by all. It may be well at once to consider one, and one of the best, among these Talmudical parables, which pretend to any similarity with our Lord's. It has been sometimes likeened to that latter part of the Marriage of the King's Son, which relates to the wedding garment. 'The Rabbis have delivered what follows, on Eccl. xii. 7, where it is written, "The spirit shall return unto God who gave it "-He gave it to thee unspotted, see that thou restore it unspotted to Him again. It is like a mortal king, who distributed royal vestments to his servants. Then those that were wise folded them carefully up, and laid them by in the wardrobe; but those that were foolish went their way, and, clothed in these garments, engaged in their ordinary work. After awhile, the king required his garments again: the wise returned them white as they had received them; but the foolish, soiled and stained. Then the king was well pleased with the wise, and said, "Let the vestments be laid up in the wardrobe, and let these depart in peace; " but he was angry with the foolish, and said, "Let the vestments be given to be washed, and those servants be cast into prison:"-so will the Lord do with the bodies of the righteous, as it is written, Isai. lvii. 2; with their souls, I Sam. xxv. 29; but with the bodies of the wicked, Isai. xlviii. 22; lvii. 21; and with their souls, I Sam. xxv. 29.'b But, with the exception of a king appearing in each, and the praise and condemnation turning on a garment, what resemblance is there here? In fact, if we penetrate a little below the surface, there is more real similarity between this parable and that of the Talents, as in each case there is the restoration of a deposit, and a dealing with the servants according to their conduct in respect of that deposit. But then, how remote a likeness! How capricious everything here! The distribution of garments which were not to be worn, and afterwards reclaiming them, —what resemblance has this to anything in actual life? —how differ-

[·] Gfrörer, Urchristenthum, vol. i. p. 115, seq.

b Meuschen, N. T. ex Talm. illust. p. 117; cf. pp. 111, 194, 195; and Wetstein, N. T. pp. 727, 765. Those given by Otto, a converted Jew, who afterwards relapsed into Judaism, in a book entitled Gali Razia, have been tampered with by him for the making of the resemblance between them and the Evangelical parables more close, else they would be remarkable indeed (Pfeiffer, Theol. Jud. th. 39).

e This, with so many of the rabbinical parables, sins against almost every rule

ent from the probability that a nobleman, going into a distant country, should distribute his goods to his servants, and returning, demand from them an account.

This much in regard of the Jewish parables.^b Among the Fathers of the Christian Church there are not many who have deliberately constructed parables for the setting forth of spiritual mysteries. Two or three such we meet in the Shepherd of Hermas. The whole of its third book is indeed parabolical, as it sets forth spiritual truth under sensuous images, only it does this chiefly in visions, that is, in parables for the eye rather than for the ear. There are, however, parables in the strictest sense of the word; this, for example, which is an improved form of the rabbinical parable last quoted: 'Restore to the Lord the spirit entire as thou hast received it: for if thou gavest to a fuller a garment which was entire, and desiredst so to receive it again, but the fuller restored it to thee rent, wouldest thou receive it? Wouldest thou not say in anger, "I delivered to thee my garment entire, wherefore hast thou torn it and made it useless? It is now. on account of the rent which thou hast made in it, of no more service to me." If thou then grievest for thy garment, and complainest because thou receivest it not entire again, how, thinkest thou, will the Lord deal with thee, who gave thee a perfect spirit, but which spirit thou hast marred, so that it can be of no more service to its Lord? for it became useless when it was corrupted by thee.' There are several parables, formally brought forward as such, in the writtings of Ephraem Syrus, but such of these as I am acquainted with could scarcely be tamer than they are.d Origen has what may be termed

which such compositions must observe, if they would carry any conviction with them. Thus the author of the treatise, Ad Herenium, i. 9: Verisimilis narratio erit, si ut mos, ut opinio, ut natura postulat, dicemus; si spatia temporum, personarum dignitates, consiliorum rationes, locorum opportunitates constabunt, ne refelli possit, aut temporis parum fuisse, aut causam nullam, aut locum idoneum non fuisse, aut homines ipsos facere aut pati non potuisse.

* Unger (De Parab, Jes. Nat. p. 162) observes that he has gone into this comparison of Evangelical with Jewish parables,—partim ut absterreremur a solito rabbinicos locos doctrinæ Jesu quodammodo æquiparandi pruritu ac levitate, interdum ad interpretationem juvandam parum utili, . . . partim ut inde magis agnosceremus parabolarum Jesu præstantiam.

b There are no parables in the apocryphal gospels. Indeed, where a moral element is altogether wanting, as in these worthless forgeries, it was only to be expected that this, as every other form of communicating spiritual truth should be looked for in vain.

* Simil. ix. 32; cf. Simil, v. 2. There is a very fair estimate of the merits of these in Donaldson's History of Christian Literature, vol. i. p. 271 sqq.

d This is the best that I know; of which, however, I only judge in its Latin translation: Duo homines proficiscebantur ad quandam civitatem, quæ stadiis aberat triginta. Cum autum jam duo aut tria confecissent stadia, obtulit se in vià locus, in

a parable, and a very striking one, by which he seeks to illustrate the peculiar character and method of St. Paul's teaching; its riches, its depths, its obscurities, its vast truths only partially shown by him, and therefore only partially seen by us. The great characteristics of the Apostle's teaching have not often been so happily seized. This parable is very fitly introduced in Origen's Commentary on the Romans. and on chap. v. 12-21.8 Eadmer, a disciple of Anselm, has gathered up a basket of fragments from his sermons and his table-talk. Among these there are so many of his similitudes and illustrations as to give a name to the whole collection. There are not a few complete par-

quo silvæ et arbores erant umbrosæ, fluentaque aquarum, multaque ibidem delectatio. Qui dum contemplarentur ista, alter quidem ad urbem spectandam contendens, instar cursoris locum præteribat; alter vero, cum constitisset ut contemplaretur, remansit. Deinde cum prodire jam vellet extra arborum umbram, calores timuit, atque ita diutius ibidem loci dum remaneret, locique simul amœnitate sese delectaret atque occuparet, bestia ex iis quæ in silvâ commorantur prodiit, apprehensumque ipsum pertraxit in suum antrum; alter vero, qui neque iter neglexisset, neque formâ arborum se ditineri passus esset, rectà ad urbem perrexit. See also Parænes. xxi. 28.

Videtur mihi Apostolus Paulus in his præcipue lobis quæ nunc habentur in manibus, ita quodammodo hæc loqui, velut siquis fidelis famulus et prudens a rege mag no et domino suo introducatur in thesauros regios, et ostendantur ei diversa et magna domicilia, quorum aditus varii sint et incerti, ita ut ei per alium ingressus, et per alium monstretur egressus, interdum autum ex diversis ingressibus ad unum conclave veniatur; ostendatur etiam huic qui circumducitur fideli famulo thesaurus argenti regii, et alius auri, lapidum quoque et margaritarum, variorumque monilium, purpuræ etiam reginæ locus, et alius diadematum; demonstrentur adhuc reginæ thalami in multis diversisque mansionibus positi : et tamen singula hæc non penitus ad integrum patenibus januis reserentur, sed ex parte subapertis, ita ut agnoscat quidem thesauros domicos et regias opes, nec tamen ad liquidum et ad perfectum singula quæque cognoscat. Post hæc vero iste servus, qui tam fidelis habitus est, ut ei rex et dominus opum suarum magnitudinem fecerit innotescere, mittatur ut exercitum congreget regi delectum habeat, milites probet; pro eo quidem quia fidelis est, ut plures invitet ad militandum, et majorem exercitum congreget regi, necessitatem patietur proferre ex parte quæ viderit; et rursum quia prudens est, et scit necessarium esse abscondere mysterium regis, indiciis quibusdam magis quam relationibus utetur, ita ut potentia quidem regis, ordinis autum atque ornatûs palatij et habitûs occulta tamen maneat dispensatio. Ita ergo, ut dixi, videtur mihi etiam Apostolus Paulus in his sermonibus facere, &c.

b De S. Anselmi Similitudinibus. It is published at the end of the Benidict. edit. of St Anselm. I find no better than this, on the keeping of the heart with all diligence, of which I quote no more than is necessary for giving an insight into the whole (41): Cor etenim nostrum simile est molendino semper molenti, quod dominus quidam cuidam servo suo custodiendum dedit: præcipiens ei ut suam tantum annonam in eo molat, et ex eodem quod moluerit, ipse vivat. Verum illi servo quidam inimicatur, qui si quando illud vacuum invenerit, aut arenam ibi statim projicit, quæ illud dissipat; aut picem, quæ conglutinat; aut aliquid quod fædat, aut paleam, quæ tantem illud occupat. Servus igitur ille si molendinum suum bene custodierit, dominique sui tantum annonam in illo moluerit, et domino suo servit, sibique ipsi victum acquirit. Hoe itaque molendinum semper aliquid molens cor est humanum, assidue aliquid cogitans. Cf. 42, 46.

ables here, though none perhaps of that beauty which the works coming directly from his hand might lead us to expect. In the works of Francis of Assissi there are two parables, but of little or no value. Far better are those interspersed through the Greek religious romance of the seventh or eighth century, Barlaam and Josaphat, ascribed, though on no sufficient grounds, to John of Damascus, and often printed with his works. They have been justly admired, by the more than one of them is certainly not original, being easily traced up to earlier sources. A very interesting one will be found in the note below. Those which are entitled parables in the writings of St. Bernard, which, whether they be his or no, have much of beauty and instruction in them, are rather allegories than parables, and so do not claim here to be considered.

But if parables, which are professedly such, occur rarely in the

a Opp. Paris, 1641, p. 83.

beln, Rosenkranz (Gesch. der Poesie, vol. ii. p. 46) calls them. Cf. the Wiener

7ahrb, 1824, pp. 26-45.

o Urbem quandam magnam exstitisse accepi, in quâ cives hoc in more et instituto positum habebant, ut peregrinum quendam et ignotum virum ac legum et consuetudinum civitatis omnino rudem et ignarum acciperent, eumque sibi ipsis regem constituerent, penes quem per unius anni curriculum rerum omnium potestas esset, quique libere et sine ullo impedimento quicquid vellet, faceret. Post autem, dum ille omni prorsus cura vacuus degeret, atque in luxu et deliciis sine ullo metu versaretur, perpetuumque sibi regnum fore existimaret, repente adversus eum insurgentes, regiamque ipsi vestem detrahentes, ac nudum per totam urbem tanquam in triumphum agentes, in magnam quandam et longe remotam insulam eum relegabant. in quâ nec victio nec indumentis suppetentibus, fame ac nuditate miserrime premebatur, voluptate scilicet atque animi hilaritate, quæ præter spem ipsi concessa fuerat, in mærorem rursus præter spem omnem et expectationem commutatâ. Contigit ergo ut pro antiquo civium illorum more atque instituto vir quidam magno ingenii acumine præditus ad regnum ascisceretur. Qui statim subitâ eâ felicitate, quæ ipsi obtigerat, haudquaquam præceps abreptus, nec eorum qui ante se regiam dignitatem obtinuerant, misereque ejecti fuerant, incuriam imitatus, animo anxio et solicito id agitabat, quonam pacto rebus suis optime consuleret. Dum ergo crebra meditatione hæc secum versaret, per sapientissimum quendam consiliarium de civium consuetudine ac perpetui exilii loco certior factus est: quonam pacto sine ullo errore ipse sibi cavere deberet, Intellexit. Cum igitur hoc cognovisset, futurumque propediem, ut ad illam insulam ablegaretur, atque adventitium illud et alienum regnum aliis relingueret, patefactis thesauris sbis, quorum tunc promptum ac liberum usum habebat, aurique atque argenti ac pretiosorum lapidum ingenti mole famulis quibusdam quos fidissimos hubebat, traditâ, ad eam insulam, ad quam abducendus erat, præmisit. Vertente autem anno cives commotâ seditione nudum eum, quemadmodum superiores reges, in exilium miserunt. Ac ceteri quidem amentes, et brevis temporis reges, gravissimâ fame laborabant: ille contra qui opes suas præmiserat, in perpetuâ rerum copià vitam ducens, atque infinità voluptate fruens, perfidorum ac sceleratorum civium metu prorsus abjecto, sapientiissimi consilii sui nomine beatum se prædicabat. Compare I Tim. vi 19.

d In the Benedictine edition, vol. i. p. 1251, seq.

works of the early Church writers, the parabolical element is, notwithstanding, very predominant in their teaching, especially in their homilies, which are popular in the truest sense of the word. What boundless stores, for instance, of happy illustration, which might with the greatest ease be thrown into the forms of parables, are laid up in the writings of St. Augustine. One is only perplexed, amid the endless variety, what instances to select: but we may take this one as an example. He is speaking of the Son of God and the sinner as in the same world, and appearing under the same conditions of humanity: 'But,' he proceeds, 'how vast a distance there is between the prisoner in his dungeon, and the visitor that has come to see him! They are both within the walls of the dungeon: those who did not know might suppose them under equal restraint, but one is the compassionate visitor, who can use his freedom when he will, the other is fast bound there for his offences. So great is the difference between Christ, the compassionate visitor of man, and man himself, the criminal in bondage for his offences.'a Or, rebuking them that dare in their ignorance to find fault with the arrangements of Providence: 'If you enter the workshop of a blacksmith, you would not dare to find fault with his bellows, anvils, hammers. If you had—not the skill of the workman, but the consideration of a man, what would you say? "It is not without cause the bellows are placed here; the artificer knew, though I do not know, the reason." You would not venture to find fault with the blacksmith in his shop, and do you dare to find fault with God in the world?" Chrysostom, too, is rich in such similitudes, which need nothing to be parables, except that they should be presented for such; as for instance, when speaking of the redemption of the creature, which shall accompany the manifestation of the sons of God, he says, 'To what is the creature like? It is like a nurse that has brought up a royal child, and when he ascends his paternal throne, she too rejoices with him, and is partaker of the benefit.'6

But the field here opening before me is too wide to enter on.d

^{*} In Ep. I Joh. Tract. 2.

^b Enarr, in Ps, exlviii. He has something more nearly approaching to a parable than either of these, Enarr, in Ps, eiii. 26.

o Hom. in Rom. viii. 19.

d One, however, from H. de Sto. Victore I must transcribe (*De Sacram*. ii. pars, 14, 8): Pater quidam contumacem filium quasi cum magno furore expulit, ut ita afflictus humiliari disceret. Sed illo in contumacià suà persistente, quadam secreta dispensatione consilii a patre mater mittitur, ut non quasi a patre missa, sed quasi materna per se pietate ducta, veniens muliebri lenitate obstinatum demulceat, contumacem ad humilitatem flectat, vehementer patrem iratem, nuntiet, se tamen interventuram spondeat, consilium salutis suggerat, . . . non nisi magnis precibus

It is of the parables of our Lord, and of those only, that I propose to speak.^a

patrem placari posse dicat; causam tamen rei se suscepturam asserat, et ad bonam finem rem omnem se perducturam promittat. The mother here he presently explains as divine Grace.—In Poiret's *Œconomia Divina*, a parable (vol. ii. p. 554, v. 9, 26), too long to quote, is worthy a reference; another in Salmeron, *Serm. in Parab. Evang.* p. 300.

One Persian, however, I will quote for its deep significance (Deslongchamps, Fables Indiennes, p. 64). The Persian moralist is speaking of the manner in which frivolous and sensual pleasures cause men to forget all the deeper interests of their spiritual being: On ne peut mieux assimiler le genre humain qu'à un homme qui, fuyant, un éléphant furieux, est descendu dans un puits, il s'est accroché à deux rameaux qui en couvrent l'orifice; et ses pieds se sont posés sur quel que chose qui forme une saillie dans l'intérieur du même puits; ce sont quatre serpens qui sortent leurs têtes hors de leurs repaires: il apercoit au fond du puits un dragon qui gueule ouverte n'attend que l'instant de sa chûte pour le dévorer. Ses regards se portent vers les deux rameaux auxquels il est suspendu, et il voil à leur naissance deux rats, l'un noir, l'autre blanc, qui ne cessent de les ronger. Un autre objet cependant se présente à sa vue : c'est une ruche remplie de mouches à miel, il se met à manger de leur miel, et le plaisir qu'il y trouve lui fait oublier les serpens sur lesquels reposent ses pieds, les rats qui rongent les rameaux auxquels il est suspendu et le danger dont il est menacé à chaque instant, de devenir la proie du dragon qui guette le moment de sa chûte pour le dévorer. Son étourderie et son illusion ne cessent qu'avec son existence. Ce puits c'est le monde rempli de dangers et de misères; les quatre serpens ce sont les quatres humeurs dont le mélange forme notre corps, mais qui, lorsque leur équilibre est rompu, deviennent autant de poisons mortels; ces deux rats, l'un noir, l'autre blanc, ce sont le jour et la nuit, dont la succession consume la durée de notre vie; le dragon c'est le terme inévitable qui nous attend tous; le miel, enfin, ce sont les plaisirs des sens dont la fausse douceur nous séduit et nous détourne du chemin où nous devons marcher. Compare Von Hammer, Gesch. d. schön. Redek. Pers. p. 183, and Barlaam and Josaphat, 12. S. de Sacy (Chrest. Arabe, vol. ii. p. 364) has a parable; and in the Blüthensammlung aus d. Morgenl. Myst., by Tholuck, there are several from the mystical poets of Persia,—for instance, a beautiful one, p. 105.

THE PARABLES.

I. THE SOWER.

MATT. xiii. 3-8, 18-23; MARK iv. 4-8, 14-21; LUKE viii. 5-8, 11-15.

N the relation in which the seven parables recorded in the thirteenth chapter of St. Matthew, of which this of the Sower is the first, stand to one another, there will be need to say something. But this will best follow after they have all received their separate treatment; and till then, therefore, I shall defer it.

It is the evident intention of the Evangelist to present these parables as the first which the Lord spoke, this of the Sower introducing a manner of teaching which He had not hitherto employed. As much is indicated in the question of the disciples, 'Why speakest Thou unto them in parables?' (ver. 10), and in our Lord's answer ver. 11-17), in which He justifies His use of this method of teaching. and declares His purpose in adopting it; it is involved no less in His treatment of this parable as the fundamental one, on the right understanding of which will depend their comprehension of all which are to follow: 'Know ye not this parable? and how then will ye know all parables?' (Mark iv. 13). And as this was the first occasion on which He brought forth these new things out of His treasure (see ver. 22), so was it the occasion on which He brought them forth with the largest hand. We have nowhere else in the Gospels so rich a group of parables assembled together, so many and so costly pearls strung upon a single thread.

It will not be lost labour to set before ourselves at the outset as vividly as we can what the aspects of that outward nature were, with which our Lord and the multitudes were surrounded, as He uttered, and they listened to, these divine words. 'Jesus went out from the house,' probably at Capernaum, which was the city where He com-

monly dwelt after His open ministry began (Matt. iv. 13), 'His own city' (Matt. ix. 1), 'which is upon the sea coast,'a and, going out, He 'sat by the sea-side,' that is, by the lake of Genesaret, the scene of so many incidents in His ministry. The lake, called in the Old Testament 'the sea of Chinnereth' (Num. xxxiv. 11; Josh. xii. 3; xiii. 27), from a town of that name which stood near its shore (Josh. xix. 35), 'the water of Gennesar' (1 Macc. xi. 67), now Bahr Tabaria, goes by many names in the Gospels. It is simply 'the sea' (Matt. iv. 15; Mark iv. 1), or 'the sea of Galilee' (Matt. xv. 29; John vi. 1); or, as invariably in St. Luke, 'the lake' (viii. 22), or 'the Lake of Genesaret' (v. 1); sometimes, but this only in St. John, 'the sea of Tiberias,' from the great heathen city of Tiberias on its shores (vi. 1; xxi. 1); being, indeed, no more than an inland sheet of water, of moderate extent, some sixteen miles in length, and not more than six in breadth. But it might well claim regard for its beauty, if not for its extent. The Jewish writers would have it that it was beloved of God above all the waters of Canaan; and indeed, almost all ancient authors who have mentioned it speak in glowing terms of the beauty and rich fertility of its banks. Hence, as some say, its name of Genesaret, or 'the garden of riches,'b but the derivation is insecure. And even now, when the land is crushed under the rod of Turkish misrule, many traces of its former beauty remain, many evidences of the fertility which its shores will again assume in the day which assuredly cannot be very far off, when that rod shall be lightened from them. It is true that the olive-gardens and vineyards, which once crowned the high and romantic hills bounding it on the east and west, have disappeared; but the citron, the orange and the date-tree are still found there in rich abundance; and in the higher regions the products of a more temperate zone meet together with these; while, lower down, its banks are still covered with aromatic shrubs, and its waters, as of old, are still sweet and wholesome to drink, and always cool, clear and transparent to the very bottom, and as gently breaking on the fine white sand with which its shores are strewn as they did when the feet of the Son of God trod those sands, or walked upon those waters.° On the edge

[•] Τηο παραθαλασσίαν (Matt, iv. 13), probably so called to distinguish it from another Capernaum on the brook Kishon.

b Jerome (De Nomin. Heb.) makes Genessar = hortus principum.

[°] Josephus (Bell. Jud. iii. 10.7) rises into high poetical animation in describing its attractions; and in Röhr's Palistina (termed by Goethe 'a glorious book'), p. 67, there is a very spirited description of this lake and the neignboring country; see also Lightfoot's Chorograph. Cent. lxx. 79;) and Meuchen, Nov. Test., ex Talm. illust. p. 151. Robinson (Bibl. Researches, vol. iii. p. 253) is less enthusiastic in his praise.

of this beautiful lake the multitude were assembled; the place was convenient, for, 'whilst the lake is almost completely surrounded by mountains, those mountains never come down into the water, but always leave a beach of greater or lesser extent along the water's edge.' Their numbers were such that, probably, as on another day (Luke v. 1), they pressed upon the Lord, so that He found it convenient to enter into a ship; and putting off a little from the shore, He taught them from it, speaking 'many things unto them in parables.'

First in order is the parable of the Sower. It rests, like so many others, on one of the common familiar doings of daily life. Christ, lifting up his eyes, may have seen at no great distance a husbandman scattering his seed in the furrows, may have taken in, indeed, the whole scenery of the parable. As it belongs to the essentially popular nature of the Gospels, that parables should be found in them rather than in the Epistles, where indeed they never appear, so it belongs to the popular character of the parable, that it should thus rest upon the familiar doings of common life, the matters which occupy

' the talk

Man holds with week-day man in the hourly walk Of the world's business:

while the Lord, using these to set forth eternal and spiritual truths, at the same time ennobles them, showing them continually to reveal

He speaks, indeed, of the lake as a 'beautiful sheet of limpid water in a deeply depressed basin;' but the form of the hills, 'regular and almost unbroken heights' (p. 312), was to his eye 'rounded and tame,' and, as it was the middle summer when his visit was made, the verdure of the spring had already disappeared, and he complains of the nakedness in the general aspect of the scenery. But the account which transcends all others in the picturesque accuracy of its details, which leaves nothing to be desired by the reader, except that he might himself behold this, 'the most sacred sheet of water which this earth contains,' is to be found in Stanley, Sinai and Palestine, pp. 361-378.

a Dean Stanley, describing the shores of the lake, shows us how easily this may have been: 'A slight recess in the hillside, close upon the plain, disclosed at once, in detail, and with a conjunction which I remember nowhere else in Palestine, every feature of the great parable. There was the undulating corn-field descending to the water's edge. There was the trodden pathway running through the midst of it, with no fence or hedge to prevent the seed from falling here and there on either side of it, or upon it,—itself hard with the constant tramp of horse and mule and human feet. There was the "good" rich soil, which distinguishes the whole of that plain and its neighborhood from the bare hills elsewhere, descending into the lake, and which, where there is no interruption, produces one vast mass of corn. There was the rocky ground of the hillside protruding here and there through the corn-fields, as elsewhere through the grassy slopes. There were the large bushes of thorn—the nabk, that kind of which tradition says that the crown of thorns was woven—springing up, like the fruit-trees of the more inland parts, in the very midst of the waving wheat.

and set forth the deepest mysteries of his kingdom. 'A sower went forth to sow'—what a dignity and significance have these few words, used as the Lord uses them here, given in all after-times to the toils of the husbandman of the furrow.

The comparison of the relations between the teacher and the taught to those between the sower and the soil, the truth communicated being the seed sown, rests on analogies between the worlds of nature and of spirit so true and so profound, that we must not wonder to find it of frequent recurrence; and this, not merely in Scripture (I Pet. i. 23; I John iii. 9), but in the writings of all wiser heathens who have realized at all what teaching means, and what sort of influence the spirit of one man may exercise on the spirits of his fellows. While all words, even of men, which are better than mere words, are as seeds, able to take root in their minds and hearts who hear them, have germs in them which only unfold by degrees; b how eminently must this be true of the words of God, and of these uttered by Him who was Himself the seminal Word which He communicated.º Best right of all to the title of seed has that word, which exercising no partial operation on their hearts who receive it, wholly transforms and renews them—that word of living and expanding truth by which men are born anew into the kingdom of God, and which in its effects 'endureth for ever' (1 Pet. i. 23, 25). I cannot doubt that the Lord intended to set Himself forth as the chief sower of the seed (not, of course, to the exclusion of the Apostlesd and their successors), that here, as in the next parable, 'he that soweth the good seed' is the Son of man; and this, even though He nowhere, in as many words, an-

'His plausive words He scattered not in ears, but grafted them To grow there and to bear.'

^{*} Grotius is rich in illustrative passages from Greek and Latin writers; he or others have adduced such from Arisrotle, Cicero (Tusc. ii. 5)), Plutarch, Quintilian, Philo, and many more; but it would not be worth while merely to repeat their quotations. I do not observe this one from Seneca (Ep. 74): Deus ad homines venit, imo (quod proprius est) in homines venit. Semina in corporibus humanis dispersa sunt, quæ si bonus cultor excipit, simila origini prodeunt, et paria his ex quibus orta sunt surgunt: si malus, non aliter quam humus sterilis ac palustris necat, ac deinde creat purgamenta pro frugibus.

b Thus Shakspeare, of a man of thoughtful wisdom:

[•] Salmeron (Serm. in Par. Evang. p. 30): Quemadmodum Christus Medicus est et medicina, Sacerdos et hostia, Redemptor et redemptio, Legislator et lex, Janitor et ostium, ita Sator et semen. Nec enim est aliud Evangelium ipsum, quam Christus incornatus, natus, prædicans, moriens, resurgens, mittens Spiritum Sanctum, congregans Ecclesiam, illamque sanctifican et gubernans.

d Isidore of Pelusium (Ep. 176, p. 326) has a sublime comparison, in which he likens St. Paul to Triptolemus, the winged scatterer of seed through the earth.

nounces Himself as such.^a His entrance into the world was a going forth to sow;^b the word of the kingdom, which word He first proclaimed, was his seed; the hearts of men his soil; while others were only able to sow, because He had sown first; they did but carry on the work which He had auspicated and begun.

'And when he sowed, some seeds fell by the way side [and it was trodden down (Luke viii. 5)], and the fowls came and devoured them up.' Some, that is, fell on the hard footpath or road, where the glebe was not broken, so could not sink down in the earth, but lay exposed on the surface to the feet of passers-by, till at length it fell an easy prey to the birds, such as in the East are described as following in large flocks the husbandman, to gather up, if they can, the seed-corn which he had scattered. This parable is one of the very few, whereof we possess an authentic interpetation from the Lord's own lips; and these words He thus explains: 'When any one heareth the word of the kingdom, and understandeth it not, then cometh the Wicked One, and catcheth away that which was sown in his heart.' In St. Luke, Satan appears yet more distinctly as the adversary and hinderer of the kingdom of God (of whom as such there will be fitter opportunity of speaking in the following parable), the reason why he snatches the word away being added-'lest they should believe and be saved.' How natural it would have been to interpret 'the fowls' impersonally, as signifying, in a general way, worldly influences hostile to the truth. How almost inevitably, if left to ourselves, we should have so done. Not so, however, the Lord. He beholds the kingdom of evil as it counterworks the kingdom of God gathered up in a personal head, 'the Wicked One.'

The words which St. Matthew alone records, 'and understandeth it not,' do much for helping us to comprehend what this first state of mind and heart is, in which the word of God fails to produce even a passing effect. The man 'understandeth it not;' he does not recognize himself as standing in any relation to the word which he hears, or to the kingdom of grace which that word proclaims. All that speaks of man's connexion with a higher invisible world, all that speaks of sin, of redemption, of holiness, is unintelligible to him, and without significance. But how has he arrived at this state? He has brought himself to it; he has exposed his heart as a common road to every evil influence of the world, till it has become hard as a

^{*} See, however, Greswell's arguments to the contrary (Exp. of the Par. vol. v. part 2, p. 238).

b Salmeron (Serm. in Parab. p. 29): Dicitur exire per operationem Incarnationis, quâ indutus processit tanquam agricola aptam pluviæ, soli, et frigori vestem assumens, cum tamen Rex esset.

pavement,* till he has laid waste the very soil in which the word of God should have taken root; he has not submitted it to the plough-share of the law, which would have broken it up; which, if he had suffered it to do its appointed work, would have gone before, preparing that soil to receive the seed of the Gospel. But what renders his case the more hopeless, and takes away even a possibility of the word germinating there, is, that besides the evil condition of the soil, there is also one watching to take advantage of that evil condition, to use every weapon that man puts into his hands, against man's salvavation; and he, lest by possibility such a hearer 'should believe and be saved,' sends his ministers in the shape of evil thoughts, worldly desires, carnal lusts, and by their help, as St. Mark records it, 'immediately taketh away the word that was sown in their hearts.' This is he that received seed by the way side.'

There was other seed, which promised at the first to have, but in the end had not truly any, better success. 'Some fell upon stony places, where they had not much earth; and forthwith they sprung up, because they had no deepness of earth; and when the sun was up, they scorched; and because they had no root they withered away." The 'stony places' here are to be explained by the 'rock' of St. Luke, and it is important that the words in St. Matthew, or rather in our Version (for 'rocky places,'-as, indeed, the Rhemish Version has it.—would have made all clear), do not lead us astray. A soil mingled with stones is not meant; these, however numerous or large, would not certainly hinder the roots from striking deeply downward; for those roots, with an instinct of their own, would feel and find their way, penetrating between the interstices of the stones, till they reached the moisture below. But what is meant is ground such as to a great extent is that of Palestine, where a thin superficial coating of mould covers the surface of a rock; this stretching below it, would present a barrier beyond which it would be wholly impossible that the roots could penetrate, to draw up supplies of nourishment from beneath.º While the seed had fallen on shallow earth,

^a H. de Sto, Victore Annot. in Matt.): Via est cor frequenti malarum cogitationum transitu attritum et arefactum. Corn. a Lapide: Via est trita secularis et licentioris vitæ consuetudo.

b' Ανατέλλειν once occurs transitively in the New Testament, Matt. v. 45; so Gen. iii. 18, Isai. xlv. 8 (LXX). It is especially used, as here, of the rising of the sun or stars (Num. xxiv, 17; Isai. lx. 1; Mal. iv. 2); but also of the springing up of plants from the earth (Gen. xix. 25; Isai. xliv. 4; Ezek. xvii. 6; Ps. xci. 7); and so εξανατέλλω in this present parable. In either sense of the title 'Ανατολή belongs to Christ, and has been applied to Him in both; as He is The Branch ('Ανατολή, Zech. vi. 12, LXX), and as He is The Day-spring (Luke i. 78).

⁶ Bengel: Non innuuntur lapides sparsim in agro jacentes, sed petra sive saxum continuum, sub terræ superficie tenui.

therefore the plant the sooner appeared above the surface; and while the rock below hindered it from striking deeply downward, it put forth its energies the more luxuriantly in the stalk. It sprang up without delay, but rooted in no deep soil; and because therefore it 'lacked moisture,' it was unable to resist the scorching heat of the sun, and being smitten by that withered and died.

We recur again to the Lord's interpretation of His own words: 'They on the rock are they, which, when they hear, receive the word with joy; and these have no root, which for a while believe, and in time of temptation fall away.' Though the issue proves the same in this case as in the last, the promise is very different. So far from the heart of this class of hearers appearing irreceptive of the truth, the good news of the kingdom is received at once, and with gladness.º The joy itself is most appropriate. How should he not be glad whom the glad tidings have reached? (Acts viii. 8; xvi. 24; Gal. v. 22; I Pet. i. 6). But alas! in this case the joy thus suddenly conceived is not, as the sequel too surely proves, a joy springing up from the contemplation of the greatness of the benefit, even after all the counterbalancing costs, and hazards, and sacrifices, have been taken into account, but a joy which springs from an overlooking and leaving out of calculation those costs and hazards. It is this which fatally differences the joy of this class of hearers from that of the finder of the treasure (Matt. xiii. 44), who, 'for joy thereof,' went and sold all that he had, that he might purchase the field which contained the treasure—that is, was willing to deny himself all things. and to suffer all things, that he might win Christ. We have rather here a state of mind not stubbornly repelling the truth, but wofully lacking in all deeper earnestness; such as that of the multitudes that went with Jesus, unconscious what His discipleship involved,—to whom He turned and told, in plainest and most startling words, what the conditions of that discipleship were (Luke xiv. 25-33; Josh. xxiv. 19). This is exactly what the hearer now described has not done: whatever was fair and beautiful in Christianity as it first presents

^{*} Ἰκμάς only here in the N. T.; twice in the Septuagint, Jer. xvii. 3 (καὶ ἔσται ὡς ξύλον εὐθενοῦν παρ' ὕδατα, καὶ ἐπὶ ἰκμάδα βαλεῖ ῥίζας αὐτοῦ· καὶ οὐ φοβηθέσεται ὅταν ἔλθη καῦμα) and Job xxvi. 14.

b How exactly this is taken from the life, a brief quotation from Pliny (H. N. xvii. 3) will show: In Syriâ levem tenui sulco imprimunt vomerem, quia subest saxum exurens æstate semina. The same soil is by Theophrastus described as ὑπόπετρος. At Matt. vii. 24, 25 (cf. Luke vi. 48), it is implied that one who digs deep enough will everywhere come to rock.

^e Cocceius: Statim lætari est malum signum, quia non potest non verbum Dei, si recte percipiatur, in homine operari, displicentiam sui, ἀγωνίαν, angustias, cor contritum, spiritum fractum, famem ac sitim, denique luctum, ut Servator docuit, Matt. v.

itself, had attracted him-its sweet and comfortable promises, the moral loveliness of its doctrines; but not its answer to the deepest needs of the human heart; as neither, when he received the word with gladness, had he contemplated the having to endure hardness in his warfare with sin and Satan and the world; and this will explain all which follows: 'Yet hath he not root in himself, but dureth for a while; for when tribulation or persecution ariseth because of the word, by and by he is offended.'b It is not here, as in the last case, that Satan needs merely to come and take the word out of the heart without further trouble. That word has found some place there, and it needs that he bring some hostile influences to bear against it. What he brings in the present case are outward or inward trials, these being compared to the burning heat of the sun.° It is true that the light and warmth of the sun are oftener used to set forth the genial and comfortable workings of God's grace; as eminently, Mal. iv. 2; but not always, for see Ps. cxxi. 6; Isai. xlix. 10; Rev. vii. 16. As that heat, had the plant been rooted deeply enough, would have furthered its growth, and hastened its ripening, fitting it for the sickle and the barn—so these tribulations would have furthered the growth in grace of the true Christian, and ripened him for heaven. But as the heat scorches the blade which has no deepness of earth, and has sprung up on a shallow ground, so the troubles and afflictions which would have strengthened a true faith, cause a faith which was merely temporary to fail. When these afflictions for the truth's sake arrive 'he is offended,' as though some strange thing had happened to him: for then are the times of shifting, and of win-

Bede: Illa sunt præcordia quæ dulcedine tantum auditi sermonis ac promissis cælestibus ad horam delectantur.

^b Quintilian (*Inst.* i. 3. 3-5) supplies a good parallel; he, it is true, is speaking of the rapid progress and rapid decay in the region of the intellectual, our Lord in that of the moral, life: Illud ingeniorum velut præcox genus non temere unquam pervenit ad frugem. . . . Non multum præstant, sed cito. Non subest vera vis, nec penitus immissis radicibus nititur; ut quæ summo solo sparsa sunt semina celerius se effundunt; et imitatæ spicas herbulæ, inanibus aristis ante messem flavescunt.

It was the rising of the sun that the καύσων, the hot desert wind, ἄνεμος ὁ καύσων, as often in the Septuagint (Jer. xviii. 17; Ezek. xvii. 10; xix. 12; Hos. xiii. 15; Jon. iv. 8), began commonly to blow, the deadly effects of which on vegetation are often, referred to (Jon. iv. 8; Jam. i. 12). Plants thus smitten with the heat are called torrefacta, ήλιούμενα.

⁴ Augustine is rich in striking sayings on the different effects which tribulations will have on those that are rooted and grounded in the faith, and those that are otherwise. Thus (Enarr. in Ps. 21), speaking of the furnace of affliction: Ibi est aurum ibi est palea, ibi ignis in augusto operatur. Ignis ille non est diversus, et diversa agit, paleam in cinerem vertit, auro sordes tollit. See for the same image Chrysostom, Ad. Pop. Antioch. Hom. iv. 1.

The very word 'tribulation,' with which we have rendered θλίψις, rests on this

nowing; and then, too, every one that has no root, or, as St. Matthew describes it, 'no root in himself,' no inward root, a falls away.

The having of such an inward root here would answer to having a foundation on the rock, to having oil in the vessels, elsewhere (Matt. vii. 25; xxv. 4). It is not an unfrequent image in Scripture (Ephes. iii. 17; Col. ii 7; Jer. xvii. 8; Hos. ix. 16; Job. xix. 28); and has a peculiar fitness and beauty, for as the roots of a tree are out of sight, while yet from them it derives its firmness and stability, so upon the hidden life of the Christian, that life which is out of the sight of other men, his firmness and stability depend; and as it is through the hidden roots that the nourishment is drawn up to the stem and branches, and the leaf continues green, and the tree does not cease from bearing fruit, even so in that life which 'is hid with Christ in God' lie the sources of the Christian's strength and of his spiritual prosperity. Such a 'root in himself' had Peter, who, when many were offended and drew back, exclaimed, 'To whom shall we go? Thou hast the words of eternal life' (John vi. 68). This faith that Christ and no other had the words of eternal life and blessedness, constituted his root, causing him to stand firm when so many fell So, again, when the Hebrew Christians took joyfully the spoiling of their goods, knowing in themselves that they had 'in heaven a better and an enduring substance ' (Heb. x. 34), this knowledge, this faith concerning their unseen inheritance, was the root which enabled them joyfully to take that loss, and not to draw back unto perdition, as so many had done. Compare 2 Cor. iv. 17, 18, where faith in the unseen eternal things is the root, which, as St. Paul declares, enables him to count the present affliction light, and to endure to the end (cf. Heb. xi. 26). Demas, on the other hand, lacked that root. It might at first sight seem as if he would be more correctly arranged under the third class of hearers; since he forsook Paul, 'having loved this present world' (2 Tim. iv. 10). But when we examine more closely Paul's condition at Rome at the moment when Demas left him, we find it one of extreme outward trial and danger. It would seem then more probable that the immediate cause of his going back was the tribulation which came for the word's sake.b

image—from tribulum, the threshing roller, and signifying those afflictive processe, by which in the moral discipline of men God separates their good from their evil, their wheat from their chaff.

^{*} With allusion to this passage, men of faith are called in the Greek Fathers βαθύβριζοι, πολύβριζοι. Compare with this division of the parable the Shepherd of Hermas, iii. sim. 9. 21.

b See Bernard (De Offic. Episc. iv. 14, 15) for an interesting discussion, whether

But there is other seed, of which the fortunes are still to be told. 'And some fell among thorns;' as fields were often divided by hedges of thorn (Exod. xxii. 6; Mic. vii. 4), this might easily come to pass (Jer. iv. 3; Job v. 5); 'and the thorns sprung up, and choked them,' or as Wiclif has, 'strangled it,'a so that, as St. Mark adds, 'it yielded no fruit.' This seed fell not so much among thorns that were full grown, as in ground where the roots of these had not been diligently extirpated, in ground which had not been thoroughly purged and cleansed; ouherwise it could not be said that 'the thorns sprang up with it' (Luke viii, 7). They grew together; only the thorns overtopped the good seed, shut them out from the air and light, drew away from their roots the moisture and richness of earth which should have nourished them. No wonder that they pined and dwindled in the shade, grew dwarfed and stunted; for the best of the soil did not feed them—forming, indeed, a blade, but unable to form a full corn in the ear, or to bring any fruit to perfection It is not here, as in the first case, that there was no soil, or none deserving the name; nor yet, as in the second case, that there was a poor or shallow soil. Here there was no lack of soil—it might be good soil: but what lacked was a careful husbandry, a diligent eradication of. the mischievous growths, which, unless rooted up, would oppress and strangle whatever sprang up in their midst.

This part of the parable the Lord thus explains: He also that received seed among the thorns is he that heareth the word; and the carebof this world, and the deceitfulness of riches [and the lusts of other things entering in (Mark iv. 19)] choke the word, and he became unfruitful,' or as St. Luke gives it, 'they bring no fruit to perfection." It is not here, as in the first case, that the word of God is totally ineffectual, nor yet, as in the second case, that after a temporary obedience to the truth, there is an evident falling away from it, such as the withering of the stalk indicates: the profession of a spiritual life is retained, the 'name to live' still remains; but the life and power of godliness is by degrees eaten out and has departed. And to what disastrous influences are these mournful effects attributed?

the faith of those comprehended under this second head was, so long as it lasted, real or not,—in fact, on the question whether it be possible to fall from grace given.

[•] Columella: Angentem herbam The image of an evil growth strangling a nobler, is permanently embodied in our language in the name cockle, given to a weed well known in our fields—derived from the Anglo-Saxon ceocan, to choke.

Catullus: Spinosas Erycina serens in pectore curas.

Οὐ τελεσφοροῦσι. The word occurs only here in the N. T. It is especially used of a woman bringing a child to the birth, or a tree its fruit to maturity (Josephus, Antt. i. 6. 3; cf. Plutarch, De Lib. Ed. 4: δένδρα ἔγκαρπα καὶ τελεσφόρα).

To two things, the care of this world and its pleasures; these are the thorns and briers that strangle the life of the soul.a It may sound strange at first hearing that two causes apparently so diverse should yet be linked together, and have the same hurtful operation ascribed to them. But the Lord, in fact, here presents to us this earthly life on its two sides, under its two aspects. There is, first, its crushing oppressing side, the poor man's toil how to live at all, to keep hunger and nakedness from the door, the struggle for a daily subsistence, 'the cares' of this life,' which, if not met in faith, hinder the thriving of the spiritual word in the heart: But life has a flattering as well as a threatening side, its pleasures no less than its cares; and as those who have heard and received with gladness the word of the kingdom are still in danger of being crushed by the cares of life, so, no less, of being deceived by its flatteries and its allurements. The old man is not dead in them; it may seem dead for a while, so long as the first joy on account of the treasure found endures; but, unless mortified in earnest, will presently revive in all its strength anew. Unless the soil of the heart be diligently watched, the thorns and briers, of which it seemed a thorough clearance had been made, will again grow up apace, and choke the good seed. While that which God

^{*} See the Shephard of Hermas, iii. sim. 9. 20. In the great symbolic language of the outward world, these have a peculiar fitness for the expression of influences hostile to the truth; they are themselves the consequences and evidences of sin, of a curse which has passed on from man to the earth which he inhabits (Gen. iii. 17), till that earth had nothing but a thorn-crown to yield to its Lord. It is a sign of the deep fitness of this image that others have been led to select it for the setting forth of the same truth. Thus the Pythagorean Lysis (Baur, Apollonius, p. 192): Πυκιναί καὶ λάσιαι λόχμαι περὶ τὰς φρένας καὶ τὰν καρδίαν πεφύκαντι τῶν μὴ καθαρῶς τοῦς μαθήμασιν ὀργιασθέντων, πᾶν τὸ ἄμερον καὶ πρῶν καὶ λογιστικὸν τᾶς ψυχᾶς ἐπισκιάζουσαι, καὶ κωλύουσαι προφανῶς μὲν αὐξηθῆμεν καὶ προκύψαι τὸ νοητικόν.

b Μέριμνα from μέρις (curæ unimum divorse trahunt, Terence), that which draws the heart different ways. See Hos. x. 2: 'Their heart is divided,' i. e. between God and the world; such a heart constitutes the ἀνὴρ δίψυχος (Jam. i. 8).

e Thus with a deep heart-knowledge Thauler (Dom. post Trin. Serm. 2): Nostis ipsi, quod dum ager sive hortus a loliis ac zizaniis expurgatur, ut plurimum radices quædam zizaniorum in terræ visceribus maneant, ita tamen ut minime deprehendantur. Iterim humus diligenter conseritur atque sarritur: ubi dum bona semina orii deberent, simul zizania ex radicibus terræ fixis succrescunt, et frumentum aliasque herbas et semina bona destruent opprimentque. Sic ergo et in præsenti loco radices dico, pravos quosque defectus et vitia in fuendo latentia, et necdum mortificata: quæ per confessionem et pænitentiam, ut ita dicam, sarrita quidem sunt, et per bona exercitia exarata: attamen vitiosarum radicum malæinclinationes seu propensiones, puta vel superpiæ vel luxuriæ, iræ vel invidiæ, sue odii hisque similium in ipso fundo relictæ sunt, quæ postea exoriuntur, et ubi divina, beata, virtuosa, laudabilis vita ex homine germinare, succrescere, oriri deberet, hæc pessima noxiarum radicum germina prodeunt, fructusque illius ac religiosam devotamque dispergunt, extinguunt obruunt vitam.

promises is felt to be good, but also what the world promises is felt to be good also, and a good of the same kind, instead of a good merely and altogether subordinate to the other, an attempt will be made to combine the service of the two, to serve God and mammon. But the attempt will be in vain: they who make it will bring no fruit to perfection, will fail to bring forth those perfect fruits of the Spirit which it was the purpose of the word of God to produce in them.

But it is not all the seed which thus sooner or later perishes. The spiritual husbandman is to sow in hope, knowing that with the blessing of the Lord he will not always sow in vain, that a part will prosper. But other fell into good ground, and brought forth fruit, some an hundredfold, some sixtyfold, some thirtyfold, St. Luke says simply, and bare fruit an hundredfold, leaving out the two lesser proportions of return; which St. Mark gives, but reverses the order of the three, beginning from the lowest return, and ascending to the highest. The return of a hundred for one is not unheard of in the East, though always mentioned as something extraordinary; thus it is said of Isaac, that he sowed, and received in the same year a hundredfold, and the Lord blessed him (Gen. xxvi. 12); and other examples of the same kind are not wanting.

We learn that 'he that receiveth seed into the good ground is he that heareth the word, and understandeth it, which also beareth fruit, and bringeth forth, some an hundredfold, some sixty, some thirty,' or, with the important variation of St. Luke, 'That on the good ground are they which, in an honest and good heart, having heard the word, keep it, and bring forth fruit with patience'—important, because in these words comes distinctly forward a difficulty, which equally existed in every record of the parable, but might in the others have

Et modo Sol nimius, mimius modo corripit imber; Sideraque ventique nocent; avidæque volucres Semina Jacta legunt; lolium tribulique fatigant Triticeas messes, et inexpugnabile gramen.

^{*} Ovid's enumeration (*Met.* v. 483-486) of all which may disappoint and defeat the sower's toil exactly corresponds with that of our parables; though with some additions, and in order a little different:

b Thus the author of a sermon in the Appendix to Augustine (Opp. vol.:vi. p. 597. Bened. ed.): Non ergo nos, dilectissimi, ant timor spinarum, aut saxa petrarum, aut durissima via perterreat: dum tamen seminantes verbum Dei ad terram bonam tandem aliquando pervanire possimus. Accipe verbum Dei, omnis ager, omnis homo, sive sterilis, sive fecundus. Ego spargam, tu vide quomodo accipias: ego erogem, tu vide quales fructus reddas.

According to Herodotus two hundredfold was a common return in the plain of Babylon, and sometimes three; and Niebuhr (Beschreib. v. Arab. p. 153) mentions a species of maize that returns four hundredfold: Wetstein (in loc.) has collected examples from antiquity of returns far greater than that of the text.

been overlooked and evaded; while yet on its right solution a successful interpretation must altogether depend. What is this 'honest and good heart'? How can any heart be called 'good' before the Word and the Spirit have made it so?—and yet here the seed finds a good soil, does not make it. The same question recurs, as when Christ declares, 'He that is of God heareth God's words' (John viii. 41); and again, 'Every one that is of the truth heareth my voice' (John xviii. 37). For who in this sinful world can be called 'of the truth'? Is it not the universal doctrine of Scripture that men become 'of the truth' through hearing Christ's words, not that they hear His words because they are 'of the truth;' that the heart is good through receiving the word; not that it receives the word because it is good? This is certainly the scriptural doctrine, and he teaches preposterously, to use the word in its most proper sense, who teaches otherwise. At the same time those passages in St. John, and the words before us, with much else in Scripture, bear witness to the fact that there are conditions of heart which yield readier entrance to the truth than others; - 'being of the truth,' - 'being of God,'-'doing the truth,'-'having the soil of an honest and good heart,'-all signifying the same thing. Inasmuch as these all express a condition anterior to hearing God's words—to coming to the light—to bringing forth fruit—they cannot indicate a state of mind and heart, in which the truth, in the highest sense of that word, is positive and realized, but only one in which there is a preparedness to receive the truth. None is good save God only; and yet the Scripture speaks often of good men: even thus no heart can be said to be absolutely a good soil; yet comparatively it may be affirmed of some, that their hearts are a soil fitter for receiving the seed of everlasting life than those of others. Thus the 'sons of peace' will alone receive the message of peace (Luke x. 6; cf. Acts xiii. 48), while yet only the reception of that message will make him truly and in the highest sense 'son of peace.' He was before, indeed, a latent son of peace, but it is the Gospel which first makes actual that which hitherto was only potential. And thus the preaching of the word of the Gospel may be likened to the scattering of sparks, which, where they find tinder, fasten there, and kindle into a flame; where they find it not, expire; or the truth may be regarded

^{*} Augustine (In. Ev. Yoh. Tract. 12) puts the difficulty, and solves it thus: Quid est hoc? quorum enim erant bona opera? Nonne venisti ut justifices impios?—He replies: Initium operum bonorum confessio est operum malorum. Facis veritatem, et venis ad lucem. Quid est, facis veritatem? non te palpas, non tibi blandiris, non tibi adularis, non dicis. Justum sum, cum sis iniquus, et incipis facere veritatem.

as a loadstone thrust in among the world's rubbish, attracting to itself all particles of true metal, which but for it would never, as they could never, have extricated themselves from the surrounding mass, however they testify their affinity to the loadstone, now that it is brought in contact with them.

Exactly thus among those to whom the word of Christ came, there were two divisions of men, and the same will always subsist in the world. There were, first, the false-hearted, who called evil good and good evil, who loved their darkness, and hated the light that would make that darkness manifest (John iii. 20; Ephes. v. 13), who, when that light of the Lord shone round about them, only drew further back into their own darkness, self-excusers and self-justifiers, such as were for the most part the Scribes and Pharisees with whom He came in contact. But there were also others, sinners as well, often, as regards actual transgression of positive law, much greater sinners than those first, but who yet acknowledged their evil-had no wish to alter the everlasting relations between right and wrong-who, when the light appeared, did not refuse to be drawn to it, even though they knew that it would condemn their darkness, that it would require an entire renewing of their hearts and remodelling of their lives; such were the Matthews and the Zacchæuses, and all who confessed their deeds, justifying not themselves but God (Luke vii. 29, 30). Not that I would prefer to instance these as examples of the 'good and honest heart, except in so far as it is needful to guard against a Pelagian abuse of the phrase, and to show how the Lord's language here does not condemn even great and grievous sinners to an incapacity for receiving the word of life. Nathanael would be a vet more perfect specimen of the class referred to—the 'Israelite, indeed, in whom was no guile 'a (John i. 47), in other words, the man with the soil of 'an honest and good heart,' fitted for receiving and nourishing the word of everlasting life, and for bringing forth fruit with patience; one of a simple, truthful and earnest nature; who had been faithful to the light which he had, diligent in the performance of the duties which he knew, who had not been resisting God's preparation in him for imparting to him at the last His best gift, even the knowledge of His Son; who, with all this, knowing himself a sinner, did not affirm that he was just. For we must keep ever in mind that the good soil comes as much from God as the seed which

^{*}Augustine: Si dolus in illo non erat, sanabilem illum judicavit Medicus, non sanum. Quomodo dolus in illo non erat? Si peccator est, fatetur se peccatorem. Si enim peccator est, et justum se dicit, dolus est in ore ipsius. Ergo in Nathanaele confessionem peccati laudavit, non judicavit non esse peccatorem.

is to find there its home. The law and the preaching of repentance, God's secret and preventing grace, run before the preaching of the word of the kingdom; and thus when that word comes, it finds men with a less or a greater readiness to receive it for what indeed it is, a word of eternal life."

When the different measures of prosperity are given, the seed bringeth forth 'some an hundredfold, some sixtyfold, some thirtyfold,' it seems difficult to determine whether these indicate different degrees of fidelity in those that receive the truth, according to which they bring forth fruit unto God more or less abundantly; or rather different spheres of action more or less wide, which they are appointed to occupy; -as in another parable to one servant were given five talents, to another two; in which instance the diligence and fidelity appear to have been equal, and the meed of praise the same, since each gained in proportion to the talents committed to him, though these talents were many more in one case than in the other (Luke xix. 16-19): I should suppose, however, the former. The words which St. Luke records (ver. 18), 'Take heed therefore how ye hear: for whosoever hath, to him shall be given; and whosoever hath not, from him shall be taken even that which he seemeth to have' (cf. Mark iv. 33), are very important for the avoiding of a misunderstanding, which else might easily have arisen here. The disciples might have been in danger of supposing that these four conditions of heart, in which the word found its hearers, were permanent, immutable and fixed for evermore; and therefore that in one heart the word must flourish, in another that it could never germinate at all, in others that it could only prosper for a little while. There is no such immoral fatalism in Scripture. It left to the Gnostics to arrange men in two classes, one capable of a higher life, and the other incapable. All it declares to be capable; even as it summons all to be partakers of the same; and the warning, 'Take ve heed how ye hear,' testifies as much, for it tells us that in each case, according as the word is heard and received, will its success be—that, while it is indeed true that all which has gone before in a man's life

On this subject of the 'honest and good heart' there is an admirable discussion by Jackson, The Eternal Truth of Scripture, iv. 8.

b So Irenæus (Con. Hær. v. 39, 2) must have understood it, and Cyprian (Ep. 69): Eadem gratia spiritalis guæ æqualiter in baptismo a credentibus sumitur, in conversatione atque actu nostro postmodum vel minuiter vel augetur, ut in Evangelio Dominicum semen æqualiter seminatur, sed pro varietate terræ aliud absumitur, aliud in multiformem copiam vel tricesimi, vel sexagesimi, vel centesimi numeri fructu exuberante cumulatur. So too Theodoret (in Cant. vi. 8), who finds here, as he does at John xiv. 2, an evidence of the πολλὰ τάγματα κοὶ διάφορα τῶν εὐσεβῶν.

will greatly influence the manner of his reception of that word, for every event will have tended either to the improving or the deteriorating of the soil of his heart, and will therefore render it more or less probable that the seeds of God's word will prosper there, yet it lies on every man now to take heed how he hears, and through this taking heed, to insure, with God's blessing, that it shall bring forth in him fruit that shall remain (Jam. i. 21).

For while it is true, and the thought is a very awful one, that there is such a thing as laying waste the very soil in which the seed of eternal life should have taken root—that very act of sin, of unfaithfulness to the light within us, is, as it were, a treading of the ground into more hardness, so that the seed shall not sink in it,—or a wasting of the soil, so that the seed shall find no nutriment there,—or a fitting of it to a kindlier nourishing of thorns and briers than of good seed;—yet on the other hand, even for those who have brought themselves into these evil conditions, a recovery is still, through the grace of God, possible: the hard soil may again become soft,—the shallow soil may become rich and deep,—and the soil beset with thorns open and clear. For the heavenly seed in this differs from the earthly, that the latter, as it finds, so it must use its soil, for it cannot alter its nature. But the heavenly seed, if acted upon by the soil where it is cast, also reacts more mightily upon it, softening it where it was hard (Jer. xxiii, 20), deepening it where it was shallow, cutting up and extirpating the roots of evil where it was encumbered with these; and, wherever it is allowed free course, transforming and ennobling each of these inferior soils, till it has become that which man's heart was at the beginning and before the Fall, good ground, fit to afford nourishment to that divine word, the seed of everlasting lifeb (1 Pet. i. 23-25).

^{*}So Augustine (Serm. lxxiii. 3): Mutamini cum potestis; dura aratro versate, de agro lapides projicite, de agro spinas evellite. Nolite habere durum cor, unde cito verbum Dei pereat. Nolite habere tenuem terram, ubi radix caritatis alta non sedeat. Nolite curis et cupiditatibus secularibus offocare bonum semen, quod vobis spargitur laboribus nostris. Etenim Dominus seminat; sed nos operarii ejus sumus. Sed estote terra bona. Cf. Serm. ci. 3; and the author of a sermon, August. Opp. vol. vi. p. 597, Bened. ed.: Si vero te terram infecundam aut spinosam vel siccam sentis, recurre ad Creatorem tuum. Hoc enim nunc agitur, ut innoveris, ut fecunderis, ut irrigeris ab illo qui posuit desertum in stagna aquarum, et terram sine aquâ in exitus aquarum (Ps. cvi. 35-37).

b As our Saviour here, so the Jewish doctors divide the hearers of the words of wisdom into four classes. The best they liken to a sponge which, drinking in all that it receives, again expresses it for others; the worst to a strainer which, letting all the good wine pass through, retains only the worthless dregs; or to a sieve that,

passing the fine flour, keeps back only the bran.—Prudentius (Con. Symm. ii. v. 1022) has put this parable well into verse. These are a few lines:

Christus . . . dedit hæc præcepta colonis :
Semina cum sulcis committitis, arva cavete
Dura lapillorum macie, ne decidat illuc
Quod seritur: primo quoniam præfertile germen
Luxuriat: succo mox deficiente, sub æstu
Sideris igniferi sitiens torretur et aret
Neve in spinosos incurrant semina vepres:
Aspera nam segetem surgentem vincula texunt,
Ac fragiles calamos nodis rubus arctat acutis.
Et ne jacta viæ spargantur in aggere grana:
Hæc avibus quia nuda patent, vassimque vorantur,
Immundisque jacent fæda ad ludibria corvis
Talis nostrorum solertia centuplicatos
Agrorum redigit fructus.

a. THE TARES.

MATTHEW xiii. 24-30, and 36-43.

F this parable, the parable, namely, 'of the tares of the field,' we have, no less than of that which went before, an authentic interpretation from his lips who uttered it. And this is well: for it is one on the interpretation of which very much has turned before now. References or allusions to it occur at every turn of the controversy which the Church had to maintain with the Donatists; and its whole exposition will need to be carried out with an eye to questions which may seem out of date, but which, in one shape or another. continually reappear, and demand to receive some solution from us. - Another parable put He forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field : but while men slept, his enemy came and sowed a tares among the wheat, and went his way.' Our Lord did not imagine here a form of malice without example, but adduced one which may have been familiar enough to his hearers, one so easy of execution, involving so little risk, and yet effecting so great and lasting a mischief, that it is not strange, where cowardice and malice met, that this should have been often the shape in which they displayed themselves. We meet traces of it in many quarters. In Roman law the possibility of this form of injury is contemplated; and a modern writer, illustrating Scripture from the manners and habits of the East, with which he had become familiar through a sojourn there, affirms the same to be now

^a In the Vulgate superseminavit, as in the Rhemish, 'oversowed,' according to the better reading, ἐπέσπειρεν, which Lachmann retains.

b Zιζάνων nowhere occurs but here, and in the Greek and Latin Fathers who have drawn it from hence. The derivation, $\pi a \rho \hat{\alpha}$ τος καὶ ἰζάνω, that which grows side by side with the wheat, is absurd; the word is no doubt oriental, Persian (see Pott, Etym. Forschungen, vol. ii. p. 810) or Arabic. It is identical, as there can be little doubt, with our own darnel, the $al_{\rho a}$, or lolium temuldentum (in German toll-korn, in French ivroie), so named to distinguish it from the lolium proper, and to indicate the vertigo which it causes, when mingled with and eaten in bread; as in the East will sometimes happen. See the Dictionary of the Bible, s. v. Tares.

practised in India. 'See,' he says, 'that lurking villain watching for the time when his neighbour shall plough his field: he carefully marks the period when the work has been finished, and goes in the night following, and cast in what the natives call pandinellu, i.e. pigpaddy; this being of rapid growth, springs up before the good seed, and scatters itself before the other can be reaped, so that the poor owner of the field will be for years before he can get rid of the troublesome weed. But there is another noisome plant which these wretches cast into the ground of those they hate, called perum-pirandi, which is more destructive to vegetation than any other plant. Has a man purchased a field out of the hands of another, the offended person says, "I will plant the perum-pirandi in his grounds."

There can be no question who is the Sower of the good seed here. From the Lord's own lips we learn, 'He that sowed the good seed is the Son of man.' This title, by which our Lord most often designates Himself, is only in a single instance given to Him by another (Acts vii. 56), and then indicates no more than that the glorified Saviour appeared in a bodily shape to the eyes of Stephen. To the Jews this name, though drawn from the Old Testament, from the great apocalyptic vision of Daniel (vii. 13), was so strange, that when they heard it, they asked, 'Who is this Son of man?' (John xii. 34); not 'Son of man,' but 'Son of David,' being the popular name for the expected Messiah (Matt. ix. 27; xii. 23; xv. 22; xx. 31, &c.). He claimed by this title a true participation in our human nature; this, and much more than this. He was 'Son of man, as alone realizing all which in the idea of man was contained, -as the second Adam, the head and representative of the race,—the one true and perfect flower which had ever unfolded itself out of the root and stalk of humanity. Claiming this title for His own, He witnessed against opposite poles of error concerning his person—the Ebionite, to which the exclusive use of the title 'Son of David' might have led, and the Gnostic, which denied the reality of the human nature that He bore.

But if Christ is the Sower in this, exactly in the same sense as in the preceding, parable, the seed here receives an interpretation different from that which it there obtained. There 'the seed is the word of God' (Luke viii. 11), or 'the word of the kingdom;' here

a Roberts, Oriental Illustrationz, p. 441. A friend, who has occupied a judicial station in India, confirms this account. We are not without this form of malice nearer home. Thus in Ireland I have known an outgoing tenant, in spite at his eviction, to sow wild oats in the fields which he was leaving. These, like the tares of the parable, ripening and seeding themselves before the crops in which they were mingled, it became next to impossible to extirpate

'the good seed are the children of the kingdom. And yet there is no real disagreement; only a progress from that parable to this. In that, the word of God is the instrument by which men are born anew and become children of the kingdom (Jam. i. 18; 1 Pet. i. 23); in this that word has done its work; has been received into hearts; is incorporated with living men; is so vitally united with them who through it have been made children of the kingdom, that the two cannot any more be contemplated asunder (cf. Jer. xxxi. 27; Hos. ii. 23; Zech. x. 9).

The next words, 'The field is the world,' at once bring us into the heart of that controversy referred to already. Over these few words, simple as they may seem, a battle has been fought, greater, perhaps, than over any single phrase in the Scripture, if we except the consecrating words at the Holy Eucharist. Apart from mere personal questions affecting the regularity of certain ordinations, the grounds on which the Donatists justified their separation from the Church Catholic were these: The idea of the Church, they said, is that of a perfectly holy body; holiness is not merely one of its essential predicates but the essential, its exclusive note. They did not deny that hypocrites might possibly lie concealed in its bosom; but where the evidently ungodly are suffering to remain in communion with it, not separated off by the exercise of discipline, there it forfeits the character of the true Church, and the faithful must come out from it, if they would not, by contact with these unholy, themselves be defiled. Such was their position, in support of which they urged Isai, lii. 1, and all such Scriptures as spoke of the Church's future freedom from all evil. These were meant, they said, to apply to it in its present condition; and consequently, where they failed to apply, there could not be the Church.

On this, as on so many other points, the Church owes to Augustine, not the forming of her doctrine, for that she can owe to no man, but the bringing out into her own clear consciousness that which hitherto she had implicitly possessed, yet had not worked out into a perfect clearness even for herself. He replied, not gainsaying the truth which the Donatists proclaimed, that holiness is an essential note of the Church; but only refusing to accept their definition of that holiness, and showing that in the Church which they had forsaken this note was to be found, and combined with other as essential ones—catholicity, for instance, to which they could make no claim. The Church Catholic, he replied, despite all appearances to the contrary, is a holy body, for they only are its members who are in true and living fellowship with Christ, and therefore partakers of his sanc-

tifying Spirit. All others, however they may have the outward marks of belonging to it, are in it, but not of it: they press upon Christ, as the thronging multitude; they do not touch him, as did that believing woman, on whom alone his virtue went forth (Luke viii. 45). There are certain outward conditions without which one cannot belong to his Church, but with which one does not of necessity do so. And they who are thus in it, but not of it, whether hypocrites lying hid, or open offenders who from their numbers may not without worse inconveniences ensuing be expelled, a do not defile the true members, so long as these share not in their spirit, nor communicate with their evil deeds. They are like the unclean animals in the same ark as the clean (Gen. vii. 2), goats in the same pastures with the sheep (Matt. xxv. 32), chaff on the same barn floor as the grain (Matt. iii. 12), tares growing in the same field with the wheat, vessels to dishonour in the same great house with the vessels to honour (2 Tim. ii. 20), endured for awhile, but in the end to be separated from it, and for ever.

The Donatists wished to make the Church, in its visible form and historic manifestation, identical and coextensive with the true Church which the Lord knoweth and not man. Augustine also affirmed the identity of the Church now existing with the final and glorious Church; but he denied that the two were coextensive. For now the Church is clogged with certain accretions, which shall hereafter be shown not to belong, and never to have belonged, to it. He did not affirm, as his opponents charged him, two Churches, but two conditions of one Church; the present, in which evil is endured in it; the future, in which it shall be free from all evil;—not two bodies of Christ; but one body, in which now are wicked men, but only as evil humours in the natural body, which in the day of perfect health will be expelled and rejected altogether, as never having more than accidentally belonged to it; and he laid especial stress upon this fact, that the Lord Himself had not contemplated His Church, in its

^{*}On the extent to which discipline should be enforced, and the questions of prudence which should determine its enforcing, Augustine has the following remarks. Having referred to these parables, and to the separation of the sheep and goats (Matt. xxv. 31-46), he proceeds (Ad Don. post Coll. 5): Quibus parabolis et figuris Ecclesia prænunciata est usque ad finem seculi bonos et malos simul habitura, ita ut mali bonis obesse non possint, cum vel ignorantur, vel pro pace et tranquillitate Ecclesiæ tolerantu si eos prodi aut accusari non oportuerit, aut aliis bonis non potuerint demonstrari: ita sane ut neqne emendationis vigilantia quiscat, corripiendo, degradando, excommunicando, ceterisque coercitionibus licitis atque concessis, quæ salva unitatis pace in Ecclesia quotidie fiunt, caritate servata, . . . ne forte aut indisciplinata patientia foveat iniquitatem, aut impatiens disciplina dissipet unitatem. On all this matter see the admirable discussion by Field, Of the Church, i. 7-18.

present state, as perfectly free from evil. At this point of the controversy the present parable and that of the Draw-net came in. From these he concluded that, as tares are mingled with wheat, and bad fish with good, so the wicked shall be with the righteous, and shall remain so mingled to the end of the present age; and this not merely as a historic fact; but that all attempts to have it otherwise are, in this parable at least, expressly forbidden (ver. 29). The Donatists were acting as the servants would have done, if, notwithstanding the master's distinct prohibition, they had gone and sought forcibly to root out the tares.

The Donatists were put to hard shifts to escape these conclusions. They did, however, make answer thus: 'By Christ's own showing, "the field" is not the Church, but "the world" (ver. 38); the parable, therefore, does not bear on the dispute betwixt us and you; far that is not whether ungodly men should be endured in the world (which we all allow), but whether they should be suffered in the Church.' It must, however, be evident to every one not warped by a previous dogmatic interest, that the parable is, as the Lord

^a Augustine (Serm. cccli. 4): Multi enim corriguntur ut Petrus, multi tolerantur ut Judas, multi nesciuntur donec adveniat Dominus, qui illuminet abscondita tenebrarum, et manifestet consilia cordium. Again: Homo sum et inter homines vivo, nec mihi arrogare audeo meliorem domum meam quam arca Noah. He often rebukes the Donatists for their low Pharisaical views concerning what the separation from sinners meant. Thus (Serm. lxxxviii. 20): Displicuit tibi quod quisque peccavit, non tetigisti immundum. Redarguisti, corripuisti, monuisti, adhibuisti etiam, sires exegit, congruam et quæ unitatem non violat disciplinam, existi inde. Elsewhere he asks, Did the prophet of old who said, 'Go ye out of the midst of her' (Isai, lii, II), himself separate from the Jewish church?—Continendo se a consensu non tetigit immundum: objurgando autem exiit liber in conspectu Dei: cui neque sua Deus peccata imputat, qui non fecit, neque aliena, quia non approbavit, neque negligentiam, quia non tacuit neque supebiam, quia in unitate permansit. See also Ad Don. post Coll. 20. Once more: Cecidit Angelus; numquid inquinavit cælum? Cecidit Adam; nmquid inquinavit Paradisum! Cecidit unus de filiis Noe; numquid inquinavit justi domum? Cecidit Judas; numquid inquinavit apostolorum choros?

b Augustine: Alia est agri conditio, alia quies horrei.

[°] See how Augustine answers this argument, Ad Don. post Coll. 8. As the Donatists professed to make much of Cyprian's authority, Augustine quotes often from him (as Con. Gaudent. ii. 4,) words which show that he understood the parable as one relating to the Church: Nam etsi videntur in Ecclesiâ esse zizania, non tamen impediri debet aut fides aut caritas nostra, ut quoniam zizania esse in Ecclesiâ cernimus, ipsi de Ecclesiâ recedamus. Nobis tantummodo laborandum est, ut frumentum esse possimus, ut cum cœperit frumentum Dominicis horreis condi, fructum pro opere nostro et labore capiamus.

d Commentators who have interpreted the parable, irrespectively of that controversy one way or the other, acknowledge this. Thus Calvin: Qnanquam autem Christus postea subjicit mundum esse agrum, dubium tamen non est, quin proprie

announces, concerning the 'kingdom of heaven,' or the Church. It required no special teaching to acquaint the disciples that in the world there would ever be a mixture of good and bad; while they could have so little expected the same in the Church, that it behoved to warn them beforehand, both that they might not be offended, counting that the promises of God had failed, and also that they might know how to behave themselves, when that mystery of iniquity, now foretold, should begin manifestly to work. Nor need the term 'world' here used perplex us in the least. No narrower term would have sufficed for Him, in whose prophetic eye the word of the Gospel was contemplated as going forth into all lands, as seed scattered in every part of the great outfield of the nation.

It was 'while men slept' that the enemy sowed his tares among the wheat. Many have found this statement significant, have understood it to suggest negligence and lack of watchfulness on the part of the Rulers in the Chnrch, whereby nngodly men creep into it unawares, introducing errors in doctrine and in practice^a (Acts. xx. 29, 30; Jude 4; 2 Pet. ii. 1, 2, 19). 'There is, alas! always more or less of this negligence; yet I cannot think that it was meant to be noted here. If any should have watched, it is 'the servants;' but they first appear at a later period in the story; nor is any want of due vigilance laid to their charge. The men, therefore, who slept are not, as I take it, those who should or could have done otherwise, but the phrase is equivalent to 'at night,' and must not be further urged (Job xxxiii. 15; Mark iv. 27). This enemy seized his opportunity, when all eyes were closed in sleep, and wrought the secret mischief upon which he was intent, and having wrought it undetected, withdrew.

'The enemy that sowed them is the devil.'b We behold Satan here,

hoc nomen ad Ecclesiam aptare voluerit, de quâ exorsus fuerat sermonem. Sed quoniam passim aratrum suum ducturus erat per omnes mundi plagas, ut sibi agros excoleret in toto mundo, ac spargeret vitæ semen, per synecdochen ad mundum

transtulit, quod parti tantum magis quadrabat.

* So Augustine (Quæst. ex Matt. qu. 9): Cum negligentius agerent præpositi Ecclesiæ; and Chrysostom. H. de Sto. Victore (Annott. in Matth.): Mortem significat apostolorum sive torporem prælatorum. But Grotius more rightly: 'Ανθρώπους hie indefinitum est, non universale: quasi dicas, cum dormiretur: hoc autem nihil est aliud quam descriptio opportunitatis; and Cajetan's remark has value: Cum dormirent homines, non dicit custodes, si enim dixisset custodes, intelligeremus negligentiam custodum accusari; sed dicit homines, ut inculpabiles intelligamus, naturali somno occupatos. Jerome's dormiente patre-familiâs (Adv. Lucif,) can only be explained on this view.

by Tertullian (De Animâ, 16), Avenarum superseminator, et frumentariæ segetis nocturnus interpolator. When Ignatius exhorts the Ephesians (c. 10) that no one be found among them τοῦ διαβόλου μοτάνη, there is probably an allusion to this parable.

not as he works beyond the limits of the Church, deceiving the world, but in his far deeper malignity, as he at once mimics and counterworks the work of Christ: in the words of Chrysostom. 'after the prophets, the false prophets; after the Apostles, the false apostles; after Christ, Antichrist.' Most worthy of notice is the the plainness with which the doctrine concerning Satan and his agency, his active hostility to the blessedness of man, of which there is so little in the old Testament, comes out in the New; as in the parable of the Sower, and again in this. As the lights become brighter the shadows become deeper. Not till the mightier power of good had been revealed, were men suffered to know how mighty was the power of evil; and even in these cases it is only to the innermost circle of disciples that the explanation concerning Satan is given. Nor is it less observable that Satan is spoken of as His enemy, the enemy of the Son of man; for here, as so often, the great conflict is set forth as rather between Satan and the Son of man, than between Satan and God. It was essential to the scheme of redemption that the victory over evil should be a moral triumph, not one obtained by a mere putting forth of superior strength.° For this end it was most important that man, who lost the battle, should also win it (I Cor. xv. 21); and therefore as by and through man the kingdom of darkness was to be overthrown, so the enmity of the Serpent was specially directed against the seed of the woman, the Son of man. In the title 'the wicked one,' which he bears, the article is emphatic, and points him out as the absolutely evil, the very ground of whose being is evil. For as God is light, and in Him is no darkness at all (1 John i. 5; Jam. i. 17), so Satan is darkness, and in him is no light at all; 'there is no truth in him' (John viii. 44). Man is in a middle position; he detains the truth in unrighteousness (Rom. i. 18); light and darkness in him are struggling; but, whichever may predominate, the other is there, kept down indeed, but still with the possibility of manifesting itself. And thus a redemption is possible for man, for his will is only perverted, but Satan's is inverted. He has said what no man could ever fully say, or, at least, act on to the full: 'Evil, be thou my good;' and therefore, so far as we can see, a redemption and restoration are impossible for him.

Cf. Tertullian, De Præser, Hæret. 31.

b Bengel (on Ephes. vi. 12) has observed this: Quo apertius quisque Scripturae liber de œconomiâ et gloriâ Christi agit, eo apertius rursum de regno contrario tenebrarum.

In Augustine's memorable words: Diabolus non potentiâ Dei, sed justitiâ superandus erat.

The mischief done, the enemy 'went his way;' and thus the work did not evidently and at once appear to be his. How often, in the Church, the beginnings of evil have been scarcely discernible; how often has that which bore the worst fruit in the end appeared at first like a higher form of good. St. Paul, indeed, could detect a mystery of iniquity as yet in its obscure beginnings, could detect the punctum saliens out of which it would unfold itself; but to many, evil would not appear as evil till it had grown to more ungodliness. But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also; appeared, that is, for what they were, showed themselves in their true nature. Many have noted the remarkable similarity which exists between the wheat and this lolium or tare as long as they are yet in the blade. Being only distinguishable when the ear is formed, they fulfil literally the Lord's words, 'by their fruits ye shall know them.' Augustine upon this that, only when the blade began to ripen and bring forth fruit, the tares showed themselves as such indeed, most truly remarks, that it is the opposition of good which first makes evil to appear; 'None appear evil in the Church, except to him who is good; ' and again, When any shall have begun to be a spiritual man, judging all things, then error begins to appear unto him; 'b and elsewhere, drawing from the depths of his Christian experience: 'It is a great labour of the good to bear the contrary manners of the wicked; by which he who is not offended has profited little; for the righteous, in proportion as he recedes from his own wickedness, is grieved at that of others.'c As there must be light with which to contrast the darkness, height wherewith to measure depth, so there must be holiness to be grieved at unholiness; only the new man in us is grieved at the old either in ourselves or in others.

'So the servants of the householder came, and sail unto him, 'Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it

^{*} The testimony of Jerome, himself resident in Palestine, may here be adduced: Inter triticum et zizania, quod nos appellamus lolium, quamdiu herba est, et nondum culmus venit ad spicam, grandis similitudo est, et in discernendo aut nulla aut perdifficilis distantia. See also Thomson (The Land and the Book, p. 420): 'The grain is just in the proper stage to illustrate the parable. In those parts where the grain has headed out, the tares have done the same, and then a child cannot mistake them for wheat or barley; but where both are less developed, the closest scrutiny will often fail to detect them. Even the farmers, who in this country generally weed their fields, do not attempt to separate the one from the other.'

b Quæst. ex Matt. qu. 12: an admirable exposition of the whole parable.

[•] Tantum enim torquet justum iniquitas al ena, quantum recedit a suâ. Cl. Enarr. in Ps. exix, 4, and in Ps. exl.: Nondum sum totus instauratus ad imaginem fabricatoris mei: cæpi resculpi, et ex eâ parte quâ reformor, displicet mihi quod deforme est.

tares?' These servants are not, as Theophylact suggests, the angels (they are 'the reapers;' ver. 30, 41); but rather men, zealous for the Lord's honour, but not knowing what spirit they are of, any more than James and John, who would fain have called fire from heaven on the inhospitable Samaritan village (Luke ix. 54). The question which they ask, ' Didst not thou sow good seed in thy field?' expresses well the perplexity, the surprise, the inward questioning which must often be felt, which in the first ages, before long custom had too much reconciled to the mournful fact, must have been felt very strongly by all who were zealous for God, at the woful and unlookedfor spectacle which the visible Church presented. Where was the 'glorious Church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing?' Well, indeed, might the faithful have questioned their own spirits, have poured out their hearts in prayer, of which the burden should have been exactly this, ' Didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares?—didst not Thou constitute thy Church to be a pure and holy communion?—is not the doctrine such as should only produce fruits of righteousness?—whence then is it that even within the holy precincts themselves there should be so many who themselves openly sin and cause others to sin? 'a In the householder's reply, 'An enemy hath done this,' the mischief is traced up to its source; and that not the imperfection, ignorance, weakness, which cling to everything human, and which would prevent even a Divine idea from being more than very inadequately realized by men; but the distinct counterworking of the great spiritual enemy; 'the tares are the children of the Wicked One; the enemy that sowed them is the devil?

In the question which follows, 'Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up?' the temptation to use violent means for the suppression of error, a temptation which the Church itself has sometimes failed to resist, finds its voice and utterance. But they who thus speak are

^{*} Menken: 'This question, 'Whence then hath it tares?" is the result of our first study of Church history, and remains afterwards the motto of Church history, and the riddle which should be solved by help of a faithful history; instead of which, many so-called Church historians, ignorant of the purpose and of the hidden glory of the Church, have their pleasure in the tares, and imagine themselves wonderfully wise and useful, when out of Church history, which ought to be the history of the Light and the Truth, they have made a shameful history of error and wickedness. They have no desire to edify, to further holiness or the knowledge of the truth; but at the expense of the Church would gratify a proud and ignorant world.'

b Augustine (Quæst. ex Matt. qu. 12): Potest ei suboriri voluntas, ut tales homines de rebus humanis auferet, si aliquam temporis habeat facultatem: sed utrum facere debeat, justitiam Dei consulit, utrum hoc ei præcipiat vel permittat, et hoc officium esse hominum velit.

unfit to be trusted in this matter. They have often no better than a Jehu's 'zeal for the Lord' (2 Kin. x. 16); it is but an Elias-zeal at the best (Luke iv. 54). And therefore 'he said, Nay.' By this prohibition are forbidden all such measures for the excision of heretics, as shall leave them no room for after repentance or amendment; indeed the prohibition is so clear, so express, that whenever we meet in Church history with ought which looks like a carrying out of this proposal, we may be tolerably sure that it is not wheat making war on tares, but tares seeking to root out wheat. The reason of the prohibition is given: 'Lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them.' This might be, either by rooting up what were now tares, but hereafter should become wheat-' children of the Wicked One,' who, by faith and repentance, should become 'chilaren of the kingdom; 'a or through the servants' error, who, with the best intentions, should fail to distinguish between these and those, and involve good and bad in a common doom; or perhaps leaving tares, might pluck up wheat. It is only the Lord Himself, the Searcher of hearts, who with absolute certainty 'knoweth them that are his.' The later Roman Catholic expositors, and as many as in the Middle Ages wrote in the interests of Rome, in these words, 'lest ye root up also the wheat with them,' find a loophole whereby they may escape the prohibition itself. Thus Aquinas will have it to be only then binding, when this danger exists of plucking up the wheat together with the tares. b To which Maldonatus adds, that in each particular case the householder is to judge whether there be such danger or not; the Pope being now the representative of the householder, to him the question should be put, ' Wilt thou that we go and gather up the tares?' and he concludes his exposition with an exhortation to all Catholic princes, that they imitate the zeal of these servants, and rather, like them, need to have their eagerness restrained, than require, as did so many, to be stimulated to the task of rooting out heresies and heretics.

At the same time this 'Nay' does not imply that the tares shall never be plucked up, but only that this is not the time, nor they the doers; for the householder adds, 'Let both grow together until the harvest.' Pregnant words, which tell us that evil is not, as so many dream, gradually to wane and disappear before good, the world to find itself in the Church, but each to unfold itself more fully, out of its own root, after its own kind: till at last they stand face to face,

[•] Jerome: Monemur, ne cito amputemus fratrem: quia fieri potest, ut ille, qui hodie noxio depravatus est dogmate, cras resipiscat, et desendere incipiat, veritatem.

b Summa. Theol. 2a, 2æ, qu. 10: Cum metus itse non subest, . . . non dormiat severitas disciplinæ.

each in its highest manifestation, in the persons of Christ and of Antichrist; on the one hand, an incarnate God, on the other, the man in whom the fulness of all Satanic power will dwell bodily. Both are to grow 'until the harvest,' till they are ripe, one for destruction, and the other for full salvation.

And they are to grow 'together;' the visible Church is to have its intermixture of good and bad until the end of time; and, by consequence, the fact of bad being found mingled with good will in nowise justify a separation from it, or an attempt to set up a little Church of our own. Where men will attempt this, besides the guilt of transgressing a plain command, it is not difficult to see what darkness it must bring upon them, into what a snare of pride it must cast them. For while, even in the best of men, there is the same intermixture of good and evil as in the visible Church, such a course will infallibly lead a man to the wilful shutting of his eyes alike to the evil in himself, and in that little schismatical body which he will then call the Church, since only so the attempt will even seem to be attended with success. Thus Augustine often appeals to the fact that the Donatists had not succeeded—they would not themselves dare to assert that they had succeeded—in forming what should even externally appear a pure communion; and since by their own acknowledgement there might be, and probably were, hypocrites and undetected ungodly livers among them, this of itself rendered all such passages as Isai. lii. 1, as inapplicable to them as to the Catholic Church in its present condition: while yet, on the strength of this freedom from evil gratuitously assumed by them, they displayed a spirit of intolerable pride and presumptuous uncharitableness towards the Church from which they had separated. And the same sins cleave more or less to all schismatic bodies, which, under plea of a purer communion, have divided from the Church Catholic: -the smallest of these, from its very smallness persuading itself that it is the most select and purest, being generally the guiltiest here. None will deny that the temptation to this lies very close to us all. Every young Christian, in the time of his first zeal, is tempted to be some-

a Calvin's words are excellent: Est enim hæc periculosa tentatio, nullam Ecclesiam putare, ubi non appareat perfecta puritas. Nam quicunque hâc occupatus fuerit, necesse tandem erit, ut, discessione ab omnibus aliis factâ, solus sibi sanctus videatur in mundo, aut peculiarem sectam cum paucis hypocritis instituat. Quid ergo causse habiut Paulus cur Ecclesiam Dei Corinthi agnosceret? nempe quia Evangelii doctrinam, baptismum, cœnam Domini, quibus symbolis censeri debet Ecclesia, apud eos cernebat.

b See Augustine (Coll. Carth. iii. 9) for an extraordinary instance of this pride on the part of the Donatist adversaries of the Church.

what of a Donatist in spirit. It would argue little love or holy earnestness in him, if he had not this longing to see the Church of his Saviour a glorious Church without spot or wrinkle. But he must learn that the desire, righteous and holy as in itself it is, yet is not to find its fulfilment in this present evil time; that, on the contrary, the suffering from false brethren is one of the pressures upon him, which shall wring out from him a more earnest prayer that the kingdom of God may appear. He must learn that all self-willed and impatient attempts, such as have been repeated again and again, to anticipate that perfect communion of saints, are works of the flesh; that however fairly they may promise, no blessing will rest upon them, nor will they for long even appear to be crowned with success.

Some in modern times, fearing lest arguments should be drawn from this parable to the prejudice of attempts to revive stricter discipline in the Church, have sought to escape the dangers which they feared, by urging that in our Lord's explanation no notice is taken of the proposal made by the servants (ver. 28), nor yet of the house holder's reply to that proposal (ver. 29). They conclude from this that the parable is not to teach us what the conduct of the servants of a heavenly Lord ought to be, but merely prophetic of what generally it will be,—that this proposal of the servants is merely brought

^{*}Fuller (Holy State, v. 2) enumerates six reasons why the kingdom of grace wicked men should be inseparably mingled with godly: 'First, because hypocrites can never be severed but by Him that can search the heart; secondly, because if men should make the separation, weak Christians would be counted no Christians, and those who have a grain of grace under a load of imperfections would be counted reprobates; thirdly, because God's vessels of honour for all eternity, not as yet appearing, but wallowing in sin, would be made castaways; fourthly, because God by the mixture of the wicked with the godly will try the watchfulness and patience of his servants; fifthly, because thereby He will bestow many favours on the wicked, to clear his justice and render them more inexcusable; lastly, because the mixture of the wicked grieving the godly will make them the more heartily pray for the day of judgment.'

b Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. xcix. 1) Quo se separaturus est Christianus ut non gemat inter falsos fratres? Solitudines petat? sequuntur scandala. Separaturus est se qui bene proficit, ut nullum omnino hominem patriatur? quid si et ipsum antequam proficeret nemo vellet pati? Si ergo quia proficit, nullum hominem vult pati, eo ipso quo non vult aliquem hominem pati, convicitur, quod non profecerit. An quia veloces pedes tibi videris habuisse ad transeundum, præcisurus es pontem?—The whole passage excellent'y sets forth the vanity of the attempt to found a Church on a subjective instead of an objective basis, on the personal holiness of the members, instead of recognizing one there to be founded for us, where the pure Word of God is preached, and the Sacraments administered, by those duly commissioned thereto. How admirable are his words elsewhere (Con. Cresc. iii. 35): Fugio paleam, ne hoc sim; non aream, ne nihil sim; cf. Seem, clxiv. 7, 8.

Steiger, in the Evang. Kirch. Zeit, 1833, and an able writer in the British Critic, No. lii. p. 385.

in to afford an opportunity for the master's reply, and that of this reply the latter is the only significant portion. But, assuredly, when Christ asserts that it is His purpose to make a complete and solemn separation at the end, He implicitly forbids,—not the exercise in the meantime of a godly discipline, not, where that has become necessary, absolute exclusion from Church-fellowship,—but any attempts to anticipate the final irrevocable separation, of which He has reserved the execution to Himself. "In the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.' Not now, but 'in the time of harvest,'b shall this separation find place; and even then, not they, but 'the reapers,' shall carry it through.º This 'time of harvest,' as the Lord presently explains, is 'the end of the world,'d and 'the reapers are the angels;' who are here, as everywhere else, set forth as accompanying their Lord and ours at His coming again to judgment (Matt, xvi. 27; xxiv. 31; 2 Thess. i. 7; Rev. xix. 14), and fulfilling his will both in respect

■ Tertullian (Apol. 41): Qui semel æternum judicium destinavit post seculi finem non præcipitat discretionem quæ est conditio judicii, ante seculi finem.

Bishop Horsley (Bibl. Crit. vol. iii. p. 344) distinguishes between the vintage and the harvest, the two images under which the consummation of the present age is commonly represented. 'The vintage is always an image of the season of judgment, but the harvest of the ingathering of the objects of God's final mercy. aware that a single unexceptionable instance is to be found, in which the harvest is a type of judgment. In Rev. xiv. 15, 16, the sickle is thrust into the ripe harvest, and the earth is reaped, i.e. the elect are gathered from the four winds of heaven. The wheat of God is gathered into his barn (Matt. xiii. 30). After this reaping of the earth the sickle is applied to the clusters of the vine, and they are cast into the great winepress of the wrath of God, (Rev. xiv. 18-20). This is judgment. In Joel iii. 13 the ripe harvest is the harvest of the vine, i.e. the grapes fit for gathering, as appears by the context. In Jer. li. 33 the act of threshing the corn upon the floor, not the harvest, is the image of judgment. It is true the burning of the tares in our Saviour's parables (Matt. xiii.) is a work of judgment, and of the time of harvest, previous to the binding of the sheaves; but it is an accidental adjunct of the business, not the harvest itself.'

*Augustine: Audes usurpare officium alienum, quod nec in messe erit tuum? And Cyprian (see 2 Tim. ii. 20, 21): Nos operam demus et, quantum possumus, laboremus, ut vas aureum et argenteum simus. Ceterum fictilia vasa confringere Domino soli concessum est, cui et virga ferrea data est. Jerome (Adv. Lucif.): Nemo potest Christi palmam sibi assumere, nemo ante diem judicii de hominibus judicere. Si jam mundata est Ecclesia, quid Domino reservamus?

⁴ The συντελεία τοῦ αἰῶνος, Οι τῶν αἰῶνων (Heb. ix. 26), the juncture of the two æras, see Job (xxv. 20, μέχρι συντελείας φωτὸς καὶ σκότους), the present called αἰῶν ἐνεστῶς (Gal. i. 4), αἰῶν οῦτος (Luke xx. 24), Οι ὁ νῦν αἰῶν (Tit. ii. 12), = κόσμος οῦτος, with the future, termed αἰῶν ἐρχόμενος (Mark x. 30), αἰῶνες ἐπερχόμενοι Ephes. ii. 7), αἰῶν δ μέλλων (Heb. vi. 5, = οἰκουμένη ἡ μέλλουσα (Heb. ii. 5). The phrase is equivalent to the τέλη τῶν αἰῶνων (I Cor. x. II), the extremities of the two æras, the end of the one and the commencement of the other.

of those who have served (Matt. xxiv. 31), and those who have served Him not (Matt. xiii 47; xxii. 13).

'As therefore the tares are gathered' and burned in the fire, so shall it be in the end of this world; the Son of man shall send forth His angels, and they shall gather out of His kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity; in the words of Zephaniah, the stumbling-blocks with the wicked '(i. 3). The setting forth of the terrible doom of ungodly men under the image of the burning with fire of thorns, briers, weeds, offal, chaff, barren branches, dead trees, is frequent in Scripture; thus see 2 Sam. xxiii. 6, 7; Matt. iii. 10, 12; vii. 19; John xv. 6; Heb. vi. 8; x. 26, 27; Isai v. 24; ix. 18, 19; x. 16, 17; xxxiii. 11, 12; lxvi. 24; 2 Esd. xvi. 77, 78. But dare we speak of it as an image merely? The fire reappears in the interpretation of the parable; the angels 'shall cast them,' those, namely, 'which do iniquity,' 'into a furnace of fire.' Fearful words indeed! and the image, if it be an image, at all events borrowed from the most dreadful and painful form of death in use among men. Something we read of it in Scripture. Judah would have fain made his daughter-in-law (Gen. xxxviii. 24), and David, alas! did make the children of Ammon (2 Sam. xii. 31) taste the dreadfulness of it.º It was in use among the Chaldeans (Jer. xxix. 22; Dan. iii. 6); and in the Jewish tradition, which is probably of great antiquity, Nimrod cast Abraham into a furnace of fire for refusing to worship his false gods.d It was one of the forms of cruel death with which Antiochus sought to overcome the heroic constancy of the Jewish confessors in the time of the Maccabees (2 Macc. vii.; Dan. xi. 33; 1 Cor. xiii. 3). In modern times Chardin makes mention of penal furnaces in Persia.e Whatever the 'furnace of fire' may mean here, or 'the lake of fire' (Rev. xix. 20; xxi. 8), 'the fire that is not quenched' (Mark ix 44), 'the everlasting fire' (Matt. xxv. 41; cf. Luke xvi. 24; Mal. iv. 1), elsewhere, this at all events is certain; that they point to some doom so

Augustine: Hoc est, rapaces cum rapecibus, adulteros cum adulteris homicidas cum homicidis, fures cum furibus, derisores cum derisoribus, similes cum similibus. It is exactly so in the *Inferno* of Dante.

^b Σκάνδαλον (in its classical form σκανδάληθρον) is that part of a trap or snare on which the bait is placed, and which, being touched, gives way, and causes the noose to draw suddenly tight; then generally a snare. In the New Testament it is transferred to spiritual things; and includes whatever, entangling as it were men's feet, might cause them to fall; it is therefore = πρόσκομμα, and allied closely in meaning to παγίς and θήρα, with we find it joined, Rom. xi. 9.

[•] For the use of this punishment by Herod the Great, see Josephus, B.J. i. 33. 4.

d Eisenmenger, Entdeckt. Judenth. vol. ii. p. 378. Voy. en Perse, ed. Langlès, vol. vi. p. 118.

intolerable that the Son of God came down from heaven and tasted all the bitterness of death, that He might deliver us from ever knowing the secrets of anguish which, unless God be mocking men with empty threats, are shut up in these terrible words,—'There shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth' (Matt. xxii. 13). All which has just gone before makes very unlikely their explanation of the 'gnashing of teeth,' who take it as a chattering from excessive cold; "who, in fact, imagine here a kind of Dantean hell, with alternations of heat and cold, alike unendurable. We take these rather as the utterances generally of rage and impatience (Acts vii. 54), under the sense of intolerable pain and unutterable loss.

'Then,' after it has been thus done with the wicked, 'shall the righteous shine forth' as the sun in the kingdom of their Father.' As fire was the element of the dark and cruel kingdom of hell, so is light of the pure heavenly kingdom." 'Then,' when the dark hindering element has been removed, shall this element of light, which was before struggling with and obstructed by it, come forth in its full brightness (see Col. iii. 3; Rom. viii. 18; Prov. xxv. 4, 5). A glory shall be revealed in the saints; not merely brought to them, and added from without; but rather a glory which they before had, but which did not

[•] See Suicer, s. v. βρυγμός, which some make = τρισμὸς δδόντων, but it is simpler to say with Bernard: Fletus ex dolore, stridor dentium ex furore; for in Cyprian's words (Ad Demet.): Erit tunc sine fructu pœnitentiæ dolor, pænæ inanis ploratio, et inefficax deprecatio. See Ambrose, Exp. in Luc. vii. 205, 206; and Gerhard, Loc. Theoll. xxxi. 6, 46.

b 'Εκλάμψουσιν=effulgebunt, not as in the Vulgate, fulgebunt. Schleusner indeed: Parum differt a simplici λάμπω,-but Passow: Hervorstrahlen, sich plötzlich in aller Herrlichkeit hervorthun. Two beautiful similitudes in the Shepherd of Hermas (iii. sim. 3 and 4) set forth the same truth under a different image. The Seer is shown in the first a number of trees in the winter-time; all leafless alike; all seeming alike dead; and he is told that as the dry and the green trees are not distinguishable in the winter, all being bare alike, so neither in the present age are the just from the sinners. In the second, he is again shown the trees, but now some are putting forth leaves, while others still remain bare. Thus shall it be in the future age, which for the just shall be a summer, their life, which was hidden for a while, manifesting itself openly; but for sinners it shall still be winter, and they, remaining without leaf or fruit, shall as dry wood be cut down for the burning. In some beautiful passages of Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. xxxvi. 2; in Ps. cxlviii. 13) the same image occurs. Of the Christian as he is now, he says (In I Joh. Tract. 5): Gloria ejus occulta est; cum venerit Dominus, tunc apparebit gloria. Viget enim, sed adhuc in hieme; viget radix, sed quasi aridi sunt rami. Intus est medulla quæ vigit, intus sunt folia arborum, intus fructus; sed æstatem expectant. Cf. Minucius Felix (p. 329, ed. Ouzel.): Ita corpus in seculo, ut arbores in hiberno occultant virorem ariditate mentitâ. Quid festinas ut crudâ adhuc hieme reviviscat et redeat? Expectandum nobis etiam corporis ver est.

[•] It is exactly thus that in the Mohammedan theology the good angels are compact of light, and the evil ones of fire.

before evidently appear, shall burst forth and show itself openly, as once in the days of His flesh, at the moment of His Transfiguration, did the hidden glory of our Lord (Matt. xvii. 2). That shall be the day of 'the manifestation of the sons of God;' they 'shall shine forth as the sun,' when the clouds are rolled away (Dan. xii. 3); they shall evidently appear, and be acknowledged by all, as 'the children of light; of that God who is 'the Father of Lights' (Jam. i. 17); who is Light, and in whom is no darkness at all (1 Johni. 5). And then, but not till then, shall be accomplished those glorious prophecies so often repeated in the Old Testament; 'Henceforth there shall no more come into thee the uncircumcised and the unclean' (Isai. lii. 1); 'In that day there shall be no more the Canaanite in the house of the Lord of hosts' (Zech. xiv. 21); 'Thy people also shall be all righteous' (Isai. lx. 21; cf. Isai. xxxv. 8; Joel iii. 17; Ezek. xxxvii. 21-27; Zeph. iii. 13).

* Calvin: Insignis consolatio, quod filii Dei qui nunc vel squalore obsiti jacent, vel latent nullo in pretio, vel etiam probris cooperti sunt, tunc quasi sereno cælo, et discussis omnibus nebulis, vere et ad liquidum semel conspicui fulgebunt: suos in sublime attollet Filius Dei, et omnem fuliginem absterget, quâ nunc eorum fulgore obruitur.—It is the saying of a Jewish expositor of Ps. lxxii: Quemadmodum sol et luna illuminant hoc seculum, ita futurum est ut justi illuminent seculum futurum.

3. THE MUSTARD-SEED.

MATT. xiii. 31, 32; MARK iv. 30-32; LUKE xiii. 18, 19.

THE four parables which follow group themselves into two pairs. Those of the Mustard-seed and the Leaven constitute the first pair, and might seem, at first sight, merely repetitions of the same truth; but in this, as in every other case, upon nearer inspection essential differences reveal themselves. They have indeed this much in common, that they both describe the small and slight beginnings, the gradual progress, and the final marvellous increase of the Church or how, to use another image, the stone cut out without hands should become a great mountain, and fill the whole earth (Dan. ii. 34, 35; cf. Ezek. xlvii. 1-5). But each also has much which is its own. That other has to do with the kingdom of God which 'cometh not with observation; ' this with that same kingdom as it displays itself openly, and cannot be hid. That declares the intensive, this the extensive, development of the Gospel. That sets forth the power and action of the truth on the world brought in contact with it; this the power of the truth to develop itself from within; as the tree which, shut within the seed, will unfold itself according to the law of its own being.

Chrysostom^a traces finely the connexion between this parable and those which have just gone before. From that of the Sower the disciples may have gathered that of the seed which they should sow three parts would perish, and only a fourth part prosper; while that of the Tares had opened to them the prospect of further hindrances which would beset even that portion which had taken root downward, and sprung upward; now, then, lest they should be tempted quite to lose heart and to despair, these two parables are spoken for

[•]So also Lyser, with more immediate reference to the question with which the parable is introduced in St. Mark (iv. 30): Cum ea sit Evangelii sors, ut tam multa ejus fructum impediand, et eidem Satanas tot modis insidetur, ut vix fructus aliquis sperari possit, quid de Illo dicemus? poteritne in rerum naturâ aliquid inveniri, quod ejus exilitatem excusare, illudque contemptu vindicare queat?

their encouragement. 'My kingdom,' the Lord would say, 'shall survive these losses, and surmount these hindrances, until, small as its first beginnings may appear, it shall, like a mighty tree, fill the earth with its branches,—like potent leaven, diffuse its influence through all the world.' The growth of a mighty kingdom is not here for the first time likened to that of a tree. Many of our Lord's hearers must have been familiar with such a comparison from the Scriptures of the Old Testament. The upcoming of a worldly kingdom had been set forth under this image (Dan. iv. 10-12; Ezek. xxxi 3-9a), that also of the kingdom of God (Ezek. xvii. 22-24; Ps. lxxx. 8b). But why, it may be asked, among all trees is a mustard-tree chosen here? Many nobler plants, as the vine, or taller trees, as the cedar (1 Kin. iv. 33; Ezek. iii. 3), might have been named. Doubtless this is chosen, not with reference to greatness which it obtains in the end, for in this many surpass it, but to the proportion between the smallness of the seed and the greatness of the tree which unfolds itself therefrom. For this is the point to which the Lord calls especial attention,—not the greatness of the mustard-tree in itself, but its greatness as compared with the seed from whence it springs; for what He would fain teach His disciples was not that His kingdom should be glorious, but that it should be glorious despite its weak and slight and despised beginnings. And the comparison had in other ways its fitness, too. The mustard-seed, minute and trivial as it might seem, was not without its significance and acknowledged worth in antiquity. It ranked among the nobler Pythagorean symbols; was esteemed to possess medicinal virtues against the bites of venomous creatures and against poison, and used as a remedy in many diseases.^d Nor can I, with a modern interpreter, account very

^a See Hävernick, Comm. üb. Daniel, p. 139.

b In a striking poem, found in the Appendix to Fell's *Cyprian*, and in my *Sacred Latin Peetry*, p. 198, the growth of the kingdom of God, under the figure of that of a tree, is beautifully set forth.

[°] Some modern enquirers recognize in the mustard-tree of this parable, not that which goes by this name in Western Europe, but the Salvadora Persica, commonly called in Syria now khardal. So Dr. Lindly, in his Flora Indica; and see in the Athenœum of March 23, 1844, an interesting paper by Dr. Royle, read before the Asiatic Society. Captains Irby and Mangles, describing this khardal, say: It has a pleasant, though a strong aromatic taste, exactly resembling mustard, and if taken in any quantity, produces a similar irritability of the nose and eyes.' There is, on the other hand, a learned discussion in the Gentleman's Magazine, June 1844, calling in question Dr. Royle's conclusions; from which also the author of a careful article in the Dict. of the Bible dissents.

^a Pliny, H. N. xx. 87. Sinapis scelerata Plautus calls it, for its pungent qualities; and Columella,

ridiculous the suggestion that the Saviour chose this seed on account of further qualities possessed by it, which gave it a peculiar aptness to illustrate the truth which He had in hand. Its heat, its fiery vigour, the fact that only through being bruised it gives out its best virtues, and all this under so insignificant an appearance and in so small a compass, may well have moved Him to select this seed by which to set forth the destinies of that word of the kingdom, that doctrine of a crucified Redeemer which to the Greeks foolishness, and the Jews a stumbling-block, should prove to them that believed 'the power of God unto salvation.'a

But not Christ's doctrine merely, nor yet even the Church which He planted upon earth, is this grain of mustard-seed in its central meaning. He is Himself at once the mustard-seed and the Man that sowed it. He is the mustard-seed, for the Church was originally enclosed in Him, and unfolded itself from him, having as much oneness of life with Him as the tree with the seed in which its rudiments were all enclosed, and out of which it grew; and the Sower, in that by a free act of his own, He gave *Himself* to that death whereby He became the Author of life unto many; as Himself has said,

This, too, may make part of its fitness here; for as little is the Gospel all sweets, being compared by Clement of Alexandria to the mustard-seed, ἐπιδάκονυσαν ὡφελίμως τήν ψυχήν. And in the Homily of an uncertain author: Sicut sinapis granum cum sumimus, vultu cor tristamur, fronte contrahimur, ad lacrimas permovemur, et ipsam salubritatem corporis nostri cum quodam fletu austeritatis accipimus, . . . tta ergo et cum fidei Christianæ mandata percimus, contristamur animo, affligimur corpore, ad lacrimas permovemur, et ipsam salutem nostram cum quodam fletu ac mærore consiquimur. This its active energy makes it as apt an emblem of the good as the ill: and thus when Darius, according to Eastern tradition, sent Alexander the Great a barrel of sesame, to acquaint him with the number of his soldiers, Alexander sent a bag of mustard-seed in return, to indicate the active, fiery, biting courage of his (D'Herbelot, Biblioth, Orient. s. v. Escander).

*Thus the author of a sermon on the martyrdom of St. Lawrence, ascribed to Augustine (Serm. 85, Appendix) and to Ambrose: Sicut enim granum sinadis primâ fronte speciei suæ est parvum, vile despectum, non saporem præstans, non odorem circumferens, non indicans suavitatem: at ubi teri cæperit, statim odorem suum fundit, acrimoniam exhibet, cibum flammei saporis exhalat, et tanto fervoris calore succenditur, ut mirum sit in tam frivolis [granis] tantum ignem fuisse conclusum; . . . ita ergo et fides Christiana prlmå fronte videtur esse parva, vilis, et tenuis, non potentiam suam ostendens, non superbiam præferens, non gratiam subministrans. Ambrose has much instructive, with something merely fanciful, on this parable (Exp. in Luc. vii. 176–186).

See a fragment of Irenæus (p. 347, Bened. ed.), who also notes how the mustard-seed was selected for its fiery and austere qualities (τὸ πυρρακὸς καὶ αὐστηρόν). So Tertullian, Adv. Marc. iv. 30.

^o Early Christian art had a true insight into this. Didron (*lconographie Chrétienne*, p. 208) describes this as a frequent symbol: Le Christ dans un tombeau: de sa bouche sort un arbre, sur les branches duquel sont les apôtres.

'Expect a corn of wheat fall into the ground and die, it abideth alone; but if it die, it bringeth forth much fruit' (John xii. 24). And the field in which he sowed this seed was the world;—'his field,' or, as St. Luke expresses it (xiii. 19), 'his garden;' for the world was made by Him, and coming to it, 'He came unto His own.'

This seed, when cast into the ground, is 'the least of all seeds,'words which have often perplexed interpreters, many seeds, as of poppy or rue, being smaller. Yet difficulties of this kind are not worth making; it is sufficient to know that 'small as a grain of mustard-seed' was a proverbial expression among the Jews for something exceedingly minute (see Luke xvii. 6). The Lord, in His popular teaching, adhered to the popular language. And as the mustard-seed, so was His kingdom. What, to the eye of flesh, could be less magnificent, what could have less of promise, than the commencements of that kingdom in His own person? Growing up in a distant and despised province, till his thirtieth year He did not emerge from the bosom of his family; then taught for two or three years in the neighboring towns and villages, and occasionally at Jerusalem; made a few converts, chiefly among the poor and unlearned; and at length, falling into the hand of his enemies, with no attempt at resistance on his own part or that of his followers, died a malefactor's death upon the cross. Such, and so slight, was the commencement of the universal kingdom of God; for herein that kingdom differs from the great scheme of this world; these last have a proud beginning, a shameful and miserable end—towers as of Babel, which at first threaten to be as high as heaven, but end a deserted misshapen heap of slime and bricks; while the works of God, and most of all His chief work, His Church, have a slight and unobserved beginning with gradual increasing and a glorious consummation. So it is with His kingdom in the world, a kingdom which came not with observation; so it is with His kingdom in any single heart: there too the word of Christ falls like a slight mustard-seed, seeming to promise little, but effecting, if allowed to grow, mighty and marvellous results. b For that seed which was the smallest of all seeds,

^{*} So also in the Coran (Sur. 31): Oh my son, verily every matter, whether good or bad, though it be of the weight of a grain of mustard-seed, and be hidden in a rock, or in the heavens, or in earth, God will bring the same to light.

b Jerome (Comm. in Matt. in loc.) brings out this difference well: Prædicatio Evangelii minima est omnibus disciplinis. Ad primam quippe doctrinam, fidem non habet veritatis, hominem Deum, Deum mortuum, et scandalum crucis prædicans. Confer hujscemodi doctrinam dogmatibus philosophorum, et libris eorum, splendori eloquentiæ, et compositioni sermonum, et videbis quanto minor sit ceteris seminibus sementis Evangelii. Sed illa cum creverit, nihil mordax, nihil vividum, nihil vitale

'when it is grown it is the greatest among herbs, and becometh a tree, so that the birds of the air come and lodge in the branches thereof." There is no exaggeration here. In hot countries, as in Iudæa, the mustard-tree attains a size of which we do not so much as dream in our colder latitudes, sometimes such as will allow a man to climb up into its branches (this, however, was counted worth recording), or to ride on horseback under them, as a traveller in Chili mentions that Maldonatus assures us that in Spain he has seen large he has done. ovens heated with its branches; often, too, he has noted when the seed was ripening, immense flocks of birds congregating upon the boughs, which yet were strong enough to sustain the weight without being broken. All this was probably familiar to our Lord's hearers as well, and presented a lively image to their minds. They, too, had beheld the birds of the air coming and lodging in the branches of the mustard-tree, and finding at once their food and their shelter there.

There is prophecy too in these words. As in that grand announcement of the kingdom of God (Ezek. xvii. 22-24) which has so many points of contact and resemblance with this parable, b it is said of the tender twig which the Lord shall plant, 'it shall bring forth boughs, and bear fruit, and be a goodly cedar, and under it shall dwell all fowls of every wing; in the shadow of the branches thereof shall they dwell; ' and as these last words announce there the refuge and defence which men shall find in the Church of God (cf. Ezek. xxxi. 6, 12), so must they have the same meaning here. Christ's kingdom shall attract multitudes by the shelter and protection which it offers; shelter, as it has often proved, from worldly oppression, shelter from the great power of the devil. Itself a tree of life whose leaves are for medicine and whose fruit for food (Ezek, xlvii, 12; Rev. xxii, 2), all who need the healing of their soul's hurts, all who need the satisfying of the soul's hunger, shall betake themselves to it; and all who do so shall be enabled to set their seal to the words of the Son of Sirach (xiv. 20, 26, 27), 'Blessed is the man that doth meditate good things in Wisdom. . . . He shall set his children under

demonstrat, sed totum flaccidum marcidumque, et mollitum ebullit in olera et in herbas quæ cito arescunt et corruunt. Hæc autem prædicatio, quæ parva videbatur in principio, cum vel in animâ credentis, vel in toto mundo sata fuerit, non exsurgit in olera, sed crescit in arborem.

[&]quot; Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. in loc.

b See Hengstenberg, Christologie, vol. ii. p. 556, 2d edit.

By 'the fowls of the air' [τοῦ οὐρανοῦ] Gregory of Nyssa (Hexaëm. Proëm) finely understands τὰς ὑψηλὰς καὶ μετεωροπόρους ψυχάς.

her shelter, and shall lodge under her branches; by her he shall be covered from heat, and in her glory shall he dwell.'

• Augustine (Serm. xliv. 2): Crevit Ecclesia, crediderunt gentes, vinti sunt terræ principes sub nomine Christi, ut essent victores in orbe terrarum. Persequebantur ante Christianos pro idolis, persequuntur idola propter Christum. Omnes contugiunt ad auxilium Ecclesiæ, in omni pressurâ, in omni tribulatione suâ. Crevit illud granum sinapis, veniunt volatilia cæli, superbi seculi, et acquiescunt sub ramis ejus.

4. THE LEAVEN.

MATTHEW iii. 33; LUKE xiii. 20, 21.

A NOTHER parable spake He unto them: The kingdom of heaven is like unto leaven, which a woman took, and hid in three measures of meal, till the whole was leavened.' This parable relates also to the marvellous increase of the kingdom of God; but, while the last set forth its outward visible manifestation, this declares its hidden working, its mysterious influence on the world which on all sides it touches. The mustard-seed does not for some while attract observation; nor until it has grown to some height do the birds of the air light upon its branches; but the leaven has been actively working from the first moment that it was hidden in the lump. Here, indeed, we are met at the outset by Gurtler, a Teelman, and some little bands of modern separatists,° who altogether deny that the parable has anything to do with the glorious developments of the kingdom of God. They take it rather as a prophecy of the heresies and corruption which should mingle with and adulterate the pure doctrine of the Gospel,—of the workings, in fact, of the future mystery of iniquity. The woman that hides the leaven in the meal is for them the apostate Church; which, with its ministers, they observe, is often represented under this image (Prov. ix. 13; Rev. xvii. 1; Zech. v. 7-11). The argument on which they mainly rely in support of this interpretation is, of course, that leaven is oftenest employed in the Scripture as the symbol of something evil (I Cor. v. 7; Luke xii. 1; Gal. v. 9). This is undoubtedly true. As such it was forbidden in the offerings under the Law (Exod. xiii. 3; Lev. ii. 11; Amos iv. 5), though not without an exception (Lev. xxiii.

Syst. Theol. Prophet. p. 590.

b Comm. in Luc. xvi. p. 59. seq.—Vitringa gives, with great impartiality, two entirely independent expositions of the parable, taking first the leaven in a good, then in an evil sense, but decides absolutely for neither.

^{**}Brief Exposition of Matthew xiii., by J. N. Darby, 1845, p. 40. He makes, in the same way, the parable of the mustard-seed to be a prophecy of the upgrowth of a proud world-hierarchy.

17). The strict command to the children of Israel, that they should carefully put away every particle of leaven out of their houses during the Passover week, rests on this view of it as evil; they were thus reminded that if they would rightly keep the feast, they must seek to cleanse their hearts from all workings of malice and wickedness. But conceding all upon which they rest their argument, it would still be impossible to accept their interpretation as the true. The parable, as the Lord declares, is of 'the kingdom of heaven;' it would in that case be a parable of another kingdom altogether. Announcing that there was one who should leaven through and through with a leaven of falsehood and corruption the entire kingdom of heaven, He would have announced that the gates of hell should prevail against it; He would have written failure upon His whole future work; there would, in that case, have remained no re-active energy, by which it could ever have been unleavened again.

But the admitted fact that leaven is, in Scripture, most commonly the type of what is false and corrupting, need not drive us to any interpretation which should be encumbered with embarrassments like these. It was not, therefore, the less free to use it in a good sense. In those other passages, the puffing up, disturbing, souring properties of leaven were the prominent points of comparison; in the present, its warmth, its penetrative energy, the way in which a little of it lends its own savour and virtue to much wherewith it is brought in contact. The figurative language of Scripture is not so stereotyped, that one figure must always stand for one and the same thing. The devil is 'a roaring *lion*, seeking whom he may devour' (r Pet. v. 8);

See our Collect for the First Sunday after Easter.—The Jews termed the figmentum malum, that in man which lusteth against the spirit, and hinders him from doing the things that he would, the leaven in the lump; and the reason is given in the book Sohar: Prava concupiscentia vocatur fermentum, quia parum ejus cor pervadit, et in tantum exturgescit, ut findatur pectus (see Schoettgen, Hor, Heb. vol. i. p. 597). The Romans had the same dislike to the use of leaven in sacred things: Farinam fermento imbutam attingere flamini Diali fas non est (Gell. x. 15, 19); Plutarch (Quast. Rom. 109) giving no doubt the true explanation: 'The leaven itself is born from corruption, and corrupts the mass with which it is mingled.' Thus it comes to pass that ἄοτοι καθαροί is used as = ἄζυμοι. So Jerome (Εφ. 31) gives the reason why honey was forbidden in the Levitical offerings (Lev. ii. 11): Apud Deum enim nihil voluptuosum, nihil tantum suave placet; nisi quod in se habet mordacis aliquid veritatis. It was the feeling of the unsuitableness of leaven in sacris which, in part, caused the Latin Church to contend so earnestly against the use of fermented bread in the Eucharist, calling those who used it fermentarii, though a historical interest also mingled in the question (see Augusti, Handb. d. Christl. Archäol, vol. ii. p. 662).

 $[\]triangleright$ Ζύμη from ζέω, as fermentum (= fecimentum) from ferveo: leaven (in French, levain) from levare, to lift up.

yet this does not hinder the same title from being applied to Christ, 'the Lion of the tribe of Judah' (Rev. v. 5); only there the subtlety and fierceness of the animal formed the point of comparison, here the nobility and kingliness and conquering strength.^a The silliness of the dove is in one place the point of comparison (Hos. vii. 11), its simplicity at another (Matt. x. 16). St. Cyril, then, could scarcely have had this parable in his mind when he said: 'Leaven. in the inspired writings, is always taken as the type of naughtiness and sin.' Ignatius shows rather by his own application of the image. how it may be freely used, now in a good, now in a bad, sense; for, warning against judaizing practices, he writes: 'Lay aside the evil leaven which has grown old and maketh sour, and be transmuted into the new leaven, which is Christ Jesus.' Nor is it to be forgotten that if, on one side, the operation of leaven upon meal presents an analogy to something evil in the spiritual world, it does also on the other to something good; its effect on bread being to render it more tasteful, lighter, more nourishing, and generally more wholesome.

We ought not, then, to take the parable in other than its obvious sense,—that it prophesies the diffusion, and not the corruptions, of the Gospel. By the leaven, we are to understand the word of the kingdom, which Word, in its highest sense, Christ Himself was. As the mustard-seed, out of which a mighty tree was to grow, was 'the least of all seeds,' so the leaven is also something apparently of slight account, but at the same time mighty in operation; in this fitly setting forth Him, of whom it was said, 'He hath no form nor comelness, and when we shall see Him, there is no beauty that we should desire Him; ' but then presently again, ' By his knowledge shall my righteous Servant justify many, . . . and He shall divide the spoil with the strong' (Isai, liii, 2, 11, 12); and who, when He had communicated of His life and spirit to his Apostles, enabled them too, in their turn, poor and mean and unlearned as they were, to become 'the salt of the earth,' the leaven of the world. For, in Chrysostom's words, 'that which is once leavened becomes leaven to the rest; since as the spark when it takes hold of wood, makes that which

[•] See Augustine (Serm. lxxiii. 2): Quid enim tam distat ab invicem, quam Christus et Diabolus? Tamen leo et Christus est appelatus, et Diabolus. . . . Ille leo, propter fortitudinem: ille leo, propter feritatem. Ille leo, ad vincendum: ille leo, ad nocendum. Cf. Serm. xxxii. 6.

b Hom. Paschal. 19.

Ad Magnes. 10. Cf. Gregory Naz. (Oral. xxxvi. 90), who says that Christ by
his Incarnation sanctised men, ωσπερ ζύμη γενόμενος τῷ παντὶ φυράματι, καὶ προς ἐαυτὸν
ἐνώσας.

is already kindled to transmit the flame, and so seizes still upon more, thus it is also with the preaching of the word.'a

Is it part of the natural machinery of the parable, the act of kneading being proper to women, that it should be 'a woman,' who hides the leaven in the three measures of meal? or shall we look for something more in it than this? A comparison with Luke xv. 8 (the woman who loses, and then seeks and finds, her piece of money) may suggest that the divine Wisdom (Prov. ix. 1-3), the Holy Spirit, which is the sanctifying power in humanity (and it is of that sanctifying that the word is here), may be intended. But if it be asked, Why represented as a woman? to this it may be replied, that the organ of the Spirit's working is the Church, which evidently would be most fitly represented under this image. In and through the Church the Spirit's work proceeds: only as the Spirit dwells in the Church (Rev. xxii. 7), is that able to mingle a nobler element in the mass of humanity, to leaven the world. So again, why should 'three' measures of meal be mentioned? It might be enough to answer, because it was just so much as would be often kneaded at one time (Gen. xviii. 6; Judg. vi. 19; 1 Sam. i. 24b). Yet the 'three' may intend something more, may prophecy of the spread of the Gospel through the three parts then known of the world; or, as Augustine will have it, of the ultimate leavening of the whole human race, derived from the three sons of Noah; which amounts to much the same thing. And those who, like Jerome and Ambrose, find in it a pledge of the sanctification of spirit, soul, and body (I Thess v. 23), are not upon a different track, if, as has not been ill suggested, Shem, Japheth, and Ham, do indeed answer to these elements, spirit, soul, and body, which together made up the man-the one or other element having, as is plainly the case, predominance in the descendants severally of the three.

But the leaven which is thus mingled with the lump, which acts on and coalesces with it, is at the same time different from it; for the woman *took* it from elsewhere to mingle it therein: and even such is the Gospel, a kingdom not of this world (John xviii. 36), not the unfolding of any powers which already existed therein, a kingdom

[•] In Matt. Hom. 46; see also Con. Ignoviam. Hom. iii. 2, So Cajetan: Christi discipuli, prima regni cælorum membra, spiritu penetrârunt corda hominum, crudaque ac acerba ad maturitatem ac saporem cælestis vitæ promoverunt.

b In the two last places of the Septuagint has τρία μέτρα. For the Gnostic perversion of this parable, these 'three measures' being sever ally men χοῖκοί (I Cor. xv. 47), ψυχικοί (I Cor. ii. 14), and πνευματικοί (Gal. vi. I), see Irenœus, Con. Hær. i. 8. 3. It furnishes a notable illustration of what has been said already (see p. 39) on the manner in which the Gnostics dealt with the parables.

not rising, as the secular kingdoms, 'out of the earth' (Dan. vii. 17), but a new power brought into the world from above; not a philosophy, but a Revelation. The Gospel of Christ was a new and quickening power cast into the midst of an old and dying world, a centre of life round which all the moral energies which still survived, and all which itself should awaken, might form and gather; -by the help of which the world might constitute itself anew. This leaven is not merely mingled with, but hidden in the mass which it renewed. For the true renovation, that which God effects, is ever thus from the inward to the outward; it begins in the inner spiritual world, though it does not end there: for it fails not to bring about, in good time, a mighty change also in the outward and visible world. This was wonderfully exemplified in the early history of Christianity. The leaven was effectually hidden. How striking is the entire ignorance which heathen writers betray of all that was going forward a little below the surface of society,—the manner in which they overlooked the mighty change which was preparing; and this, not merely at the first, when the mustard-tree might well escape notice, but, with slight exceptions, even up to the very moment when the open triumph of Christianity was at hand. Working from the centre to the circumference, by degrees it made itself felt, till at length the whole Roman world was, more or less leavened by it. Nor must we forget, that the mere external conversation of that whole world gives us a very inadequate measure of the work which had to be done: besides this, there was the eradication of the innumerable heathen practices and customs and feelings which had enwoven and entwined their fibres round the very heart of society; a work which lagged very considerably behind the other, and which, in fact, was never thoroughly accomplished till the whole structure of Roman society had gone to pieces, and the new Teutonic framework had been erected in its room.b

But while much has thus been effected, while the leavening of the

^{*} Augustine, in whose time the fading away of all the glory of the ancient world was daily becoming more apparent (mundus tantâ rerum labe contritus, ut etiam speciem seductionis amiserit), delighted to contemplate and to present the coming of Christ under this aspect. Thus Serm. lxxxi: Parum tibi præstitit Deus, quia in senectute mundi misit tibi Christum, ut tunc te reficiat, quando omnia deficiunt?

. . . Venit cum omnia veterascerent in occasum. Necesse erat ut abunderer laboribus: venit ille, et consolari te inter labores, et promittere tibi in sempiternum quietem. Noli adhærere velle seni mundo, et nolle juvenescere in Christo, qui tibi dicit: Perit mundus, senescit mundus, deficit mundus, laborat anhelitu senectutis. Noli timere, renovabitur juventus tua sicut aquilæ.

b On this subject there is much which is admirable in Tzschirner's Fall des Heidenthums, 1829.

mass has never ceased to go forward, yet the promise of the parable has hitherto been realized only in a very imperfect measure; nor can we consider these words, 'till the whole is leavened,' as less than a prophecy of a final complete triumph of the Gospel—that it will diffuse itself through all nations, and purify and ennoble all life. We may also fairly see in these words a pledge and assurance that the word of life, received into any single heart, shall not there cease its effectual working, till it has brought the whole man into obedience to it, sanctifying him wholly, so that he shall be altogether a new creation in Christ Jesus.^a It shall claim every region of man's being as its own, and make its presence felt through all. In fact, the parable does nothing less than set forth to us the mystery of regeneration, both in its first act, which can be but once, as the leaven is but once hidden; and also in the consequent renewal by the Holy Spirit, which, as the ulterior working of the leaven, is continual and progressive. This side of the truth is that exclusively brought out by Hammond, who thus paraphrases our Lord's words: 'The Gospel hath such a secret invisible influence on the hearts of men, to change them and affect them, and all the actions that flow from them, that it is fitly resembled to leaven, so mixed thoroughly with the whole, that although it appeareth not in any part of it visibly, yet every part hath a tincture from it.' We may fitly conclude, in the words of St. Ambrose: 'May the Holy Church, which is figured under the type of this woman in the Gospel, whose meal are we, hide the Lord Tesus in the innermost places of our hearts, till the warmth of the Divine wisdom penetrate into the most secret recesses of our souls."

^a Corn, a Lapide quotes from an earlier commentater: Dicit autem, Donec fermentatum est totum, quia caritas in mente nostrâ recondita eo usque crescere debet, ut totum mentem in sui perfectionem commutet, quod hic quidem inchoatur, in futuro vero perficitur.

b Exp. in Luc. vii. 187.—Clement of Alexandria (p. 694, Potter's ed.) gives an admirable exposition of the parable, and in very few words. The kingdom of heaven, he says, is likened to leaven, δτι ἡ ἰσχὺς τοῦ Λόγου σύντομος οὖσα καὶ δυνοτὴ, πάντα τὸν καταδεξάμενον καὶ ἐντὸς ἐαυτοῦ κτησόμενον αὐτὴν, ἐπικεκρυμμένως τε καὶ ἀφανῶς πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἐλκει, καὶ τὸ πῶν αὐτοῦ σύστημα εἰς ἐνότετα συνάγει.

5. THE HID TREASURE.

MATTHEW xiii. 44.

THE kingdom of God is not merely a general, it is also a personal, thing. It is not merely a troo areal. al, thing. It is not merely a tree overshadowing the earth, or leaven leavening the world, but each man must have it for himself. and make it his own by a distinct act of his own will. He cannot be a Christian without knowing it. He may indeed come under the shadow of this great tree, and partake of many blessings of its shelter: he may dwell in a Christendom which has been leavened with the leaven of the truth, and so in a degree himself share in the universal leavening. But more than this is needed, and more than this in every elect soul will find place. There will be a personal appropriation of the benefit; and we have the history of this in these two parablesa which follow. They were spoken, not to the multitude, not to those 'without,' but in the house (ver. 36), and to the inner circle of disciples; who are addressed as having lighted on the hid treasure, having found the pearl of price; and are now warned of the surpassing worth of these, and that, for their sakes, all which would hinder from making them securely their own, must be joyfully renounced.

The second parable repeats what the first has said, but repeats it with a difference; they are each the complement of the other: so that under one or other, as finders either of the pearl or of the hid treasure, may be ranged all who become partakers of the blessings of the Gospel of Christ. Of these there are some who feel that there must be some absolute good for man, in the possession of which he shall be blessed and find the satisfaction of all his longings; who are therefore seeking everywhere and inquiring for this good. Such are likened to the merchant that has distinctly set before himself the

^{*} Origen (Comm. in Matt.) observes that these would more fitly be called similitudes (ὁμοιώσεις) than parables, which name, he says, is not given to them in the Scriptures: yet see ver. 53.—For a series of these briefer parables as in use among the Jews, see Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. i. pp. 83-85.

purpose of seeking and obtaining goodly pearls. They are the fewer in number, but all likely to prove the noblest servants of the truth. There are others, who do not discover that there is an aim and a purpose for man's life, or that there is a truth for him at all, until the truth as it is in Jesus is revealed to them. Such are compared to the finder of the hid treasure, who stumbled upon it unawares, neither expecting nor looking for it. While the others felt that there was a good, and were looking for it, the discovery of the good itself for the first time reveals to these that there is such at all; whose joy, therefore, as greater,—being the joy at the discovery of an unlookedfor treasure, -is expressed; that of the others, not. Thus Hammond, bringing out this distinction, paraphrases the two parables thus: 'The Gospel being by some not looked after, is yet sometimes met with by them, and becomes matter of infinite joy and desire to them: and so is likened fitly to a treasure, which a man finding casually in a field, hid again, or concealed it, and then, designing to get into his possession, accounts no price he can pay too dear for it. Others there are which have followed the study of wisdom, and thirsted after some instruction: and then the Gospel of Christ comes as a rich prize doth to a merchant, which is in pursuit of rich merchandize, and meeting with a jewel for his turn, lays out all his estate upon it.'

The cases of Jew and Gentile will respectively exemplify the contrast between the Pearl and the Hid Treasure; though, of course, in the case of the Jews, or the larger part of them, the illustration cannot be carried through, as they, though seeking pearls, having a zeal for righteousness, yet, when the pearl of great price was offered to them, were not willing to 'sell all,' to renounce their peculiar privileges, their self-righteousness, and all else which they held dear, that they might buy that pearl. The Gentiles, on the contrary, came upon the treasure unawares. Christ was found of them that sought Him not, and the blessings of His truth revealed to them who before had not divined that there were such blessings for man (Rom. ix. 30) or, again, we might instance Nathanael as an example of the more receptive nature, of one who has the truth found for him; or a still

^{*} Grotius: Doctrina Evangelica quibusdam affulsit, neque de Deo, neque de vità emendandà, neque de spe vitæ alterius quicquam cogitantibus, quales erant plerique in gentibus externis, quibus illud vaticinium Paulus aptat: Inventus sum non quærentibus me. Erant et sapientiæ studiosi inter Judæos et alibi, qui veritatiscognoscendæ desiderio quodam tangebantur quive Prophetam aliquem aut ipsum etiam Messiam avidis animis expectabant. Priores respicet thesauri comparatio, posteriores ista de unione. Bengel recognizes the same distinction: Inventio thesauri non præsupponit rò quærere, ut margaritæ, quæ percontione inveniuntur. Alex. Knox (Remains, vol. i. p. 416, seq.) has very excellent remarks to the same effect.

more striking example,—the Samaritan woman (John iv.), who, when she came on that memorable day to draw water from the well, anticipating anything rather than lighting on the hid treasure. Yet in this character there cannot be a total absence of a seeking for the truth: only it is a desire that has hitherto slumbered in the soul, and displays itself rather as a love of the truth when revealed, and at once a joyful and submissive acquiescence to it, than in any active previous quest. In both, there must be the same willingness to embrace it when known, and to hold it fast, at whatever costs and hazards. the other hand, we have, perhaps, no such record of a noble nature, seeking the pearl of price, and not resting till he had found it, as that which Augustine gives of himself in his Confessions; though others are not wanting, such as Justin Martyr's account of his own conversion, given in his first dialogue with Trypho, in which he tells how he had travelled through the whole circle of Greek philosophy. seeking everywhere for that which would satisfy the deepest needs of his soul, and ever seeking in vain, till he found it at length in the Gospel of Christ. We derive a further confirmation of this view of the parables, and that it is not a mere fancy, from the forms which they severally assume. In this the treasure is the prominent circumstance; 'The kingdom of heaven is like unto treasure.' Now if the other had been cast in exactly the same mould, it would have been said, 'The kingdom of heaven is like unto a pearl; ' the words, however, run not so; but rather, ' The kingdom of heaven is like unto a merchantman; 'so that the person seeking is in that parable at the centre of the spiritual picture, the thing found, in this. This is scarcely accidental.

The circumstance which supplies the groundwork of this first parable, namely, the finding of a concealed treasure, is of much more frequent occurrence in an insecure state of society, such as in almost all ages has prevailed in the East, than happily it can be with us. A writer on Oriental literature and customs mentions that in the East, on account of the frequent changes of dynasties, and the revolutions which accompany them, many rich men divide their goods into three parts: one they employ in commerce, or for their necessary support; one they turn into jewels, which, should it prove needful to fly, could be easily carried with them; a third part they bury.

^{*} Θησαυρύς, i.e. συναγωγή χρημάτων κεκρυμμένη, as an old Lexicon explains it. The derivation τίθημι and αὖρον (—aurum), the receptacle of gold, is inadmissible, since αὖρον is not so old as θησαυρός itself.—The Jurisconsult Paulus gives its legal definition: Thesaurus est tam vetus depositio pecuniæ, ut ejus non exstet memoria, et jam dominum non habeat.

But as they trust no one with the place where the treasure is buried, so is the same, should they not return to the spot before their death. as good as lost to the living (Jer. xli. 8), until, by chance, a lucky peasant digging in his field, lights upon it. b And thus, when we read in Eastern tales, how a man has found a buried treasure, and, in a moment, risen from poverty to great riches, this is, in fact, no strange or rare occurance, but a natural consequence of the customs of these people.º Modern books of travels bear witness to the universal belief in the existence of such hid treasures; so that the traveller often finds much difficulty in obtaining information about antiquities, is sometimes seriously inconvenienced, or even endangered, in his researches among ancient ruins, by the jealousy of the neighbouring inhabitants, who fear lest he is coming to carry away concealed hoards of wealth from among them, of which, by some means or other, he has got notice. And so also the skill of an Eastern magician in great part consists in being able to detect the places where these secreted treasures will successfully be looked for.d Often, too, a man abandoning the regular pursuits of industry will devote himself to treasureseeking, in the hope of growing through some happy chance, rich of a sudden (Job iii. 21; Prov. ii. 4). The contrast, however, between this parable and the following, noticed already, will not allow us to assume the finder here to have been in search of the treasure; he rather stumbles upon it, strikes it with plough or spade, unawares, and thinking of no such thing: probably while engaged as a hireling in cultivating the field of another.

Some draw a distinction between 'the field' and 'the treasure.' The first is the Holy Scriptures; the second the hidden mystery of the knowledge of Christ contained in them, which when a man has partly perceived,—discovered, that is, and got a glimpse of the

^{*} Gregory of Nyssa (Orat. con. Usurar. vol. ii. p. 233, Paris, 1638) has a curious story of an avaricious and wealthy usurer of his day, all whose property was thus lost to his children.

b Compare the Aulularia of Plautus, Prolog. 6-12.

Richardson (Dissert. on the Languages, &c. of Eastern Nations, p. 180). Compare the strange story told by Tacitus, Annal. xvi. 1-3.

^d See Burder, Oriental Literature, vol. i. p. 275; and for evidence of the same in old time, Becker, Charicles, vol. i. p. 224.

Horace: O si urnam argenti fors qua mihi monstret! Perius: O si Sub rastro crepet argenti mihi seria!

^{*}So Jerome (Comm. in Matt. in loc.): Thesaurus iste, . . . sanctæ Scripturæ in quibus reposita est notia Salvatoris; and Augustine (Quæst. Evang. i. qu. 13): Thesaurum in agro absconditum, dixit duo Testamenta Legis in Ecclesia, quæ quis cum ex parte intellectus attigerit, sentit illic magna latere, et vadit etvendit omnia sua, et emit agrum illum, id est, contemtu temporalium comparat sibi otium, ut sit dives cognitione Dei.

treasure,—he is willing to renounce all meaner aims and objects; that, having leisure to search more and more into those Scriptures. to make them his own, he may enrich himself for ever with the knowledge of Christ which therein is contained. Yet to me 'the field' rather represents the outer visible Church, as contradistinguished from the inward spiritual, with which 'the treasure' will then agree. As the man who before looked on the field with careless eye, prized it but as another field, now sees in it a new worth, resolves that nothing shall separate him from it, so he who recognizes the Church, not as a human institute, but a divine, as a dispenser. not of earthly gifts, but of heavenly,—who has learned that God is in the midst of it,—sees now that it is something different from, and something more than, all earthly societies, with which hitherto he has confounded it: and henceforth it is precious in his sight, even to its outermost skirts, for the sake of that inward glory which is revealed to his eyes. And he sees, too, that blessedness is unalterably linked to communion with it. As the man cannot have the treasure and leave the field, but both or neither must be his, so he cannot have Christ except in His Church; none but the golden pipes of the sanctuary are used for the conveyance of the golden oil (Zech. iv. 12); he cannot have Christ in his heart, and, at the same time, separate his fortunes from those of Christ's struggling, suffering, warring Church. The treasure and the field go together; both, or neither, must be his.

This treasure 'when a man hath found he hideth;' having laid it open in the discovery, he covers it up again, while he goes and effects the purchase of the field. This cannot mean that he who has discovered the treasures of wisdom and knowledge that are hidden in Christ Jesus, will desire to keep his knowledge to himself; since rather he will feel himself, as he never did before, a debtor to all men, to make all partakers of the benefit. He will go to his brother man, like Andrew to Peter, and saying to him, 'We have found the Messias' (John i. 41), will seek to bring him to Jesus. If he hide the treasure, this hiding will be, not lest another should find, but lest he himself should lose it. In the first moments that the truth is

[•] Origen's view in the striking passage, De Prin. iv. 23, namely, that 'the field' is the letter, and 'the hid treasure' the spiritual or allegorical meaning, underlying this letter, is only a modification of the same.

b Maldonatus: Non ne alius inveniat, sed ne ipse perdat; Jerome (Comm. in Matt. in loc.): Non quod hoc de invidiâ faciat, sed quod timore servantis et nolentis perdere, abscondit in corde suo quem pristinis prætulit facultantibus. H. de Sto. Victore differently (De Arcâ Mor. iii.6): Thesaurum inventum manifestat, qui acceptum donum Sapientiæ in ostentatione portat. Thesaurum autem inventum abscondit, qui

revealed to a soul there may well be a tremulous fear lest the blessing found should, by some means or other, escape from it again; the anxiety that it may not do so, the jealous precautions for this end taken, would seem to be the truth signified by this re-concealment of the found treasure.

Having thus secured it for the moment, the finder, 'for joy thereof (or, for his joy), goeth and selleth all that he hath, and buyeth that field.' The joy is expressly mentioned here, being that in the strength whereof the finder of the spiritual treasure is enabled to part with everything besides.* No compulsion, no command is necessary; 'for joy thereof' he cannot do otherwise; all other things have now no glory, 'by reason of the glory which excelleth.' Augustine excellently illustrates from his own experience this part of the parable. Describing the crisis of his own conversion, and how easy he found it, through his joy, to give up all which he had long dreaded to be obliged to renounce, which had so long held him fast bound in the chains of evil custom; and which if he renounced, it had seemed to him as though life itself would not be worth living, he exclaims: 'How sweet did it at once become to me to want the sweetnesses of those toys! and what I feared to be parted from was now a joy to part with. For thou didst cast them forth from me, Thou true and highest sweetness. Thou castedst them forth, and, for them, enteredst in Thyself, sweeter than all pleasure.' The parting with those sinful delights which had hitherto held him bound was, in Augustine's case, the selling of all that he had, that he might buy the field. Compare Phil. iii. 4-11, where St. Paul declares how he too sold all that he had, renounced his trust in his own righteousness, in his spiritual and fleshly privileges, that he might 'win Christ, and be found in Him.' In each of these illustrious instances the man parted with the dearest thing that he had, so to make the treasure his own: though, in each case, the thing parted with how different! So, too, whenever any man renounces what is closest to him, rather than that they should his embracing and making his own all the blessings of Christ,-when the lover of money renounces his covetousness,—and the indolent man his ease,—and the lover of pleasure his pleasure,—and the wise man his confidence in the wisdom of this world, then each is selling what he has, that he may buy the field which contains the treasure.

accepto dono Sapientiæ non forsis in occulis hominum, sed intus coram Deo inde gloriari quærit.

^{*} Bengel: Gaudium spirituale, stimulus abnegandi mundum.

b Confess. ix. 1: Quam suave mihi subito factum est carere suavitatibus nugarum, et quas amittere metus fuerat, jam dimittere gaudium erat. Ejiciebas enim eas a me, wera tu et summa suavitas, ejiciebas et intrabas pro eis, omni voluptate dulcior.

Yet this selling of all is no arbitrary condition, imposed from without, but rather a delightful constraint, acknowledged within: even as a man would willingly fling down pebbles and mosses with which he had been filling his hands, if pearls and precious stones were offered him in their stead; or, as the dead leaves, of themselves fall off from the tree when propelled by the new buds and blossoms which are forcing their way from behind.

A difficulty has been sometimes found in the circumstance of the finder of the treasure purchasing the field, b at the same time keeping back, as plainly he does, from the owner the knowledge of the fact which enhanced its value so much; which, had the other known, either he would not have parted with it at all, or only at a much higher price. They argue that it is against the decorum of the divine teaching and the Divine Teacher that an action, morally questionable at least, if not absolutely unrighteous, should be used even for the outward setting forth of a spiritual action which is commended and urged upon others as worthy of imitation; that there is a certain approbation of the action conveyed, even in the use of it for such ends; in fact, they find the same difficulty here as in the parables of the Unjust Steward and the Unjust Judge. Olshausen, so far from evading the difficulty, or seeking to rescue the present parable from underlying the same difficulty as undoubtedly cleaves to one of those. himself urges the likeness which exists between the two, and affirms that, in both, prudence (klugheit) with regard to divine things is commended; so that they are parables of the same class, and in this respect, at least, containing the same moral. But to the objection made above it seems enough to reply, that not every part of his conduct who found the treasure is proposed for imitation, but only his

[•] Augustine: Ecce betis a Deo, et dicis, Domine, da mihi. Quid tibi dabit, qui aliunde manus tuas videt occupatus? Ecce Dominus vult dare quæ sua sunt, et non videt, ubi ponat. And again (in 1 Ep. Joh. Tract. 4): Bono implendus es, funde malum. Puta quia melle te vult implere Deus. Si aceto plenus es, ubi mel pones? Fundendum est quod portabat vas. Mundandum est, etsi cum labore, cum triturâ: ut fiat aptum cuidam rei.

Pancient history, as noticed by Vitringa (Erklär. d. Parab. p. 235), offers an account nearly answering to that which supplies the groundwork of this parable. After the defeat of Mardonius at Platæa, a report was current that he had left vast treasures buried within the circuit where his tents had stood; Polycrates, a Theban, buying the ground, sought long for the treasure, but not finding it inquired at Delphi, and was told 'to turn every stone,' which doing, he found it. Such the proverb-collectors give as the origin of the proverb, Πάντα λίφον κίνει (Param. Græc. Oxf. 1836, p. 363).

[•] Augustine (Enarr. in. Ps. lvii. 6): Non undecunque datur similitudo a Scripturis, laudatur ipsa res, sed tantum inde similitudo trahiter. In books of casuistry, where they treat of the question, how far and where a finder has a right to appropriate

earnestness in securing the treasure found, his fixed purpose to make it at all costs and all hazards his own, and (which, I suppose, is Olshausen's meaning) his prudence, without any affirmation that the actual manner in which that prudence was exercised was praiseworthy or not."

things found, this parable is frequently adduced, as by Aquinas (Summ. Theol, ii. qu. 69, art. 5): Circa res inventas est distinguendum. Quædum enim sunt, quæ nunquam fuerint in bonis alicujus, sicut lapilli et gemmæ quæ inveniuntur in litore maris. Et talia occupanti conceduntur, et eadam ratio est de thesauris antiquo tempore sub terrà occulatis, quorum non exstat aliquis possessor: nisi quod secundum leges civiles tenetur inventor dare medietatem domino agri, si in alieno agro invenerit. Propter quod in parabolà dicitur (Matt. xiii.) de inventore thesauri, quod emit agrum, quasi ut haberet jus possidendi totum thesaurum.

Calderon has founded several of his Autos on parables of our Lord; thus El Tesoro Escondido (Autos, Madrid, 1759, vol. iii. p. 372), as its name sufficiently indicates, on this; La Viña del Señor (vol. iii. p. 162) on that of the Wicked Husbandmen; La Semilla y la Zizaña (vol. v. p. 316) on those of the Sower and the Tares combined. Any one of these, were there room for it, would be well worthy of analysis, both for its own sake, and as showing the capabilities of highest poetical treatment which, in a great poet's hands, the parables possess; the latent and as yet unfolded germs of beauty and grandeur which they contain.

6. THE PEARL OF GREAT PRICE.

MATTHEW xiii. 45, 46.

A LMOST all which it would have needed to say upon this parable, had it stood alone, has been anticipated in the sister parable, which has just gone before. The relations in which the two stand to one another have been already noticed. We have not here, as there, merely a finder, but also a seeker, of true wisdom—' The kingdom of God is like unto a merchant-man's seeking goodly pearls.' To find them has been the object of his labours: 'the search is therefore determinate, discriminative, unremitting.' He has set this purpose distinctly before him, and to it is bending all his energies; he is one who has understood that man was not made in vain, that there must be a centre of peace for him, a good that will satisfy all the cravings of his soul, and who is determined not to rest till he has found that good. As yet he may not know that it is but one, for at his starting he is seeking many goodly pearls, but perhaps imagines that it is to be made up and combined from many quarters: but this also will be revealed to him in due time.

It makes much for the beauty of the parable, and the fitness of the image used to set forth the surpassing value of the kingdom of God, that we keep in mind the esteem in which pearls were held in antiquity, sums almost incredible having been given for single pearls,

^a The pearl-merchant was termed *margaritarius*, a name sometimes also given to the diver.

Augustine (Serm. de Disc. Christ. vi. p. 583, Bened. ed.) assumes the oneness of that which here is found as furnishing another point of contrast, besides those already detailed, between this parable and the last. There the kingdom of heaven is presented as manifold, even as a treasure would contain precious things of various kinds laid up in it; here it is presented in its unity—as much as to say, This which is so multifold, is also single and at heart but one.

[°] Pliny: Principium culmenque omnium rerum pretii margaritæ tenent: and the word which was rendered (Prov. iii. 15; viii. 11; xx. 15; xxxi. 10; Job xxviii. 18) by earlier translators of Scripture most commonly as 'rubies' (פְּנִינִים) is generally believed now to signify pearls (Gr. πίννα).

when perfect of their kind. There are many defects which materially diminished their value, as for instance, if they had a yellow or dusky tinge, or were not absolutely round or smooth. The skill and wariness which the pearl-merchant therefore needed, if he would not have a meaner thing imposed on him in place of the best, will not be without its answer in the spiritual world. There are many pearls of an inferior quality, but this merchant is seeking 'goodly' pearls; as he whom the merchants represents, has set before himself, not low and poor, but noble and worthy, aims; and this even in times anterior to that in which he finds the pearl of price. He is not one living for sensual objects. He has not made pleasure, or gain, or the high places of the world, the end and scope of his toils. But he has been, it may be, a philanthropist, a seeker of wisdom, a worshipper of the beautiful in nature or in art; one who has hoped to find his soul's satisfaction in some one of these things. 'Who, when he had found one pearl of great price, went and sold all that he had, and bought it.' This ' pearl of great price,' what is it? Many answers have been given, which yet, diverging as they may seem from one another, grow all out of one and the same root; all ultimately resolve themselves into one.° Whether we say the pearl is the kingdom of God within a man,—or the knowledge of Christ, d—or Christ Himself, -we do but in different ways express one and the same thing.

^{*}Augustine (Serm. xxxvii. 3): Discite lapides æstimare, negotiatores regni cælorum.

b Origen (Comm. in Matt. in loc.) has much curious learning about pearls; and details the theory of their formation current in antiquity. The fish conceived the pearl from the dew of heaven, and according to the quality of the dew, it was pure and round, or cloudy or deformed with specks (see Pliny, H. N. ix. 35; Ammianus Marcellinus, xxiii. 6. 85). The state of the atmosphere at the time of conception, and the hour of the day, had great influence on their size and colour. Thus Isidore Hisp.: Meliores . . . candidæ margaritæ quam quæ flavescunt: illas enim aut juventus, aut matutini roris conceptio reddit candidas; has senectus vel vespertinus aër reddit obscuras. Cf. Gresswell, Expos. of the Parables, vol. ii. pp. 210-222; and Bochart, Hierozoicon, pars ii. 5, 5-8.

^{*} See Suicer, Thel. s. v. μαργαρίτης.

⁴ H. de Sto, Victore (Annot. in Matt.): Bonæ margaritæ, lex et prophetæ: una pretiosa, Salvatoris scientio. Origen hos these instructive references, Matt. xvii. 5-8; 2 Cor. iii. 10. Schoettgen observes (Hor. Heb. vol. i. p. 132): Judæi doctrinas et lectiones pulcras ac notatu dignas vocarunt margaritas. Von Bohlen (Das Alt. Ind. vol. ii. p. 122) derives margarita from a Sanscrit word, manaâritâ, signifying The pure. Another name it bore signified The beloved.

[•] Theophylact says, that it was at a moment when it lightened that the conception of the pearl from the heavenly dew took place; which explains an otherwise obscure passage in Clement of Alexandria (Potter's ed. p. 1014): 'This Pearl is the most pellucid and pure Jesus, whom the Virgin conceived from the divine lightning.' Augustine, too (Quast. ex Matt. qu. 13), likens Christ to the pearl, though he does.

The merchant, having found this excellent pearl, 'went and sold all that he had, and bought it.'a What this selling implies, has been already seen; b and to understand what the buying means, and what it does not mean, we may compare Isai. lv. 1; Matt. xxv. 9, 10; Rev. iii 18; and Prov. xxiii. 23, 'Buy the truth, and sell it not;' obtain the truth at any price, and let no price tempt you to part with it. Chrysostom calls our attention here to the one pearl which the merchant finds, and the many which he had been seeking.º The same contrast is marked elsewhere: Martha is troubled about many things; Mary has found that but one thing is needful (Luke x, 41, 42). There is but one such pearl (though every seeker may obtain that one, even as God is one; and the truth possessed restores that unity to the heart of man, which sin had destroyed. The heart which had been as a mirror shattered into a thousand fragments, and every fragment reflecting some different object, is now reunited again, and the whole with more or less clearness reflects, as it was at the first intended to do, the one image of God. It is God alone in whom any intelligent creature can find its centre and true repose; only when man has found Him, does the great Eureka burst forth from his lips; in Augustine's beautiful and often-quoted words. Lord, Thou hast made us for Thee, and our heart is disquieted till it resteth in Thee.'d

Before leaving this parable, it may be worth while to mention an interpretation which strangely reverses the whole matter. The merchant seeking goodly pearls is not Christ Himself. The Church of the elect is the pearl of price; which that He might purchase and make his own, He parted with all that He had, emptying Himself of His divine glory, and taking the form of a servant. Or yet more ingeniously, the pearl, as in the common explanation, is the kingdom

not bring out this point of comparison: Est enim Verbum Domini lucidum candore veritatis, et solidum firmitate æternitatis, et undique sui simile pulcritudine divinitatis, qui Deus penetratâ carnis testudine intelligendus est. Bochart (*Hierozoicon*, pars ii. 4, 8, in fine) has a graceful bringing out of the points of likeness between the kingdom of God and a pearl.

Prudentius (Psychom. 872-874) has a fine allusion.

b Vitringa tells here the story of Galeazzo Caraccioli as an illustration of what this selling of all before now has meant for one who, having found the pearl of price, has resolved at all costs to make it his own.

Μία γάρ ἐστιν ἡ ἀλήθεια καὶ οὐ πολυσχιδής.

d Fecisti nos propter te, et inquietum est cor nostrum donec requiescat in te.

[•] Salmeron (Serm. in Par. Evang. p. 66) applies the same to the parable preceding: Homo qui invenit thesaurum, hoc est, pretiosam Ecclesiam electorum . . . Christus est, qui pro comparando tanto sanctorum thesauro omnia bona sua distraxit. Compare the Brief Exposition of Matth. xiii. by J. N. Darby, pp. 30, 31.

of heaven; but Christ the merchant, who to secure that kingdom to us and make it ours, though He was so rich, gladly made Himself poor, buying that pearl and that treasure,—not indeed for Himself, but for us.*

^a So Drexelius, (*Opp*, vol. i. p. 209): Quis verior Christo Domino mercator, qui pretium sui sanguinis infinitum pro pretiosis illis mercibus dedit? Vere abiit, vendiditque omnia, famam, sanguinem, vitem exposuit, ut nobis cælum emeret. Compare the *Theol. Stud. und Krit.* 1846, pp. 939-946.

7. THE DRAW-NET.

MATTHEW xiii. 47-50.

HIS parable might at first sight seem merely to say over again what the Tares had said already. Maldonatus, ascribing absolute identity of purpose to the two, conceives the parables of this chapter not to be set down in the order wherein the Lord spoke them, but this to have immediately followed upon that. Here, however, he is clearly mistaken; there is this fundamental difference between them, that is the present intermixture, of this, the future separation, of the good and the bad; of that, that men are not to effect the separation; of this, that the separation will one day, by God, be effected. The order in which we have the parables is that in which they were spoken; that other relating to the progressive development, this to the final consummation, of the Church. Olshausen draws a further distinction between the two; in that, the kingdom of God is represented rather in its idea, coextensive, as it shall ultimately be, with the whole world; in this, in its present imperfect form, as a less contained in a greater, though tending to spread over and embrace that greater; the Church gathering in its members from the world, as the net its fish from the sea.

With all this, the parables resemble one another so nearly, that much which has been already said, in considering the other, will apply to this. The same use has been made of both; there is the same continual appeal to both in the Donatist controversy; both convey the same lesson, namely, that He who founded a Church upon earth did not contemplate that Church as a communion free from all intermixture of evil; but as there was a Ham in the ark, and a Judas among the twelve, so there should be a Babylon even within the bosom of the spiritual Israel; Esau should contend with Jacob even in the Church's womb,* till, like another Rebekah, she should often be compelled to exclaim, 'Why am I thus?' (Gen. xxv.

[•] See Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. cxxvi. 3.

22). They convey, too, the same further lesson, that all this will in nowise justify self-willed departure from the fellowship of the Church, an impatient looking over, or breaking through, the nets, as here it has often been called. The separation of a more unerring hand than man's is patiently to be waited for, which shall not fail to arrive when the mystery of the present dispensation has been accomplished.^a

This parable, the last in this grand series, commences thus: 'Again, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a net that was cast into the sea, and gathered of every kind.' If we ask to what manner of net the kingdom of heaven is likened here, the heading of the chapter in our Bibles calls it a 'draw-net,' and the word of the original leaves no doubt upon the subject. The seine or sean, b for the word has been

a The following extracts will show the uses to which the parable was turned. Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. lxiv. 6): Jam in mari capti per retia fidei, gaudeamus nos ibi natare adhuc intra retia, quia adhuc mare hoc sævit procellis, sed retia quæ nos ceperunt perducentur ad litus. Interim intra ipsa retia, fratres, bene vivamus, non retia rumpentes foras exeamus. Multi enim ruperunt retia et schismata fecerunt, et foras exierunt. Quia malos pisces intra coptos tolerare se nolle dixerunt, ipsi mali facti sunt potius, quam illi quos se non potuisse tolerare dixerunt.—The curious ballad verses, in a sort of Saturnian metre, and written, as Augustine tells us, to bring the subject within the comprehension of the most unlearned, begin with a reference to this parable:

Abundantia peccatorum solet fratres conturbare; Propter hoc Dominus noster voluit nos præmonere, Comparans regnum cælorum reticulo misso in mare, Congreganti multos pisces, omne genus hinc et inde, Quos cum traxissent ad litus, tunc cæperunt separare, Bonos in vasa miserunt, raliquos malos in mare. Quisquis recolit Evangelium, recognoscat cum timore: Videt reticulum Ecclesiam, videt hoc seculum mare, Genus autem mixtum piscis, justus est cum peccatore: Seculi finis est litus, tunc est tempus separare: Quando retia ruperunt, multum dilexerunt mare. Vasa sunt sedes sanctorum, quo non possunt pervenire.

One or two quotations from the minutes of the Conference at Carthage will show how the Donatists sought to evade the force of the arguments drawn from this parable. They did not deny that, since bad and good were in the net, it must follow that sinners are mixed with righteous in the Church upon earth; and that Christ contemplated such a mixture; only they affirmed (Coll. Carth. d. 3), hoc de reis latentibus dictum, quoniam reticulum in mari positum quid habeat, a piscatoribus, id est a sacerdotibus, ignoratur, donec extractum ad litus ad purgationem boni seu mali prodantur. Ita et latentes et in Ecclesia constituti, et a sacerdotibus ignorati, iu divino judicio proditi, tanquam pisces mali a sanctorum consortio separantur. They take refuge here in an accidental feature of the parable; and Augustine well rejoins, with allusion to Matt. iii. 12 (Ad Don. post Coll. 10): Numquid et area sub aquá vel terrá trituratur, aut certe nocturnis horis, non in sole, conteritur, aut in eâ rusticus cæcus operatur?

• Σαγήνη (not from ἔσω ἄγειν. but from σάττω, σέσαγα, onero), בְּחַרֶּח, a hauling net, as distinguished from the ἀμφίβληστρον or casting net (Matt. iv. 18); in Latin,

naturalized in English, is a net of immense length, suffering nothing to escape from it. This, its all-embracing nature, is no accidental or unimportant feature, but makes the parable prophetic of the wide reachand effectual operation of the Gospel. The kingdom of heaven should henceforward be a net, not cast into a single stream as hitherto, but into the broad sea of the whole world, and gathering 'of every kind,' out of every kindred and tongue and people and nation; or, as some understand it, men good or bad; that as the servants, in another parable, 'gather together all, as many as they found, both bad and good' (Matt. xxii. 10); so here the same servants collect of all kinds within the folds of their net; men of every diversity of moral character having the Gospel preached to them, and finding themselves within the limits of the visible Church.

But as all use not aright the advantages which fellowship with

tragum, tragula, verriculum; vasta sagena, as Manilius calls it. On the coast of Cornwall, where the 'sean' is well known, it is sometimes half a mile long. Leaded below, that it may sweep the bottom of the sea, and supported with corks above, it is carried out so as to enclose a large space of sea; the ends are then brought together, and it, with all it contains, is drawn up upon the shore. Cicero, calls Verres, with a play upon his name, everriculum in provincia, in that he swept all before him; and in the Greek Fathers we have θανάτου σαγήνη, κατακλυσμού σαγήνη (Suicer, Thes. s. v.); see Hab. i, 15-17, LXX, where the mighty reach of the Chaldean conquests is set forth under this image, and by this word. In this view of it, as an ἀπέραντου δίκτυου 'Ατης, how grand is Homer's comparison (Od. xxii. 384) of the slaughtered suiters; whom Ulysses saw,

ωστ' ὶχθύας, οὕσθ' ἀλιῆες κοῖλον ἐς αἰγιαλὸν πολιῆς ἔκτοσθε θαλάσσης δικτύω ἐξέρυσαν πολυωπώ. Οἱ δέ τε πάντες, κύμαθ' ἀλὸς ποθέοντες, ἐπὶ ψαμάθοισι κέχυνται.

Herodotus (iii. 149; vi. 31) tells us how the Persians swept away the population from some of the Greek islands; a chain of men, holding hand in hand, and stretching across the whole island, advanced over its whole length taking the entire population as in a draw-net; and to this process the technical word $\sigma_{\alpha\gamma\eta\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu}$ was applied. In Bonwick's Last of the Tasmanians is a full account of a very singular attempt, about the year 1830, to compel, by a rougher process of the same kind, the whole surviving black population of Van Dieman's Land into one corner of the island, and to bring them so within the power of the Government. It issued, as might have been expected in an attempt over so vast an extent of territory, in total failure, in the capture of a single black. Cf. Plato, Menexenus, 240, bc; Legg. iii. 698; Plutarch. De Sol. Anim. 26; and generally on the $\sigma\alpha\gamma\eta\nu\eta$ the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Rete, p. 823.

^a Beza, indeed, translates ∂x παντὸς γένους, ex omni rerum genere, as mud, shells, sea-weed, and whatever else of worthless would be swept into a net; these being the σ aπρά, which in the next verse are 'cast away;' and so in the Geneva Version, 'of all kinds of things.' But the whole drift of the parable makes it certain that the net is here regarded as a πάναγρον, and that fish of all kinds (as the Vulgate, ex omni genere piscium). and not things of all kinds are intended. H. de Sto. Victore (Annott. in Matt.): Congregat ex omnibus qui minoribus vel majoribus peccatis sunt a Deo divisi, et per multas iniquitates dispersi.

Christ in His Church affords, an ultimate separation is necessary. Our Lord proceeds to describe it—'which, when it was full, they drew to shore," and sat down, and gathered the good into vessels, but cast the bad away.' Whether these 'bad' are dead putrid fish, such as a net will sometimes bring to land, or fish worthless and good for nothing, 'that which was sick and unwholesome at the season,' or such as from their kind, their smallness, or some other cause, are profitable for nothing, and therefore flung carelessly aside to rot upon the beach, and to become food for the birds of prey (Ezek. xxxii. 3, 4), has been often a question; and it is not easy, as it is not very important, to decide. The interpretation, which is not affected by a determination in one of these senses or in another, is obvious. When all nations have been gathered into the external fellowship of the Church, when the religion of Christ has become the religion of the world, then the severing of the precious from the vile, of the just from the unjust, shall begin. But who are they that shall effect it? to whom shall this awful task be confided? Here I must entirely dissent from those, Vitringa, o for example, and Olshausen, who urge that they who first carry out the net, and they who discriminate between its contents, being, in the parable, the same; therefore, since the former are evidently the Apostles and their successors, now become, according to the Lord's promise, 'fishers of men' (Matt. iv. 19; Luke v. 10; Ezek. xlvii. 10; Jer. xvi. 16); the latter must be in like manner, not the angelic ministers of God's judgments, but the same messengers of the Covenant, and as such, 'angels' (ver.

· Claudian:

Attonitos ad litora pisces Æquoreus populator agit, rarosque plagarum Contrahit anfractus, et hiantes colligit oras.

υ servatu indignum, videmus a piscatoribus, abjici $(\tilde{\alpha}\beta\theta\omega\tau a \kappa a)$ $\tilde{\alpha}\tau\mu a$, Lucian; pisces frivolos, Apuleius); and this despite of Vitringa's note (Erklärung d. Parab. p. 344) I must think the right interpretation. Dead fish in a net can only rarely occur; while of the fish which, for instance, Ovid enumerates in his fragment of the Halieuticon, how many, though perfectly fresh, would be flung aside as not edible, as worthless or noxious, the immunda chromis, merito vilissima salpa, Et nigrum niveo portans in corpore virus Loligo, durique sues; or again,—Et capitis duro nociturus scorpius ictu,—all which might well have been gathered in this σαγήνη. Moreover, with Jewish fishermen, this rejection of part of the contents would of necessity find place, not because some were dead, but because they were unclean; all that have not fins and scales shall be an abomination to you; (Lev. xi. 9–12). These probably were the $\sigma\alpha\pi\rho d$. Fritzsche combines both meanings, for he explains it, inutiles et putridos. Our Translation has not determined absolutely for one sense or the other. See Suicer, Thes. s. v.

[·] Erklär, d. Parab. p. 351, seq.

⁴ In that grand Orphic hymn attributed to Clement of Alexandria (p. 312, Potter's

49); to whom, being equipped with divine power, the task of judging and sundering should be committed. No doubt the Church, in her progressive development, is always thus judging and separating (I Cor. v. 4, 5; 2 Thess. iii 6; 2 John 10; Matt. xviii. 17; Jude 22, 21); putting away one and another from her communion, as they openly declare themselves unworthy of it. But she does not count that she has thus cleansed herself, or that a perfect cleansing can be effected by any power which now she possesses. There must be a final judgment and sundering, not any more from within, but from without and from above; and of this decisive crisis we find everywhere else in Scripture the angels of heaven distinctly named as the instruments (Matt. xiii. 41; xxiv. 31; xxv. 31; Rev. xiv. 18, 19). It is contrary then to the analogy of faith so to interpret the words before us as to withdraw this office from them. It is indeed true that in that familiar occurrence of our workday world which supplies the groundwork of the parable, the same who carry out the net would also bring it to shore; as they too would inspect its contents. selecting the good, and casting the worthless away. But it is a pushing of this, which, in fact, is the weak side of the comparison, too far, to require that the same should hold good in the spiritual thing signified. In the nearly allied parable of the Tares, there was no improbability in supposing those who watched the growth of the crop to be different from those who should finally gather it in; and, accordingly, such a difference is marked; those are the 'servants,' these are the 'reapers;' just as in every other parable of judgment there is a marked distinction between the present ministers of the kingdom, and the future executors of doom; in the Marriage of the King's Son (Matt. xxii. 3, 13) between the 'servants' and the 'attendants,' though our Translation has effaced it; in the Pounds between the 'servants' and 'those that stand by' (Luke xix. 25). That the agents in the one work and in the other are not identical, could not here be so easily marked; but it is slightly, yet sufficiently, indicated in another way. The fishers are not once mentioned by name. The imperfection of the human illustration to set forth the divine truth is kept in good part out of sight, by the whole circumstance being told, as nearly as may be, impersonally. And when the Lord Himself interprets the parable, He passes over, without a

ed.), Christ Himself is addressed as the chief Fisher; and, as here, the world is the great sea of wickedness, out of which the saved, the holy fish, are drawn:

*Αλιεῦ μερόπων τῶν σωζομένων, πελάγους κακίας ίχθῦς ἀγνοὺς κύματος ἐχθροῦ γλυκερῆ ζωῆ δελεάζων• word, the beginning; thus still further drawing away attention from a feature of it, upon which to dwell might needlessly have perplexed his hearers; and explains only the latter part, where the point and stress of it lay. Assuming, then, as we may and must, the angels of heaven to be here, as everywhere else, the takers and the leavers, we may recognize an emphasis in the 'coming forth' attributed to them. Ever since the first constitution of the Church they have been hidden,—for ages withdrawn from men's sight. But then, at that grand epoch and catastrophe of the kingdom, they shall again 'come forth' from before the throne and presence of God, and walk up and down among men, the visible ministers of his judgments.

The deliberate character of that judgment-act which they shall accomplish, that it shall be no hasty work confusedly huddled over, is intimated in the sitting dozen of the fishers for the sorting and separating of the good from the bad.a From some image like that which our parable supplies, the 'taking' and 'leaving' of Matt. xxiv. 41, 42, would seem to be derived. There, too, the taking is probably for blessedness, the selecting of the precious; the leaving for destruction, the rejecting of the vile. Some reverse the meaning, yet hardly with justice; for what is the 'left' is but the refused, and the refused but the refuse? We dare not lay any stress upon the order here, that the good are first 'gathered into vessels,' even though it is also the order of Matt. xxv. 34, seeing that it is exactly reversed in the cognate parable of the Tares, where with a certain emphasis it is said, 'Gather ye together first the tares' (ver. 30). Of these 'vessels' Christ gives no interpretation; nor indeed is any needed. They are the 'barn' of ver. 30; the 'many mansions' of John xiv. 2; the 'everlasting habitations' of Luke xvi. 9; the 'city which hath foundations' for which Abraham looked, of Heb. xi. 10.b This task accomplished, those who drew the net to shore 'cast the bad away.' These words hardly prepare us for the fearful meaning which in the interpretation they receive—' and shall cast them,' that is, the wicked, 'into the furnace of fire.' No wonder that Chrys-

in illo

Cespite consedi, dum lina madentia sicco, Utque recenserem captivos ordine pisces.

Ovid.

Thus Bengel, who to this καθίσαντες appends, Studiose; cf. Luke xiv. 28, 31; xvi.
 At the same time it completes the natural picture:

b Augustine (Serm, ccclxvii. 3). Vascula sunt sanctorum sedes, et beatæ vitæ magna secreta.

[•] Note the frequency of the term ἐκβάλλειν ἔξω, resting on the image of the Church as a holy enclosure, with its line of separation from the unholy κόσμος (=οὶ ἔζω. Mark iv. 11; Col. iv. 5) distinctly drawn, thus John vi. 37; xii. 31; xv. 9.

ostom should characterize this as 'a terrible parable; 'a that Gregory the Great should style it one 'to be feared rather than to be expounded.'b But on this 'furnace of fire' something has been said already. Thus, and thus only, when God Himself takes in hand to cleanse His Church, shall that entire freedom from all evil which belongs to the idea of the Church be at length brought about (Rev. xxii. 15).

Comparing once more this parable with that of the Tares, we find that, notwithstanding seeming resemblances, the lessons which they teach are very different. The lesson of that it is needless to repeat; but of this it clearly is, that we be not content with being included within the Gospel-net, since 'they are not all Israel who are of Israel;' that, in the 'great house' of the Church, 'there are not only vessels of gold and silver, but of wood and of earth, and some to honour, and some to dishonour;' that each of us therefore seek to be 'a vessel unto honour, sanctified and meet for the Master's use' (2 Tim. ii. 20, 21); since despite of all the confusions of the visible Church, 'the Lord knoweth them that are [His,' and will one day bring these confusions to an end, separating the precious from the vile, the gold from the dross, the true kernel of humanity from the husk in which for a while it was enveloped.

I conclude with a few remarks on the relation of these parables to one another. The mystical number seven has tempted not a few interpreters to seek some hidden mystery here; and when the seven petitions of the Lord's Prayer, and the names of the seven first deacons (Acts vi. 5), have been turned into prophecy of seven successive states of the Church, not to speak of the seven Apocalyptic Epistles (Rev. ii., iii.), it was scarcely to be expected that these seven parables should escape being made prophetic of the same. They have, in fact, so often been dealt with as prophecy, that a late ingenious writer needed not to apologize for an attempt in this kind, as though he were suggesting something altogether novel and unheard of before. 'It is,' he says, 'my persuasion that the parables in this chapter are not to be considered disjointedly, but to be taken together as a connected series, indicating, progressively, the several stages of advancement through which the mystical kingdom of Christ, upon earth, was to proceed, from its commencement to its consummation.

^{*} Φοβεράν παραβολήν.

b Hom. 11, in Evang.: Timendum est potius quam exponendum.

[•] See my Commentary on the Epistles to the Seven Churches in Asia, 2d edit. pp. 57-62, 220-237.

d Alex. Knox, Remains, vol. i. p. 408.

. . . It will be understood, then, that each parable has a period peculiarly its own, in which the state of things, so signified, predominates; but when another state of things commences, the former does not cease. It only becomes less prominent; operative as really as ever, but in a way subsidiary to that which now takes the lead. will follow that each succeeding stage implies a virtual combination of all that has gone before, and of course the grand concluding scene will contain the sublimated spirit and extracted essence of the whole.' Bengel has anticipated all this. He refers the first parable to the times of Christ and His immediate Apostles, when was the original sowing of the word of eternal life. The second that of the Tares, belongs to the age immediately following, when watchfulness against false doctrine began to diminish, and heresies to creep in. The third, that of the Mustard-seed, to the time of Constantine, when the Church, instead of even seeming to need support, evidently gave it, and the great ones of the earth came under its shadow and protection. The fourth, that of the leaven, sets forth the diffusion of true religion through the whole world. The fifth, of the Hid Treasure, to the more hidden state of the Church, signified in the Apocalypse (xii. 6) by the woman flying into the wilderness. The sixth, that of the Pearl, to the glorious time when the kingdom shall be esteemed above all things, Satan being bound. The seventh, of the Draw-net, describes the ultimate confusion, separation and judgment.

In rejecting this notion of an historico-prophetical character, as belonging to these parables, for which certainly there is no warrant whatever, we must not at the same time refuse to acknowledge that they possess a most significant unity of their own, being knit to one another by very real bonds, succeeding one another in a logical order, and together constituting a complete and harmonious whole. But it is the ideas and laws, not the actual facts, of the Church's history which they declare. Thus in the Sower are set forth the causes of the failures and success which the word of the Gospel meets when it is preached in the world. In the Tares, the obstacles to the internal development of Christ's kingdom, even after a Church has been hedged in and fenced round from the world, are traced up to their true authors with a warning against methods in which men might be

a Præter communes et perpetuas regni cælorum sive Ecclesiæ rationes, conveniunt hæ septem parabolæ, reconditissimum habentes sensum, etiam in periodos et ætates Ecclesiæ diversas, ita quidem ut alia post aliam in complemento, incipiat, non tamen prior quælibet ante initium sequentis exeat. An essay by Reuss: Meletema de Sensu Septem Parab. Matth. xiii. Prophetico, Jenæ, 1734, is in the same line of interpretation.

tempted to remove those obstacles. The Mustard-seed and the Leaven announce, the first, the outward, and the second the inward. might of that kingdom; and therefore implicitly prophesy of its development in spite of all these obstacles, and its triumph over them. As these two are objective and general, so the two which follow, the Hid Treasure and the Pearl, are subjective and individual. declaring the relation of the kingdom to every man, its supreme worth, and how those who have discovered that worth will be willing to renounce all things for its sake; they have, besides, mutual relations, already touched on, and complete one another. This of the Draw-net declares how that entire separation from evil, which it is right to long for, but wrong by self-willed efforts prematurely to attempt to bring about, shall in God's own time come to pass; looking forward to which, each should give diligence so to use the privileges and means of grace which the communion of the Church affords him, that he may be acknowledged of the Lord, when He shall separate for ever the precious from the vile.

[•] Marckius, who (Syll. Diss. Exerc. 4) sets himself against the caprice of the historico-prophetic exposition, recognizes them as in this sense prophetic: Plantanda erat Ecclesia per Evangelii pærdicationem, apud multos tamen futuram inutilem; immiscendi per Satanæ astutam malitiam erant multi ad eam vere non pertinentes, et hine aliquando ab eâ segregandi; ab initiis parvis erat illa tamen surrectura in magnitudinem summam; et hine progressura ad complexum omnium electorum; habitura illa erat verum summumque in sinu suo conclusum bonum; propter quod præ aliis appeteretur merito; quod cum præ rebus præstantissimis quibusvis excelleret, cum omnium aliorum perditione quærendum erat ab electis; et cujus neutiquam omnes erant futuri participes, qui hîc in externam Ecclesiæ communionem essent allecti quidem, sed tamen ex eâ ejiciendi in perditionem. Quo pacto hæ parabolæ respectu præcipui scopi sui non difficulter inter se connectuntur.

8. THE UNMERCIFUL SERVANT.

MATTHEW XVIII. 21-35.

A QUESTION of Peter's gives occasion to this parable, that question growing out of some words of Christ, in which He had declared to the members of his future kingdom how they should bear themselves towards an offending brother. Peter would willingly know more on this matter, and brings to the Lord his question: Lord, how often shall my brother sin against me, and I forgive him? till seven times?' Chrysostom observes that Peter, thus instancing seven as the number of times of forgiveness, accounted probably that his charity was taking a large stretch, these seven being four times more than the Jewish masters enjoined; grounding as they did the duty of forgiving three times and not more, upon Amos i. 3; ii. 6; and on Job xxxiii. 29, 30. He extended their three to seven. no doubt, out of a just sense that the spirit of the new law of love which Christ had brought into the world,—a law larger, freer, more long-suffering than the old,—requires this. There was then in Peter's mind a consciousness of this new law of love,—though an obscure one; else he would not have deemed it possible that love could be overcome by hate, good by evil. But there was, at the same time, a fundamental error in the question itself; for in proposing a limit beyond which forgiveness should not extend, it was

a Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. in loc.

b While this is true, there were yet deeper motives for his naming seven times. It is the number in the divine law with which the idea of remission (ἄφεσις) is ever linked. The seven times seventh year was the year of jubilee (ἔτος τῆς ἀφέσεως). Lev. xxv. 28; cf. iv. 6, 17; xvi. 14, 15. It is true that it is the number of punishment, or retribution for evil, also (Gen. iv. 15; Lev. xxvi. 18, 21, 24, 28; Deut. xxviii. 25; Ps. lxxix. 12; Prov. vi. 31; Dan. iv. 16; Rev. xv. 1); yet this only confirms what has been said; since there lies ever in punishment the idea of restoration of disturbed relations, and so of forgiveness (Ezek. xvi. 42); punishment being as the storm which violently restores the disturbed equilibrium of the moral atmosphere. Gregory of Nyssa well (Ορρ. vol. i p. 159): Παρετήρσεν ὁ Πέτρος, ὅτι κανὼν παραδόσεως ἀρχαῖος ἐστι, τὸν ἐβδομάδα ἔμφασιν ἔχειν τινος ἀφέσεως ἀμαρτημάτων, ἀναπαύσεως τελείας, οὖ σημεῖον τὸ σάββατόν ἐστιν, ἡ ἐβδόμη ἐμέρα ἀπὸ γενέσεως.

evidently assumed, that a man in forgiving, gave up a right which he might still, under certain circumstances, exercise. In this parable the Lord will make clear that when God calls on a member of his kingdom to forgive, He does not call on him to renounce a right, but that he has now no right to exercise in the matter; for having himself asked for and accepted forgiveness, he has implicitly pledged himself to show it; and it is difficult to imagine how any amount of didactic instruction could have brought home this truth with at all the force and conviction of the parable which follows.

' Jesus saith unto him, I say not unto thee, Until seven times; but, Until seventy times seven.a Therefore,'-that is, to the end that Peter may understand why the Lord has said, 'till seventy times seven,' - 'is the kingdom of heaven likened unto a certain king, which would take account of his servants.' This is the first of the parables in which God appears as King. We are the servants with whom He takes account. This, as is plain, is not the final reckoning, not therefore identical with reckoning of Matt. xxv. 19; 2 Cor. v. 10; but rather such as that of Luke xvi. 2. To this He brings us by the preaching of the law,—by the setting of our sins before our face, by awakening and alarming our conscience that was asleep before, by bringing us into adversities,—by casting us into sore sicknesses, into perils of death, so that there is not a step between us and it (2) Kin, xx. 4); He takes account with us, when He makes us feel that we could not answer Him one thing in a thousand, that our trespasses are more than the hairs of our head; when by one means or another He brings our careless carnal security to an end (Ps. l. 21). Thus David was summoned before God by the word of Nathan the prophet (2 Sam. xii.); thus the Ninevites by the preaching of Jonah (Jon. iii. 4); thus the Jews by John the Baptist.

'And when he had begun to reckon, one was brought unto him which owed him ten thousand talents.' The sum is great, whatever talents we presume; if Hebrew talents, it will be enormous indeed; yet

^a Our Lord's 'seventy times seven' of forgiveness makes a wonderful contrast, which has not escaped the notice of St. Jerome (vol. ii. p. 565, edit. Bened.), to Lamech, the antediluvian Antichrist's seventy and seven-fold of revenge (Gen. iv. 24).—Έβδομηκοντάκις ἐπτά is not, as Origen and some others understand it, 70+7=77; for that would be rather ἐβδομήκοντα ἐπτάκις, but 70×7=490.

b Anselm (Hom. 5): Incipit Deus rationem ponere, quando per infirmitatis molestiam ad lectum et ad mortem pertrahit homines.

[•] How vast a sum it was we can most vividly realize to ourselves by comparing it with other sums mentioned in Scripture. In the construction of the tabernacle twenty-nine talents of gold were used (Exod. xxxviii. 24; David prepared for the temple three thousand talents of gold, and the princes five thousand (I Chron. xxix. 4-7); the queen of Sheba presented to Solomon one hundred and twenty talents

thus only the fitter to express the immensity of every man's transgression in thought, word, and deed, against God. Over against the Ten Commandments which he should have kept, are the ten thousand talents,—for the number is not accidental,—setting forth the debts (see Matt. vi. 12) which he has incurred. So far as the letter of the parable reaches, we may account for the vastness of the debt by supposing the defaulter to have been one of the chief officers of the king, a farmer or administrator of the royal revenues.^a Or, seeing that in the despotisms of the East, where a nobility does not exist, and all, from the highest to the lowest, stand in an absolutely servile relation to the monarch, this name of 'servant' need not hinder us from regarding him as one, to whom some chief post of honour and dignity in the kingdom had been committed,—a satrap who should have remitted the revenues of his province to the royal treasury.° The king had not far to go, he had only 'begun to reckon' when he lighted on this one; perhaps the first into whose accounts he looked; there may have been others with yet larger debts behind. This one 'was brought unto him,' for he never would have come of himself; more probably would have made that 'ten thousand' into twenty; for the secure sinner goes on, heaping up wrath against the day of wrath, writing himself an ever deeper debtor in the books of God.

'But forasmuch as he had not to pay, his lord comman.led him to be sold, and his wife, and children, and all that he had, and payment to

(I Kin. x. 10); the king of Assyria laid upon Hezekiah thirty talents of gold (2 Kin. xviii. 14); and in the extreme impoverishment to which the land was brought at the last, one talent of gold was laid upon it, after the death of Josiah, by the king of Egypt (2 Chron. xxxvi. 3).

^a In the Jewish parable (Schoettgen, *Hor. Heb.* vol. i. p. 155), bearing some resemblance to this, the sins of men being there represented as an enormous debt, which it is impossible to pay,—it is the tribute due from an entire city which is owing, and which, at the prayer of the inhabitants, the king remits.

b Euripides (Hel. 276): Τὰ βάρβαρων γὰρ δοῦλα πάντα πλην ένός.

* Harpalus, satrap of Babylonia and Syria, besides the enormous sums which he had squandered, carried off with him five thousand talents when he fled to Athens from the wrath of Alexander (see Grote, Hist. of Greece, vol. viii. p. 496). It was with exactly ten thousand talents that Darius sought to buy off Alexander, that he should not prosecute his conquests in Asia (Plutarch, Reg. et Imp. Apoph.). The same sum was the fine imposed by the Romans on Antiochus the Great, after his defeat by them; and when Alexander, at Susa, paid the debts of the whole Macedonian army, they amounted to only twice this sum, though every motive was at work to enhance the amount (see Droysen, Gesch. Alexanders, p. 500). Von Bohlen (Das All. Ind. vol. ii. p. 119) gives almost incredible notices of the quantities of gold in the ancient East.—The immensity of the sum may in part have moved Origen to his strange supposition, that it can only be the man ot sin (2 Thess. ii.) that is here indicated, or stranger still, the Devil! Compare Thilo, Cod. Apocryphus, vol. i. p. 887, and Neander, Kirch. Gesch. vol. v. p. 1122.

be made.' The sale of the debtor's wife and children rested upon the assumption that they were a part of his property. Such was the theory and practice of the Roman law. That it was allowed under the Mosaic law to sell an insolvent debtor, is implicitly stated, Lev xxv. 39; and from ver. 41 we infer that his family came into bondage with him; no less is implied at 2 Kin. iv. 1; Neh. v. 6; Isai. l. I; lviii. 6; Jer. xxxiv. 8-11; Amos. ii. 6; viii. 6. The latter Jewish doctors disallowed this practice, except where a thief should be sold to make good the wrong which he had done; and in our Lord's time a custom so harsh had probably quite disappeared from among the Jews. * Certainly the imprisonment of a debtor, twice occuring in this parable (ver. 30, 34), formed no part of the Jewish law; and, where the creditors possessed the power of selling him into bondage was totally superfluous. 'The tormentors' also (ver. 34) have a foreign appearance, and dispose us to look for the scene of the parable among the Oriental monarchies, and not in the Jewish commonwealth, where a more merciful legislation tempered the rights of the rich and of the strong. For the spiritual significance, this of having nothing to pay expresses the utter bankruptcy of every child of Adam as he stands in the presence of a holy God, and is tried by the strictness of His holy law (Rom. iii. 23; Job. xlii. 5, 6). dreadful command that he shall be sold and all that he has (cf. Ps. xliv. 12), is the expression of God's right and power altogether to alienate from Himself, reject, and to deliver over into bondage, all those who have thus come short of his glory (Ps. xliv. 12); that by a terrible but righteous sentence these, unless this sentence be reversed, shall be punished by everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord and the glory of His power.

'The servant therefore,' hearing the dreadful doom pronounced against him, betakes himself to supplication, the one resource that remains to him; he 'fell down, and worshipped him.' The formal act of worship, or adoration, consisted in prostation on the ground, with the embracing and kissing of the feet and knees. Origen bids us here to notice a nice observance of proprieties in the slighter details of the parable. This servant 'worshipped' the king, for that honour was paid to royal personages; but we shall not find that the other 'worshipped,'—which, as between equals, would have been out of place,—he only 'besought,' him. His 'Lord, have patience with me, and I will pay thee all,' is characteristic of the anguish of the moment, out of which he is ready to promise impossible things, even

mountains of gold, if he only may be delivered from his present fear. When words like these find utterance from a sinner's lips in the first coviction of his sin, they testify that he has not yet attained to a full insight into his relations with God; but has still much to learn; and this chiefly, that no future obedience can make up for past disobedience; since that future God claims for His own, and as nothing more than His due. It could not, therefore, even were there no fault or flaw in it, and there will be many, make compensation for the past; and in this 'I will pay thee all,' we must detect the voice of self-righteousness, imagining that, if only time were allowed, it could make all past short-comings good. This goes far to explain the later conduct of this suppliant. It is clear that he whom this servant represents had never come to a true recognition of the immensity of his debt. Little, in the subjective measure of his own estimate, has been forgiven him, and therefore he loves little, or not at all (Luke vii. 47). It is true that by his demeanour and his cry he did recognize his indebtedness, else would there have been no setting of him free; and he might have gone on, and, had he only been true to his own mercies, he would have gone on, to an ever fuller recognition of the grace shown him: but as it was, in a little while he lost sight of it altogether, and showed too plainly that he had 'forgotten that he was purged from his old sins' (2 Pet. i. 9).

However, at the earnestness of his present prayer, 'the lord of that servant was moved with compassion, and loosed him, and forgave him the debt.' The severity of God only endures till the sinner is brought to acknowledge his guilt; like Joseph's harshness with his brethren, it is love in disguise; and having done its work, having brought him to own that he is verily guilty, it reappears as grace again; that very reckoning, which at first threatened him with irremediable ruin being, if he will use it aright, the chiefest mercy of all; bringing, indeed, his debt to a head, but only bringing it to this head, that it may be for ever abolished. That, however, must be first done. There can be no forgiving in the dark. God will forgive; but He will have the sinner to know what and how much he is forgiven; there must be first a 'Come now, and let us reason

a Compare Chardin (Voy. en Perse, vol. v. p. 285): Toute disgrâce en Perse emporte infailliblement avec soi la confiscation des biens, et c'est un revers prodigieux et épouvantable que ce changement de fortune, car un homme se trouve dénué en un instant si entièrement qu'il n'a rien à lui. On lui ôte ses biens, ses esclaves, et quelquefois jusqu'à sa femme et ses enfans. Son sort s'adoucit dans la suite. Le roi déclare sa volonté sur non sujet. On lui rend presque toujours sa famille, partie de ses esclaves, et ses meubles, et assez souvent il revient au bout d'un temps à être rétabli dans les bonnes grâces de la cour, et à rentrer dans les emplois.

together,' before the scarlet can be made white as snow (Isai. i 18). The sinner must know his sins for what they are, a mountain of transgression, before ever they can be cast into the deep sea of God's mercy. He must first have the sentence of death in himself, ere the words of life will have any abiding worth for him.

Such abiding worth they have not for him who so lately cried for mercy and obtained it (Wisd. xii. 18, 19). 'The same servant went out,' that is, from his master's presence, 'and found,' on the instant, as it would seem, and while the memory of his Lord's goodness should have been fresh upon him, 'one of his fellow-servants, which owed him an hundred pence.' May we press this 'went out,' and say that we go out from the presence of our God, when we fail to keep an ever-lively sense of the greatness of our sin, and the greatness of his forgiveness? So more than one interpreter; a yet, on the whole. I am disposed to see in this no more than what the outward conditions of the parable require. He is said to go out, because in the actual presence of his lord he could have scarcely ventured on the outrage which follows. The term 'fellow-servant' here does not imply equality of rank between these two, or that they filled similar offices; but only that they stood both in the relation of servants to a common lord. And this sum is so small, 'an hundred pence,' as the other had been so large, 'ten thousand talents,' to signify how little any man can offend against his brother, compared with what every man has offended against God; so that, in Chrysostom's words, these offences to those are as a drop of water to the boundless ocean.d

The whole demeanour of this unrelenting creditor toward his debtor is graphically described: 'He laid hands on him, and took him by the throat, saying, Pay me that thou owest.' Some press the word in the original, and find therein an aggravation of this servant's cruelty, as though he was not even sure whether the debt were owing or not. There is no warrant for this. That the debt was

^{*} Thus Theophylact: Οὐδεὶς γὰρ ἐν τῷ Θεῷ μένων, ἀσυμπαθής.

b Such would have been ὁμόδουλος, this is σύνδουλος.

[°]The Hebrew talent=300 shekels (Exod. xxxviii. 25, 26). Assuming this, the proportion of the two debts would be as follows:

^{10,000} talents : 100 pence : : 1,250,000 : 1.

d Melanchton: Ideo autem tanta summa ponitur, ut sciamus nos valde multa et magna peccata habere coram Deo. Sicut facile invenies multa, si vitam tuam aspicies; magna est securitas carnalis, magna negligentia in invocatione, magna diffidentia, et multæ dubitationes de Deo. Item vagantur sine fine cupiditates variæ.

Erasmus: Ἐπνιγεν, obtorto collo trahebat, . . . pertinet ad vi trahentem vei in carcerem, vel in judicem.

f The εἴ τι δφείλεις, which reading, as the more difficult, is to be preferred to ὅ τι δφείλεις, and which is retained by Lachmann, does not imply any doubt as to whether

owing is plain; he found, we are told, 'one of his fellow-servants, which owed him an hundred pence.' Any different assumption would mar the proprieties of the story, would turn the edge of the parable, and we should have here a vulgar extortioner and wrong-doer. But such a one the law would have sufficiently condemned; there would have been no need to speak for this a parable of the kingdom of The lessons which it teaches are different; lessons which they need to learn who are not under the law, but under grace; and this chiefly—that it is not always right, but often the most opposite to right, to press our rights, that in the kingdom of grace the summum jus may be the summa injuria. This man would fain have been measured to by God in one measure, while He measured to his brethren in another. He would fain be forgiven, while yet he did not forgive. But this may not be. A man must make his choice. It is free to him to dwell in the kingdom of grace; finding love, he must exercise love. If, on the contrary, he pushes his rights, as far as they will go, if the law of strictest severest justice is the law of his dealings with his fellow-men, he must look for the same as the law of God's dealings with him, and in the measure wherein he has meted, that it shall be measured to him again.

It was in vain that 'his fellow-servant fell down at his feet, and besought him, saying, Have patience with me, and I will pay thee all;' using exactly the same words of entreaty which he, in the agony of his distress, had used, and, using, had found mercy. 'He would not; but went and cast him into prison till he should pay the debt;' dragging, as we may suppose, his debtor with him till he could consign him to the safe custody of the jailer; refusing, in Chrysostom's words, to 'recognize the port in which he had I mself so lately escaped shipwreck; ' and all unconscious that he was condemning himself, and revoking his own mercy. But such is man, so harsh and hard, when he walks otherwise than in a constant sense of forgiveness received from God. Ignorance or forgetfulness of his own guilt makes him harsh, unforgiving, and cruel to others; or at best, he is only hindered from being such by those weak defences of natural character which may at any moment be broken down. The man who knows not his own guilt, is ever ready to exclaim, as David in the time of his worst sin, 'The man that hath done this thing shall surely die' (2 Sam. xii. 5); to be as extreme in judging others, as he is remiss and indulgent in judging himself; while, on the other hand, it is to them 'who are spiritual' that St. Paul commits the restoring of

the debt were really due or no: but the conditional form was originally, though of course not here, a courteous form of making a demand.

a brother 'overtaken in a fault' (Gal. vi. 1); and when he urges on Titus the duty of showing meekness unto all men, he finds the motive here—'for we ourselves also were sometimes foolish, disobedient, deceived, serving divers lusts and pleasures' (Tit. iii. 3) It is just in man to be merciful (Matt. i. 19), to be humane is human. None but the altogether Righteous may press his utmost rights; whether He will do so or not is determined by altogether different considerations, but He has not that to hold his hand, which every man has, even the sense of his own proper guilt (John viii. 7-9).

'So when his fellow-servants saw what was done, they were very sorry, and came and told unto their lord all that was done.' It is not in heaven only that indignation is felt when men thus measure to others in so different a measure from that which has been measured to them. There are on earth also those who have learned what is the meaning of the mercy which the sinner finds, and what the obligations which it imposes on him; and who mourn in their prayer when this is greatly forgotten by others round them were 'sorry;' their lord, as we read presently, was 'wroth' (ver. 34); to them grief, to him anger, is ascribed. The distinction is not accidental, nor without its grounds. In man, the sense of his own guilt, the deep consciousness that whatever sin he sees come to ripeness in another, exists in its germ and seed in his own heart, with the knowledge that all flesh is one, and the sin of one calls for humiliation from all, will ever make sorrow the predominant feeling in his heart, when the spectacle of moral evil is brought before his eyes; but in God the pure hatred of sin, which is, indeed, His love of holiness at its opposite pole, finds place. As the servants of the king here, so the servants of a heavenly King complain to Him, mourn over all the oppressions that are wrought in their sight: the things which they cannot set right themselves, the wrongs which they are weak to redress, they can at least bring to Him; and they do not bring them in vain. 'Then his lord, after that he had called him, said unto him, O thou wicked servaut'b-this, which he had not called him on account of his debt, he now calls him on account of his ingratitude and cruelty- 'I forgave thee all that debt, because thou desiredst me: shouldest not thou also have had compassion on thy fellow-servant, even as I had pity on thee? The guilt which he is

^{*} On the language of Scripture, attributing anger, repentance, jealousy to God, Augustine has good remarks (Con. Adv. Leg. et Proph. i. 20; and Ad Simplic. ii. qu. 2).

bengel: Sic non vocatus fuerat ob debitum,—a remark which Origen and Chrysostom had already made.

[·] See Chrysostom, De Simult. Hom. xx. 6, an admirable discourse.

charged with is, not that, needing mercy, he refused to show it, but that, having received mercy, he remains unmerciful still. A most important difference! They, therefore, who like him are hard-hearted and cruel, do not thereby bear witness that they have received no mercy: on the contrary, the stress of their offence is, that having received an infinite mercy, they remain unmerciful yet. The objective fact, that Christ has put away the sin of the world, and that we have been baptized into the remission of sins, stands firm, whether we allow it to exercise a purifying, sanctifying, humanizing influence on our hearts or not. Our faith apprehends, indeed, the benefit, but has not created it, any more than our opening of our eyes upon the sun has first set the sun in the heavens.

'And his lord was wroth, and delivered him to the tormenters, till he should pay all that was due unto him'-according to that word, 'He shall have judgment without mercy, that hathshowed no mercy' (Jam. ii. 13). The king had dealt with him before as a creditor with a debtor, now as a judge with a criminal. 'The tormenters' are those who, as the word implies, shall make the life of the prisoner bitter to him; wring out from him the confession of any concealed hoards which he may still possess; even as there are 'tormentors' in that world of woe, whereof this prison is a figure fellow-sinners and evil angels-instruments of the just yet terrible judgments of God.^a But here it is strange that the king delivers the offender to prison and to punishment not for the evil which he had just wrought, but for that old debt which had seemed unconditionally remitted to him. When Hammond says, that the king 'revoked his designed mercy,' and would transfer this view of the transaction to the relation between God and sinners, this is one of those evasions

^a Grotius makes the βασανισταί merely=δεσμοφύλακες, and Kuinoel, who observes that debtors are given to safe keeping, but not to tortures. This is not accurate. Thus in early times there were certain legal tortures, a chain weighing fifteen pounds, a rittance of food barely sufficient to sustain life (see Arnold, Hist. of Rome, vol. i. p. 136), which the Roman creditor might apply to the debtor for the bringing him to terms. The old centurion (Livy, ii. 23) complains of worse than this-ductum se ab creditore non in servitium, sed in ergastulum et carnificinam esse: inde ostentare tergum, fœdum recentibus vestigiis vulnerum. In the East, too, where no depth of apparent poverty excludes the suspicion that there may be somewhere a hidden store, where too it is almost a point of honour not to pay but on hardest compulsion, the torture would be often used to wring something from the sufferings of the creditor, himself, or from the compassion of his friends. In all these cases the jailer would be naturally the 'tormentor' as well (see I Kin, xxii, 27); so that 'tormentors' may well stand in its proper sense. Had this wicked servant merely been given into ward now, his punishment would have been lighter than it should have been, when his offence was not near so enormous as now it had become; for then he was to have been so'd into slavery.

of a difficulty by help of an ambiguous expression, or a word ingeniously thrust in, which are too frequent even in good interpreters of Scripture. It was not merely a designed mercy; the king had not merely purposed to forgive him, but, as is distinctly declared 'forgave him the debt.' It has been ingeniously suggested that the debt for which he is now cast into prison is the debt of mercy and love. which, according to that pregnant word of St. Paul's, 'Owe no man anything, but to love one another, he owed, but had so signally failed to pay. Few, however, would be satisfied with this. As little are the cases of Adonijah and Shimei (1 Kin. ii) altogether in point. They, no doubt, on occasion of their later offences, were punished far more severely than they would have been, but for their former offences which are revived that they might be punished, but the later offence which calls down its own punishment; and moreover, parallels drawn from questionable acts of imperfect men go but a little way in establishing the righteousness of God.

The question which seems involved in all this. Do sins, once forgiven, return on the sinner through his after offences? is one frequently and fully discussed by the Schoolmen; and of course this parable takes always a prominent place in such discussions. But it may be worth considering, whether difficulties upon this point do not arise mainly from too dead and formal a way of contemplating the forgiveness of sins; from our suffering the earthly circumstances of the remission of a debt to embarrass the heavenly truth, instead of regarding them as helps, but weak and often failing ones, for the setting forth of that truth. One cannot conceive of remission of sins apart from living communion with Christ; being baptized into Him, we are baptized into the forgiveness of sins; and the abiding in Christ and the forgiveness of sins go ever henceforward hand in hand, are inseparable one from the other. But if we cease to abide in Him, we then fall back into that state from which we had been delivered into another, which is of itself a state of condemnation and death, and one on which the wrath of God is resting. If, then, setting aside the contemplation of a man's sins as a formal debt. which must either be forgiven to him or not forgiven, we contemplate the life out of Christ as a state or condition of wrath, and the

a By Pet. Lombard (Sent. iv. dist. 22); Aquinas (Sum. Theol. pars iii. qu. 88); and H. de Sto. Victore (De Sacram. ii. pars, 14, 9: Utrum peccata semel dimissa redeant). Cf. Augustine, De Bapt. Con. Don. i. 12. Cajetan, quoting Rom. xi. 29, the gifts of God are without repentance ' $(a\mu \epsilon \tau a\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a)$, explains thus the recalling of the pardon which had once been granted: Repetuntur debita semel donata, non ut fuerant prius debita, sed ut modo effecta sunt materia ingratitudinis,—which is exactly the decision of Aquinas.

life in Christ as one of grace, the one a walking in darkness, and the other a walking in the light, we can better understand how a man's sins should return upon him; that is, he, sinning anew, falls back into the darkness out of which he had been delivered, and, no doubt, all that he has done of evil in former times adds to the thickness of that darkness, causes the wrath of God to abide more terribly on that state in which he now is, and therefore upon him (John v. 14). Nor may we leave out of sight that all forgiveness, short of that crowning and last act, which will find place on the day of judgment, and will be followed by a blessed impossibility of sinning any more, is conditional—in the very nature of things so conditional, that the condition must in every case be assumed, whether stated or no; that condition being that the forgiven man continue in faith and obedience. in that state of grace into which he has been brought; which the counterpart in the world of grace of this unmerciful servant had evidently failed to do. He that will partake of the final salvation must abide in Christ, else he will be 'cast forth as a branch, and withered' (John xv. 6). This is the condition, not arbitrarily imposed from without, but belonging to the very essence of salvation itself; just as if one were drawn from the raging sea, and set upon the safe shore, the condition of his continued safety would be that he remained there, and did not again cast himself into the raging waters. In this point of view I John i. 7 will supply an interesting parallel: 'If we walk in the light, as He is in the light, we have fellowship one with another, and the blood of Jesus Christ, His Son, cleanseth us from all sin.' He whom this servant represents does not abide in the light of love, but falls back into the old darkness; he has, therefore, no fellowship with his brother, and the cleansing power of the blood of Jesus Christ ceases from him.

It is familiar to many that the theologians of Rome have drawn an argument for purgatory from the words, 'till he should pay all that was due,' as from the parallel expression, Matt. v. 26; as though they marked a limit beyond which the punishment should not extend. But the phrase is proverbial, and all which it signifies is, that the offender shall now taste of the extreme rigour of the law; shall have justice without mercy; and always paying, shall yet never have paid off, his debt. For since the sinner could never acquir the slightest of the debt in which he is indebted to God, the putting that as a con-

^{*} So Gerhard, Loci Theoll. loc. xxvii. 8. Chrysostom: τουτέστι διηνεκῶς, οὖτε γὰρ ἀποδώσει ποτέ: and Augustine (De Serm, Dom. in Mon. i. 11): Donec solvas . . . miror si non eam significat pænam quæ vocatur æterna. So Remigius: Semper solvet, sed nunquam persolvet.

dition of his liberation, which it is impossible could ever be fulfilled, is the strongest possible way of expressing the eternal duration of his punishment. When the Phocæans, abandoning their city, swore that they would not return till the mass of iron which they plunged into the sea appeared once more upon the snrface, this was the most emphatic form they could devise of declaring that they would never return; such en emphatic declaration is the present.

The Lord concludes with a word of earnest warning: 'So likewise shall my heavenly Father do also unto you, if ye from your heartsa forgive not every one his brother their trespasses.' 'So' with the same rigour; such treasures of wrath, as well as such treasures of grace, are with Him: He who could so greatly forgive, can also so greatly punish. 'My heavenly Father'—not thereby implying that in such case He would not be theirs, since they, thus acting, would have denied the relationship; for our Lord says often 'My Father' (as ver. 10), when no such reason can be assigned. On the declaration itself we may observe that the Christian stands in a middle point, between a mercy received and a mercy which he yet needs to receive. Sometimes the first is urged upon him as an argument for showing mercy—' forgiving one another, as Christ forgave you' (Col. iii. 13; Ephes. iv. 32); sometimes the last, 'Blessed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy' (Matt. v. 7); 'With the merciful Thou wilt show Thyself merciful' (Ps. xviii. 25); 'Forgive, and ye shall be forgiven' (Luke vi. 37; Jam. v. 9); and so the Son of Sirach (xxviii. 3, 4), 'One man beareth hatred against another, and doth he seek pardon from the Lord? he showeth no mercy to a man who is like himself, and doth he ask forgiveness of his own sins?' and thus, while he must ever look back on a mercy received as the source and motive of the mercy which he shows, he looks forward as well to the mercy which he yet needs, and which he is assured that the merciful, according to what Bengel beautifully calls the benigna talio of the kingdom of God, shall obtain, as a new provocation to its abundant exercise. Tholuck has some good remarks upon this point: 'From the circumstance that mercy is here (Matt. v. 7) promised as the recompense of anterior mercy on our part, it might indeed be inferred that under 'merciful' we are to imagine such as have not yet in any degree partaken of mercy; but this conclusion would only be just on the assumption that the divine compassion consisted in an isolated

² 'Λπὸ τῶν καρδιῶν = ἐκ ψυχῆς, Ephes. vi. 6; to the exclusion, not merely to acts of hostility, but also of all $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\iota\kappa\alpha\kappa(a)$. H. de Sto. Victore: Ut nec opere exerceat vindictam, nec corde reservet malitiam; and Jerome: Dominus addidit, De cordibus vestris, ut omnem simulationem fietæ pacis averteret.

act, of which man could be the object only once for all in his life. Seeing, however, that it is an act which extends over the whole life of the individual, and reaches its culminating point in eternity, it behoves us to consider the compassion of God for man, and man for his brethren, as reciprocally calling forth and affording a basis for one another.'a And a difficulty which Origen suggests, finds its explanation here. b He asks, where in time are we to place the transactions shadowed forth in this parable? There are reasons on the one hand why they should be placed at the end of this present dispenstion; since at what other time does God take account with His servants for condemnation or acquittal? while yet, if placed there, what further opportunity would the forgiven servant have for displaying the harshness and cruelty which he actually does display towards his fellow-servant? The difficulty disappears, when we no longer contemplate forgiveness as an isolated act, which must take place at some definite moment, and then is past and irrevocable; but contemplate it as ever going forward, as running parallel with and extending over the entire life of the redeemed, which, as it is a life of continual sin and shortcoming, so has need to be a life of continual forgiveness.°

[•] Fleury has a fine story, illustrative of this parable (Hist. Eccles. vol. ii. p. 334). Between two Christians at Antioch enmity had sprung up. After a while one of them desired to be reconciled, but the other, who was a priest, refused. While it thus fared with them, the prosecution of Valerian began; and Sapricius, the priest, having boldly confessed himself a Christian, was on the way to death. Nicephorus met him, and again sued for peace, which was again refused. While he was seeking that peace which the other withheld, they arrived at the place of execution. He that should have been the martyr was here terrified, offered to sacrifice to the gods, and, despite the entreaties of the other, did so, making shipwreck of his faith: while Nicephorus, boldly confessing, stepped in his place, and received the crown which Sapricius lost. This story runs finely parallel with our parable. Before Sapricius could have had grace to confess Christ, he must have had his own ten thousand talents forgiven; but refusing to forgive a far lesser wrong, to put away the displeasure he had conceived on some infinitely lighter grounds against his brother, he forfeited all, his Lord was angry, withdrew from him his grace, and suffered him again to be entangled in that kingdom of darkness from which he had once been delivered. We are further reminded well that the unforgiving temper, apart from all outward wrong, itself constitutes the sin of the unmerciful servant. So Augustine (Quast. Evang. i. qu. 25): Noluit ignoscere; . . . intelligendum, tenuit contra eum hunc animum, ut supplicia illi vellet.

9. THE LABOURERS IN THE VINEYARD.

MATTHEW XX. 1-16.

`HIS parable stands in closest connexion with the four last verses of the preceding chapter, and can only be rightly understood by their help; which being so, the actual division of chapters is here peculiarly unfortunate; often causing it to be explained with no reference to the context, and with no attempt to trace the circumstances out of which it sprung. And yet on a right tracing of this connexion, and the showing how the parable grew out of, and was in fact an answer to, Peter's question, 'What shall we have?" the success of the exposition will mainly depend. It is a parable which stands only second to that of the Unjust Steward in the number of explanations, and those diverging the most widely, that have been proposed for it; and only second to that, if indeed second, in the difficulties which it presents. These Chrysostom states clearly and strongly; though few will be wholly satisfied with this solution There is, first, the difficulty of bringing it into harmony with the saying by which it is introduced and concluded, and which it is plainly intended to illustrate; and secondly, there is the moral difficulty, the same as finds place in regard of the elder brother in the parable of the Prodigal Son,-namely, how can one who is himself a member of the kingdom of God 'be held,' as Chrysostom terms it, 'by that lowest of all passions, envy, and an evil eye,' grudging in his heart the favours shown to other members of that kingdom? or, if it be denied that the murmurers of this parable are members of that kingdom, how is this denial reconcilable with the fact of their having laboured all day long in the vineyard, and ultimately carrying away their own reward? And lastly, there is the difficulty of deciding what is the drift, scope, and main doctrine which it was intended to teach.

Of its many interpreters there are, first, those who see in the equal penny to all, the key to the whole matter, and for whom its lesson is

this,—the equality of rewards in the kingdom of God.* This was Luther's explanation in his earlier works, though he afterwards saw reason to withdraw it. But however this may appear to agree with the parable, b it evidently agrees not at all with the saying which sums it up, and contains its moral: 'Many that are first shall be last, and the last shall be first;' of for such an equality would be no reversing of the order of the first and last, but a setting of all upon a level.

Others affirm that the parable is meant to set forth this truth,—that God does not regard the length of time during which men are occupied in his work, but the fidelity and strenuous exertion with which they accomplish that work.^d Of this explanation there will presently be occasion to speak more at large; it will be enough now to observe that if all had turned on the fact that the last-hired labourers had worked more strenuously than the first, this circumstance would never have been omitted.

Calvin's explanation is this, a little modified, and in fact amounts to the same thing. He asserts that the intention of the parable is to warn us against being over-confident, because we may have begun well; lest (though this is not his illustration), like the hare in the fable, growing careless and remiss in our exertions, we allow others to outrun us; and so, from having seemed the first, fall into the hindmost rank: he takes it to convey a warning that no one begin to boast, or consider the battle won, till he puts off his armour. But to him also it may be replied that this agrees not with the circumstances

^{*}Augustine (Serm. 343): Denarius ille vita æterna est, quæ omnibus par est;—but without affirming equality in the kingdom of God; for all the stars, as he goes on to say, are in the same firmament, yet one star differeth from another star in glory' (splendor dispar, cælum commune). Cf. De Sanct. Virgin. 26; In Joh. Evang. tract. lxvii. § 2; Tertullian, De Monog. 10; Bernard, In Ps. Qui habitat, Serm. ix. 4; Ambrose, Ep. vii. 11; Gregory the Great, Moral. iv. 36.

[▶] Yet Spanheim (Duò. Evang. vol. iii. p. 785) is not easily answered, when against this he says: Nec enim per denarium vita æterna intelligi potest, quippe qui denarius datur etiam murmuratoribus et invidis, nec datus exsatiat, et datur illis qui recedere jubentur a Domino (ver. 14). Atqui nec murmuratorum portio est vita æterna, nec invidorum, nec homines a Deo abducit, sed conjungit cum ille, nec ulli datur, cui non plenam adferat satietatem gaudiorum.

[•] Fritzche, indeed, finds no difficulty in giving the sense of the gnome thus: Qui postremi ad Messiam se adplicuerunt, primis accensebuntur, et qui prlmi eum secuti sunt, postremis:—but this is doing evident violence to the words.

⁴ So Maldonatus: Finis parabolæ est mercedem vitæ æsternæ non tempori quo quis laboravit, sed labori et operi quod fecit, respondere; and Kuinoel the same.

[•] Non alio Dominum spectâsse quam ut sucs ad pergendum continuis stimulis incitaret. Scimus enim segnitiem tere ex nimiâ fiduciâ nasci. If we found, indeed, the gnome by itself, we might then say that such was his purpose in it: see the admirable use which Chrysostom (In Matt. Hom. 67, ad finem) makes of it, in this regard.

of the parable, since the labourers who were first engaged are *not* accused of having grown slack in labour during the latter part of the day, and there is nothing to warrant any such assumption.

There are others who make—not the penny equal to all, but the successive hours at which the different bands of labourers were hired. the most prominent circumstance of the parable. And these interpreters may be again subdivided; for there are, first, those who, as Irenæus.^a Origen, and Hilary, see in it a history of the different summonses to a work of righteousness which God has made to men from the beginning of the world,—to Adam,—to Noah,—to Abraham, -to Moses, -and lastly to the Apostles, bidding them each, in his order, to go work in his vineyard. Of these labourers, all the earlier lived during weaker and more imperfect dispensations, and underwent, therefore, a harder toil, in that they had not such abundant gifts of the Spirit, such clear knowledge of the grace of God in Christ, to sustain them, as the later called, the members of the Christian Church. Their heavier toil, therefore, might aptly be set forth by a longer period of work, and that at the more oppressive time of the day (cf. Acts xv. 10); while the Apostles, and the other faithful called into God's vineyard at the eleventh hour ('the last time,' or, 'the last hour,' as St. John [1 Ep. ii. 18] terms it), and partakers of the larger free grace now given in Christ had little by comparison to endure. But in reply, it may be asked, When could that murmuring have taken place, even supposing the people of God could thus grudge because of the larger grace freely bestowed upon others? Those prior generations could not have so murmured in their lifetime; for before the things were even revealed which God had prepared for His people that came after, they were in their graves. Far less is it to be conceived as finding place in the day of judgment, or in the kingdom of love made perfect. Unless, then, we quite explain away the murmuring, accepting Chrysostom's ingenious solution of it, that the Lord only brought it in to enhance the greatness of the things freely given to His disciples, which He would thus imply were so great and glorious that those who lived before they were imparted might be almost tempted to murmur, comparing themselves with their more richly endowed successors,—this explanation seems untenable; as, were it worth while, much more might be urged against it.

^a Con. Hær. iv. 36.7. His immediate object is to assert the unity of the Old Dispensation and the New, that one purpose ran through, and one God ordered, them both; the same who called patriarchs and prophets in the earlier hours calling Apostles in the last. He makes many of the parables, and some with better right than this, to teach this lesson.

Then, as a subdivision of this group of interpreters, there are those who, in the different hours at which the labourers are hired, see different periods of men's lives, at which they enter on the Lord's work; and who affirm that its purpose is to encourage those who have entered late on His service, now to labour heartily, not allowing the consciousness of past negligences to make slack their hands; since they, too, if only they will labour with their might for the time, long or short, which remains, shall receive with the others a full reward. This is, in the main, Chrysostom's view: but while, under certain limitations, such encouragement may undoubtedly be drawn from the parable, it is another thing to say that this is the admonishment which it is especially meant to convey. In what living connexion would the parable then stand with what went before, with Peter's question, or with the temper out of which that question grew, and which this teaching of the Lord was intended to meet and to correct?

But the explanation, which certainly contains more truth in it than all hitherto passed under review, is that which finds here a warning and a prophecy of the causes which would lead to the rejection of of the Jews, the first called into the vineyard of the Lord; -these causes being mainly their proud appreciation of themselves and of their own work; their displeasure at seeing the Gentiles, aliens so long, put on the same footing, admitted to equal privileges, with themselves in the kingdom of God: b and an agreement or covenant being made with the first hired, and none with those subsequently engaged, has seemed a confirmation of this view. Doubtless this application of the parable is by no means to be excluded. It was notably fulfilled in the Jews; their conduct did supply a solemn confirmation of the need of the warning here given: yet at the same time this fulfilment was only one out of many; for our Lord's words are so rich in meaning, to bring out the essential and abiding relations between men and God, that they are continually finding their fulfilment. Had this, however, been His primary meaning, we

^{*} And also Jerome's (Comm. in Matt.): Mihi videntur primæ horæ esse operarii Samuel et Jeremias et Baptista Johannes, qui possunt cum Psalmistâ dicere, Ex utero matris meæ Deus es tu. Tertiæ vero horæ operarii sunt qui in pubertate servire Deo cœperunt. Sextæ horæ, qui maturâ ætate susceperunt jugum Christi: nonæ, qui jam declinant ad senium: porro undecimæ, qui ultimâ senectute. Et tamen onnes pariter accipiunt præmium, licet diversus labor sit.

b Cocceius: Subindicatur futura murmuratio et indignatio Judæorum contra gentes: quorum præsumptio est, gentes in regno Christi ipsis debere subjici, et non debere pervenire ad præmium, nisi ipsi quoque ita laboraverint, ut Judæi per multa secula laboraverant. See, in favour of this explanation, Greswell, Expos. of the Par. vol. iv. p. 370, sqq.

should expect to hear of but two bands of labourers, the first hired and the last: all who come between would only serve to confuse and perplex. The solution sometimes given of this objection,—that the successive hirings are the successive summonses to the Jews; first, under Moses and Aaron; secondly, under David and the kings; thirdly, under the Maccabæan chiefs and priests; and lastly, in the time of Christ and His Apostles; or that these are severally Jews, Samaritans, and proselytes of greater and less strictness,—seems devised merely to escape from an embarrassment, and only witnesses for its existence without removing it.

Better, then, to say that the parable is directed against a wrong temper and spirit of mind, which, indeed, was notably manifested in the Jews, but one against which not merely they, but all men in possession of spiritual privileges, have need to be, and are here, warned: while at the same time the immediate occasion from which it rose, was not one in which they were involved. This is clear, for the warning was not primarily addressed to them, but to the Apostles, as the chiefest and foremost in the Christian Church, the earliest called to labour in the Lord's vineyard—'the first,' both in time and in the amount of suffering and toil which they would have to undergo. They had seen the rich young man (xix. 22) go sorrowful away, unable to abide the proof by which the Lord had mercifully revealed to him how strong the bands whereby he was yet holden to the world and the things of the world. They (for Peter here, as so often, is the representative and spokesman of all) would fain know what their reward should be, who had done this very thing from which he had shrunk, and forsaken all for the Gospel's sake (ver. 27). The Lord answers them first and fully, that they and as many as should do the same for His sake, should reap an abundant reward (ver. 28, 29). At the same time the question itself, 'What shall we have?' was not a right one; it put their relation to their Lord on a wrong footing; there was a tendency in it to bring their obedience to a calculation of so much work, so much reward. There lurked, too, a certain self-complacency in this speech. That spirit of self-exalting comparison of ourselves with others, which is so likely to be stirring, when we behold any signal failure on their part, was obscurely at work in them; so obscurely that they may have been hardly conscious of it themselves; but He who knew what was in man, saw with a glance into the depths of Peter's heart, and having replied to the direct question, 'What shall we have?' went on to crush the evil in the bud, and before it should unfold itself further. 'Not of works, lest any man should boast; ' this was the truth which they were in

danger of missing, and which He would now by the parable enforce; and if nothing of works, but all of grace for all, then no glorying of one over another, no claim as of right upon the part of any. In that question of theirs there spake out something of the spirit of the hireling and it is against this spirit that the parable is directed, which might justly be entitled, On the nature of rewards in the kingdom of God,—the whole finding a most instructive commentary in Rom. iv. 1-4, which supplies not a verbal, but more deeply interesting, a real parallel to the parable before us.

So far as it is addressed to Peter, and in him to all true believers, it is rather a warning against what might be, if they were not careful to watch against it, than a prophecy of what would be. b For we cannot conceive of him who dwells in love as allowing himself in envious and grudging thoughts against any of his brethren, because, though they have entered on the service of God, or been engaged in a lighter labour, they will yet be sharers with him of the same heavenly reward; or refusing to welcome them gladly to all the blessings and privileges of the communion of Christ. Least of all can we imagine him so to forget that he also is saved by grace, as to allow such hateful feelings to come to a head, actually to take form and shape, which they do in the parable, or as justifying to himself and to God, like the spokesman among the murmurers here. We cannot conceive this even here in our present imperfect state, much less in the perfected kingdom hereafter; for love 'rejoices in the truth,' and the very fact of one so grudging against another would prove that he himself did not dwell in love, and therefore was under sentence of exclusion from that kingdom.d It is then a warning to the Apostles, and through them to all believers, of what might be, not a prophecy of what shall

^{*} Gerhard: Sub finem, quia Christo Petri et reliquorum confidentia non fuit ignota, et verendum erat ne ob magnificam hanc promissionem se aliis præferrent, hunc locum gravi sententiâ concludit, quâ ipsos et in primis Petrum sub modestiâ et metu continere cupit, Multi autem primi erunt novissimi primi. . . . Nolit ergo altum sapere, nolite arroganter de vobis ipsis sentire. So also Olshausen, who refers to ver. 20-28 of this chapter (cf. Mark x. 35), as an evidence how liable the promise (xix. 28) was to be perverted and misunderstood by the old man not yet wholly mortified in Apostles themselves.

b Bengel: Respectu Apostolorum non est prædictio sed admonitio.

[•] In the beautiful words of Leighton (Prælect. 6): '0 φθόνος ἔξω τοῦ θείου χοροῦ· sed caritas absolutissima, quâ unusquisque simul cum suâ alterius mutuo felicitate fruitur et beatus, est, illà scilicet taπquam suâ collætatus; unde inter illos infinita quædam beatitudinis repercussio et multiplicatio est: qualis foret splendor aulæ auro et gemmis, pleno regum et magnatum choro, nitentis, cujus parietes speculis undique lucidissimis obtecti essent.

deregory the Great says excellently (Hom. 19, in Evang.) on this murmuring: Cælorum regnum nullus murmurans accipit; nullus qui accipit, murmurare poterit.

be for any who share in the final reward. They are taught that, however long continued their work, abundant their labours, yet without this charity to their brethren, this humility before God, they are nothing; -that pride and a self-complacent estimation of their work, like the fly in the precious ointment, would spoil the work, however great it might be, since that work stands only in humility: and from first they would fall to last. There is, then, this difference between the story of the parable and the truth of which it is the exponent, that while it would not have been consistent with equity for the householder altogether to have deprived the first labourers of their hire, notwithstanding their pride and discontent, while consequently they receive their wages, and are only punished by a severe rebuke, yet the lesson taught to Peter, and through him to us all, is, that the first may be altogether last; that those who seem chiefest in labour, yet, if they forget withal that the reward is of grace and not of works, and begin to boast and exalt themselves above their fellowlabourers, may altogether lose the things which they have wrought; while those who seem last, may yet, by keeping their humility, be acknowledged first and foremost in the day of God. With these preliminary remarks, which the difficulties of the parable have made it necessary to draw out at length, we may now proceed to consider its details.

'The kingdom of heaven is like unto a man that is a householder, which went out early in the morning to hire labourers into his vine-yard:' in other words, The manner of God's dealings with those whom He calls to the privileges of working in His Church is like to that of a householder, who should go out early in the morning to hire labourers. This is ever true in the heavenly world, that God seeks His labourers, and not they who seek Him: 'You have not chosen Me, but I have chosen you' (John xv. 16). Every summons to a work in the heavenly vineyard is from the Lord: man's heart never originates the impulse; all which is man's in the matter is, that he do not resist the summons, which it is his melancholy prerogative that he is able to do. It is 'a call,' according to the instructive Scriptural expression: but as in the natural world a call implies no force, may be listened to or may be disregarded, so also is it in the spiritual.

'And when he had agreed with the lubourers for a penny a day, he

Gregory the Great again (Moral. xix. 21): Perit omne quod agitur, si non sollicite in humilitate custoditur.

b Fleck: Non in una persona sed in tota actione collatio consistit;—a remark of frequent application.

sent them into his vineyard.' The different footing upon which the different bands of labourers went to their work, would scarcely have been so expressly noted, if no signification were to be found therein. An agreement was made by these first-hired labourers before they entered on their labour, exactly the agreement and bargain which Peter wished to make, 'What shall we have?'—while those subsequently engaged went in a simpler spirit, trusting that whatever was equitable the householder would give them. We have here already hints upon the part of some of that wrong spirit which presently comes to a head (ver. 11, 12); on the part of others, we have the true spirit of humble waiting upon the Lord, in full assurance that He will give far more than his servants can desire or deserve, that God is not unrighteous to forget any labour of love which is wrought for Him, that He will not fail to show Himself an abundant rewarder of all them that seek and serve Him.'

At the third, at the sixth, and at the ninth hour,—or at nine in the morning, at midday, and at three in the afternoon,—he again went into the market-place, and those whom he found waiting there, sent

*A denarius, a Roman silver coin, which passed current as equal to the Greek drachm, though in fact some few grains lighter. It was $=8\frac{1}{2}d$, at the latter end of the commonwealth, afterwards something less, of our money. It was not an uncommon, though a liberal, day's pay (see Tob. v. 14). Morier in his Second Journey through Persia, p. 265, mentions having noted in the market-place at Hamadan a custom like that alluded to in the parable:—Here we observe every morning before the sun rose, that a numerous band of peasants were collected with spades in their hands, waiting to be hired for the day to work in the surrounding fields. This custom struck me as a most happy illustration of our Saviour's parable, particularly when passing by the same place late in the day, we still found others standing idle, and remembered his words, "Why stand ye here all the day idle?" as most applicable to their situation; for on putting the very same question to them, they answered us "Because no man hath hired us."

^b Thus Bernard, in a passage (In Cant. Serm. xvi. 4) containing many interesting allusions to this parable: Ille [Judæus] pacto conventionis, ego placito voluntatis innitor.

o These would not, except just at the equinoxes, be exactly the hours; for the Jews, as well as the Greeks and Romans, divided the natural day, that between sunrise and sunset, into twelve equal parts (John xi. 9), which parts must of course have been considerably longer in summer than in winter; for though the difference between the longest and the shortest day is not so great in Palestine as with us, yet it is by no means trifling; the longest day is of 14h 12m duration, the shortest of 9h 48m, with a difference therefore of 4h 24m, so that an hour on the longest day would be exactly 22m longer than an hour on the shortest. The equinoctial hours did not come into use until the fourth century (see the Lict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Hora, p. 485). Probably the day was also divided into the four larger parts here indicated, just as the Roman night into four watches, and indeed the Jewish no less: the four divisions of the latter are given in a popular form, Mark xiii. 35 (see Schoettgen, Hor. 11eb. vol. i. p. 136).

d Maldonatus: Totum mundum qui extra Ecclesiam est.

into his vineyard; events which call for no remark, as first and last are the only ones on whom the parable ultimately turns. 'And about the eleventh hour he went out, and found others standing idle, and saith unto them, Why stand ye here all the day idle?' All activity out of Christ, all labour that is not labour in his Church, is in his sight a 'standing idle.' 'They say unto him, Because no man hath hired us.' There was a certain amount of rebuke in the question, which it is intended that this answer shall clear away; for it belongs to the idea of the parable, that it shall be accepted as perfectly satisfactory. It is not then in a Christian land, where men grow up under sacramental obligations, with the pure word of God sounding in their ears, that this answer could be given; or at least, only in such woful instances as that which, alas! our own land at the present affords, where in the bosom of the Church multitudes have been allowed to grow up ignorant of the blessings which her communion affords, and the responsibilities it lays upon them; and even in their mouths there would only be a partial truth in the answer, 'No man hath hired us;' since even they cannot be altogether ignorant of their Christian vocation. Only when the kingdom of God is first set up in a land, enters as a new and hitherto unknown power, could any with full truth reply, 'No man hath hired us :- if we have been living in disobedience to God, it has been because we were ignorant of Him; if we were serving Satan, it was because we knew no other master and no better service.'

While then the excuse which these labourers plead, appertains not to them who, growing up within the Church, have despised to the last, or nearly to the last, God's repeated biddings to go work in his vineyard; while the unscriptural corollary cannot be appended to the parable, that it matters little at what time of men's lives they enter

The Author of a modern Latin essay, De Serâ Resipiscentiâ, desirous to rescue the parable from such dangerous abuse, urges that it should have been otherwise framed, if such were its doctrine: Oportuisset dixisse, Regnum cælorum simile est homini egresso alto mane, ad conducendum operarios in vineam suam. Invenit tales quibus fecit maxima promissa, sed isti hæc rejecerunt, præferentes manere in foro ad ludendum et compotandum. Reversus est horâ tertitâ, ædem illis obtulit, et instantius eos rogavit, sed absque fructu. . . . Idem fecit horâ sextâ et nonâ, ipsius autem oblationes et promissiones semper fuerunt inutiles. Illi quin etiam ipsum male exceperunt, ipsique proterve dixerunt, quod nollent pro eo laborare. Ipse ne sic quidem offensus, reversus est, cum non nisi una diei hora superesset, eandemque obtulit summam quam mane. Illi tunc videntes quod summam tantam lucrari possent labore momentaneo, tandem passi sunt hoc sibi persuaderi, spectantes maxime quod dies fere transactus foret ante suum in vineam adventum. Augustine (Serm. lxxxvii. 6) has the same line of thought: Numquid enim et illi, qui sunt ad vineam conducti, quando ad illos exibat paterfamilias, ut conduceret quos invenit horâ tertiâ . . . dixerunt illi : Exspecta, non illuc imus nisi horâ sextâ? aut quos invenit horâ

heartily upon his service, how long they despise his vows which have been upon them from the beginning; yet one would not therefore deny that there is such a thing even in the Christian Church as men being called,-or to speak more correctly, since they were called long before, -as men obeying the call and entering the Lord's vineyard, at the third, or sixth, or ninth, or even the eleventh hour. Only their case will be parallel not to that of any of these labourers -in regard of being able to make the same excuse as they did, but rather to that of the son, who being bidden to go work in his father's vineyard, refused, but afterwards repented and went (Matt. xxi. 28); and such an one, instead of clearing himself as respects the past, which these labourers do, will on the contrary humble himself most deeply, while he considers all his neglected opportunities and the long-continued despite which he has done to the Spirit of grace. Yet while thus none can plead, 'No man hath hired us,' in a land where the Christian Church has long been established, and the knowledge of Christ more or less brought home unto all, the parable is not therefore without its application in such; since there also there will be many entering into the Lord's vineyard at different periods, even to a late one, of their lives; and who, truly repenting their past unprofitableness, and not attempting to excuse it, may find their work, be it for a long or a short while, graciously accepted now, and may share hereafter in the full rewards of the kingdom.* For in truth time belongs not to the kingdom of God. Not 'How much hast thou done?' but 'What art thou now?' will be the great question of the last day. Of course we must never forget that all which men have done will greatly affect what they are; yet still the parable is a protest against the whole quantitative appreciation of men's works (the Romanist), as distinct from the qualitative, against all which would make the works the end, and man the means, instead of the man the end, and the works the means—against that scheme which, however unconsciously, lies at the root of so many of the confusions in our theology at this day.b

sextâ dixerunt Non imus nisi horâ nonâ . . . Omnibus enim tantumdem daturus est: quare nos amplius fatigamur? Quid ille daturus sit, et quid facturus sit, penes ipsum consilium est. Tu quando vocaris, veni. Cf. Gregory of Nazianzum, Orat. xl. 20, against those who used this parable as an argument for deferring baptism.

^{*} Remarkably enough we find this view supported by Leo the Great (De Voc. Omn. Gent. 1. i. c. 17): Sine dubio horâ undecimâ intromissi in vineam, et totius diei opa ariis aggregati, istorum præferunt sortem quos ad commendandam gratiæ excellentiam in defectu diei et conclusione vitæ divina indulgentia muneratur, non labori pretium solvens, sed divitias bonitatis suæ in eos, quos sine operibus elegit, effundens; ut etiam hi qui in multo labore sudârunt, nec amplius quam novissimi acceperunt, intelligant, donum se gratiæ, non operum occepisse mercedam.

b This mechanical, as opposed the dynamic, idea of righteousness, is carried to the

'So when even was come, the lord of the vineyard saith unto his steward, Call the labourers, and give them th ir hire, beginning from the last unto the first.' This householder will fulfil strictly the precept of the law; the hired labourer shall not have his payment deferred till to-morrow: 'At his day thou shalt give him his hire, neither shall the sun go down upon it; for he is poor, and setteth his heart upon it? (Deut. xxiv. 15; cf. Lev. xix. 13; Job vii. 2; Mal. iii. 5; Jam. v. 4; Tob. iv. 14). Christ is the 'steward,' or overseer rather, set over all God's house (Heb. iii. 6; John v. 27; Matt. xi. 27). The whole economy of salvation has been put into his hands, and as part of this the distribution of rewards (Rev. ii. 7, 10, 17, 28, &c.). And first the last hired are paid, those who came in without any agreement made, and they receive a full penny. And here is encouragement not to delay entering on God's service till late in our lives; for we everywhere find in Scripture a marked blessing resting on early piety -but encouragement for those who have so done now to work heartily and with their might. Misgivings concerning the acceptance of their work do not make men work the more strenuously; on the contrary, nothing so effectually cuts the nerves of all exertion; but there is that in this part of the parable which may help to remove such misgivings in those who would be most likely to feel them: let them labour in hope; they too shall be sharers in the full blessings of Christ and of his salvation.

It may be securely inferred that all between the last and the first hired received the penny as well; though it is the first hired alone who remonstrate, as those in whose case the injustice, for so it seemed to them, appeared the most flagrant. To assume, as Chrysostom,

extremest point in the Chinese theology. Thus in that remarkable Livre des récompenses et des peines, the mechanical, or to speak more truly, the arithmetical, idea of righteousness comes out with all possible distinctness. For example, p. 124. Pour devenir immortel, il faut avoir amassé trois mille mérites, et huit cents actions vertuuses. How glorious, on the other hand are Thauler's words upon the way in which men may have restored to them 'the years which the cankerworm has eaten:' Libet hic quærere quo pacto deperditum tempus unquam recuperare quis possit, cum nullum sit tam breve et velox temporis momentum, quod non totum cum omni virtute ac facultate nostrâ Deo creatori debeamus. Sed hâc in parte consilium sanissimum præstatur. Avertat se quisque cum omnibus tam supremis quam infimis viribus suis ab omni loco et tempore, seque in illud Nunc æternitatis recipiat, ubi Deus essentialiter in stabili quodam Nunc existit. Ibi neque præteritum aliquid est, neque futurum Ibi principium et finis universi temporis præsentia adsunt. Ibi, in Deo scilicet, deperdita omnia reperiuntur. Et qui in consuetudinem ducunt sæpius in Deum se immergere atque in ipso commorari, hi nimium fiunt locupletes, immo plura inveniuat quam deperdere queant . . . Denique et neglecta omnia atque deperdita in ipso quoque Dominicæ passionis pretiossisimo thesauro reperire ac recuperare licet.

Maldonatus, Hammond, Waterland, and Olshausen have done, that these first hired had been doing their work negligently by comparison. while the last hired, such for instance as a Paul, whom Origen, quoting I Cor. xv. 10, suggests, had done it with their might, and had in fact accomplished as much in their hour as the others in their day, is to assume that of which there is no slightest trace in the narrative. And more than this, such an assumption effectually blunts the whole point of the parable, which lies in this very thing, that men may do and suffer much, infinitely more than others, and yet be rejected, while those others are received,—that first may be last, and last first. It is nothing strange that a rationalist like Kuinoel should thus explain the parable; for in fact the whole matter is thus taken out of the spiritual world, and brought down to the commonest region of sense; since if one man does as much work in one hour as another in twelve, it is only natural that he should receive an equal reward. Every difficulty disappears,—except indeed this, how the Lord should have thought it worth while to utter a parable for the justifying of so very ordinary a transaction; or if He did, should have omitted that very thing which constituted the justification. But indeed this interpretation exactly brings us back to the level, from which to raise us the parable was spoken; we have a Jewish, instead of an Evangelical, parable; an affirmation that the reward is not of grace, but of debt,—the very untruth which it was meant to rebuke and to reprove.

^{*} Singularly enough, exactly such a one is quoted by Lightfoot and others from the Talmud. Of a famous Rabbi, who died young, it is asked, 'To what was R. Bon Bar Chaija like? To a king who hired many labourers, among whom there was one hired who performed his task surprisingly well. What did the king? He took him aside, and walked with him to and fro. When even was come, those labourers came, that they might receive their hire, and he gave him a complete hire with the rest. And the labourers murmured saying, "We have laboured hard all the day, and this man only two hours, yet he hath received as much wages as we." The king saith to them, "He hath laboured more in those two hours than you in the whole day." So R. Bon plied the law more in eight and twenty years than another in a hundred years.' Cf. the Spicilegium of L. Capellus, p. 28 .- Von Hammer (Fundgruben d. Orients, vol. i. 157) quotes from the Sunna, or collection of Mahomet's traditional sayings, what reads like a distorted image of this parable. The Jew, the Christian, the Mahommedan are likened to three different bands of labourers, hired at different hours, at morning, mid-day, and afternoon. The latest hired receive in the evening twice as much as the others. It ends thus: 'The Jews and Christians will complain and say, "Lord, Thou hast given two carats to these, and only one to us." But the Lord will say, "Have I wronged you in your reward?" They answer, "No." "Then learn that the other is an overflowing of my grace." See the same with immaterial differences in Gerock, Christol. d. Koran, p. 141; and Möhler (Verm. Schrift. vol. i. p. 355) mentions that, when claiming prophetic intimations of their faith in our Scriptures, the Mahommedans refer to this parable and its successive bands of labourers.

When the first hired received the same sum as the others and no more, 'they murmured against the goodman of the house, saying. These last have wrought but one hour, and thou hast made them equal unto us, which have borne the burden and heat a of the day.' These other, they would say, have been labouring not merely for a far shorter time; but when they entered on their tasks it was already the cool of the evening, when toil is no longer so oppressive, while we have borne the scorching heat of the middle noon. But here the perplexing dilemma meets us, Either these are of the number of God's faithful people;—how then can they murmur against their fellow-servants? or they are not of that number; —what then can we understand of their having laboured the whole day through in his vineyard, and actually carrying away at last the penny, the reward of eternal life? It is a very unnatural way of escaping the difficulty, to understand 'Take that which is thine,' as meaning 'Take the damnation which belongs to thee, the just punishment of thy pride and discontent;' or as Basil the Great has it, 'Take the earthly reward, the 'hundredfold" promised in this present time, but lose the "everlasting life," which thou shouldst have had in addition' (Matt. xix. 29.b Theophylact and others seek to mitigate as much as possible the guilt of their murmuring, and see in it no more than the expression of that surprise and admiration which will escape from some, at the unexpected position that others, of perhaps small account here, will occupy in the future kingdom of glory.^d But the expression of their discontent is too strong, and the rebuke which it calls out too severe, to admit of any such extenuation of their dissatisfaction. Better to say that no analogy will be found for this murmuring in the future world of glory; -and only where there is a large admixture of the old cor-

^{*} Καύσων, the dry burning east wind (Isai. xlix. 10; Ezek. xix. 12; Jam. i. 11), so fatal to all vegetable life, 'The wind from the wilderness' (Hos. xiii. 15), of which Jerome says (Com. in Os. iii. 11): Καύσωνα, i.e. aridatem, sive ventum urentum, qui contrarius floribus est, et germinantia cuncta disperdit. It has much in common with, though it is not altogether so deadly as the desert wind Sam or Samiel, which is often fatal to life; and whose effects Venema (Comm. in Ps. xci. 6) thus describes: Penetrat ventus, venenatis particulis mixtus, æstu suo venenato in viscera, et præsentissimum ac dolorificum adfert exitium. Subito corpora fæde afficiuntur ac putres cunt. One of the grandest passages in Palgrave's Journey through Central and Eastern Arabia is a description of the terrors of a Simoon.

b Reg. Brev. Tract. Interr. 255, 256.

[·] Bellarmine: Admirationem potius quam querimoniam significare videtur.

^d So Gregory the Great (*Hom.* 19 in Evang.), though with particular reference to the saints of the Old Testament: Quia antiqui patres usque ad adventum Domini ducti ad regnum non sunt, . . . hoc ipsum murmurasse est; quod et recte pro percipiendo regno vixerunt, et tamen diu ad percipiendum regnum dilati sunt. Origen in the same spirit quotes Heb. xi. 39, 40.

ruption, in the present world of grace. There is rather here a teaching by contraries; as thus, 'Since you cannot conceive such a spirit as that here held up before you, and which you feel to be so sinful and hateful, finding room in the perfected kingdom of God, check betimes its beginnings; check all inclinations to look grudgingly at your brethren, who, having lingered and loitered long, have yet found a place beside yourselves in the kingdom of grace, and are sharers in the same spiritual privileges; or to look down upon and despise those who occupy a less important field of labour, who are called in the providence of God to endure and suffer less than yourselves: repress all inclinations to pride yourselves on your own doings, as though they gave you a claim upon God, instead of accepting all of His free mercy and undeserved bounty, and confessing that you as well as others must be saved entirely by grace.'

On the fact that the murmurers actually receive their penny, a Roman Catholic expositor ingeniously remarks that the denarius or penny was of different kinds; there was the double, the treble, the fourfold; that of brass, or rather copper, of silver, and of gold. The Jew (for he applies the parable to Jew and Gentile) received what was his, his penny of the meaner metal, his earthly reward, and with that went his way; but the Gentile the golden penny, the spiritual reward, grace and glory, admission into the perfected kingdom of God. Ingenious as this must be confessed to be, no one will for an instant accept it as a fair explanation of the difficulty; and yet it may suggest valuable considerations. The penny is very different to the different receivers; objectively the same, subjectively it is very different; it is, in fact, to every one exactly what he will make it. What the Lord said to Abraham, He says to each and to

There are many interesting points of comparison, as Jerome has observed, between this parable and that of the Prodigal Son; and chiefly between the murmuring labourers in this, and the elder brother in that. They had borne the burden and heat of the day—he has served his father these many years; they grudged to see the labourers of the eleventh hour made equal with themselves—he to see the Prodigal received into the full blessings of his father's house; the lord of the vineyard remonstrates with their narrow-heartedness—and in like manner the father with him.

b Thus Aquinas, in answer to the question whether there will be degrees of glory in the heavenly world, replies that in one sense there will, in another there will not: Contingit aliquem perfactius frui Deo quam alium, ex eo quod est melius dispositus vel ordinatus ad ejus fruitionem;—and again: Virtus erit quasi materialis dispositio ad mensuram gratiæ et gloriæ suscipiendæ. There is one vision of God; but there are very different capacities for enjoying that vision, as is profoundly expressed by the circles eccentric but ever growing smaller and thus nearer to the centre of light and life, in the Paradiso of Dante. Augustine (Enarr.in Ps. lxxii. 1) carries yet further this of the one vision of God for all: he compares it to the light which gladdens the healthy eye, but torments the diseased (non mutatus, sed mutotum).—It was a

all, 'I am thy exceeding great reward;' and He has no other reward to impart to any save only this, namely Himself. To 'see Him as He is,' this is His one reward, the penny unto all. But they whom these murmuring labourers represent had been labouring for something else besides the knowledge and enjoyment of God, with an eye to some other reward, to something on account of which they could glory in themselves, and glory over others. It was not merely to have much which they desired, but to have more than others; not to grow together with the whole body of Christ, but to get before and beyond their brethren; and therefore the penny, because it was common to all, did not seem enough, while in fact it was to each what he would make it. For if the vision of God shall constitute the blessedness of the coming world, they whose spiritual eye is most enlightened, will drink in most of his glory; then, since only like can know like, all advances which are here made in humility, in holiness, in love, are a polishing of the mirror that it may reflect more distinctly the divine image, a purging of the eye that it may see more clearly the divine glory, an enlarging of the vessel that it may receive more amply of the divine fulness; just as, on the other hand, all pride, all self-righteousness, all sin of every kind, whether it stop short with impairing, or end by altogether destroying, the capacities for receiving from God, is in its degree a staining of the mirror, a darkening of the eye, a narrowing of the vessel.^b In the present case, where pride and envy and self-esteem had found place, darkening the eye of the heart, the reward as a consequence seemed

favourite notion with the Mystics that God would not put forth a two-fold power topunish and reward, but the same power acting differently on different natures; as, to use their own illustration, the same heat hardens the clay and softens the wax. The Zend-Avesta supplies a parallel: All, it is there said, in the world to come will have to pass through the same stream; but this stream will be as warm milk to the righteous, while to the wicked it will be as molten brass.

^a The true feeling is expressed by Augustine: Hereditas in quâ coheredes Christi sumus, non minuitur multitudine filiorum, nec fit angustior numerositate coheredum. Sed tanta est multis quanta qaucis, tanta singulis quanta omnibus; and in a sublime passage, De Lib. Arbit. ii. 14, where of truth, the heavenly tride, he exclaims: Omnes amatores suos nullo modo sibi invidos recipit, et omnibus communis est, estingulis casta est; and by Gregory, who says: Qui facibus invidiæ carere desiderat, illam caritatem appetat, quam numerus possidentium non angustat. The same is beautifully expressed by Dante Purgat 15, beginning.

Com' esser puote ch' un ben distributo In più posseditor, faccia più recchi Di se, che se da pochi è posseduto?

b Bellarmine (De Æter. Felic. Sanct. v.): Denarius vitam æternam significat: sed quemadmodum idem sol clarius conspicitur ab aquilâ quam ab aliis avibus, et idem ignis magis calefacit proximos quam remotos, sic in eâdam æternâ vitâ clarius videbit et incurdius gaudebit unus quam alius.

no reward; it did not appear enough; instead of being exactly what each was willing, or rather had prepared himself to make it.

'But he answered one of them,'-him, probably, who was loudest and foremost in the utterances of his discontent, _ ' and said, Friend, b I do theee no wrong; didst thou not agree with me for a penny?' 'Friend' is commonly a word of address, as it would be among ourselves, from a superior to an inferior, and in Scripture is a word of an evil omen, seeing that, besides the present passage, it is the compellation used to the guest that had not on a wedding garment, and to Judas when he came to betray his Master (Matt. xxii. 12; xxvi. 50). 'I do thee no wrong;' he justifies his manner of dealing with them, as well as his sovereign right in his own things. They had put their claim on the footing of right, and on that footing they are answered. 'Take that thine is, and go thy way;' and again, 'Is thine eyec evil, because I am good? so long as I am just to thee, may I not be good and liberal to others?' The solution of the difficulty that these complainers should get their reward and carry it away with them, has been already suggested, namely, that, according to the human relations to which the parable must adapt itself, it would not have been consistent with equity to have made them forfeit their own hire, notwithstanding the bad feeling which they displayed. Yet we may say their reward vanished in their hands; and the sentences which follow sufficiently indicate that with God an absolute forfeiture might follow, nay, must necessarily follow, where this grudging, unloving, proud spirit has come to its full head; as much is affirmed in the words which immediately follow, 'So the last shall be first, and the first last.'

Many expositors have been sorely troubled how to bring these words into agreement with the parable; for in it 'first' and 'last' are all set upon the same footing; while, in these words, it is rather

As Seneca has well said: Nulli ad aliena respicienti, sua placent;—and again:
 Non potest quisquam et invidere et gratias agere.

b'Exaîpe, in the Vulgate, Amice: but Augustine (Serm. lxxxvii. 3), Sodalis, which is better. Our 'fellow' contains too much of contempt in it, though else the most accurate reading of all.

^e Envy is ever spoken of as finding its expression from the eye, Deut. xv. 9; I Sam. xviii. 9 ('Saul eyed David'); Prov. xxiii. 6; xxviii. 22; Tob. iv. 7; Ecclus. xiv. 10; xxxi. 13; Mark vii. 22; indeed the word invidia says as much, being, as Cicero observes (Tusc. iii. 9), h nimis intuendo fortunam alterius. There lies in the expression the belief, one of the widest spread in the world, of the eye being able to put forth positive powers of mischief. Thus in Greek the δφθαλμός βάσκανος, and βασκαίνειν = φθονεῖν (see J. B. Lightfoot, Ep. to the Galatians, p 127); in Italian, the malocchio; in French, the mauvais-œil. Persius: Urentes oculos. See Becker, Charicles, vol. ii. p. 291. We have on the other hand the ἀγαθὸς ὁφθαλμός, the ungrudging eye (Ecclus. xxxii. 10. LXX).

a reversing of places which is asserted; those who seem highest, it is declared, shall be placed at the lowest, and the lowest highest: when, too, we compare Luke xiii. 30, where the words recur, there can be no doubt that a total rejection of the 'first,' the unbelieving Tews, accompanied with the receiving of the 'last,' the Gentiles, into covenant is declared. Origen, whom Maldonatus follows, finds an explanation in the fact that the 'last' hired are the 'first' in order of payment; but this is so infinitesimally small an advantage. that the explanation cannot be admitted. Moreover, the circumstance of the last hired being first paid is evidently introduced for convenience-sake; if the first hired had been first paid, and, as was natural, had then gone their way, they would not have seen that the others had obtained the same penny as themselves, and so would have had no temptation to express their discontent. Neander's so entirely despairs of reconciling the parable with the words which introduce and finish it, that he proposes a desperate remedy, and one under the frequent application of which we should lose all confidence in the trustworthiness, not to speak of the inspiration, of the evangelical records. He thinks the sentences and the parable to have been spoken on different occasions, and only by accident to have been here brought into connexion; and asserts that one must wholly pervert this weighty parable, to bring it through forced artifices into harmony with words which are alien to it. But if what has been observed above be correct, the saving is not merely in its place here. but is absolutely necessary to complete the moral, to express that which the parable did not, and, according to the order of human affairs, could not express,—namely, the entire forfeiture which would follow on the indulgence of such a temper as that displayed by the murmurers here.

There is more difficulty in the closing words, 'For many be called, but few chosen.' They are not hard in themselves, but only in the position which they occupy. The connexion is easy and the application obvious, when they occur as the moral of the Marriage of the King's Son (Matt. xxii. 14); but here they have much perplexed those who will not admit entire rejection from the heavenly kingdom of those whom the murmuring labourers represent. Some explain, 'Many are called, but few have the peculiar favour shown to them,

[·] Leben Jesu, p. 196, note.

b It is not often that there is so felicitous an equivalent proverb in another language as that which the Greek supplies here; and which Clement of Alexandria has more than once adduced on the score of its aptness as a parallel:

that though their labour is so much less, their reward should be equal; thus Olshausen, who makes the 'called' and the 'chosen' alike partakers of final salvation, but assumes that by these terms are signified lower and higher standings of men in the kingdom of heaven.* These last hired had, in his view, laboured more abundantly, but this their more abundant labour was to be referred to a divine election, so that the name 'chosen' or elect well becomes them to whom such especial grace was given. But this assumption of larger labour upon their part mars, as has been already noted, the whole parable, and cannot for a moment be admitted. Others understand by the 'called' some not expressly mentioned, who had refused altogether to work in the vineyard; in comparison with whom the 'chosen,' those who at any hour had accepted the invitation, were so few, that the Lord could not bear that any of these should be shut out from his full reward. But the simplest interpretation seems to be: Many are called to work in God's vineyard, but few retain that humility, that entire submission to the righteousness of God, that utter abnegation of any claim as of right on their own part, which will allow them in the end to be partakers of his reward.b

Thus Wolf also (Curæ, in loc.): Κλητούς et ἐκλεκτύς hic non tanquam specie sibi oppositos considerandos esse, sed tanquam oppositos gradu felicitatis atque dignitatis.

The term reward, to express the felicity which God will impart to his people, sometimes offends, seeming to bring back to a legal standing point, and to imply a claim, as of right, and not merely of grace, upon their part. But being a scriptural term (Matt. v. 12, vi. 1; Luke vi. 35; 2 John 8; Rev. xxii. 12), there is no reason why we should shrink, and our Church has not shrunk, from its use; for we pray that we, plenteously bringing forth the fruit of good works, may of Thee be plenteously rewarded.' Only let us understand what we mean by it. Aquinas says: Potest homo apud Deum aliquid mereri non quidem secundum absolutam justitiæ rationem, sed secundum divinæ ordinationis quandam præsuppositionem; and this is a satisfactory distinction. The reward has relation to the work, but this, as the early protesters against the papal doctrine of merits expressed it, according to a justitia promissionis divinæ, not a justitia retributionis. There is no meritum condignum, though Bellarmine sought to extort such from this very parable (see Gerhard, Loc. Theol. loc. xviii. 8, 114). When it is said, God is not unrighteous to forget your work and labour of love,' it is only saying, 'He is faithful,' or promisekeeping (οὺκ ἄδικος=πιστός: cf. 1 John i. 9; 1 Cor. x. 13; 1 Pet. iv. 19). Augustine (Serm. cx. 4): Non debendo, sed promittendo, debitorem se Deus fecit. In the reward there is a certain retrospect to the work done, but no proportion between them, except such as may have been established by the free appointment of the Giver, and the only claim is upon his promise. It is, as Fuller says (Holy State, iii. 25), 'a reward, in respect of his promise; a gift, in respect of thy worthlessness; and yet the less thou lookest on it, the surer thou shalt find it, if labouring with thyself to serve God for Himself, in respect of whom even heaven itself is but a sinister end;' for, in the words of St. Bernard: Vera caritas mercenaria non est, quamvis merces eam sequatur 'He is faithful that promised'—this, and nothing else, must remain always the ground of all expectations; and what these expectations are to be, and what they are not to be, this parable declares.—This subject of reward is well discussed in the Supplement to Herzog's Real Encyclopädie, s. v. Lohn.

10. THE TWO SONS.

MATTHEW XXI. 28-32.

UR Lord had put back with another question (ver. 24, 25) the question (ver. 23) with which his adversaries had hoped either to silence Him, if He should decline to answer; or to obtain matter of accusation against Him, if He should give the answer which they expected: and now, becoming Himself the assailant. He commences that series of parables, in which, as a glass held up before them, they might see themselves, the impurity of their hearts. their neglect of the charge laid upon them, the aggravated guilt of that outrage against Himself which they were already meditating in Yet even these, wearing as they do so severe and their hearts. threatening an aspect, are not words of defiance, but of earnest tenderest love, spoken with the intention of turning them, if this were yet possible, from their purpose, of winning them also for the kingdom of God. The first, that of the Two Sons, goes not so deeply into the matter as the two that follow, and is rather retrospective, while those other are prophetic as well.

'But what think ye?—a certain man had two sons.' Here, as at Luke xv. 11, are described, under the image of two sons of one father, two great moral divisions of men, under one or other of which might be ranged almost all with whom our blessed Lord in His teaching and preaching came in contact. Of one of these classes the Pharisees were specimens and representatives, though this class as well as the other will exist at all times. In this are included all who have sought a righteousness through the law, and by help of its have been kept from open outbreakings of evil. In the second class, of which the publicans and harlots stand as representatives, are contained all who have thrown off the yoke, openly and boldly transgressed the law of God, done evil 'as with both hands earnestly.' Now the condition of those first is of course far preferable; that righteousness of the law better than this open unrighteousness;—provided always that it be ready to give place to the righteousness of

faith, when that appears; provided that it knows and feels its own incompleteness; and this will always be the case where the attempt to keep the law will then have done its work, and have proved a schoolmaster to Christ. But if this righteousness is satisfied with itself,-and this will be where evasions have been sought out to escape the strictness of the requirements of the law; if cold and loveless and proud, it imagines that it wants nothing, and so refuses to submit itself to the righteousness of faith; then far better that the sinner should have had his eyes open to perceive his misery and guilt, even though it had been by means of manifest and grievous transgressions, than that he should remain in this ignorance of his true state, of all which is lacking to him still; -just as it would be better that disease, if in the frame, should take a definite step, so that it might be felt and acknowledged to be disease, and then met and overcome, than that it should be secretly lurking in, and pervading, the whole system; and, because secretly, its very existence denied by him whose life it was threatening. From this point of view St. Paul speaks, Rom. vii. 7-9; and this same lesson, that there is no such fault as counting we have no fault, is taught us throughout all Scripture. It is taught us in the bearing of the elder son towards his father and returning brother in the parable of the Prodigal Son (Luke xv. 28-30); and again in the demeanour of the Pharisee who had invited Jesus to his house toward Him and toward the woman 'which was a sinner' (Luke vii. 36-50); and in that of another Pharisee, whose very prayers this spirit and temper made to be nothing worth (Luke xviii. 10; cf. 29-32).

'And he came to the first, and said, Son, go work to-day in my vineyard' (cf. Matt. xx. 1-16). This command was the general summons made both by the natural law on the conscience, and also by the revealed law which came by Moses, that men should bring forth fruit unto God. This call the publicans and harlots, and all open sinners, manifestly neglected and despised. The son first bidden to go to the work 'answered and said, I will not.' The rudeness of the answer, the total absence of any attempt to excuse his disobedience, are both characteristic. The representative of careless, reckless sinners, he has dismissed even the hypocrisies with which others cloke their sins; cares not to say, like those invited guests, 'I pray thee have me excused;' but flatly refuses to go. 'And he came to the second, and said likewise; and he answered and said, I go, sir.' The Scribes and Pharisees, as pro-

Gerhard: Vita peccatorum nihil aliud est, quam realis quidam clamor et professio,
 Nolumus facere Dei voluntatem.

b Έγὼ, κύριε. The readings here are various; ναὶ κύριε, ὑπάγω κύριε, and many more;

fessing zeal for the law, set themselves in the way as though they would fulfil the commands; this, their profession, was like the second son's promised obedience. But they said, and did not (Matt. xxiii. 2); the prophet Isaiah, as the Lord declared, had long since described them truly (Matt. xv. 8; cf. Isai. xxix. 13), 'This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoureth me with their lips, but their heart is far from me; ' and so was it here. When the marked time arrived, when it was needful to take decisively one side or the other, when the Baptist came to them 'in the way of righteousness,' and summoned to an earnest repentance, to a revival of God's work in the hearts of the entire people, then many of those hitherto openly profane were baptized, confessing their sins (Matt. iii. 5, 6); and like the son who first so insolently refused obedience to his father's bidding, 'repented, and went:' while on the other hand, the real unrighteousness of the Pharisees, before concealed under show of zeal for the law, was evidently declared: professing wilingness to go, they 'went not.'

To the Lord's question, 'Whether of the twain did the will of his father?' His adversaries cannot profess inability to reply, as they had done to a former question (ver. 27); they are obliged to answer, though their answer condemns themselves. 'They say unto Him, The first:' not, of course, that he did it absolutely well, but by comparison with the other. Then follows the application to themselves of the acknowledgement reluctantly wrung from them: 'Verily, I say uuto you, That the publicans and harlots go into the kingdom of God before you.' In these words, 'go before you,' or 'take the lead of you,' Christ would indicate that for them too the door of hope was open still, that no irreversible doom excluded them from that kingdom: the others, indeed, had preceded them; but they might still follow, if they would. 'For John came unto you in the way of righteousness, and ye believed him not.' An emphasis has been laid on the words, 'in the way of righteousness,' as though they were brought in to aggravate the sin of the Pharisees, as though the Lord would say, 'The Baptist came, a pattern of that very righteousness of the law, in which you profess to exercise yourselves. He did not come, calling to the new life of the Gospel, of which I am the pattern, and which you might have misunderstood; he did not come, seeking to put new wine into the old bottles, but himself fulfilling that very idea of righteousness which you pretended to have set

all, however, easily traced up to transcribers wanting to amend a phrase which seemed to them incomplete. Πορεύομαι, ἀπέρχομαι, or some such word, must be supplied. See I Sam. iii. 4, 6; Gen. xxii. I.

before yourselves, that which consisted in strong and marked separation of himself from sinners, and in an earnest asceticism (Matt. ix. II-I4); and yet you were so little hearty in the matter, that for all this he obtained no more acceptance with you than I have done. You found fault with him for the strictness of his life, as you find fault with Me for the condescension of mine (Matt. xi. I6-I9). Nor did you merely reject him at the first, but afterwards, when his preaching bore manifest proof in the conversion of sinners, when God had set His seal to it, when the publicans and the harlots believed him, even then ye could not be provoked to jealousy; ye when ye had seen it, repented not afterward, that ye might believe him.'

In many copies, and some not unimportant ones, it is the son that is first spoken to who promises to go, and afterwards disobeys; and the second who, refusing first, afterward changes his mind, and enters on the work. Probably the order was thus reversed by transcribers, who thought that the application of the parable must be to the successive callings of Jews and Gentiles," and that therefore the order of their calling should be preserved. But the parable does not primarily apply to the Jew and Gentile, but must be referred rather to the two bodies within the bosom of the Jewish people:—it is not said 'the Gentiles,' but 'the publicans and harlots enter the kingdom of heaven before you; ' while yet the first, if the parable had admitted (and if it had admitted, it must have required it), would have been a stronger way of provoking them to jealousy (Acts xxii. 21, 22: Rom. x. 21, 22). The application of the parable to Gentile and Jew need not, indeed, be excluded, since the whole Jewish nation stood to the Gentile world in the same relation which the more selfrighteous among themselves did to notorious transgressors. But not till the next parable do Jew and Gentile, in their relations to one another, and in their respective relations to the kingdom of God, come distinctly and primarily forward.

[°] So Origen, Chrysostom, and Athanasius: Jerome too, who quotes as a parallel to 'I go, sir,' the words of the children of Israel at the giving of the law, 'All that the Lord hath said will we do, and be obedient' (Exod. xxiv. 7) The Auct. Oper. Imperf. is almost the only ancient author who interprets the parable rightly; noting at length the inconveniences that attend the application of it to Jew and Gentile. But the $\omega\delta$ è $\mu\omega$ $\delta\kappa\kappa\epsilon$, with which Origen introduces his erroneous explanation marks that there was another interpretation current in the Church, as is explicitly stated by Jerome: Alii non putant Gentilium et Judæorum esse parabolam, sed simpliciter peccatorum et justorum.

II. THE WICKED HUSBANDMEN.

MATT. xxi. 33-45; MARK xii. 1-12; LUKE xx. 9-19.

THE Lord's hearers would have been well content if that one parable which He had just spoken had been all. But no; He will not let them go: He has begun and will finish: 'Hear another parable: ' as though He would say, 'I have not done with you yet: I have still another word of warning and rebuke,' and to that He now summons them to listen. Uttered in the presence at once of the Pharisees and of the people, to St. Matthew it seemed rather addressed to the former, while St. Luke records it as spoken to the people (xx. 9); but there is no real difference here. 'There was a certain householder, which planted a vineyard.' These opening words, and still more those which immediately follow, suggest, and are intended to suggest, a comparison with Isai. v. 1-7. He who came not to destroy, but to fulfil, the law and the prophets, takes up the prophet's words, the more willingly that His enemies accused Him of standing in a hostile relation to the one and to the other; and not in the outward form only, but in the innermost structure of the parable, He connects His own appearing with all which had gone before, presents it as the crown and consummation of all God's dealings through a thousand years with His people. Nor is it to that passage in Isaiah alone that the Lord links on His teaching here. The image of the kingdom of God as a vine-stock, or as a vineyard,

• The vine-stock often appears on the Maccabæan coins as the emblem of Palestine; sometimes too the bunch of grapes and the vine-leaf. Deyling (Obss. Sac. vol. iii. p. 236): Botrus præterea, folium vitis et palma, ut ex nummis apparet, symbolum erant Judææ.

b St. Bernard compares the Church with a vineyard at some length (In Cant. Serm. 30): In fide plantata, caritate mittit radices, defossa sarculo disciplinæ, stercorata pænitentium lacrymis, rigata prædicantium verbis, et sic sane exuberans vino, in quo est lætitia, sed non luxuria vino totius suavitatis, nullius libidinis. Hoc certe vinum lætificat cor hominis, hoc constat et angelos bibere cum lætitiâ. Augustine also Serm. lxxxvii. 1): Cultura ipsius est in nos, quod non cessat verbo suo extirpare semina mala de cordibus nostris, aperire cor nostrum tanquam aratro sermonis, plantere semina præceptorum, expectare fructum pietatis. Cf. Ambrose, Exp. in Luc. ix. 29.

runs through the whole Old Testament (Deut. xxxiii. 32; Ps. lxxx. 8-16; Isai. xxvii. 1-7; Jer. ii. 21; Ezek. xv. 1-6; xix. 10; Hos. x. 1); and has many fitnesses to recommend it. The vine, the lowest, is at the same time the noblest of plants. Our Lord appropriates it, among earthly symbols, to Himself; He is the mystical vine (John xv. 1); had been in prophecy compared to it long before (Gen. xlix. 11. It is a tree which spreads and diffuses itself, casts out its tendrils and branches on every side; b so that of the Vine which the Lord brought out of Egypt the Psalmist could say, it filled the land (lxxx. 9). Nor may we, while drawing out these points of similitude, omit the fact that there was no property so valuable, nor esteemed to yield returns so large, as a vineyard (Cant. viii. 11, 12); but rendering these only in answer to the most unceasing diligence and toil.

In Isaiah, the vineyard and the Jewish Church are one; 'The vineyard of the Lord of Hosts is the house of Israel, and the men of Judah his pleasant plant.' It is therefore described, not as transferred to others, but as laid waste and utterly destroyed (v. 5, 6). Here, where the vineyard is transferred to more faithful husbandmen, and the judgment lights not on it, but on those who sought so shamefully to seize it for their own, we must rather understand by the vineyard the kingdom of God in its idea, which idea Jew and Gentile have been successively placed in condition to realize; d failure in the fulfilment of this involving for both alike a forfeiture of the tenure. Inasmuch, indeed, as Israel according to the flesh was the first called to realize a kingdom of God, it may be said that for a time the vineyard was the Jewish Church; but this arrangement was accidental and temporary, not necessary and permanent, as the sequel abundantly proved. It was the fatal mistake of the Jews. witnessed against in vain by the prophets of old (Jer. vii. 4), by the Baptist (Matt. iii. 9); and now and often by the Lord Jesus Himself

Est etiam ille labor curandis vitibus alter, Cui nunquam exhausti satis est: namque omne quotannis Terque quaterque solum scindendum, glebaque versis Æternum frangenda bidentibus; omne levandum Fronde nemus. Redit agricolis labor actus in orbem, Atque in se sua per vestigia volvitur annus.

^{*} Grotius: Glariatur vitis in fabulâ (Jud. ix. 13) suo liquore lætificari Deum et homines, quod de Christi sanguine verissime dicitur.

b Pliny, Hist. Nat. xiv. 3.

[•] Cato: Nulla possessio pretiosior, nulla majorem operam requirit. Virgil presses the same words well worthy to be kept in mind by all to whom a spiritual vineyard has been committed (Georg. ii. 397-419):

A See Origen, Comm. in Matt. in loc.

(Matt. viii. 12; Luke xiii. 29), that they and the kingdom were so identified, that it could never be parted from them.

The householder is more than possessor of this vineyard; he has himself 'planted' it (Exod. xv. 17; Ps. xliv. 2). The planting of the spiritual vineyard found place under Moses and Joshua, in the establishing of the Jewish polity in the land of Canaan; and is described, Deut. xxxii. 12-14; cf. Ezek. xvi. 9-14; Neh. ix. 23-25. But he did not satisfy himself with this. Having planted, he also 'hedged it round about, and digged a wine-press' in it, and built a tower.' This hedge might be either a stone wall (Prov. xxiv. 31; Num. xxii. 24; Isai. v. 5), or a fence of thorns or other quickset; this last, if formed, as is common in the East, of the prickly wild aloe, would more effectually exclude the enemies of the vineyard, the fox (Cant. ii. 15; Neh. iv. 3), and the wild boar (Ps. lxxx. 13), than any wall of stone.° At Isai. v 5 the vineyard is furnished with both. That it should possess a 'wine-press' would be a matter of course. Not less needful would be the 'tower; 'd by which we understand not so much the kiosk, or ornamental building, serving mainly for delight, as a place of shelter for the watchmen who should guard the fruits of the vineyard, and a receptacle for the fruits themselves.

^{*} Δηνός = torcular; in St. Mark ὑπολήνιον = lacus; which last can alone be properly said to have been dug; being afterwards lined with masonry, as Chardin mentions that he found them in Persia. Sometimes they were hewn out of the solid rock: Nonnus (Dionys, xii. 330) describes in some spirited lines how Bacchus hollowed out such a receptacle from thence. In the $\lambda \eta \nu \phi_5$, or press above, the grapes were placed, and were there crushed, commonly by the feet of men (Judg. ix. 27; Neh. xiii. 15; Isai. Ixiii. 3); at the bottom of this press was a closely-grated hole, through which the juice, being expressed, ran into the ὑπολήνιον (or προλήνιον, Isai. v. 3, LXX), the vat prepared beneath for its reception, the lacus vinaries of Columella. See the Dictionary of the Bible, s. v. Wine press.

b See Greswell, Exposition of the Parables, vol. v. p. 4.

Homer, Il. xviii. 564; so too Virgil (Georg. ii. 371): Texendæ sepes etiam.

^a Πύργος = ὁπωροφυλάκιου, Isai. i. 8; xxiv. 20; specula quam custodes satorum habere consueverunt (Jerome); 'a booth that the keeper maketh' (Job xxvii. 18). Such temporary towers are common in Spain, at the season when the ripening grapes might tempt the passer-by; the more necessary, as often the vineyard lies open to the road without any protection whatever. A scaffolding is raised high with planks and poles, and with matting above to protect from the sun; and on this, commanding an extensive view all around, a watcher, with a long gun, is planted. The elder Niebuhr Beschreib. v. Arab. p. 138) says: 'In the mountainous districts of Yemen I saw here and there as it were nests in the trees, in which the Arabs perched themselves to watch their corn fields. In Tehama, where the trees were scarcer, they built for this purpose a high and light scaffold.' Ward (View of the Hindoos, vol. ii. p. 327) observes: 'The wild-hogs and buffaloes [silvestres uri, Georg. ii. 374] make sad havoe in the fields and orchards of the Hindoos; to keep them out, men are placed on elevated covered stages in the fields;'—sometimes on mounds built with sods of

The question, which to an interpreter of the parables must so often recur, presents itself here. Shall we attach any special signification to these several details? or accept them rather as the filling up of the picture; and, if expressing anything, yet only in a general way God's provident care for His Church, that provision of all things necessary for life and godliness which He made for His people, a provision so rich that He could ask with confidence, 'What could have been done more to my vineyard, that I have not done?' (Isai. v. 4). Many in this as in similar cases will allow nothing more than this last. But, whatever may be said of the wine-press and the tower, it is difficult, with Ephes. ii. 14 before us, where the law is described as the middle wall of partition 'a between the Jew and Gentile, to refuse to the hedging round of the vineyard a definite meaning. By their circumscription through the law, the Jews became a people dwelling alone, and not reckoned among the nations (Num. xxiii. 9); that law being at once a hedge of separation and of defence, b 'a wall of fire' (Zech. ii. 5; cf. Ps. cxxv, 2; Isai. xxvii. 3), which, preserving them distinct from the idolatrous nations round them and from their abominations, gave them the pledge and assurance of the continued protection of God. Nor should it be forgotten that not inwardly only, but outwardly as well, Judæa, through its geographical position, was hedged round; by the bounty of nature on every side circumscribed and defended; being guarded on the east by the river Jordan and the two lakes, on the south by the desert and mountainous country of Idumæa, on the west by the sea, and by Anti-Libanus on the north: for so, observes Vitringa, had God in His counsels determined, who willed that Israel should dwell alone. It is not so easy to point out distinct spiritual benefits shadowed forth by the wine-press^c and the tower. Many attempts to discover such have

earth; and the watchers are frequently armed with slings. which they use with dexterity and effect, to drive away invaders of every description.

^a Μεσύτοιχον τοῦ φραγμοῦ there, as φραλμός here.

b Ambrose (*Exp. in Luc.* ix. 24) explains it: Divinæ custodiæ munitione vallavit, ne facile spiritalium pateret incursibus bestiarum; and *Hexaëm*. iii. 12: Circumdedit eam velut vallo quodam cælestium præceptorum, et angelorum custodiâ.

[°] Generally the wine-press is taken to signify the prophetic institution. Thus Irenæus (Con. Hær. iv. 36): Torcular fodit, receptaculum prophetici Spiritûs præparavit. Hilary: In quos [prophetas] musti modo quædam ubertas Spiritûs Sancti ferventis influeret. So Ambrose, Exp, in Luc. ix. 24.

^d In the parallel passage in Isaiah two other principal benefits are recorded,—that the vineyard was on a fruitful hill (apertos Bacchus amat colles, Virgil), sloping towards the rays of the sun, and that the stones were gathered out from it (2 Kin. iii. 19), the last an allusion to the casting out of the Canaanites, who else might have proved stumbling-blocks for God's people (Ps. cxxv. 3). With the whole parable Ezek. xvi. will form an instructive parallel.

been made: but they all have something fanciful and arbitrary about them; and, though often ingenious, yet fail to command an unreserved assent.

The householder, this rich provision for his vineyard made, 'let it to husbandmen' (Cant. viii. 11); it is not said upon what terms. but, as the sequel clearly implies, having made a covenant with them to receive a fixed proportion of the fruits in their season. Since, as it is evident, the husbandmen must be distinguished from the vineyard they were set to cultivate and keep, we must understand by them the spiritual chiefs of the nation, to whom God, in the very constitution of the Jewish polity, had given authority to sit in Moses' chair, and from it to teach the people (Mal. ii. 7; Ezek. xxxiv. 2; Matt. xxiii. 2, 3). By the vineyard itself will then naturally be signified the great body of the nation, who, instructed and taught by these, should bring forth fruits of righteousness unto God. The vineyard thus committed to the care of the husbandmen, the householder 'went into a far country,' and, as St. Luke adds, 'for a long while.' In the miracles which went along with the deliverance from Egypt, the giving of the law from Sinai, and the planting in Canaan, God may be said to have openly dealt with His people, to have entered into a covenant with them; but then, this done, to have withdrawn Himself again for a while, not speaking any more to them face to face (Deut. xxxiv. 10-12), but waiting in patience to see what the law would effect, and what manner of works they, under the teaching of their spiritual guides, would bring forth.

'And when the time of the fruit drew near, he sent his servants to the husbandmen, that they might receive the fruits of it,' the householder's share of the produce, whatever that may have been. There was, of course, no time when God did not demand obedience, gratitude, love from His people; all times therefore are in one sense the time of the fruit' (Isai v. 7). But the conditions of the

^{*} A friend who looked over some parts of this work before publication has added a note, which I am sure every reader will be glad I have preserved: 'I do not absolutely question the truth of this interpretation, but it seems to me rather an escape from a difficulty which does not exist more in the parable than in all our customary language about the Church. The Church is both teacher and taught; but the teachers are not merely ministers; the whole Church of one generation teaches the whole Church of another, by its history, acts, words, mistakes, &c. The Church existing out of time an unchangeable body teaches the members of the Church existing in every particular time.'

b Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. ix. 23): Multis temporibus abfuit, ne præpropera videretur exactio: nam quo indulgentior liberalitos, eo inexcusabilior pervicacia. Theophylact: ἡ ἀποδημία τοῦ Θεοῦ, ἡ μακρο υμια. Bengel: Innuitur tempus divinæ taciturnitatis, ubi homines agunt pro arbitrio. See Ezek. viii. 12; Ps. x. 5.

parable demand this language; and moreover, in the history of souls and of nations, there are seasons which even more than all other are 'times of fruit;' when God requires such with more than usual earnestness, when it is very ill with that soul or that nation, if they be not found. But the 'servants' who should receive this fruit, how, it may be asked, are these to be distinguished from the 'husbandmen'? Exactly in this, that the 'servants,' that is, the prophets and other more eminent ministers and messengers of God to the people whom He had chosen, were sent; being raised up at critical epochs, having distinct missions, and their power lying in their direct mission from Him; the 'husbandmen,' on the other hand, were the more regular ecclesiastical authorities, whose power lay in the very constitution of the theocracy itself.^a On this receiving the fruits, or, as St. Luke has it, 'of the fruit of the vineyard,' Olshausen' says well, 'These fruits which are demanded, are in nowise to be explained as particular works, nor yet as a condition of honesty and uprightness, but much rather as the repentance and the inward longing after true inward righteousness, which the law was unable to bring about. It is by no means meant to be said that the law had not an influence in producing uprightness: it cuts off the grosser manifestations of sin, and reveals its hidden abomination; so that a righteousness according to the law can even under the law come forth as fruit, but this, to be sufficing, must have a sense of a need of the redemption for its basis (Rom. iii. 20). The servants, therefore, here appear as those who seek for these spiritual needs, that they may link to them the promises concerning a coming Redeemer: but the unfaithful husbandmen who had abused their own position, denied and slew these messengers of grace. This 'time of the fruit' would not, according to the Levitical law, have arrived till the fifth year after the planting of the vineyard. For three years the fruit was to be uncircumcised, and therefore

a Bengel: Servi sunt ministri extraordinarii, majores: agricolæ, ordinarii.

 b^* (Aπ∂ τοῦ καρποῦ—according to the well-known métayer system still practiced in parts of France and in Italy. Pliny (Eρ, ix. 37) writes that the only why in which he derives any benefit from some estates of his, hitherto badly managed, was by letting them on this system: Medendi una ratio si non nummo sed partibus locem. He was to appoint some guardians (exactores and custodes), differing only from these servants, that they were to be constantly on the spot to prevent fraud, and to see that he obtains his just share of the produce. Chardin Voy, en Perse, vol. v. p. 384, Langlès' ed.) has much on the mètayer system as he found it in Persia, and illustrates our parable well, showing what a constant source it proved of violence and fraud: Cet accord, qui paroît un marché de bonne foi et qui le devroit être, se trouve néanmoins une source intarissable de fraude, de contestation, et de violence, où la justice n'est presque jamais gardée, et ce qu'il y a de fort singulier c'est que le seigneur est celui qui a toujours de pire, et qui est lésé. See Du Crange, s. vv. Medietarius and Medietas.

ungathered; in the fourth it was 'holy to praise the Lord withal;' and only in the fifth could those who tended the vineyard either themselves enjoy or to others render of the fruits thereof (Lev. xix. 23-29). During this long period the husbandmen may have managed to forget that they were tenants at all, and not possessors in fee; and this may help to explain what follows.

'And the husbandmen took his servants, and beat one, and killed another, and stoned another. Again, he sent other servan's more than the first, and they did unto them likewise.' The two later Evangelists record the wickedness of these wicked husbandmen more in detail than the first, St. Luke tracing very distinctly their advance under the sense of impunity from bad to worse. When the first servant came, they 'beat him, and sent him away empty.' The next they 'entreated shamefully;' or, according to St. Mark, who defines the very nature of the outrage, 'at him they cast stones, and wounded him in the head," and sent him away shamefully handled." One might almost gather from these last words that in their wanton insolence they devised devices of scorn and wrong, not expressly named, against this servant; such, perhaps, as Hanun's, when he 'took David's servants, and shaved off the one half of their beards, and cut off their garments in the middle, and sent them away' (2 Sam. x. 4). The third they wounded, and cast out of the vineyard with violence; flung him forth, it might be, with hardly any life in him. In the two earlier Evangelists the outrage reaches even to the killing of some of the subordinate messengers; while in St. Luke this extremity of wrong is reserved for the son. The latter thus presents the series of outrages on an ever-ascending scale; but the former are truer to historical fact, seeing that not a few of the prophets were not merely maltreated, but actually put to death. Thus, if we may trust Jewish tradition, Jeremiah was stoned by the exiles in Egypt, Isaiah sawn asunder by king Manasseh. For an abundant historical justification of this description, and as showing

^{*}St. Mark has here (xii. 4) a singular use of the word κεφαλαιόω, as to wound in the head, which is nowhere else used but as to gather up in one sum, as under one head; of which correcter use we have a good example in the Epistle of Barnabas, where of the Son of God it is said that he came in the flesh, ἔνα τὸ τέλειον τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν κεψαλαιῶση τοῖς διώξασιν ἐν θανάτω τοὺς προφήτας αὐτοῦ (c. v.). The suggestion of Wakefield (Silv. Crit. ii. p. p. 76), that ἐκεφαλαὶωσαν here is, breviter vel summatim egerunt, they made short work of it, or as Lightfoot expresses it, referring to the circumstance that the servant came to demand payment,—they reckoned with him, they squared accounts with him (ironically), is quite untenable. The accusative αὐτόν is decisive against it, as against Theophylact's anticipation of this explanation: συνετέλεσαν καὶ ἐκορύφωσαν τὴν ὕβριν.

δ 'Απέστειλαν ήτιμωμένον, or, as in the best texts. ήτίμησαν.

that the past ingratitude of the people is not painted here in colours a whit too dark, see Jer. xx. 1, 2; xxxvii. 15; 1 Kin. xviii. 13; xix. 14; xxii. 24-27; 2 Kin. vi. 31; xxi. 16; 2 Chron. xxiv. 19-22; xxxvi. 15, 16; and also Acts vii. 52; 1 Thess. ii. 15; the whole passage finding its best commentary in the words of the Epistle to the Hebrews: 'And others had trial of cruel mockings and scourgings, yea, moreover of bonds and imprisonment: they were stoned, they were sawn asunder, were tempted, were slain with the sword; . . . of whom the world was not worthy '(xi. 37, 38).

The patience of the householder under these extraordinary provocations is wonderful, sending as he does messenger after messenger to win back these wicked men to a sense of their duty, instead of resuming at once possession of his vineyard, and inflicting summary vengeance upon them. It is only fit that it should thus be magnified, for it represents to us the infinite patience and long-suffering of God: 'Howbeit I sent unto you all my servants the prophets, rising early and sending them, saying, Oh, do not this abominable thing that I hate' (Jer. xliv. v). 'Nevertheless, they were disobedient, and rebelled against thee, and cast thy law behind their backs, and slew thy prophets who testified against them, to turn them to thee, and they wrought great provocations' (Neh ix. 26). This whole confession of the Levites is in itself an admirable commentary on this parable.

"But last of all he sent unto them his son," or in the still more affecting words of St. Mark, "Having yet therefore one son, his well-beloved, he sent him also last unto them, saying, They will reverence my son." When the householder expresses his conviction, that hewever those evil men may have outraged and defied his inferior messengers, they will reverence his son, we need not embarrass ourselves here, as some have done, with the fact that HE whom the householder represents must have fully known from the beginning what treatment His Son would receive from those to whom He sent Him. Not that there is not a difficulty, but it is the same which meets us everywhere, that of the reconciliation of man's freedom with God's foreknowledge. That they are reconcilable we know, and that we cannot reconcile them we know; and this is all which can be said upon the matter. The description of this the last of the ambassadors as the son of the householder, as his only one, "his

[•] Jerome: Quod autem dicit, Verebuntur forte filium meum, non de ignorantia dicitur: quid enim nesciat paterfamilias, qui hoc loco Deus intelligitur? Sed semper ambigere Deus dicitur, ut libera voluntas homini reservetur. Cf. Ambrose, De Fide, v. 17, 18.

well-beloved,' all marks as strongly as possible the difference of rank between Christ and the prophets, the dignity of his person, who only was a Son in the highest sense of the word (Heb. iii. 5, 6); and some, doubtless, of those who heard, quite understood what He meant, and the honour which He thus claimed as His own, however unable to turn His words against Himself, and to accuse Him, on the strength of them, of making Himself, as indeed He did, the Son of God (John v. 18). In this sending of His own Son by the heavenly Father, is the last and crowning effort of divine mercy. If it fail, on the one side all the resources even of heavenly love will have been exhausted; while on the other, those whose sin has caused it to fail will have filled up the measure of their guilt.

But when the husbandmen saw the son, they said among themselves. This is the heir; come let us kill him, and let us seize on his inheritance.' Compare John xi. 47-53, and the counsels of Joseph's brethren against him: 'When they saw him afar off, even before he came near unto them, they conspired against him to slay him, and they said one to another, Behold, this dreamer cometh. Come now therefore, and let us slav him. . . . and we shall see what will become of his dreams' (Gen. xxxvii. 10, 20). As they, thinking to disappoint the purpose of God concerning their younger brother, help to bring it to pass, so the Tewish rulers were the instruments to fulfil that purpose of God concerning Christ, which they meant to defeat (Acts iii. 18; iv. 27, 28). 'This is the heir;' the word is not used here in its laxer sense as a synonym for lord, like heres for dominus; but more accurately, he for whom the inheritance is meant, who is not in present possession, but to whom it will in due course rightfully arrive; not, as in earthly relations, by the death, but by free appointment, of the actual possessor. Christ is 'heir of all things' (Heb. i. 2), not as He is the Son of God, for the Church has always detected Arian tendencies lurking in that interpretation, but as He is the Son of man (Ephes. i. 20-23; Phil. ii. 9-11). So Theodoret: 'The Lord Christ is heir of all things, not as God, but as man; for as God He is maker of all.'

It is the heart which speaks in God's hearing (Ps. liii. 1); the thought of men's heart is their true speech, and therefore it is here recounted as the utterance of their lips. We cannot, indeed,

^{*} This is often urged by early Church writers, when proving the divinity of the Son; as by Ambrose (*De Fide*, v. 7): Vide quia ante servos, postea filium nominavit; ut scias quod Deus Filius unigentius secundum divinitatis potentiam nec nomen habet, nec consortium commune cum servis. Cf. Irenæus, *Con. Hær.* iv. 36. 1.

Augustine: Ut possiderent, occiderunt; et quia occiderunt, perdiderunt

imagine the Pharisees, even in their secretest counsels, ever trusting another so far, or daring to look their own wickedness so directly in the face, as to say, in as many words, 'This is the Messiah, therefore let us slay Him.' But they desired that the inheritance might be theirs. What God had willed should only be transient and temporary, enduring till the times of reformation, they would fain have seen permanent; -and this, because they had prerogatives and privileges under that imperfect system, which would cease when that which was perfect had come; or rather, which, not ceasing, would yet be transformed into other and higher privileges, for which they had no care. The great master-builder was about to take down the scaffolding provisionally reared, which had now served its end; and this His purpose they, the under builders, were setting themselves to oppose, and were determined, at whatever cost, to resist to the uttermost. What God had founded, they would fain possess without God and against God; and imagined that they could do so; for indeed is not all self-righteousness an attempt to kill the heir, and to seize on the divine inheritance, a seeking to comprehend and take down into self that light, which is only light while it is recognized as something above self, and whereof man is permitted to be a partaker; but which he neither himself originated, nor yet can ever possess in fee, or as his own, or otherwise than as a continual receiver of it from on high; a light too, which, by the very success of the attempt to take it into his own possession, is as inevitably lost and extinguished as would be a ray of our natural light if we succeeded in cutting it off from its luminous source?

'And they caught him, and cast him out of the vineyard, and slew him.' All three Evangelists describe the son as thus 'cast out of the vineyard,' reminding us of Him who 'suffered without the gate,' (Heb. xiii. 12, 13; John xix. 17); cut off in the intention of those who put Him to death from the people of God, and from all share in their blessings. Thus when Naboth perished on charges of blasphemy against God and the king, that is, for theocratic sins, 'they carried him forth out of the city, and stoned him with stones, that he died 'b (1 Kin. xxi. 13; cf. Acts vii. 58; xxi. 30). In St.

a Hilary: Consilium colonorum et hereditatis occiso herede præsumptio, spes inanis est gloriam Legis perempto Christo posse retineri. Grotius: His verbis ostenditur sacerdotes et principes Judaici populi hoc egisse summo studio ut Divinam Legem cogerent ambitioni suæ et quæstui inservire.

b Naboth dying for his vineyard has been often adduced as a prophetic type of the death of Christ and the purpose of that death. Thus, Ambrose addresses the vineyard of the Lord, purchased with his own blood (Exp. in Luc. ix. 33): Salve vinea tanto digna custode: te non unius Nabuthæ sanguis, sed innumerabilium prophetarum

Mark the husbandmen slay the son first, and only afterwards cast out the body (xii. 8); they deny it the common rites of sepulture; fling it forth, as much as to say, that is their answer to the householder's demands. The Lord so little doubts the extremities to which the hatred of His enemies will proceed, that in the parable He holds up to them the crime which they were meditating in their hearts, and which a few days should bring to the birth, as one already accomplished; not indeed thus binding them to this sin, but rather showing to them as in a mirror the hideousness of it, and, if this were possible, terrifying them from its actual commission.

If, however, this might not be, and if, like the husbandmen in the parable, they were resolved to consummate their crime, what should be their doom? This, too, they may see reflected in the mirror which He holds up before their eyes. 'When the lord, therefore, of the vineyard cometh, what will he do unto those husbandmen?' It is very instructive to note the way in which successive generations, which during so many centuries had been filling up the measure of the iniquity of Israel, are contemplated throughout but as one body of husbandmen; for indeed God's word is everywhere opposed to that shallow nominalism which would make 'nation' no more than a convenient form of language to express a certain aggregation of individuals. God will deal with nations as living organisms, and as having a moral unity of their own, and this continuing unbroken from age to age. Were it otherwise, all confession of our fathers' sins would be a mockery, and such words as our Lord's at Matt. xxiiii. 32-35, without any meaning at all. Nor is there any injustice in this law of God's government, with which He encounters our selfish. self-isolating tendencies; for while there is thus a life of the whole, there is also a life of every part; and thus it is always possible for each individual even of that generation which, having filled up the last drop of the measure, is being chastised for all its own and its fathers' iniquities, by personal faith and repentance to withdraw himself from the general doom. It will not indeed always be possible for him to escape his share in the outward calamity (though often there will be a Pella when Jerusalem is destroyed, an ark when the world perishes), but always from that which is the woe of the woe,

et (quod est amplius) pretiosus cruor Domini consecravit. Ille temporalem vineam defendebat, te vero in perpetuum multorum nobis martyrum plantavit interitus, te crux apostolorum æmula Dominicæ passionis usque in orbis totius terminos propagavit.

We have a remarkable example of a like prophesying to men their wickedness, as a last endeavour to turn them away from that wickedness, in Elisha's prophecy to Hazeal (2 Kin. viii. 12-15).

from the wrath of God, of which the outward calamity is but the form and expression (Jer. xxxix. 11).

The necessity of preserving the due probabilities of the narrative makes it impossible that the son himself should execute the final vengeance on these wicked husbandmen. He is slain, and cannot, like Him whom he shadows forth, rise again to exact the penalties of their guilt. This 'the lord of the vineyard,' now for the first time so called, must do: neither is there anything here inconsistent with the general doctrine of Scripture, for it is the Father, revealing Himself in the Son, who both gave the law at Sinai, and who also, when the time had arrived, visited and judged the apostate Church of

Perhaps the Pharisees, to whom Christ addressed the question, making the same appeal to them which Isaiah had made to their fathers (v. 3), and extorting their condemnation from their own lips. b had hitherto missed the scope of the parable, and before they were aware, pronounced sentence against themselves: 'He will miserably destroy those wicked men, and will let out his vineyard unto other husbandmen;' or it may be that, perceiving well enough, they had yet hitherto pretended not to perceive His drift, and so drew from Him words more explicit still; such as it was useless any longer to affect to misunderstand: 'Therefore I say unto you, The kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof.' For then at length Christ and His adversaries stood face to face, as did once before a prophet and a wicked king of

a Vitringa: Tam enim liquidum est Dei jus, ut si homo exuto affectu in tertio simili contempletur quod sui amore excæcatus in se videre non vult, per conscientiam obligetur ad agnoscendam causæ divinæ justitiam. Imo neminem Deus damnat, nisi quem sua condemnet conscientia. Habet enim Deus in omni homine suum tribunal, sui sedem judicii, et per hominem de homini judicat.

b Κακούς κακώς (= pessimos pessime), a proverbial expression, and, as Grotius observes, petita ex purissimo sermone Græco. This parallel, a parallel in much more than those two words, may suffice in place of many that might be adduced:

> Τοιγάρ σφ' 'Ολύμπου τοῦδ' ὁ ποεσβεύων πατήρ, Μνήμων τ' Έρινως, και τελεσφόρος Δίκη Κακούς κακῶς φθείρειαν, ὥσπέρ ἤθελον

Similar idioms are frequent in Greek. Thus λαμπρος λαμπρως, μεγάλοι μεγάλως, καθαρά καθαρῶς, σεμνὸς σεμνῶς, καλὸν καλῶς (Lobeck, Paralipomena, p. 58). Our Version has not attempted to preserve the paronomasia, which for evident reasons is far from being easy. The same difficulty attends the double φθείρειν at I Cor. iii. 17, for which our Version has equally failed to give an equivalent. How remarkable, as read in the light of these words, is the conviction expressed by Josephus (B. J. iv. 5. 2), that one man's murder was the cause of the destruction of Jerusalem. This was most true, although Ananus the high-priest was not the man.

Israel, when the prophet, having obtained in his disguise a sentence from the lips of the king against himself, removed the ashes from his face, and the king 'discerned him that he was of the prophets,' and understood that he had unconsciously pronounced his own doom (I Kin. xx. 41)." The 'God forhid,' which the 'people' uttered (Luke xx. 16), when they heard the terrible doom of the husbandmen—the Pharisees had too much wariness and self-command to have allowed such an exclamation to escape from their lips—shows plainly that the drift of the parable had not escaped them, that they saw betimes whither it was tending. The exclamation itself was either an expression of fear, desiring that such evil might be averted; or else of unbelief. 'That shall never be—it cannot be that our privileges should ever be so forfeited:' and this more probably than that, from the spirit and temper of those who utter it (Matt. iii. 9; Rom. ii. 17).

But this truth, so strange and unwelcome to his hearers, is not his only. The same was long ago foreannounced in those Scriptures of the Old Testament to which his adversaries professed to cling, and from which they condemned Him: 'Did ye never read in the Scriptures, The stone which the builders rejected, the same is become the head of the corner?' The quotation is from Ps. cxviii, 22, 23, a psalm which the Jews acknowledged as applying to Messiah, and of which there is a like application at Acts iv. 11; 1 Pet. ii. 7; with an allusion somewhat more remote, Ephes. ii. 20. The passage quoted forms an exact parallel with this parable; all which the Lord threatens here, being implicitly threatened there. 'The builders' there correspond to 'the husbandmen' here; as those were appointed of God to carry up the spiritual temple, so these to cultivate the spiritual vineyard; the rejection of the chief corner-stone corresponds to the denying and murdering of the heir There is another motive for abandoning the image of the vineyard; I mean its inadequacy to set forth one important moment of the truth, which yet must by no means be passed over; namely this, that the malice of men should not defeat the purpose of God, that the Son should yet be the heir; and that not merely vengeance should be taken, but that He should

^a Compare the rule which Cicero (*De Invent*, i. 32) gives for this bringing of an adversary unconsciously to convict himself.

b The ἀκρογωνιαῖος there = λίβος γωνιαῖος, Job. xxxviii. 6; λίθος εἰς κεφαλὴν γωνὶαη here; ὁ λίβος ὁ πρωτεύων, Zech iv. 7 (see I Kin. v. 17). Christ is this corner-stone, as uniting Jew and Gentile, making both one; thus Augustine (Serm. Ixxxviii. II): Angulus duos parietes copulat de diverso venientes, Quid tam diversum, quam circumcisco et præputium, habens unum paritem de Judæâ, alterum paritem de gentibus? sed angulari lapide copulantur. It is a very favourite image with him.

take it. Now all this is distinctly involved in the Lord's concluding words: 'Whosoever shall fall on this stone shall be broken; but on whomsoever it shall fall, it will grind him to powder.' The rejected stone, having become the head of the corner, is itself the instrument of their punishment, who have set it at nought. a They fall on the stone who are offended at Christ in His low estate (Isa1. viii. 14; liii. 2; Luke ii. 34; iv. 29; John iv. 44;) of this sin his hearers were already guilty. There was yet a worse sin which they were on the point of committing, which He warns them would be followed with a more tremendous punishment; they on whom the stone falls are those who set themselves in self-conscious opposition against the Lord; who, knowing who He is, do yet to the end oppose themselves to Him and to his kingdom; b and these shall not merely fall and be broken; for one might recover himself, though with some present harm, from such a fall as this; but on them the stone shall fall as from heaven, and shall grinde them to powder, in the words of Daniel (ii. 35,) 'like the chaff of the summer threshing-floors,'crushing and destroying them forever.d

All three Evangelists note the exasperation of the Chief Priests and Pharisees, when they perceived, as all did at last, though some sooner than others, that the parable was spoken against them (Jer. xviii. 18.) They no longer kept any terms with the Lord, and, only that 'they feared the multitude,' would have laid violent hands on Him at once. Yet not even so does He give them up; but having, in this parable, set forth their relation to God as a relation of duty,

Cajetan: Plus subjungit quam parabola pateretur: parabola enim usque ad vindictam duxit; sed hâc additione suppletur, quod occisio filii non privavit filium hereditate: hoc enim significat adjuncta prophetia de Messiâ sub metaphorâ lapidis.

^b So Tertullian (Adv. Marc. iii. 7); and Augustine: Christus verus lapis in hoc seculo quasi terræ infixus jacet, in judicio vero futuro quasi ex summo veniet, impios conteret: hoc dictum est de lapide illo, Qui offenderit in lapidem illum, conquassabit eum; super quem venerit, conteret eum: aliud est conquassari, aliud conteri: conquassari minus est quam conteri.

[°] Λικμήσει, from λικμός (= πτύον, Matt. iii. 12), the fan with which the chaff, which in the act of threshing had been crushed and broken into minute fragments, is scattered and driven away upon the wind (Isai. xvii. 13; xli. 2, 15, 16; Ps. i. 4). In the New Testament it occurs only here; in the parallel passage, Dan. ii. 44, λικμήσει πάσας τὰς βασιλειας.

⁴ H. de Sto. Victore (Annott. in Luc.): Secundum moralem sensum vinea locatur, cum mysterium baptismi fidelibus ad exercendum opere commititur. Mittuntur tres servi ut de fructu accipiant, cum Lex, Psalmodia, Prophetia, ad bene agendum hortatur: sed contumeliis affecti, vel cæsi ejiciuntur, cum sermoauditus vel contemnitur, vel blasphematur. Missum in super heredem occidit, qui filium Dei contemnit, et Spiritui, quo sanctificatus est, contumeliam facit. Vinea alteri datur, cum gratia, quam superbus abjicit, humilis ditatur.

shown them that a *charge* was laid upon them, which they incurred a terrible guilt in neglecting to fulfil, so in that which follows, He sets forth to them the same in a yet more inviting light as a relation of *privilege*. He presents to them their work not any more as a task and burden laid upon them, but as a grace and boon freely imparted to them;—which, therefore, they incurred an equal guilt, or indeed a greater, in counting light of or despising. If this is a more legal, that is a more evangelical, parable.

12. THE MARRIAGE OF THE KING'S SON.



THIS parable is sometimes called the parable of the Wedding Garment. The name is a faulty one, being drawn from that which is but an episode in it after all; and the title given above, the same which it bears in our Bible, quite effectually distinguishes it from the Great Supper of St. Luke (xiv. 16.) Such distinction indeed it is needful to maintain, for the two must not be confounded with one another, as merely two different recensions of the same discourse. It is true that the image of a festival, to which many are bidden, and which some refuse and some accept, lies at the root of both; yet were they plainly spoken on different occasions,—that at a meal, this in the temple. They belong also to very different epochs of our Lord's ministry, that to a much earlier period than this. When that was spoken the Pharisees had not openly broken with the Lord: so far from this, it was in the house of a Pharisee, whither He had gone to eat bread, that the parable was uttered (Luke xiv. I.) But at the time of the utterance of this, their enmity had reached the highest pitch; they had formally resolved by any means to remove Him out of the way (John xi. 47-53.) Then there was hope that the chiefs of the nation might yet be won over to the obedience of the truth; now they are fixed in their rejection of the counsel of God, and in their hatred of his Christ. In agreement with all this, the parable as last spoken, or as we have it here, is far severer than when first uttered, than St. Luke has recorded it. In that the guests. while they decline the invitation, are yet at pains to make civil excuses for so doing; in this they put it from them with a defiant and absolute No-so hating the message that some among them maltreat and kill the bearers of it; even as we cannot doubt that, had it

^a See Augustine, De Cons. Evang. . ii. 71; Gregory the Great, Hom. 38 in Evang. Strangely enough, Theophylact, Calvin, and Maldonatus, maintain their identity; the last saying, Quæ dissimilia videntus adeo sunt levia, ub ab hâc sententiâ dimovere non debeant.

consisted with decorum, and if the parable would have borne it, the king's son himself, as the last ambassador of his grace, would have been the victim of their outrage, as is the householder's son in the parable preceding. It is there a private man whose bidding is contemptuously set aside, it is here a king; it is there an ordinary entertainment, here the celebration of the marriage of his son. In the higher dignity of the person inviting, in the greater solemnity of the occasion, there are manifest aggravations of the guilt of the despisers. And as the offence is thus heavier, as those were but discourteous guests, while these are rebels, so is the doom more dreadful. In St. Luke's parable they are merely shut out from the festival; in this, their city is burned, and they themselves destroyed; the utmost which in fact is threatened there being that God, turning from one portion of the Jewish people,—from the priests and the Pharisees, would offer the privileges which they despised to another portion of the same nation, the people that knew not the law, the publicans and harlots, with only slightest intimation (ver. 23) of a call of the Gentiles: while here, the forfeiture of the kingdom by the whole Tewish people, who with fewest exceptions had proved themselves unworthy of it, is announced.a

A late objector, taking no account of these altered conditions, which justify and explain the different forms in which the parable appears, asserts that St. Luke is here the only accurate reporter of Christ's words, St. Matthew mixing up with them some foreign elements,—reminiscences, for instance, of the maltreatment and murder of the servants, drawn from the parable preceding; and also blending into the same whole fragments of another parable, that, namely, of the Wedding Garment, which, when uttered, was totally distinct. For his first assertion, his only plausible argument is, that while it is quite intelligible that husbandmen should maltreat servants of their lord, who came, demanding rent from them; it is inconceivable, and therefore could find no place in a parable, of which perfect likelihood is the first condition, that invited guests, however unwilling to keep their engagement, should abuse and even kill the

a Fleck (De Reg. Div. p. 214) with truth observes: Parabolarum in posterioribus Matthæiani libri partibus propositarum talis est indoles, ut sacrum divini animi mærorem spirent, et severum prodant habitum. Incidunt in ea tempora quibus Pharisæorum, sacerdotum, seniorumque plebis machinationem, maligna consilia, et cæcitatem abunde expertus Servator, divinæ causæ quotidie infestiores prævidir futuros. And Unger (De Parab. Jes. Nat. p. 122): Videtur itaque Matthæus parabolam tradidisse, qualem Jesus posteriore eâque austeriore occasione ipse repetierit, variatam, actiorem, severiorem, jam toto de populo Judaico mæste vaticinantem.

b Strauss, Leben Jesu, vol. i. p. 677. seq.

servants sent to remind them that the festival, to which they were engaged, was actually ready. This, it is true, can with difficulty be conceived, so long as we suppose that no other motive but unwillingness to keep the engagement at work in them. But may not a deep alienation from their lord, with a readiness to resist and rebel against him, existing long before, have found their utterance here? The presence of these his ambassadors, an outrage against whom would constitute an outrage against himself, may have afforded the desired opportunity for displaying a hostility which had long been entertained. If there be something monstrous in their conduct, it is only the fitter to declare the monstrous fact, that men should maltreat and slay the messengers of God's grace, the ambassadors of Christ, who come to them with glad tidings of good things,—should be ready at once to rend them, and to trample their pearls under foot.

His other assertion, that the episode of the wedding garment cannot have originally pertained to the parable, rests partly on the old objection, that the guest could not, with any fairness, be punished for wanting that which, as the course of the story goes, he had no opportunity of obtaining—on which something will presently be said—and partly upon this, that an entirely new and alien element is here introduced into the parable; marring its unity; awkwardly appended to, not intimately cohering with it. But it is not so. Most needful was it that a parable, inviting sinners of every degree to a fellowship in the blessings of the Gospel, should also remind them that, for the lasting enjoyment of these, they must put off their former conversation; that if, as regarded the past, they were freely called, still for the present and time to come they were called unto holiness in Theophylact's words, 'that the entrance, indeed, to the marriagefeast is without scrutiny, for by grace alone we are called, as well bad as good; but the life of those that have entered, hereafter shall not be without scrutiny; that the King will make a very strict examination of those who, having entered into the faith, shall be found in filthy garments.'

Thus much on the relation in which this parable stands to the similar one in St. Luke. Comparing it with that of the Wicked Husbandmen, which it immediately follows, we behold the Lord

^{*} Oftentimes in the East a feast would have a great political significance—would, in fact, be a great gathering of the vassals of the king; contemplated on this side, their refusal to come assumes the aspect of rebellion. Thus some have supposed the feast recorded in Esther i. is identical with the great gathering which Xerxes (Ahasuerus) made when he was planning his Greek expedition $(\sigma \dot{\nu} \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o \nu \ Le \rho \sigma i \omega \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$; though Herodotus (vii. 8) brings out more its political, the sacred historian its festal, side.

revealing Himself in ever clearer light as the central person of the kingdom, giving here a far plainer hint than there of the nobility of His descent. There He was indeed the Son, the only and beloved one of the householder; but here his race is royal, and He appears as Himself at once the King, and the King's Son (Ps. lxxii. 1.) It is thus declared that the sphere in which this parable moves is that of the kingdom; which, announced and prepared before, was only actually present with the advent of the King. In that other, a parable of the Old Testament history, the Son Himself appears rather as the last and greatest in the line of its prophets and teachers, crowning and completing the old, than as inaugurating the new. In that, a parable of the law, God appears, demanding something from men; in this, a parable of grace, He appears more as giving something to them. There, He is displeased that His demands are not complied with; here, that His goodness is not accepted; there He requires; here, He imparts. And thus, as we so often find, the two mutually complete one another; this taking up the matter where the other

'The kingdom of heaven is like unto a certain king, which made a marriage for his son.' The two favourite images under which the prophets of the Old Covenant set forth the blessings of the New, and of all near communion with God, that of a festival (Isai. xxv. 6; lxv. 13; Cant. v. 1), and of a marriage (Isai. lxi. 10; lxii. 5; Hos. ii. 19; Matt. ix. 15; John iii. 29; Ephes. v. 32; 2 Cor. xi. 2), meet and interpenetrate one another in the marriage festival here. There results, indeed, this inconvenience, a consequence of the inadequacy of things earthly to set forth things heavenly, that the members of the Church are at once the guests invited to the feast, and, in their collective capacity, constitute the bride at whose espousals the feast is given. But as we advance in the parable the

^{*} Ποιεῖν γάμον (Gen. xxix. 22; Tob. viii. 19; I Macc. ix. 39; x. 58) is rather, as often in classical Greek, to celebrate the marriage feast than the marriage (Matt. xxv. 10; Esth. ii. 18); and sometimes the notion of a marriage is altogether lost, and that of a festival alone remains; thus Esth. ix. 22; and probably at Luke xiv. 8. Exactly the reverse has befallen the German Hochzeit, which, signifying at first any high festival, is now only the festival of a marriage. These marriage festivities lasted commonly seven, fourteen, days (Gen. xxix. 17; Judg. xiv. 12; Tob. viii. 19); and this by accident, but because of the significance of this, the Covenant number.

b Vitringa (In Apocal. xix. 7): Nuptiæ ipsæ figurant arctissimam Christi cum Ecclesiâ unionem, fide utrinque datâ, et fœderali contractu obsignatam, ad faciendam spiritualem sobolem, quæ orbem repleat. Epulum nuptiale adumbrat tum beneficia gratiæ, quæ viæ justitiæ Christi Ecclesi ad satietatem et hilaritatem exhibentur, tum illorum beneficiorum communionem, tum denique lætitiam et festivitatem, quæ cum fruitione bonorum gratiæ conjungitur, et ex eâ ad convivas hujus epuli redundat.

^{*} Augustine (In Εφ. 1 Foh. Tract. 2): Non quomodo in nuptiis carnalibus alii

circumstances of the marriage altogether fall out of sight; the bearing of the several invited guests is that to which our whole attention is directed. This parable, like the last, has its groundwork and rudiments in the Old Testament (Exod. xxiv. 11; Zeph. i. 7, 8; Prov. ix. 1); and it entered quite into the circle of Jewish expectations that the setting up of the kingdom of the Messiah should be ushered in by a glorious festival: our Lord Himself elsewhere making use of the same image for the setting forth of the same truths (Luke xxii. 18, 30). The marriage, indeed, of which He there speaks, and at Rev. xix. 7, will not be celebrated till the end of the present age, while it is here as already present. We put the two statements in harmony with one another, when we keep in mind how distinct the espousals and the actual marriage were held in the East, and regard His first coming as the time of His espousals, while only at His second He leads home His bride.

And sent forth His servants to call them that were bidden to the wedding' (cf. Prov. ix. 3-5). In the corresponding parable of St. Luke the giver of the feast, a private man, 'bade many.' Here we may assume a still more numerous company, from the higher rank and dignity of the author of the feast and the solemnity of the occasion (cf. Esther i. 3-9). This summoning of those already bidden, was, and, as modern travellers attest, is still, quite in accordance with Eastern manners. Thus Esther invites Haman to a banquet on the morrow (Esth. v. 8), and when the time has actually arrived. the chamberlain comes to usher him to the banquet (vi. 4). There is, therefore, no slightest reason why we should make 'them that were bidden' to mean them that were now to be bidden; such an interpretation not merely violating all laws of grammar, but the higher purpose with which the parable was spoken; for our Lord, assuming that the guests had been invited long ago, does thus remind His hearers that what He brought, if in one sense new, was in another a fulfilment of the old; that He claimed to be heard, not as one

frequentat nuptias et alia nubit: in Ecclesiâ qui frequentant, si bene frequentant, sponsa fiunt.

^a Augustine and Gregory the Great (*Hom.*, 38 in Evang.) escape this difficulty, regarding this marriage as one between the divine Word and the human Nature; not, at the same time, excluding the more obvious meaning suggested by such passages as Ephes. v. 24-32. Gregory the Great shows how well the two interpretations can be reconciled, saying: In hoc Pater regi Filio nuptias fecit, quo ei per incarnationis mysterium sanctam Ecclesiam sociavit.

b Technically, vocarores, invitatores, κλήτορες, δειπνοκλήτορες, ελέατροι.

[•] Thus Storr (Opusc. Acad. vol. i. p. 120) affirms τοὺς κεκλημένους may as well signify vocandos as vocatos! Did not this refute itself, Luke xiv. 16, 17, would be decisive in the matter.

suddenly starting up, unconnected with ought which had gone before but as Himself 'the end of the law,' to which it had been ever tending, the birth with which the whole Jewish dispensation had been pregnant, and which alone should give a meaning to it all. In His words, 'them that were bidden,' is involved the fact that there was nothing abrupt in the coming of His kingdom, that its rudiments had a long while before been laid, that all to which His adversaries clung as precious in their past history was prophetic of blessings now actually present to them in Him. The original invitation, which had now come to maturity, reached back to the foundation of the Jewish commonwealth, was taken up and repeated by each succeeding prophet, as he prophesied of the crowning grace that should one day be brought to Israel (Luke x. 24; I Pet. i. 12), and summoned the people to hold themselves in a spiritual readiness to welcome their Lord and their King.

Yet the actual calling pertained not to these, the prophets of the older dispensation. They spoke of good things, but of good things to come. Not till the days of John the Baptist was the kingdom indeed present, was there any manifestations of the King's Son, any actual summoning of the guests, bidden long before, to come to the marriage (Luke iii. 4-6). By the first band of servants I should understand John the Baptist (Matt. iii. 2), the Twelve in that first mission which they accomplished during the lifetime of the Lord (Matt. x.)—and the Seventy (Luke x.). His own share in summoning the guests, inviting them, that is, unto Himself (Matt. iv. 7; Mark i. 14, 15), His 'Come unto Me, naturally in the parable falls out of sight. It would have disturbed its proprieties had the king's son been himself a bearer of the invitation. A condescension so infinite would have seemed unnatural; for it is only the Son of the heavenly King who has ever stooped so far. He, indeed, was content, even while the marriage was made for Himself, to be as one of those sent forth to call the guests thereunto. It is not implied that on this first occasion the servants had any positive ill-usage to bear. They found indeed a general averseness from the message, and an alienation from the messengers; but nothing worse. In agreement with this we have no record of any displays of active enmity against the Apostles or disciples during the lifetime of the Lord, b nor at the first against the Lord Himself. It was simply, 'they would not come.'

Tertullian makes excellent use of this parable, or rather of its parallel (Luke xiv. 16), arguing against Marcion (iv. 31), who would fain have cut loose the New Testament from the Old; cf. Irenœus, iv. 36.

b The death of John the Baptist cannot be urged as invalidating this assertion; for he by whose command he was murdered was an Edomite, not therefore one of the

'Again, he sent forth other servants, saying, Tell them which are bidden, Behold, I have prepared my dinner; my oxen and my fatlings are killed, and all things are ready; come unto the marriage! The king graciously assumes that these guests deferred their coming through some misunderstanding, unaware, perhaps, that all the preparations were completed; and instead of threatening and punishing, only bids the servant whom he now sends to press the message with greater instancy and distinctness than before Something of this same gracious overlooking of the past breathes through the language of St. Peter in all his discourses after Pentecost, 'And now, brethren, I wot that through ignorance ye did it ' (Acts iii. 17), a willingness to regard the sin which hitherto the people had committed in the mildest possible light. This second summons I take to represent the invitation to the Jewish people, as it was renewed to them at the second epoch of the kingdom, that is, after the Resurrection and Ascension. It is true that of these events, and of the crucifixion as well, nothing is hinted in the parable, where, indeed, they could have found no room. It need not perplex us that this second company is spoken of as 'other servants,' while, in fact, many of them were the same; for, in the first place, there were many now associated with these, as Paul, perhaps, too, as Stephen and Barnabas, who not till after Pentecost were added to the Church. Those, too, who were the same, yet went forth as other men, full of the Holy Ghost, and with a message still more gracious than at the first; not preaching any more a kingdom of God at hand, but one already come— ' Jesus and the resurrection; ' declaring, which the servants had not been empowered to do on their first mission, that all things were now ready, that 'the fulness of time' had arrived, and that all obstacles to an entrance into the kingdom, which the sin of men had reared up, the grace of God had removed (Acts ii. 38, 39; iii. 19-26; iv. 12, 17, 30); that in that very blood which they had impiously shed, there was forgiveness of all sins, and freedom of access to God.

invited guests at all; and moreover it was for preaching the Law (Matt. xiv. 4), not the Gospel, that he died.

A sign of the immediate nearness of the feast. Chardin (Voy. en Perse, vol. iv. p. 48): On tue le matin le mouton et l'agneau qu'on mangera le soir. . . . Les Persans croient que la meilleure chair est la plus fraîche tuée (cf. Gen. xviii. 7, 8; xliii. 16; Prov. ix 1-5).

b These missions (ver. 3, 4) have been sometimes differently understood. Thus Origen refers both to the sending of the prophets under the law; Jerome, confident that the first mission (ver. 3) is to be understood, is more doubtful about the second. Gregory the Great (Hom. 38 in Evang.) ascribes the first to the prophets, and only the second to the Apostles: Bis itaque servos ad invitandum misit, quia Incarnationem Unigeniti et per prophetas dixit futuram, et per Apostolos nunciavit facture.

If the king's servants had found dull and deaf ears on their first mission, they find a more marked averseness from themselves and from their message on the second. And they, too, themselves fare worse, as it will presently appear. But first we are told of guests. who, when they heard the reiterated invitation, 'made light of it, and went their ways, one to his farm, another to his merchandise. The question presents itself, Can we trace a distinction between these and those? Did the divine Utterer of the parable intend a distinction? Perhaps, if we regard the first as one who went to his estate (and the word of the original will perfectly bear out this meaning), a distinction will appear. The first is the landed proprietor, the second the merchant. The first would enjoy what he already possesses, the second would acquire what as yet is his only in anticipation. The first represents the rich; the second those that would be rich. This will agree with Luke xiv. 18, 19; where the guest who has bought a piece of land, and must needs go and see it. has entered into the first condition; the guest who must try his five yoke of oxen belongs to the second. The temptations which beset the having and the getting, though cognate, are not altogether the same; there is quite difference enough between them to account for the mention of them both. One of the guests, when urged to come, turned to that which by his own toil, or the toil of those who went before him, he had already gotten;—another to that which he was in the act of getting. b We have here those who are full, and those who are striving to be full; and on both the woe pronounced at Luke vi. 25 has come. This apparent fulness is a real emptiness: keeping men away from him who would have indeed filled and satisfied their souls.

But this is not the worst. 'The remnant took his servants, and entreated them spitefully, and slew them.' The oppositions to the truth are not merely natural, they are also devilish. Of those who reject the Gospel of the grace of God there are some who do not so much actively hate it, as love the world better than they love it.

But Hilary's is the truer explanation (Comm. in Matt. in loc.): Servi missi, qui invitatos vocarent, Apostoli sunt: eorum enim erat proprium, commonefacere eos, quos invitaverant prophetæ. Qui vero iterum cum præceptorum conditione mittuntur, apostolici sunt viri et successores Apostolorum.

^{*} The πλούσιοι (I Tim. vi. 17), as distinguished from the βουλόμενοι πλουτείν (I Tim. vi. 9).

b Bengel, with his rare skill in detecting the finer allusions of Scripture. exactly so: Alius per falsam αὐτάρκειαν, alius per cupiditatem acquirendi detentus. Gerhard suggests the same explanation (Harm. Evang. 153): Quid si per abeuntes ad negotiationem intelligamus eos qui inhiant opibus adhuc acquirendis; per abeuntes ad villam, qui male delectantur in opibus jam ante partis et acquisitis?

We have just heard of these. But there are also those in whom it rouses a fierce opposition, whose pride it wounds, whose self-right-eousness it offends; who also, where they dare, will visit on those that bring the message the hate which they bear to itself. Three forms of outrage are enumerated here: and how full a commentary on these prophetic words do the Acts of the Apostles, and much else in the later Scriptures, supply. Those who should have received with all honours these ambassadors of the Great King 'took,' or laid violent hands on, them (Acts iv. 3; v. 18; viii. 3); they 'entreated them spitefully' (Acts v. 40; xiv. 5, 19; xvi. 23; xvii. 5; xxi. 30; xxxiii. 2); they 'slew them' (Acts vii. 58; xii. 3; cf. Matt. xxiii. 34; John xvi. 2).

'But when the king heard thereof, he was wroth.' The insult was to him, and was intended for him; as in every case where an ambassador is outraged, it is his master where the blow was intended to reach (2 Sam. x.). As such it is punished; for the king 'sent forth his armies,' that is, as some say, God sent forth His avenging angels, the armies in heaven (Rev. xix. 14), the legions at His bidding there (Matt. xxvi. 53, 1 Kin. xxii. 19; 2 Sam. xxiv. 16); or, it may be, the hosts of Rome^o (Dan. ix. 26), which were equally 'His armies.' since even ungodly men are men of God's hand, by whom He punishes His own people that have sinned, or executes vengeance on others more wicked than themselves (thus Isai. x. 4, O Assyrian, the rod of mine anger; 'cf. Isai. xiii. 5; Ezek. xvi. 41; xxix. 17-20; Jer. xxv. 9, 'Nebuchadnezzar, my servant'). The two explanations do, in fact, flow into one; for when God's judgments are abroad, the earthly and visible ministers of those judgments and the unseen armies of heaven are evermore leagued together. The natural eye sees only those, the spiritual eye beholds the other behind them. It is ever at such moments as it was with Israel of old (I Chron. xxi. 16). The multitude, to whom the purged spiritual eye was wanting, beheld only the outward calamity, the wasting pestilence; but David lifted up his eyes and saw the angel of the Lord standing between the earth and the heaven, having

[&]quot;See 2 Chron. xxx. 10, for an interesting parallel. When Hezekiah restored the worship of Jehovah at Jerusalem, he sent messengers throughout all the tribes, inviting all Israel to take part in the solemn passover which he was about to keep: so the posts passed from city to city; . . . but they laughed them to scorn and mocked them; yet not all; there were guests who accepted the invitation; 'divers humbled themselves, and came to Jerusalem.'

b Gregory the Great (Hom. 38 in Evang.): Quid namque sunt illa Angelorum agmina, nisi exercitus Regis nostri?

[·] So Irenæus, Con. Hær. iv. 36. 6.

a drawn sword in his hand. "And destroyed those murderers, and burned up their city.' It is 'their city;' the city of these murderers; no longer 'the city of the great King,' who will not any more own it for His. Compare our Lord's word a little later: 'Your house is left unto you desolate; (xxiii. 38); your house, and not mine; however it may still bear my name;' and see Exod. xxxii. 7. This city is, of course, Jerusalem, the central point of the Jewish theocracy (Matt. xxiii. 34, 35; Luke xiii. 33, 34; Acts vii. 39; xii. 2, 3); burned up once already (2 Kin. xxv. 9; Jer. xlix. 8), as seems to have been the constant doom of a taken city (Josh. vi. 24; viii 19; xi. 11; Judg. i. 8; Isai. i. 7; and often); and now threatened with a repetition of the same terrible fate.

'Then saith he to his servants, The welding is ready; but they which were bidden were not worthy.' The Scripture recognizes a worthiness in men (Matt. x. 10, 11; Luke xx. 35; xxi. 36; 2 Thess i. 5, 11; Rev. iii. 4); nor is it any contradiction to say that this worthiness consists in great part in a sense of unworthiness; the unworthiness, on the other hand, of these consisting in the absence of any such divine hunger in their hearts after a righteousness which they had not, as would have brought them, eager guests, to the marriage supper of the Lamb. 'Go ye therefore into the highways," and as many as ye shall find bid to the marriage.' Compare Matt. viii. 11, 12, which contains, so to speak, this parable in the germ. There, as here, that truth long ago foreannounced by Psalmist (Ps. xviii. 43, 44) and by prophet, but not the less strange or hateful to Jewish ears (see Acts xxii. 21, 22), the calling of the Gentiles, and that by occasion of the disobedience of the Jews, the diminishing of these which should be the riches of those (Rom. xi), is plainly declared.

* Even the heathen could understand this. When Troy was perishing, the poet describes the multitude as seeing only their Grecian enemies engaged in the work of destruction; but to Æneas, when his goddess mother had purged his eyes, there appeared other foes; to him

Apparent diræ facies, inimicaque Trojæ Numina magna Deûm. Æn. ii. 601-623.

b These διέξοδοι may be transitus or exitus (Passow gives both meanings, Durchgang and Ausgang): the thoroughfares (see Ps. i. 3), or the outlets leading from the city (Grotius: Viæ extra urbem ducentes), or such as lead to its places and squares (Kuinoel: Compita viarum), or the points where many roads or streets meet; Chrysostom (Hom. 69 in Matth.) more than once substituting τριόδους (Schleusner: Loca ubi plures plateæ concurrunt). All these have equal fitness, as places of course and resort, where the servants might hope soon to gather a company. We must not permit our English 'highways' to suggest places in the country as distinguished from the town; the image throughout is of a city, in which the rich and great and noble, those naturally pointed out as a king's guests, refuse his banquet, whereupon the poor of the same city are brought in to share it.

'So those servants went out into the highways, and gathered together all as many as they found, both bad and good.' In the spirit of this command 'Philip went down to the city of Samaria, and preached Christ unto them' there (Acts viii. 5; Peter baptized Cornelius and his company (Acts viii. 42); and Paul proclaimed to the men of Athens how God now commanded 'all men everywhere to repent' (Acts xvii. 30). When it is said they gathered in 'bad,' as well as 'good,'-in which words there is a passing over from the figure to the reality, since moral qualities would scarcely be predicated of the guests as such, -this is not to prepare and account for one hereafter being found without a wedding garment. 'Bad' here is not equivalent to 'not having a wedding garment' there; on the contrary, many were 'bad' when invited (1 Cor. vi. 9-11), who, accepting the invitation, passed into the number of the 'good:' for the beautiful words of Augustine on Christ's love to His Church may find here their application, 'He loved her foul, that He might make her fair.' Neither may 'bad and good,' least of all the latter, be pressed too far: for in strictest speech none are 'good' till they have been joined to Him, who only is the Good (Matt. xix. 17), and made sharers in His Spirit. At the same time there are varieties of moral life, even anterior to obedience to the Gospel call. There are 'good,' such as Nathanael, as Cornelius, as those Gentiles that were a law to themselves (Rom. ii. 14; cf. Luke viii. 15); and 'bad, in whom the sin common to all has wrought more mightily than in others (Ps. lviii. 3-5); the sickness of which the whole body of humanity is sick concentrating itself in some of the members more than in others.^b The kingdom of heaven is as a draw-net, which brings within its ample folds of the best and of the worst, of those who have been before honestly striving after a righteousness according to the law, and of those who have been utterly 'dead in trespasses and sins.'- 'And the wedding was furnished with guests.'

^a Fœdam amavit, ut pulchram faceret; a thought which he pursues elsewhere (In Ep. Yoh. Tract. 9): Amavit nos prior qui semper est pulcher. Et quales amavit, nisi fœdos et deformes? Non ideo tamen ut fœdos dimitteret, sed ut mutaret et ex deformibus pulchros faceret. Quomodo erimus pulchri? amando eum qui semper est pulcher. Quantum in te crescit amor, tantum crescit pulchritudo, quia ipsa caritas est animæ pulchritudo.

b Jerome: Inter ipsos quoque Ethnicos est diversitas infinita, quum sciamus alios esse proclives ad vitia et ruentes ad mala, alios ob honestatem morum virtutibus deditos. Augustine's conflict with the Pelagians would have hindered him from expressing himself exactly thus, and he will only allow these 'good' to be minus mali than the others. Yet he too is most earnest against the abuse of these words, which should argue for allowing men to come to baptism without having faithfully renounced, as far as human eye could see, all their past ungodliness; for that were

At this point the other and earlier spoken parable concludes (Luke xiv. 16); but what constitutes the whole in it is only as the first act in this present; and another judgment act is still in reserve. The judgment of the avowed foe has found place; that of the false friend has still to follow. Hitherto the parable has set forth to us their guilt and their punishment who openly reject the Gospel of the grace of God; as the great body of the Jewish people with their chiefs and rulers were doing. It is now for others, and contains an earnest warning for those who have found a place in His kingdom. Besides the separation between those who come and those who refuse to come, it shall be also proved who among the actual comers are walking worthy of their vocation, and who not; and as it is thus or thus, there shall be a second sifting and separation. But, as in the parable of the Tares it was not the office of the servants to discern between the tares and the wheat (Matt. xiii. 29, 30), as little is it here to separate decisively between worthy partakers of the heavenly banquet and unworthy intruders; and, indeed, how should it be, seeing that the garment which distinguishes those from these is worn, not on the body, but on the heart? This office is for another, for One to whom all hearts are open and manifest, who only can carry it through with no liability to error (Heb. iv. 13). Of Him 'whose fan is in His hand, and who will thoroughly purge His floor' (Matt. iii. 12), we now hear.

'And when the king came in to see the guests, he saw there a man which had not on a wedding garment.' It pertained to the dignity of the king that he should not appear till all were assembled, nor, indeed, till all had occupied their places at the banquet; for that the guests were arranged, and, as we, though with a certan incorrectness, should say, seated, is implied in the word which describes them now.' At a glance he detected one, a spot in that feast, who, apparalled as he was, should not have presumed to take his place at a royal festival, or enter a royal presence. Him he addresses, as yet with a gentle compellation, for possibly he can explain away his apparent contempt; and he shall have the opportunity of doing so, if he can: 'Friend, how camest thou in hither, not having' a wedding garment?'

to make the servants of the householder themselves the sowers of the tares (De Fide et Oper. 17). Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. vii. 202): Jubet bonos et malos introire, ut bonos augeat, malorum affectum in meliora commutet; ut compleretur illud quod lectum est: Tunc lupi et agni simul pascentur.

Augustine: Vestis quippe illa in corde, non in carne, inspiciebatur.

b Τοὺς ἀνακειμένους=discumbentes (Vulg.); 'the men sitting at the meat' (Wiclif).

The subjective, and not the objective, particle of negation is here used, μή and not οὐ—μὴ ἔχων ἔνδυμα γάμου, ' not having (and knowing that thou hadst not) a wed-

But word of explanation to offer he had none, 'he was speechless.' But why could he not have answered that it was unreasonable to expect of him, brought in of a sudden and without warning from the highways, to be furnished with such?—that he was too poor to provide,—or that no time had been allowed him to go home and fetch,—such a garment? Some, willing to get rid of any semblance of harshness in the after conduct of the king, and lest such might redound on Him whom the king represents, maintain that no such excuse would have served, or really touched the point which the king's question raised. They urge that in the East, when kings or great personages made an entertainment, they were wont to present costly dresses to the guests; that such a custom is here tacitly assumed; and therefore that this guest could only appear at the wedding not having such a garment, because he had rejected it when offered to him; in the same act pouring contempt on the gift and on the giver, and declaring plainly that he counted his ordinary workday apparel, soiled and stained, sufficiently good in which to appear in the presence of the king.

Many, however, deny that any certain traces of such a custom can anywhere be found, that what alone resembles such a usage is the modern custom of clothing with a caftan those admitted into the presence of the Sultan. It must be owned that Judg. xiv. 13, often adduced in proof, proves nothing; and perhaps no distinct evidence of any such practice is forthcoming. Still we know enough of the undoubted customs of the East to make it extremely likely that presents of dresses were often distributed among the guests at a marriage festival, especially at one like the present, celebrated with great pomp and magnificence, and if this were the case, our Lord's hearers, to whom those customs were familiar, would naturally have supplied the omission in the parable, and taken for granted such a gift going before; most of all, when they found one so severely punished for a want which in any other case he could scarcely have avoided. We know, in the first place, that it was and is part of the magnificence of Oriental princes and potentates to have vast stores of costly dresses laid up, a large portion of their wealth being often

ding garment.'—The ἔνδυμα γάμου is not exactly the ἰμάτιον νυμφικόν of Plutarch (Amator. 10), for that is the garment not of the guests, but of the bridegroom; nor yet the ἐσ ἡς νυμφική of Charito, i. p. 6, which is that of the bride (Becker, Charites, vol. ii. p. 467). Yet may there not lie under this phrase, which seems to belong rather to the bridegroom than to the guests, a hint that the true adornment of each of these is identical with his? from Him they have it; it is like that which He wears Himself; for Christians are reflexions, copies, most faulty and imperfect it is true, but still copies, of Christ.

invested in these (Job xxviii. 16; Isai. iii. 6; Jam. v. 2; 2 Kin. x. 22). We know, moreover, that costly dresses were often given as marks of peculiar favour (Gen. xli. 42; xlv. 22; I Sam. xviii. 4; 2 Kin. v. 5; Dan. v. 7; Esth. vi. 8; I Macc. x. 20); being then, as now, the most customary gift; that marriage festivals (Esth. ii 18), and other seasons of festal rejoicing (2 Sam. vi. 19), were naturally those at which gifts were distributed with the largest hand. Gifts of costly raiment it would certainly be expected should be worn at once; so proclaiming the magnificence of the giver, and adding to the splendour of the time;—not to say that a slighting of the gift is in the very nature of things a slighting of the giver.

But this rejection of the gift, if such may be safely assumed, involved a further affront—namely, the appearing of this guest at a high festival in unsuitable, probably in mean and sordid, apparel. Even with us there are occasions when this would be felt as a serious

^a The story told by Horace (Ep. i. 6. 40) of the five thousand mantles which Lucullus, on examining his wardrobe, found that he possessed, is well known; and Chardin, whose accuracy all later inquirers into Eastern customs join in praising, is here to the point (Voy. en Perse, vol. iii. p. 230): On ne sauroit croire le dépense que fait le roi de Perse pour ces présens-là. Le nombre des habits qu'il donne est infini. On en tient toujours ses garde-robes pleines. On les tient dans les magasins séparés par assortiment.

b We have examples in the modern East (and Eastern manners so little change that modern examples are nearly as good as ancient) of a vizier losing his life through this very failing to wear a garment of honour sent to him by the king. The story is in Chardin. The officer through whose hands the royal robe passed, out of spite sent a plain habit in its stead. To have appeared in this would have implied that the vizier was in disgrace at court; he therefore substituted another dress, a gift of the late king, and in that made his public entry into the city. When this was known at court, men declared the vizier a dog, that he had disdainfully thrown aside the royal apparel, saying, 'I have no need of Sha Sefi's habits;' and they so incensed the king, that it cost the vizier his life (Burder, Orient. Liter. vol. i. p. 04; cf. Herodotus, ix. III, for the manner in which the rejecting of a monarch's gift was resented.)—Olearius (Travels, p. 214), with the ambassadors in whose train he went, was invited to the table of the Persian king. 'It was told us,' he goes on to say, by the mehmander, that we, according to their usage, must hang the splendid vests that were sent us from the king over our dresses, and so appear in his presence. Theyambassadors at first refused; but the mehmander urged it so earnestly, alleging, as also did others, that the omission would greatly displease the king, since all other envoys observed such a custom, that at last they consented, and hanged, as did we also, the splendid vests over their shoulders, and so the cavalcade proceeded.' We gather from this passage that, strictly speaking, there was no actual changing of apparel, but the garment of honour was either a vest drawn over the other garments, or a mantle hung on the shoulders. Schulz describes that given to him as 'a long robe with loose sleeves, which hang down (for the arm is not put into them), the white ground of which is goat's hair, mixed with the silver, but the flowers woven in are of gold-coloured silk.' He too mentions the necessity of putting it on before appearing in the presence of the Sultan (Rosenmüller, Alte und Neue Morgent, vol. v. page 76.)

lack of respect; much more in those Eastern lands where outward symbols possess so much more significance than with us. It is evident, too, that the more honourable the person, and the more solemn the occasion, the more flagrant the offence; here the person is a king, and the occasion the marriage of his son. And thus, however others may have been forward to say many things in this guest's behalf,—sas that he could not help appearing as he did, or that his fault, after all, was a trivial one,—he did not count that he had anything to say for himself; 'he was speechless,' or, literally, his mouth was stopped, he was gagged, with no plea to allege for his contemptuous behaviour. He stood self-condemned, at once convinced and convicted, and his judgment did not tarry; but of that presently.

When we seek to give a spiritual signification to this part of the parable, there are many questions, and some most significant, which demand an answer. And first, When does the great King come in to see, or to scrutinize, the guests? In one sense He is doing so evermore; as often as by any judgment-acts hypocrites are revealed, or self-deceivers laid bare to themselves or to others;—at every time of trial, which is also in its very nature a time of separation, a time when the thoughts of many hearts are laid bare. But while this is true, while we must not relegate to a day of final judgment all in this kind which, indeed, is continually going forward, it is not the less true that for that day the complete separation is reserved; and then all that has been partially fulfilling in one and another will be altogether fulfilled in all.

But the guest himself 'which had not on a wedding garment'—does he represent one or many? Some, unwilling to let go the singleness of this guest, and fain to hold it fast in the interpretation of the parable, have suggested that Judas Iscariot may be immediately intended. Assuredly a mistake, except in so far as words calculated

^{*} Irenæus, then, puts it well when he says (iv. 36. 6): Eum, qui non habet indumentum nuptiarum, hoc est, contemptorem. And what stress Cicero lays (In Vatin. 12, 15) on the fact that Vatinius once appeared clad in black at a high festival (supplicatio)—how much of wanton indignity and insult he saw in it toward the giver of the feast and the other guests.

b 'Εφιμώθη, from φιμός (=ἐπιστόμιον), a gag. The word is used in its literal sense, x Tim. v. 18. Chrysostom explains it well, κατέκρινεν ἐαυτόν.

o For, in Cicero's words, Taciturnitas imitatur confessionem.

^d Θεάσασθαι: in tne Vulgote, *Ut videret* discumbentes; but an earlier Version better, *Ut inspiceret* discumbentes.

^e Augustine: Intrat Deus judicio, qui foris manet tolerando; and the *Auct. Oper. Imperf*.: Tunc regem ingredi, quando Deus tentat homines, ut appareat quantum quisque virtutis habeat, et an loco, quem in Ecclesiâ tenet, dignus sit.

t Thus Pseudo-Athanasius (De Parab. Script.); and in latter times Weisse (Evang. Gesch. vol. ii. p. 114).

for every hypocrite and deceiver were eminently calculated for him. Others of the historico-prophetic school, as Vitringa and Cocceius, see in him the man of sin, by whom they understand the Pope. It is little likely, however, that any single person is intended, but rather that many are included in this one; the 'few' presently said to be 'chosen,' as compared with the 'many called,' implying that a great sifting has found place. Why this 'many' cast out should be represented as a single person has been explained in different ways. Townson instances it as an example of what he happily calls 'the lenity of supposition,' which marks our Lord's parables; just as in another one servant only fails to turn his lord's money to account (Matt. xxv. 28; Luke xix. 20). Gerhard ingeniously suggests, that 'if many had been thrust out from the marriage, the nuptial festivities might appear to have been disturbed.' But more valuable is another suggestion which he offers, namely, that the matter is thus brought home to the conscience of every man: 'so diligent and exact will be the future scrutiny, that not so much as one in all that great multitude of men shall on the last day escape the piercing eyes of the Judge.'b Nor is there any difficulty in thus contemplating the whole multitude of evil-doers as a single person. For as the faithful are one, being gathered under their one head, which is Christ, so the congregation of the wicked are one, being gathered also under their one head, which is Satan. The mystical Babylon is one city no less than the mystical Jerusalem. There is a kingdom of darkness (Matt. xii. 25, 26), as well as a kingdom of God.º

^{*}So Gurtler (Syst. Theol. Proph. p. 676), who urges in confirmation the address, ἐταῖρε: Antichristus singulariter est ἐατῖρος, vicarium illius se venditans, et solio ejus solium nequitiæ associans!—The Jews have a curious tradition about Ēsau, who is their standing type of Antichrist, that he will be such a guest thrust out from the kingdom of God. It is found in the Jerusalem Talmud, and is as follows: 'Ēsau the wicked will veil himself with his mantle, and sit among the righteous in Paradise in the world to come: and the holy blessed God will draw him and bring him out from thence; which is the sense of those words, Obad, 1v. 6.'

b Cajetan the same: Subtilis discretio in tantâ multitudine describitur quia enim ita omnes Deus videt ut singulorum singillatim curam habeat, ideo unus describitur visus homo.

^{*}Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. lxi. 4): Levatus est de convivio et missus in pænas nescio quis homo in tam magnâ turbâ recumbentium. Sed tamen Dominus volens ostendere unum illum hominem, unum corpus esse quod constat ex multis, ubi jussit eum projici foras, et mitti in debitas pænas, subjecit continuo, Multi enim sunt vocati, pauci vero electi . . . Qui sunt electi, nisi qui remanserunt? Projecto uno, electi remanserunt. Quomodo, projecto uno de multis, pauci electii nisi in illo uno multi? See also Con. Don. post Cell. 20. We have just the converse of this. I Cor. ix. 24; where the whole number of the elect are included in the one that receives the prize.

What the wedding garment itself is, and what he lacked, who was without it, has been abundantly disputed. Was it faith? or was it charity? or was it both? That it was something indispensable is self-evident, and theologians of the Roman Church, eager to draw an argument from hence that charity is the one indispensable grace, have urged that it must have been charity, and not faith, which this unworthy guest was without; for faith, as they argue, he must have had, seeing that without that he would not have been present at the feast at all. But arguing thus they take advantage of the double meaning of the word faith, and play off its use as a bare assent to, or intellectual belief in, the truth, against St. Paul's far deeper use; —and this with injustice, since only in the latter sense would any attribute this guest's exclusion to his wanting faith. Were it needful so to limit the meaning of the wedding garment that it must signify either faith or charity, far better to restrain it to the former. Such would the deeper and truer interpretation, since the flower is contained in the root, but not the root in the flower, and so charity in faith, but not faith in charity." There is, however, no need so to determine for one of these interpretations, as to exclude the other. The foremost teachers of the early Church put themselves in no contradiction to one another, when some of them asserted that what the intruder lacked was charity, and others faith; nor with themselves, when they gave now the one interpretation, and now the other. For what this guest wanted was righteousness, both in its root of faith and its flower of charity. He had not, according to the pregnant image of St. Paul, here peculiarly appropriate, ' put on Christ;' —in which putting on of Christ both faith and charity are included,

^{*} Ignatius (Ad. Ephes, 14) calls the twain, ἀρχὴ ξωῆς καὶ τέλος· ἀρχὴ μεν πίστις, τέλος δὲ ἀγάπη.

b The Fathers generally contemplate the wedding garment as charity, or sanctity. Thus Irenæus (iv. 36. 6): Qui vocati ad cœnam Dei, propter malam conversationem por perceperunt Spiritum Sanctum; and Hilary: Vestitus nuptialis est gloria Spiritûs Sancti et candor habitûs cælestis, qui bonæ interrogationis confessione susceptus usque in cœtum regni cælorum immaculatus et integer reservatur; so Gregory the Great, Hom. 38 in. Evang. This is the predominant, though not the exclusive, sense given to it in our Exhortation to the Holy Communion; with which compare Chrysostom, Hom. 3, in Ephes., quoted by Bingham (Christ. Antt. xv. 4. 2). Yet Grotius affirms too much, saying: Ita veteres magno consensu ad hunc locum; for Ambrose (De Fide, iv. 1) speaks of the nuptiale fidei vestimentum; though elsewhere (De Panit. i. 6) he says: Ille rejicitur qui non habet vestem nuptialem, hoc est, amictum caritatis, velamen gratiæ; and again, uniting his two former expositions (Exp. in Luc. vii. 204): Vestem nuptialem, hoc est, fidem et caritatem : with which Augustine (Serm. 90) consents: Habete fidem cum dilectione; ista est vestis nuptialis; the Auct. Oper. Imperf .: Nuptiale vestimentum est fides vera, quæ est per Jesum Christum et justitiam ejus ; see also Basil the Great (in Esai. ix.) for a like interpretation.

faith as the investing power, charity or holiness as the invested robe. By faith we recognize a righteousness out of and above us, and which yet is akin to us, and wherewith our spirits can be clothed; which righteousness is in Christ, who is therefore the Lord our Righteousness. And this righteousness by the appropriative and assimilative power of faith we also make our own; we are clothed upon with it, so that it becomes, in that singularly expressive term our habit, be the righteousness imputed has become also a righteousness infused, and is in us charity or holiness, or more accurately still, constitutes the complex of all Christian graces as they abide in the man, and show themselves in his life

Setting aside, then, all narrower interpretations, not as erroneous, but as insufficient, we affirm of the wedding garment that it is righteousness in its largest sense, the whole adornment of the new and spiritual man; including the faith without which it is impossible to please God (Heb. xi. 6), and the holiness without which no man shall see Him (Heb. xii. 14), or shall, like this guest, only see Him to perish at His presence. It is at once the faith which is the root of all graces, the mother of all virtues, and likewise those graces and those virtues themselves. Whether we contemplate this guest as a self-righteous person, trusting in a righteousness of his own, instead of in a righteousness of Christ's, imputed and

^{*}So Gerhard: Vestis nuptialis Christus est, qui et sponsus et cibus est in his nuptiis. Christum autem induimus tum fide cjus meritum apprehendendo, ut nuditas nostra coram Dei judicio ipsius justitià tanquam pretiosà veste tegatur, tum sanctà vitæ conversaiione, quà ipsius vestigiis insistimus (Rom. xiii. 14), cum Christus non solum nobis datus sit in donum, sed etiam propositus in exemplum:—and Jerome's words are remarkable: Vestem nuptialem, hoc est, vestem supercælestis hominis,—while the sordid garment is veteris hominis exuviæ. Compare the Shepherd of Hermas (iii. sim. 9, 13): he sees in his vision some virgins, and is told that they represent the chief Christian virtues: Spiritus sancti sunt, non aliter enim homo potest in regnum Dei intrare nisi hæ induerint eum veste suâ. Etenim nil proderit tibi accipere nomen filii Dei, nisi etiam et vestem earum acceperis ab eis.

This image runs through all Scripture, its frequent use attesting its peculiar fitness. Thus we are bidden to put on the Lord Jesus Christ (Rom. xiii. 14), to put off the old, to put on the new, man (Col. iii. 10; Ephes. iv. 22), to put on the several pieces of the armour of God (Ephes. vi. 13-16; I Thess. v. 8); baptism is a putting on of Christ (Gal. iii. 27; cf. Rom. xiii. 12; Ezek. xvi. 10; Job xxix. 14; Isai. lxi. 10; Ecclus. vi. 31). Schoettgen (Hor. Heb. v. i. p. 699) shows in some remarkable quotations that the mystery of putting on a righteousness from above was not wholly hidden from the Jews. And, as grace is put on here, so glory in the kingdom which shall come: 'He that overcometh, the same shall be clothed in white raiment' (Rev. iii. 5; iv. 4; vi. 11; vii. 9; 2 Esd. ii. 39, 45). In the book of Enoch these garments are vestes vitæ. Angels, according to Jewish tradition, strip off the grave-clothes from every one who enters Paradise, and clothe him in white and glistering raiment (Eisenmenger, Entd. Judenth. vol. ii. p. 318).

imparted,—or see in him a more ordinary sinner, who with the Christian profession and privileges is yet walking after the flesh and not after the spirit, -in either case the image holds good; he is rejecting something, even the true robe of his spirit, bestowed on him when he was made a member of Christ; and which, if he has since let go, he may yet, on the strength of that gift, freely at any moment claim and reclaim; he is a despiser, counting himself good enough merely as he is in himself, in the flesh and not in the spirit (John iii. 6), to appear in the presence of God (Prov. xvi. 2). But a time arrives when every man will discover that he needs another covering, another array for his soul; that this is a garment narrower than he can wrap himself withal. It is woe to him, if, like the guest of this parable, he only discovers this when it is too late to provide himself with such; and then suddenly stands confessed to himself and to others in all his moral nakedness and shame. As it was the king's word which struck the intruder speechless, so will it be the light of God shining round and shining in upon the sinner, which will one day reveal to him all the hidden things of his heart, all that evil whereof he has hitherto wilfully chosen to be ignorant, but now can remain ignorant no longer. He, then, like the unworthy guest, will be 'speechless.' However forward he may have been in other times to justify himself, as there are now a thousand cloaks for sins (Jam. i. 13; I Sam. xv. 21), in that day his mouth will be stopped; he will not even pretend to offer any plea why judgment should not proceed against him at once.

'Then said the king to his servants,'—or to his ministering attendants rather, for they differ both in name and office from the servants that brought in the guests, being no other than the angels, who 'shall gather out of the kingdom all things that offend, and all that do iniquity' (Matt. xiii. 41, 49; Luke xix. 24)—'Bind him hand and foot;' which work of the heavenly lictors is by some understood to express that upon the sinner the night is come, in which no man can work, that for him all opportunities of repentance and amendment are gone by. I take it rather to express the impotence to which in a moment every proud fighter against God will be reduced. The hands by whose aid resistance, the feet by whose help

See one of Schleiermacher's Taufreden (Predigten, vol. iv. p. 787).

b Those were δούλοι (ver. 3, 4), these are διάκονοι (cf. John ii. 5, 9).

[°] H. de Sto. Victore: Ligatis manibus et pedibus, id est, ablatâ penitus potestate bene operandi; but Grotius better: Notat τὸ ἄμαχον καὶ τὸ ἄφενκτον irrogati divinitus supplicii; cf. Plato, Gorgias, 526 e. Zech. v. 8 supplies an instructive parallel. The woman whose name is Wickedness sitting securely in the ephah, or great measure of God's judgments, which she has filled, is forcibly thrust downinto it; and its mouth

escape, might have been meditated, are alike deprived of all power and motion (Acts xxi, 11; 2 Sam. iii. 34). This agrees better with that 'Take him away,' which follows, being the sinner's exclusion from the Church now glorious and triumphant in heaven^a (Matt. xiii. 48; 2 Thess. i. 9). Nor is the penalty merely privative; it is not only this loss of good, but also the presence of evil. They who are commissioned to carry out the judgment shall 'cast him into outer darkness.' The phrase only occurs in Matthew, but there thrice; viii. 12; xxv. 30; and here. The image is suggested by the parable itself. Within the king's palace is feasting and light and joy; without is desolation and darkness and cold. Not otherwise does the 'outer darkness' lie wholly beyond and external to God's kingdom of light and joy; of for as light is the element of that kingdom, so whatever is outside of that kingdom is darkness—even that 'outer darkness' into which all fall back who, refusing to walk in the light of God's truth, fail to attain in the end to the light of everlasting life (cf. Wisd. xvii. 21; xviii. 1). 'There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth;' something on these words has been said already; see pp. 85, 86.d

Christ moralizes the whole parable, as He had already done that of the Labourers in the Vineyard (Matt. xx. 16), with those solemn words, 'For many are called, but few are chosen' (cf. 1 Cor. ix. 24). To these 'called' and not 'chosen' belongs not merely this unworthy guest; but the words look back upon the entire parable; and include those who did not so much as seem (which he had done) to embrace the invitation, and who expiated their contumacy in the destruction

is then stopped with the huge mass of lead, that she may never raise herself again. Jerome (in loc.): Angelus præcipitem misit in medium amphoræ; . . . ac ne forte rursum elevaret caput, et suå iuiquitate et impietate gauderet, talentum plumbi in modum gravissimi lapidis mittit in os amphoræ, ut Impietatem in medio opprimat atque concludat, ne quo modo possit erumpere. The women with wings, who bear away the ephah, will further correspond to the servants here; and the outer darkness here to the land of Shinar there, the profane land, whither the vessel and its burden are borne. The whole vision too (v. 5-11) has its similarity to this parable; for that and this speak alike of the cleansing of the Church by judgementacts of separation upon the sinners in it.

^a It is interesting to compare Zeph. i. 7, 8: 'The Lord hath prepared a sacrifice. He hath bid his guests. And it shall come to pass in the day of the Lord's sacrifice, that I will punish the princes and the king's children, and all such as are clothed with strange apparel' (ἐνδεδυμένους ἐνδύματα ἀλλότρια, LXX).

b Augustine, Serm. xxxi. 5.

[•] Peter Lombard (iv. dist. 50): Exteriores tenebræ erunt, quia tunc peccatores penitus erunt extra Deum. . . . Secludentur penitus a luce Dei.

d See Meuschen (N. T. ex Talm. illust. p. 106) and Pfeiffer (Opp. p. 861) for a Jewish parable bearing some resemblance to the present.

of themselves and their city. And how many of the severer dealings of God with men, of His dealings with those who, within the Covenant, yet despise the mercies of that Covenant, do these words sum up. They are evermore finding their fulfilment. They were fulfilled on how a large a scale in the history of that entire generation which went out of Egypt; these were all 'called' to a kingdom, yet were not in the end 'chosen,' since with most of them God was not well pleased, and they died in the wilderness (I Cor. x. I-Io; Heb. iii. 7-9; Jude 5). They were fulfilled on a smaller scale in those twelve to whom it was given the first to see the promised land; two only drew strength and encouragement from that sight, and they only were 'chosen' to inherit it (Num. xiv. 23, 24). They found their fulfilment in the thirty and and two thousand of Gideon's army; these all were 'called,' but only three hundred were found worthy, and in the end 'chosen' to be helpers in and sharers of his victory, -such a sifting and winnowing had there previously been (Judg. vii). They were fulfilled, too, in a type and figure, when of all the maidens brought together to the palace of the Persian king, Esther alone was 'chosen' by him, and found lasting favour in his sight (Esth. ii).

• H. de Sto. Victore (De Arrahâ Animæ) make excellent application of Esther's history to the matter in hand; Vide quam multæ electæ sunt, ut una eligeretur, illa scilicit quæ occulis regis formosior et ornatior ceteris videretur. Ministri regis multas eligunt ad cultum, rex ipse unam eligit ad thalamum. Prima electio multarum facta est, secundum regis voluntatem. . . . Rex summus Regis filius venit in hunc mundum (quem ipse creaverat) desponsare sibi uxorem electam, uxorem unicam, uxorem nuptiis regalibus dignam. Sed quia hunc Judæa humilitatis formâ opparentem recipere contempsit, abjecta est. Et missi sunt ministri Regis, Apostoli videlicet, per totam mundum congregare animas, et adducere ad civitatem Regis, id est, ad sanctam Ecclesiam. . . . Multi ergo vocati intrant per fidem. Ecclesiam, et ibi sacramenta Christi quasi quædam unguenta et antidota ad reparationem et ad ornatum animarum præparata accipiunt. Sec quia ore veritatis dicitur, Multi sunt vocati, pauci vero electi, non omnes qui ad hunc cultum sunt admissi, ad regnum sunt eligendi; nisi tantum ii, qui sic student se per ista mundare et excolere, ut cum ad Regis præsentiam introducti fuerint, inveniantur quos ipse magis velit eligere quam reprobare. Vide ergo ubi posita es, et intelliges quid facere debes. Posuit enim te Sponsus tuus in triclinio, ubi mulieres oreantur, varia pigmenta et diversas species dedit, cibosque regios de mensa sua ministrari tibi præcepit, quidquid ad sanitatem, quidquid ad refectionem, quidquid ad reparandam speciem, quidquid ad augendum decorem valere potest tribuit. Cave ergo ne ad colendam teipsim negligens sis, ne in novissimo tuo, cum in bonspectu Sponsi hujus repræsentata fueris, indigna (quod absit) ejus consortio inveniaris. Præpara te, sicut decet sponsam Reqis, et sponsam Regis cælestis, sponsam Sponsi immortalis.

13. THE TEN VIRGINS.

MATTHEW XXV. 1-13.

"HEN"—in that great day of decision, wherein the Lord shall have shown Himself a 'a swift witness' against the hypocrite and unbeliever (Matt. xxiv. 51), He shall in other ways also bring the faith of His servants to the final test, and, as they endure or fail under this, shall receive or reject them for ever. 'Then shall the hingdom of heaven be likened unto tenvirgins, which took their lamps, and went forth to meet the bridegroom.' The circumstances of a marriage among the Jews, so far as they constitute the groundwork of this parable, are well known, and have been abundantly illustrated by writers on Jewish antiquities. Use also may be here made of notices gathered by modern travellers in the East; the lapse of ages having changed little or nothing in that stationary world. That the virgins should be ten in number is not accidental; exactly so many formed, according to Jewish notions, a company; which fewer would have failed to do. These 'took their lamps,'-marriages in the East being celebrated of old, as they are now, invariably at night; hence the constant mention of lamps and torches as borne by the friends and attendants: cf. 2 Esdr. x. 2; and Jer. xxv. 10; Rev. xviii. 23; in both which passages 'the light of a candle,' and 'the voice of the bridegroom and the bride,' stand close to one another. Thus furnished, they 'went forth to meet the bridegroom.'

^{*} Thus it was ruled, that where ten Jews were living in one place, there was a congregation, and there a synagogue ought to be built. On the completeness of the of the number ten, see Vitringa, De Synagogâ, p. 232, seq.; and Bähr, Symbolik d. Mos. Cullus, vol. i. p. 175.

b Among the Greeks and Romans torches were chiefly used: thus Catullus, Epithal. 98: Viden'? faces Aureas quatiunt comas; and again: Manu Pineam quate tædam; so Apuleius, 10: Veluti nuptiales epulas obituræ dominæ, coruscis facibus prælucebant; and Euripides: νυμφικαὶ λαμπάδες: cf. Beckers, Charicles, vol. ii. p. 465. Among the Jews, lamps fed with oil were more common. Such in earlier Greek would have been λύχνος οτ ἐλλύχνον. It is only at a later day that λαμπάς obtained this meaning. At the same time the mention of the oil does not of itself make it impossible that these

The order of the bridal procession appears to have been as follows: the bridegroom, accompanied by his friends, 'the children of the bride-chamber' (Matt. ix. 15), 'the friends of the bridegroom' (John iii. 29; see Judg. xiv. 11), went to the house of the bride, and led her with pomp and gladness (1 Macc. ix. 37-39) to his own home, or, where that was too narrow to receive the guests, to some larger apartment provided for the occasion. She was accompanied from her father's house by her youthful friends and companions (Ps. xlv. 15), while others of these, the 'virgins' of the parable, joined the procession at some convenient point, and entered with the rest of the bridal company into the hall of feasting (Cant. iii. 11). Some take rather differently the circumstances which furnish the machinery of the parable. They suppose these virgins to meet the bridegroom, not as he returns with, but as he goes to fetch, the bride; accompanying him first to her home, and only after that to his own. But this has nothing in its favour. Such was not the manner either with the Jews or the Greeks; b the spiritual significance of the parable is seriously disturbed and all is brought into confusion there. The virgins, we may confidently affirm, 'went forth to meet the bridgroom and the bride.'-however the last words, found in some earlier Versions, have no right to a place in the text.

But these 'virgins,' why are they so called, and whom do they represent? There are two mistakes to which the title they bear has given rise. There is first theirs who argue, All are virgins; all, therefore, belong at the inmost centre of their life unto Christ. Some, it is true, are found unready at the last moment, and therefore suffer loss (I Cor. iii. 13), even a long deferring of their blessedness. Yet the honourable name which the Lord has bestowed alike upon all gives assurance that all are saved in the end, none finally shut out from the kingdom of glory. They who make this milder estimate of the guilt of the foolish virgins, and of the dreadfulness of their

also were torches; for Elphinstone (*Hist. of India*, vol. i. p. 333) has noted, 'The true Hindu way of lighting up is by torches held by men, who feed the flame with oil from a sort of bottle' [the ἀλλεῖον of this parable] 'constructed for the purpose.'

^{*} See Wolf's latest Yournal, p. 174; and for accounts of earlier travellers, Harmer, Burder, and Hughes (Travels in Sicily, &c. vol. ii. p. 20): We went to view the noctrunal procession which always accompanies the bridegroom in escorting his betrothed spouse from the parental roof to that of her future husband. This consisted of nearly one hundred of the first persons in Joannina, with a great crowd of torchearers, and a band of music. After having received the lady they returned, but were joined by an equal number of ladies, who paid this compliment to the bride. These last correspond to the virgins here, and do not join the procession till the bridegroom, having received the bride, is escorting her to her new abode.

b See Becker, Charicles, vol. ii. p. 468.

doom, generally, but not always, connect with this the doctrine of the thousand years' reign of Christ upon earth and a first resurrection; from the blessedness of which these should be shut out for this unreadiness of theirs, whether at the hour of their death, or of the second coming of their Lord. Their imperfections, and the much in them remaining unmortified and unpurified still, will need the long and painful purging of this exclusion, and of the fearful persecutions to which all thus excluded shall be exposed: but the root of the matter being in them, they do not forfeit everything, nor finally fall short of the heavenly joy. But the premises from which these conclusions are drawn appear to me nothing worth. There would be something in the fact that unwise as well as wise are here by the Lord styled 'virgins,' if others sometimes undertook the office of welcoming the bridegroom, and He, notwithstanding, had chosen to give the appellation of virgins to these. But seeing that to such the office in the usual order of things appertained, their arguments who, like Von Meyer, b Olshausen, Stier, press to such conclusions as these the title of virgins which the foolish bear, appear to me to possess no force at all.

h Thus Poiret (Divin. Œconom, iv. 12, 18, vol. ii. p. 276): Illi qui tempore Adventûs in statu quidem gratiæ versabuntur, at multis simul imperfectionibus multisque negligentiis implicati, quas huc usque nondum correxerint nec abluerint, hi inquam a regno glorioso Christi in terra, dum mille anni periodi hujus effluent, exclusi, portam sibi obserari videbunt. Itaque foris relinquentur in tenebris purgationis, eorumque beatitudo ad resurrectionem usque generalem et post annos mille regni Christi atque sanctorem differetur Hoc ipsum satis aperte docet parabola Virginum fatuarum. Videmus enim eas ob negligentiam suam a convivio nuptiali fuisse exclusas, etiamsi et virgenes fuerint, et lampadem fidei habuerint, et Dominum invocaverint. Janua enim jam clausa nunquam interum aperiebatur dum hoc tempus durabat; quoniam commotio, qoæ hoc in mundo futura est antequam finis ejus ac periodorum adveniat, per quam Deus hoc in mundo et in omnibus quæ ibidem absunt, mutationem hanc gloriosam operabitur dum (quæ veluti janua erit ac introductio in regnum ejusdem), nun nisi semel futura est. Adhuc semel, inquit, et movebo cælum et terram; omnesque qui tum temporis per puritatem perfectam ad gloriam adipiscendam idonei erunt, impressionibus divinæ hujus motionis receptis mutabuntur: at post hoc tempus ad ressurrectionem generalem usque, nulla nova commotio aut mutatio fiet. Tunc enim aderit dies quietls naturæ ac creaturarum omnium quæ in eandem jam erunt introductæ. Abhinc vero oportebit ut virgines fatuæ, et quicunque nondum veste nuptiali fuerint induti, æternitatem ipsam exspectent. Neque cnim probabile videtur virginibus istis negligentibus, in quibus tamen tot jam erant dispositiones bonæ, pariterque iis, qui eo tempore nondum rite parati, bona tamen initia jam fecerant, æternum pereundum esse: sed nec probabile est, quamcunque illi, post januam semel clausam, præparationem sint adhibituri, Christum iterum ex quiete suâ exiturum, et in gratiam eorum novam crisin ac separationem aliquam peculiarem in naturâ instituturum esse.

b Blätter für höhere Wahrheit, vol. vi. p. 247.

[·] Reden Jesu, vol. ii. p. 581.

Into the second error Chrysostom, with others, has fallen; who, accepting the title of virgins in the literal, while everything else is accepted in a figurative, sense, limit the application of the parable to those who had made a profession of outward virginity; instead of seeing that the virginity here is the profession of a pure faith, the absence of spiritual fornication, of apostasy from the one God (Rev. xiv. 4; 2 Cor. xi. 2). This all the virgins have; and in the number of these must be included all who profess to be waiting for the Son of God from heaven, to love his appearing; all who with their lips join in the confession, 'I believe in Jesus Christ our Lord, who shall come again to judge both the quick and the dead,' and who do not in their lives openly deny this hope; all are included, who would desire to include themselves in the number of his believing people. The whole company of the virgins have this in common, but they confess to the same Lord, and to the same hope in Him, as is involved in the fact of all alike taking their lamps, and going forth to meet the bridegroom.

That which constitutes a distinction between them first appears in the words that follow: 'And five of them were wise, and five were foolish.' The numbers make nothing to the case; only the division is essential. They are not distinguished into good and bad, but, as the hearers at Matt. vii. 25-27, into 'wise' and 'foolish;' for, as a certain degree of good-will toward the truth is assumed there on the part of the 'foolish,' as evidenced in their willingness to hear, and in the superstructure, however weak, which they raise, so on the part of these in their going forth even with the intention of meeting the bridegroom. They are severally described,—the wise, 2 Pet. i. 5-8, and the foolish, 2 Pet. i. 9. We are next informed wherein consisted the folly of these, and the wisdom of those: 'They that were foolish took their lamps, and took no oil with them; but the wise took oil in their vessels with their lamps.' Here is evidently the turning-point of the parable; all in its interpretation must depend on a right ap-

a Augustine (Serm. xciii. 2) warns against any such limitation of the parable; which belongs to all souls, quæ habent Catholicam fidem, et habere videntur bona opera in Ecclesiâ Dei; and elsewhere, Virginitas cordis, fides incorrupta. Jerome (in loc.): Virgines appellantur, quia gloriantur in unius Dei notitiâ, et mens eorum idololatriæ turbâ non constupratur.

b Jerome (Adv. Jovin. 2): Decem virgines non totius generis humani, sed sollicitorum et pigrorum exempla sunt, quorum alteri semper Domini præstolantur adventum alteri somno et inertiæ se dantes, futurum judicium non putant. Tertullian (De Animâ, 18) mentions a strange abuse, which some of the Gnostics made of this parable: The five foolish virgins are the five senses, foolish inasmuch as they are easily deceived, and often give fallacious notices; while the five wise are the reasonable powers, which have the capacity of apprehending ideas.

prehending of what the having, or the not having, a reserved supply of oil may mean. Again we meet with a controversy between the Romanists and the early Reformers, differing in little from that to which the wedding garment gave rise. The Reformers asserted that what these virgins lacked was the living principle of faith; that what they had were the outer circumstances of a Christian profession; these were their lamps shining before men; but they wanted the inner spirit of life, the oil which they should have had, if their lamps were to be found burning in the day of Christ's appearing.^a The Romanist reversed the whole; for him what they had was faith, but faith which, not having works, was 'dead, being alone' (Jam. ii. 17); they were not careful to maintain good works, to nourish the lamp of faith, which they carried before men, with deeds of light done for and in the sight of God; they did not by well-doing stir up the grace of God that was in them, and so the unused grace was taken from them; their lamps burned dim, and at last were wholly extinguished, and they had not wherewith to revive them anew.b

Here again it is only necessary to call attention to the different senses in which the two contending parties employ the word faith,—the Romanists as the outward profession of the truth,—the Reformers as the root and living principle of Christian life.° Except for these diverse uses of the same term, the two interpretations would not be opposed to, or exclude, one another,—would indeed admit a fair reconciliation.⁴ For we may equally contemplate the foolish virgins,

This is very much Augustine's interpretation (Ep. cxl. 33; Serm. cxlix. 11): Lampades bona sunt opera . . . et ipsa quæ etiam coram hominibus lucet laudabilis conversatio; sed magni interest quâ mentis intentione fiat. . . . Quid est ergo fere oleum secum, nisi habere conscientiam placendi Deo de bonis operibus. et non ibi finem gaudii sui ponere, si homines laudent? Cocceius explains the oil in the vessels thus: Doctrina Spiritûs Sancti fidem pascens in perpetuum ut non deficiat; and Cajetan, Roman Catholic expositor, consents to this interpretation; his words are so excellent that I will quote them: In hoc different operantes bona opera, quod aliqui hal ent testi:nonium suæ bonitatis foris tantum in ipsis operibus bonis: intus enim non sentiunt se diligere Deum in toto corde, se pænitere peccatorum quia sunt offensæ Dei, se diligere proximum propter Deum. Alii autem operantur sie bona, ut et ipsa opeia lucentia testimonium foris reddant boni animi, et intus in conseientia propria ipse Spiritus Sanctus testificetur spiritui eorum quod filii Dei sunt. Sentiunt enim in corde toto se diligere Deum, pænitere propter Deum, diligere proximum et seipsum propter Deum, et breviter Deum esse sibi rationem amandi, sperandi, timendi, gaudendi, et breviter operandi intus et extra: hoc est enim oleum in vasis propriis.

b Thus Jerome (in loc.): Non habent oleum, quæ videntur simili quidem fide Domlnum confiteri, sed virtutum opera negligunt. Cf. Origen, in Matth. Tract. 32. As Augustine, when he says: Animæ tuæ anima fides.

^d For instance, who would refuse to accede to the explanation given by Gerhard! Per Lampades accensas externa oris professio et exterior pietatis species: per oleum

unprovided with oil, as those going through a round of external duties, without life, without love, without any striving after inward conformity to the law of God, whose religion is all husk and no kernel; or, again, as those who, confessing Christ with their lips, and holding fast the form of the truth, are for all this remiss in the work of the Lord, in acts of charity, of humility, and self-denial; and who therefore, by that law which decrees that from him who hath not shall be taken even that which he hath, gradually lose that grace which they had, and discover that they have lost it altogether, at the decisive moment when they need to have it in largest measure. It is clear that whatever is merely outward in the Christian profession is the lamp—whatever is inward and spiritual is the oil reserved in the vessels. When we contemplate with St. James (ii. 14-26) the faith as the body, and the works as witnessing for an informing vivifying soul, then the faith is the lamp, the works the oil in the vessels; but when, on the other hand, we contemplate with St. Paul the works as only having a value from the living principle of faith out of which they spring, then the works are the lamp, and the faith the oil which must feed it. Yet in either case, before we have exhausted all the meaning of the oil, we must get beyond both the works and the faith to something higher than either, the informing Spirit of God which prompts the works and quickens the faith, of which Spirit oil is ever in Scripture the standing symbol (Exod. xxx. 22-33; Zech. iv. 2, 12; Acts x. 38; Heb. i. 9; I John ii. 20, 27.

But under whatever aspect we regard the relation between the oil in the lamps and in the vessels, the purpose of the parable is, as we cannot doubt (see ver. 13), to impress upon all members of the Church their need of vigilance. Regarded in the one view, it is a warning that they be careful to maintain good works, that they be not satisfied, as some, with saying, 'Lord, Lord,' while they do not the things that He says. Regarded under the other aspect, it is a warning that they be watchful over their inward state,—over their affections,—over all which, withdrawn from the eyes of man, is seen only of God;—that they seek to have a constant supply of the Spirit of Christ Jesus in their innermost hearts, to approve themselves before God, as well as to show fairly and unblamably before the world.

vero in vasis interior cordis justitia, vera fides, sincera caritas, vigilantia, prudentia, quæ solius Dei, non autum hominum oculis obvia, intelliguntur.

[•] See Augustine, Ep. cxl. 31; Serm. xciii. 8; Gregory the Great, Hom. 12 in Evang.; and the author of a sermon found among the works of St. Benard (vol. ii. p. 722): Oleum in lampade est opus bonum in manifestatione, sed dum videtur a proximis caritas operis, dum mirantur et laudant, extolitur plerumque et adsurgit elatus animus operantis, et dum in se et non in Domino gloriatur, lumen lampadis

In either case, we must remember, and it adds much to the solemnity of the lesson, that by the fcolish virgins are meant,—not hypocrites, not self-conscious dissemblers, much less openly profane and ungodly,—but the negligent in prayer, the slothful in work, and all those whose scheme of a Christian life is laid out rather to satisfy the eyes of men than to please Him who seeth in secret. Nor is it that they are wholly without oil; they have some, but not enough; their lamps, when they first go forth, are burning, otherwise they could not speak of them as on the point of expiring just as the bridegroom is approaching. In fact, the having no oil provided in the vessels is exactly parallel to having no deepness of earth (Matt. xiii. 5); the seed springs up till the sun scorches it; the lamps burn on till their oil is exhausted through the length of the bridegroom's delay. In each case something more is implied than a mere external profession. conscious to itself that it is nothing besides; it is not that there was no faith, but only that fides temporaria which could not endure temptation, nor survive delay. They, on the other hand, are like the wise virgins, who recognize the possibility that the bridegroom may tarry long, that the Church may not very soon, perhaps not in their days, enter into its glory; who, therefore, foresee that they may have a long life before them of patience and self-denial, before they shall come to the kingdom, or the kingdom to them; and who therefore rightly judge that it is not a few warm excited feelings which will carry them triumphantly through all this, and enable to endure unto the end; for such are but as a fire among straw, quickly blazing up, and as quickly extinguished. They understand that principles as well as feelings must be engaged in the work, that their first good impulses will carry them but a very little way, unless revived, strengthened, and purified, by a continual supply of the Spirit of God. If the bridegroom were to come at once, it might be another thing; but their wisdom is that, since it may very well be otherwise, they make provision against such a contingency.

adnullatur, et carens fomento congruo lampas, quæ coram hominibus clare lucet, coram Domino tenebratur. Prudentes vero virgines præter oleum quod in lampadibus habent, oleum aliud in vasis reponunt: quia nimirum sanctæ animæ dum sponsi sui præstolantur adventum, dum toto desiderio ei clamant quotidie, Adveniat regnum tuum, præter illa opera quæ proximis lucent ad Dei gloriam et videntur, aliqua in occulto, ubi solus Pater videt, opera faciunt. Hæc est gloria filiæ regis ab intus, dum plus de oleo quod in vasis conscientiæ dilucescit, quam de eo quod lucet de foris gloriatur: periisse æstimat ome quod ceraitur, nec id dignum ludicat remuneratione, quod favores hominum prosequuntur. Latenter igitur quæ prævalet, operatur, petit secretam, orationibus pulsat cælum, fundit lacrymas testes amoris, hæc est gloria, sed ab intus, sed invisa, filiæ regis et amicæ. Hoc oleum fatuæ virgines non habent, quia nisi ad nitorem vanæ gloriæ et favorem hominum bona non operantur. Hoc oleum in quo prudentes confidunt, in abditis conscientiarum vasculis reponunt.

'While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept.' We may number this among the many hints given by our Lord, that the time of his return might possibly be delayed very far beyond the expectation of his first disciples. It was a hint, and no more. Had more been given, had He said plainly that He would not come for many centuries, then the earlier ages of the Church would have been placed at a manifest disadvantage, being deprived of that powerful motive to holiness and diligence which each generation finds in the possibility of his return in their time. It is not that He desires each succeeding generation to believe that in their day He will certainly return; for He cannot desire our faith and our practice to be founded on a misapprehension, as then the faith and practice of all but the last would be. But it is a necessary element of the doctrine concerning the second coming of Christ, that it should be possible at any time, that none should consider it improbable in theirs.^a The love, the earnest longing of those first Christians made them to assume that coming to be close at hand. In the strength and joy of this faith they lived and suffered; and when they died, the kingdom was indeed come unto them. b As a further reason why the Church should not have been acquainted from the first with the precise moment of her Lord's return, it may be added, that it is in itself, no doubt, undetermined. Prophecy is no fatalism, and it has been always open to every age by faith and prayer to hasten that coming, so that St. Peter can speak of the faithful not merely as looking for, but also as hasting, the coming of the day of God (2 Pet. iii. 12); with which we may compare Acts iii. 19, 'Repent ye, . . . that the times of refreshing may come; these 'times of refreshing' being identical with 'the times of restitution of all things' (ver. 21), the glorious setting up of the kingdom of Christ: and we find the same truth. that the quicker or tardier approach of that time is conditional, elsewhere declared in clearest terms (2 Pet. iii. 9). We too have learned to pray that it may please God 'to accomplish the number of his elect, and to hasten his kingdom.' But while the matter was left by the wisdom of God in this uncertainty, it was yet important that after

^a Augustine: Latet ultimus dies, ut observetur omnis dies; and Tertullian (*De Animâ*, 33): Ut pendula expectatione sollicitudo fidei probetur, semper diem observans, dum semper ignorat, quotidie timens, quod quotidie sperat.

b Yet Augustine, claiming a right to dissent from a scheme of prophetic interpretation current in his day, which made the end of the world to be already insant, says very beautifully (Ep. cxcix. 5): Non ergo ille diligit Adventum Domini, qui illum asserit propinquare, aut ille qui asserit non propinquare; sed ille potius qui eum sive prope sive longe sit, sinceritate fidei, firmitate spei, ardore caritatis expectat.

[·] In Augustine's words, prædixi, non fixi.

the expectations of the first ages of the Church had failed, those who examined the Scriptures should see plainly there that no pledge had thus been broken, that no prophecy had failed, that what had actually come to pass was contemplated from the first.^a

The steps by which the virgins fell into deep sleep are marked; first they nodded the head or slumbered, and next they slept profoundly. Some have understood by this sleeping of all, a certain unreadiness that will have overtaken the whole Church, a too great acquiescence in the present time and in the present things, even among the faithful themselves,—though with this difference, that their unreadiness will be remediable, and easily removed: this removal being actually signified by the trimming and replenishing of their lamps; while that of others will prove beyond remedy.2 Augustine^e proposes this interpretation, but only to reject it; for he asks, Why were those wise admitted, unless for the very reason that their love had not grown cold? But there is, he goes on to say, a sleep common to all, the sleep of death which is indicated here; and such is the explanation of nearly all the ancient interpreters. We may well prefer it to that which understands by this the negligences and omissions of even the best Christians. Our Lord would scarcely have given, as it were, this allowance for a certain measure of negligence, seeing that with all the most earnest provocations to diligence. there will ever be too much of this. Least of all would He so do in a parable, whose very aim and moral is, that we be always ready, that we be *not* taken unprepared.

And yet by this slumbering and sleeping more may not after all be meant than that all, having taken such measures as they counted needful to enable them to meet the bridegroom as they would wish, securely awaited his approach.^d For, indeed, the fitness of the parable, which demanded to be observed, required such a circumstance as this. Had the foolish virgins been in a condition to mark the lapse of time, and the gradual waning of their lamps, they knowing that they had not wherewith to replenish them, would naturally

^{*} Augustine (Ep. cxcix. 5): Ne forte cum transisset tempus, quo eum crediderant esse venturum, et venisse non cernerent, etiam cetera fallaciter sibi promitti arbitrantes, et de ipsâ mercede fidei desperarent.

b So Cocceius: Significat securitatem, quæ Ecclesiam Christianam post primam quasi vigiliam noctis persecutionem cum pace invasit; and Grotius quotes in confirmation Jam. iii. 2; Rom. xiii. 2. Maldonatus gives this explanation in a form popular at the present day: Dormire interpretor desinere de adventu Domini cogitare.

[·] Serm. xciii. 5; Ep. cxl. 32.

d Hilary (Comm. in Matt. xxvii.) unites this meaning and the preceding: Exspectantium somnus credentium quies est, et in pænitentiæ tempore mors temporalis universorum.

have bestirred themselves, and that in time to procure a new supply. The fact that they fell asleep, and were only awakened by the cry of the advancing bridal company, gives—and nothing else would give—a natural explanation of their utter and irremediable destitution of oil at the moment when it most needed that they should have it in abundance. So, too, if the wise virgins had not slept as well, had they been represented as watching while the others were sleeping, it would have been a lack of love upon their parts not to rouse their companions, and warn them of the lapse of time and the increasing dimness with which their lamps were burning, while a remedy was still within reach a

So all went forward, until 'at midnight there was a cry made, Behold, the bridegroom cometh: go ve out to meet him.' The cry which at this midnight hour startles the sleepers is either that of the retinue running before, or of the jubilant multitude, who, even till that late hour, had waited for the passing of the procession through the streets, and now welcomed it with these acclamations. spiritual signification has been variously given. Most have understood by it the descent of the Lord 'with a shout, with the voice of the archangel and the trump of God' (I Thess. iv. 16), when He, the heavenly Bridegroom, shall at length draw nigh, accompanied by the angels, the friends of the Bridegroom, and leading home His bride, the triumphant Church, and looking to be met and greeted by the members of His Church yet militant upon earth, themselves a a part of that mystical bride, b that so He may bring her to the glorious mansion, the house of everlasting joy and gladness which He has prepared for her. Some, however, understand this cry as proceeding from watchers in the Church, such as shall not be altogether lacking in the last times; by whom the signs of the times shall have been observed, and who shall proclaim the near advent of the Lord.—And this cry is 'at midnight.' It was a belief curreramong the later Jews, that the Messiah would come suddenly at midnight, as their forefathers had gone out from Egypt, and obtained their former deliverance, at that very hour (Exod. xii. 29); from which belief Jerome^e supposes the apostolic tradition of not dismissing the people on Easter eve till the middle night was past, to have been derived. But it is idle to suppose that midnight is here named for

Storr, De Par. Christi, in his Opusc. Acad. vol. i. p. 133.

b Augustine (Quæst. lxxxiii. qu. 59): Ex ipsis virginibus constat ea quæ dicitur sponsa, tanquam si omnibus Christianis in Ecclesiam concurrentibus filii ad matrem concurrere dicantur, cum ex ipsis filiis congregatis constet ea quæ dicitur mater [Rev. xix. 7, 9].

º Comm. in Matt. in loc.

any other reason than because it is a time when deep sleep falls upon men, when, therefore, such an event as the passage of a bridal company through the streets would be expected the least; and because thus the unlooked-for character of that day of the Lord, which cometh as a thief in the night' (I Thess. v. 2), would be in the liveliest manner set out.^a

The parable will obtain a wider application if we keep in memory that, while there is one crowning advent of the Lord at the last, He comes no less in all the signal crises of His Church, at each new manifestation of His spirit; and at each of these, too, there is a separation among those who are called by His name, into wise and foolish, as they are spiritually alive or dead. Thus at Pentecost, when by His Spirit He returned to His Church, He came: the prudent in Israel went in with Him to the feast, the foolish tarried without. Thus, too, He came at the Reformation: those that had oil went in; those that had empty lamps, the form of godliness without the power, tarried without. Each of these was an example of that which should be more signally fulfilled at the end.

'Then all those virgins arose, and trimmed their lamps; 'b and in this act of trimming the foolish discovered, to their dismay, that theirs were going out, and that they had not wherewith to feed the expiring flame. In a higher sense, every one at the last prepares to give an account of his works, inquires into the foundations of his faith, seriously searches whether his life has been one which will have praise not merely of men, for that he now feels will avail nothing, but also of God. Many put off this trying of the grounds of their hope to the last moment, nay, some manage to defer it, with all its miserable discoveries, beyond the grave, even till the day of judgment;—but further it cannot be deferred. When the day of Christ comes, it will be impossible for any to remain longer ignorant of their true state, for that day will be a revelation of the hidden things of men, of things hitherto hidden even from themselves; a flood of light

^{*} Augustine (Serm. xciii. 6): Quid est mediâ nocte? Quando non speratur, quando omnine non creditur. Jerome: Subito enim, quasi intempestâ nocte, et securis omnibus Christi resonabit adventus.

b Ward (View of the Findoos, vol. ii. p. 29) describes a marriage-ceremony in India of which he was eye-witness: 'After waiting two or three hours, at length near midnight it was announced, as in the very words of Scripture, "Behold, the bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him." All the persons employed now lighted their lamps, and ran with them in their hands to fill up their stations in the procession: some of them had lost their lights, and were unprepared; but it was then too late to seek them; and the cavalcade moved forward."

^e Augustine: Rationem præparant reddere de operibus suis. Cocceius: Quivis homo apud se fidei suæ soliditatem requisivit.

will then pour into all the darkest corners of all hearts, and show every man to himself exactly as he is; so that self-deception will be possible no longer.

The foolish virgins turn in their extremity of need to their wiser companions, saying, 'Give us of your oil, for our lamps are gone out; 'a or rather, as it is more correctly in the margin, 'are going out.' Had their lamps already 'gone out,' they would have needed not merely to trim and feed them, but must have further asked from their companions permission to kindle them anew, of which we read nothing. The request, with the refusal which it meets,—like the discourse between Abraham and Dives,—can be only the outer garb of the truth; but of truth how momentous!-no other than this, that we shall look in vain from men for that grace which God only can supply, that we shall be miserably disappointed if we think thus to borrow in an easy lazy way that which must be bought, -won, that is, by earnest prayer and earnest diligent endeavour. And the answer of the wise, 'Not so; b lest there be not enough for us and you,' has its lesson also. It tells us that every man must live by his own faith. There is that which one can communicate to another, and make himself the richer; as one who imparts a light to another has not therefore less light, but walks henceforth in the light of two torches instead of one: but there is also that which, being divine, is in its very nature incommunicable from man, which can be obtained only from above, which each must obtain for himself. One, indeed, can point out to another where he is to dig for the precious ore, but after all is said, each one must bring it up for himself, and by efforts of his own.

In the reason which the wise virgins give for declining to comply with the others' request, 'Lest there be not enough for us and you,' there lies a witness against works of supererogation, however Roman

^{*} The hand-lamp naturally was small, and would not contain a supply of oil for many hours. The trimming itself implied two things, an infusion of fresh oil, and removal of whatever had gathered round and was clogging the wick. For the last purpose a little instrument often hung by a slender chain from the lamp itself—pointed, for the removing of the snuffs (the putres fungi) from the flame, and with a little hook at the side by which the wick, when need was, might be drawn further out (Et producit acu stupas humore carentes, Virgil, Moretum, II). This instrument is sometimes found still attached to the bronze lamps discovered in sepulchres. See Becker, Gallus, vol. ii. p. 205, seq.

b The answer in the Greek is strongly elliptical, as in a moment of earnestness and haste. Bengel: Abrupta oratio, festinationi illi conveniens. On the spirit of the answer of the wise virgins, as regards themselves, Augustine remarks: Non desperatione dictum est, sed sobriâ et piâ humilitate; and Chrysostom (De Panit. Hom. 8): Οὐ δι' ἀσπλαγχνίαν τοῦτο ποιοῦσαι, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ στενὸν τοῦ καιροῦ.

Catholic expositors may resist the drawing of any such conclusion from the words. 'The righteous shall scarcely be saved' (1 Pet. iv. 18). The wise do not imagine that they have anything over, which, as not needing for themselves, they may transfer to others; happy if their own lamps have been maintained in such brightness as that they may be themselves allowed to make part of the bridal company, and to enter with them into the joy of the festal chamber. To their unhappy companions they give the only counsel that, under the circumstances, was possible, 'Go ye rather to them that sell, and buy for yourselves.' They bid them turn to the dispensers of heavenly grace, to those whom God has appointed in the Church as channels of his gifts; or, as some would explain it, to the prophets and Apostles, that they might learn of them how to revive the work of God in their souls, if yet there should be time. Some take the

• Augustine (Ep. cxl. 34): Petunt a sapientibus oleum, nec inveniunt, nec accipiunt, illis respondentibus se nescire, utrum vel sibi sufficiat ipsa conscientia, quâ exspectant misericordiam sub illo Judice, qui cum in throno sederit, quis gloriabitur castum se habere cor, aut quis gloriabitur mundum se esse a peccato, nisi superexultet misericordia judicio ?

b Tertullian (De Pudic, 22) makes good use of this answer of the wise virgins, when opposing the libelli pacis, which the confessors of the African Church in the Decian persecution were wont to give to the lapsed: Sufficiat martyri propria delicta purgasse. Ingrati aut superbi est in alios quoque spargere, quod pro magno fuerit consecutus. Quis alienem mortem suâ solvit nisi solus Dei filius? . . . Proinde qui illum æmularis donando delicta, si nihil ipse deliquisti, plane patere pro me. Si vero peccator es, quomodo oleum faculæ tuæ sufficere et tibi et mihi poterit?—Gurtler (Syst. Theol. Proph. p. 711) quotes a strange story from Melchoior Adamus, showing vividly what a witness was once felt to be here against all trusting in man and in the merits of man rather than in God: 'There was. A.D. 1322, exhibited at Eisenach before the Margrave Frederick of Misnia, the mystery concerning the five wise and as many foolish virgins. The wise were St. Mary, St. Catharine, St. Barbara, St. Dorothy, and St. Margaret. To these come the foolish, seeking that they will impart to them of their oil-that is, as the actor explained it, intercede with God for them that they also may be admitted to the marriage—that is, to the kingdom of heaven. What happens? the wise absolutely deny that they can communicate aught. Then a sad spectacle began; the foolish knocked, they wept, they were instant in prayer; but all profited not a jot, they were bidden to depart and buy oil. Which when that prince saw and heard, he is said to have been so amazed, that he fell into a grievious and dangerous sickness. "What," he exclaimed, "is our Christian faith. if neither Mary nor any other saint can be persuaded to intercede for us?" this sadness an apoplexy had its rise, of which he died the fourth day after, and was buried at Eisenach.' Compare Carlyle, Miscellanies, vol. ii. p. 415.—Within the last few years a copy of the famous Mystery to which such fatal effects are ascribed has been discovered, and has been edited, with introduction, notes, and a translation in modern German, under the title, Das Grosse Thüringische Mysterium, Halle, 1855. It is a very grand and solemn composition; and being evidently a Dominican protest against the extravagancies of saint-worship and reliance upon saint-intercession, as encouraged by the Franciscans, has a theological no less than a poetical interest. Dr. Neale was preparing a translation of it, at the time of his lamented death.

words as ironical; but how much more consistent with their character whom the wise virgins represent, to see in them a counsel of love, of that love which 'hopeth all things,'—an exhortation to their fellows that they trust not in man, but betake themselves to the sources from which effectual grace can alone be obtained, that they seek even at this last to revive the work of grace in their hearts.

What the wise had ventured to hope for themselves is granted While the others are absent, vainly seeking to repair the negligence of the past, 'the bridegroom came, and they that were ready,'b they whose lamps were burning, having been fed anew from their vessels, 'went in with him to the marriage; and the door was shut; shut as much for the security and joy without interruption of those within, a as for the lasting exclusion of those without (Gen. vii. 16; Rev. iii. 12). 'What door?' exclaims the author of an ancient homily on this parable: 'that which now is open to those coming from the east and from the west, that they may sit down with Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, in the kingdom of heaven,—that Door which saith, Him that cometh to Me I will in nowise cast out. Behold how it is now open, which shall then be closed for evermore. Murderers come, and they are admitted,—publicans and harlots come, and they are received,—unclean and adulterers and robbers, and whosoever is of this kind, come, and the open door doth not deny itself to them; for Christ, the Door, is infinite to pardon, reaching beyond every degree and every amount of wickedness. But then what saith He? "The door is shut." No one's penitence, -no one's prayer, -no one's groaning shall any more be admitted. That door is shut, which received Aaron after his idolatry,—which admitted David after his adultery, after his homicide, - which not only did not repel Peter after his threefold denial, but delivered its keys to be guarded by him' (Luke xvi. 26).

'Thy care is fixed, and zealously attends
To fill thy odorous lamp with deeds of light,
And hope that reaps not shame. Therefore be sure,
Thou, when the Bridegroom with his feastful friends
Passes to bliss in the mid hour of night,
Hast gained thy entrance, Virgin wise and pure.'

^a Augustine (Serm. xciii. 8): Non consulentium, sed irridentium est ista responsio; and Luther quotes, Justi ridebunt in interitu impiorum.

b In the *Pirke Avolh* there is this comparison: Seculum hoc simile est vestibulo, et seculum futurum triclinio. Præpara teipsum in vestibulo, ut ingredi possis in triclinium.

^{*} Compare Milton's grand Sonnet to a Virtuous Young Lady:

⁴ For, in Augustine's beautiful language, the heavenly kingdom is one, ubi non intrat inimicus, nec amicus exit.

'Afterward came also the other virgins, saying, Lord, Lord, open to us;'—not that we are to suppose that they have now obtained oil; but, having sought it in vain, they return entreating that the want of it on their parts may be overlooked; as those suing for mercy, when now the time of judgment has arrived (Prov. i. 28).* In the title 'Lord,' by which they address the bridegroom, they claim to stand in a near and intimate relation to him; as in the 'Lord, Lord,' twice repeated, is an evidence—not, as some say, of their vain confidence—but of the earnestness with which they now seek admission (Gen. xxii. 11; Exod. iii. 4; I Sam. iii. 10; Matt. xxvii, 46; Luke viii. 24; x. 41; xiii. 25, 34; xxii, 31; Acts ix. 4); of the misgiving which already possesses them, lest the shut door should refuse to open any more. Even so it proves. All which they hear from within is the sentence of their exclusion: 'He answered and said, Verily, I say unto you, I know you not' (cf. Matt. vii. 23); he does not know them, that is, in that sense in which the Good Shepherd knows his sheep, and is known of them Such knowledge is of necessity reciprocal, so that Augustine's remark, seeming a slight, is indeed a very profound one, that is, 'I know you not,' is nothing else than, 'Ye know not Me' (John x. 14).°

The exclusion of the foolish virgins from the marriage feast, if this interpretation be correct, is not temporary; but, so far as our horizon reaches, final. Many regard it in a different light, as who would not gladly do? and the views of some of these have been touched on in this exposition; but to me the sterner and severer interpretation

a Augustine, Ep. cxl. 35.

Plena luctu caret fructu sera pœnitentia.

b In them that solemn line must find itself true:

[•] At Luke xiii. 25-27 the same image of the excluded vainly seeking an entrance reappears though with important modifications. The master has appointed a set time in the evening by which all his servants shall have returned home. When the hour arrives, he rises up and bars his doors, and those who arrive later cannot persuade him again to open them. Other words of our Lord (Luke xii, 35-38) offer many points of resemblance to this parable, though with differences as well. There too the faithful appear not as virgins but as servants,—and wait for Him not when He shall come to, but when He shall return from, the wedding, from the heavenly bridal, the union with the Church in heaven. The warning to a preparedness to meet Him clothes itself under images not exactly similar. They must have their loins girt up (cf. Jer. i. 17; I Pet. i. 13), and their lights burning—that is, they must be prompt and succint to wait upon Him, and his house must be bright and beaming with lights; and He must be admitted without delay. Then that which they have prepared for Him shall indeed prove to have been prepared for themselves; 'He shall gird himself, and make them to sit down to meat, and come forth and serve them.' What He did once at the paschal supper (John xiii. 4) shall be only a prophecy of what He shall repeat in a more glorious manner at the marriage-supper of the Lamb.

alone approves itself as the true (Isai. lxv. 13). On this their exclusion Bengel observes, that there are four classes, which among them will include the whole company of the saved and of the lost. are those to whom 'an entrance is ministered abundantly into the kingdom,' entering as with sails set into the haven; those secondly, that are just saved, like shipwrecked mariners who hardly reach the shore: and on the other side, there are those who travel plainly on the broad way to destruction, whose sins go before them to judgment; while lastly, there are such as, though they seemed not 'far off from the kingdom of God,' yet miss it after all. Of this last class were these foolish virgins; and their fate who were so near a crown and a kingdom, and yet missed them notwithstanding, he observes with truth, must always appear the most miserable of all. Lest that may be our lot, the Lord says to us,—for what He said to His hearers then. He says unto all, to His Church and to every member of it in every age, - 'Watch therefore; for ye know neither the day nor the hour; 'a and while we know not, the only sure way to be ready upon that day, is that we be ready upon every day: unreadiness upon that day being unreadiness for ever; and the doom of the foolish virgins proclaiming that the work, which should have been the work of a life, cannot be huddled up and accomplished in a moment (Luke xii. 40; I Thess. v. 6; 2 Pet. iii. 10)

A few words on the relation in which this parable stands to that of the Marriage of the King's Son, and to explain the fact that in that the unworthy guest actually obtains admission to the marriage supper (Matt. xxii. 11), and is only from thence cast out, while in this the foolish virgins are not so much as admitted to the feast. It would be easy to say, that this is accidental, a difference growing out of the different structure of the two parables; but by such answers everything distinctive in one and another may be explained away: and we treat them with more reverence when we look for some deeperlying reason. May it not be that the marriage festivities there are different from the present? In Gerhard's words, 'Those are celebrated in this life, in the Church militant, these at the last day in the Church triumphant. To those even they are admitted who are not adorned with the wedding garment, but to these only they to whom it is granted that they should be arrayed in the fine linen which is the righteousness of saints (Rev. xix. 8); to those men are called by the trumpet of the Gospel, to these by the trumpet of the archangel. To those who enters can again go out from them, or be cast out;

[•] What is more in this verse should have no place in the text, having probably been transferred from Matt. xxiv. 44.

who is once introduced to these, never goes out, nor is cast out from them any more: wherefore it is said, "The door was shut." '*

* In the early and middle ages this parable was a favourite subject of Christian Art. We have already seen (p. 262) how mysteries or religious plays were founded upon it; and see Du Meril, Poésies populaires Latines, p. 138. Munter (Sinnbilden d. Alt. Christ. vol, ii. p. 91) mentions a picture of the five wise virgins in the cemetery of the church of St. Agnes at Rome, of very late date; and Caumont (Archit. Relig. au Moyen Age, 345), on the representations of the Last Judgment so often found over the great western door of a cathedral: On rencontre parfois dans les voussures des portes dix statuettes de femmes, les unes tenant soigneusement à deux mains une lampe en forme de coupe; les autres tenant négligemment d'une seule main la même lampe renversée. Le sculpteur a toujours eu soin de placer les vierges sages à la droite du Christ, et du côté des bienheureux; les vierges folles à sa gauche, du côté des réprouvés. Compare Didron, Manuel d'Iconographie Chrétienne, p. 217, sqq.

14. THE TALENTS.

MATTHEW XXV. 14-30.

HILE the virgins were represented as waiting for their Lord, we have here the servants working for Him. There the inward spiritual life of the faithful was described, here their external activity. There, by the fate of the foolish virgins, we were warned against negligence and decays in the inner life; here, by the doom of the slothful servant, against indolence in our outward vocation and work. That parable enforced the need of keeping the heart with all diligence; this of putting all diligence also into our outward service, if we would give our account with joy and not with sorrow. Very fitly, therefore, the other precedes, and this follows, since the maintenance of the life of God in the heart is the sole condition of a profitable outward activity for the kingdom of God. or there is another light in which we may consider the virgins and the servants, and the distinction between them; namely, that those represent the more contemplative, these the more active labouring members of the Church. It is true that every member of the Church ought to partake of both, of contemplation and action, so that even when thus regarded, both parables will retain their application to all; but at the same time one element of the Christian life may predominate in one member, the other in another. Each must endeavour in his own case to adjust these, to give fuller development to the one or to the other, according to the gifts which he finds in himself, and needs which he beholds in others around him.

St. Mark has a briefer recension of this parable (xiii. 34-36), but with important variations, and reminiscences of the Ten Virgins ('lest coming suddenly He find you sleeping,' ver. 36); and blending into one the two parables which, with a stricter accuracy, St. Matthew keeps apart. St. Luke, too, has preserved for us a parable,

^a Or they may be coördinated with one another. Thus Gerhard (*Harm. Evang.* 164): Lampas fulgens est talentum usui datum; lampas extincta, talentum otiosum et in terram absconditum.

that of the Pounds (xix. 14), in many points like to this, yet assuredly not identical with it. That was spoken when Jesus was now drawing near to Jerusalem, but had not yet made His triumphal entry,—this, while He was seated on the Mount of Olives, the third day after His entry into the city. That was addressed to the multitude as well as to His disciples; this to the innermost circle of those trusted followers who should carry forward the work which He had commenced on the earth. The scope of that, which is the more complex parable, is twofold, and may be thus defined. The multitude, and perhaps many that were following the Lord with true hearts, supposed that He was now about to take His kingdom and to reign (Acts. i. 6). He would make them to understand that any open assumption of His kingdom is yet far distant; that He must go away, and not till after a long period return; and that only then should opposition to His kingdom cease. Meanwhile (and here the two parables run parallel with one another), those who owned allegiance to Him were not indolently to wait the time of His return, but earnestly to set forward His kingdom, each according to the ability given him, confident that He would reward every man's work; in St. Luke's narrative this further circumstance appearing, that He at His return would utterly destroy those who had sent after Him messages of hate and defiance. The scope of his parable, then. is twofold. It is addressed, in part, to that giddy, light-minded multitude, who were now following Jesus, expecting that He would suffer Himself to be made such a king as they desired; and who, when He refused the royalty which they would have forced upon Him, might, perhaps, turn against Him, and join in the cry, 'Crucify Him.' These are warned that they be not offended though the manifestation of the King and the kingdom be deferred for long; warned above all that they be not found in the ranks of His foes, whose dreadful doom might tarry long, but would arrive at last. the disciples also that parable conveys a warning, namely, that the long interval between His going away and His coming again in glory must be no period of sluggish inactivity, but one for the showing of all good fidelity to an absent Lord: which fidelity would by Him be abundantly rewarded, even as sloth and a neglect of His interests would meet also their due recompense of reward.

A recent assailant^b of the historical accuracy of the record which in the four Gospels we have of our Lord's words and works fancies

^a Maldonatus and others. Their arguments are well disposed of by Gerhard (Harm. Evang. 154, ad init.).

b Strauss, Leben Jesu, vol. i. p. 675.

that he detects in that parable of St. Luke, just as in St. Matthew's record of the Marriage of the King's Son (xxi. 1, see p. 172), a blending together, through loose and floating tradition, of heterogeneous materials:—that in fact we have there what should have been two parables, but these joined in one; and this so awkwardly, that the joinings are plainly discernible. He urges that 'servants' (ver. 13) and 'citizens' (ver. 14) stand in no relation to one another, that with slightest alterations, ver. 12, 14, 15, 27 would form a complete whole, and might be entitled the parable of the Rebellious Citizens; the remaining verses constituting the parable of the Pounds, which would then be free from all admixture of foreign elements.^a But let it only be kept in mind, that there were two groups of hearers in different moral conditions and needing different admonishments, to whom the Lord addressed the parable of St. Luke, and it will at once be perceived, how He divided to all, to His own disciples and to the multitude, according to their several necessities. In St. Luke the parable is more complex, as having a more complex purpose to fulfil. In St. Matthew it is simpler; for it is addressed to the disciples alone, and the parts intended for the multitude would have been superfluous here, and accordingly are not introduced.

I reserve, then, the parable of the Pounds to be dealt with separately, and in its own place; for the present we have to do with this of the Talents alone. 'The kingdom of heaven is as a man travelling into a far country, who called his own servants, and delivered to them his goods.' It will be expedient to keep in mind here the relations of masters and slaves in antiquity; and not to confound this with that between masters and servants, as now existing among us. The master of a household going away does not leave with his servants-it would be foreign to all the relations between them-moneys wherewith to trade in his absence; nor, if if he did, could he punish them on his return for neglect of his interests, as the slothful servant is punished here. But slaves in antiquity were often artisans, as was lately the case with serfs in Russia and slaves in America, paying some fixed yearly tax to their master: or money was committed to them wherewith to trade on his account, or with which to enlarge their business, bringing in to him a share of their profits.^b Some such arrangement as this we may

^a Unger, on the same ground of the lack of unity in this parable, had anticipated this objection (*De Par. Yes Nat.* p. 130): Itaque simplicem apud Matthæum parabolam, et omnium Christi Parabolarum simplicitatem atque unitatem recordanti mihi Lucas visus est cum illå simplici parabolå hic alteram similem, sed alias et aliter prolatam, in unam composuisse

b See Greswell, Exp. of the Par. vol. v. part ii. p. 27. seq.; and the Dict. of Grand Rom. Antt. s. v. Servus, pp. 867, 873.

here assume. The 'man travelling into a far country' is the Lord Jesus Himself; who, as He had come from the Father, was about to return to the Father, and who, that His servants might be furnished in His absence, was about to entrust them, and all their successors, whose representatives they were, with many excellent gifts. The day of Pentecost was the time when the 'goods,' that is spiritual powers and capacities, were by Him most manifestly and most largely communicated to His servants, that they might profit withal (John xvi. 7-10; Ephes. iv. 8-12). Yet not for the first time then. Much the Lord had imparted during His sojourn with them upon earth (John* xv. 3), much before His ascension (John xx. 22); and from that day forth He has been evermore delivering His goods to each successive generation of His servants. This being so, the parable is good for all times and for all persons. As primarily addressed to the Apostles, the 'goods' are those spiritual gifts which they needed; vet, since all are called in their measure to edify one another, and are entrusted with gifts, more or fewer, for which they must render an account, the application of the parable stops with them, but passes on to all. Nor, because it relates first to spiritual gifts, has it therefore no relation to other means and opportunities of serving God, as wealth, reputation, abilities, learning; which, though not in themselves spiritual, are yet given to men that they may be turned to spiritual ends,—are capable of being consecrated to His service; for the use or abuse of which the possessors will have therefore to render an account. Our wide use of the word talent in English, growing as it does altogether out of this parable, is a remarkable evidence of the extent to which this conviction has wrought itself into the thoughts and language of men.

But different men receive these gifts in very different proportions: "Unto one he gave five talents, to another two, and to another one." Not that the talents, as Theophylact explains it, were to each 'according to the measure of his faith and purity,' for the faith which purifies is itself one of the chiefest of these gifts; but he gave 'to every man according to his several ability,' inasmuch as the natural is the ground upon which the spiritual is superinduced, and grace does not dissolve the groundwork of the individual character, nor abolish all its peculiarities, nor bring all that are subject to it to a common standard (see I Cor. xii. 4-31; Ephes. iv. 16). The natural gifts are as the

Auct. Oper. Imperf. Hom. 53: Ad Patrem iturus, peregre se iturum dicit, propter caritatem sanctorum, quos relinquebat in terris, cum magis peregre esset in mundo.

b Cajetan: Disponsit siquidem Deus in Ecclesiâ sauviter omnia: neminem onerat supra vires, nulli negat donum congruum suis viribus.

vessel, which may be large or may be small, and which receives according to its capacity (Rom. xii. 6); but which in each case is, or may be, filled. We should not therefore think of him who had received the two talents as incompletely furnished in comparison with him who had received five, any more than a small circle is imperfect as compared with a large. Unfitted he might be for so wide a sphere of labour, but altogether as perfectly equipped for that to which he was destined; for 'there are diversities of gifts, but the same Spirit:' and as the body is not all eye, nor all ear, nor are all in an army captains or commanders, b so neither in the Church are all furnished to be leaders and governors. Yet while we speak of natural capacity being as the vessel for receiving the wine of the Spirit, we must not leave out of account that comparative unfaithfulness, stopping very short of that which would cause the gift to be quite withdrawn, will narrow the vessel; even as fidelity has the tendency to dilate it: so that one with far inferior natural gifts will often bring in a more abundant return than another with superior powers, who yet does bring in something. Certain broad cases are mentioned in the parable; but they do not exclude other combinations of the talents committed and the talents gained. There may be cases where he of the two, or even of the one talent as that of James Davies, the Welsh schoolmaster, will have gained five; there will be other where he of the five will have added to them but two.

Having thus committed the talents to his servants, and divided unto each according to his several powers, the lord 'straightway took his journey.' In the things earthly the householder's distribution of the gifts naturally and of necessity precedes his departure, in the heavenly it is not altogether so; the Ascension, or departure, goes before Pentecost, the chief day of the distribution of gifts; yet the 'straightway' still remains in force; the interval between them was the smallest, one following hard upon the other, however the order was reversed

We are next told what the servants did with the talents thus committed to them; how they spent that time, so full of temptations to sloth and indolence, during which their lord was away. 'Then he that had received the five talents went and traded with the same, and made them other five talents. And likewise he that had received two, he also gained other two.' There is this variation between the present

^a Jerome: Christus doctrinam Evangelicam tradidit, non pro largitate et parcitate alteri plus, et alteri minus tribuens, sed pro accipientum viribus; quomodo et Apostolus eos qui solidum cibum capere non poterant, lacte potâsse se dicit.

b See Clemens, Ep. ad Cor. 37, where this comparison is used.

parable and St. Luke's, that here the faithful servants multiply their unequal sums in the same proportions; while there they multiply their equal sums in different proportions; all had alike received a pound. but one gained with that pound ten pounds, and another five (Luke xix. 16, 18). Two most important truths are thus brought out, as could scarcely have been done if only one parable had been spoken: first by St. Matthew this, that according as we have received will it be required from us; and then by St. Luke this other, that as men differ in fidelity, in zeal, in labour, so will they differ in the amount of their spiritual gains.—But while two are thus faithful in the things committed to them, it is otherwise with the third: 'He that had received one went and digged in the earth, and hid his lord's money." How apt an image this, for the failing to use divinely imparted gifts, since 'wisdom that is hid, and treasure that is hoarded up, what profit is in them both? Better is he that hideth his folly than a man that hideth his wisdom 'a (Ecclus. xx. 30, 31). In St Luke he hides his pound 'in a napkin;' but that would have been scarcely possible with so large a sum as a talent, which is therefore more fitly said to have been concealed 'in the earth.'b

'After a long time the lord of those servants cometh, and reckoneth with them.' In this 'after a long time' Christ gave another hint (see ver. 5) that his return might not follow so soon on his departure as his disciples were disposed to take for granted. When, however, He does come, it shall be to take account of every man's work. This reckoning is not identical with that of the rich man with the unjust steward (Luke xvi. 2), nor yet of the king with the unmerciful servant (Matt. xviii. 23, 24), for both of those are in this present life, while this is at the close of all. 'And so he that had received five talents came and brought other five talents, saying, Lord, thou deliveredst unto me five talents; behold, I have gained beside them five talents more.' In the joyful coming forward of the two faithful servants, we

^a Compare Shakespeare (Measure for Measure, Act. i. Sc. 1):

'Heaven does with us as we with torches do;
Not light them for themselves: for if our virtues
Did not go forth of us, 'twere all alike
As if we had them not. Spirits are not finely touched
But for fine issues: nor Nature never lends
The smallest scruple of her excellence,
But like a thrifty goddess she determines
Herself the glory of a creditor,
Both thanks and use.'

b Jerome (Ad Damas.) finds a further distinction between hiding in the earth, and in a napkin: Hoc talentum non est in sudario colligandum, id est, delicale otioseque tractandum, nec in terra defodiendum, terrenis scilicet cogitationibus obscurandum.

have an example of 'boldness in the day of judgment.' They had something to show, as Paul was confident he should have, when to his beloved Thessalonian converts he said, 'What is our hope, or joy, or crown of rejoicing? Are not even ye in the presence of our Lord Jesus Christ at his coming?' (I Thess. ii. 19; 2 Cor. i. 14; Phil. iv. 1). The faithful servant says here, 'Behold, I have gained;' in St. Luke, 'Thy pound hath gained;' thus between them they make up the speech of St. Paul, 'I—yet not I, but the grace of God that was with me.' And even this, 'I have gained,' is introduced by that other word, 'thou deliveredst unto me;'—it is his lord's money which has so multiplied in his hands. In this parable, as has been observed, the gain is according to the talents, five for five, and two for two. Consistently with this, the commendation of the servants is expressed in exactly the same language, even as the reward to each is precisely the same. Each hears the same 'Well done;' to each it is said, 'Enter thou into the joy of thy lord;'a each, that is, is invited to a fellowship in his lord's joy. The image on which this language rests is that of a festival, with which the master celebrates his return, in the joy of which each of the servants, so soon as he has rendered his account, and shown that he has been true to his master's interests in his absence, is bidden freely to share. Under certain circumstances a master's invitation of his slave to sit down with him at table did itself constitute the act of manumission; henceforth he was free. Perhaps there may be here allusion to something of the kind—the incorporation in an act of what once He had spoken in words, 'Henceforth I call you not servants, . . . but I have called you friends' (John xv. 15; Luke xii. 37; Rev. iii. 20).

'Then he which had received the one talent came and said, Lord, I knew thee that thou art an hard' man, reaping where thou hast not

a Leighton: 'It is but little we can receive here, some drops of joy that enter into us; but there we shall enter into joy, as vessels put into a sea of happiness.' So Gerhard: Tam magnum enim erit illud gaudium, ut non possit in homine concludi vela be oc comprehendi. ideo homo intrat in illud incomprehensibile gaudium, non autem intrat illud in hominem velut ab homine comprehensum. H. de Sto. Victore (Erud. Theol. 3): Triplex est gaudium: est gaudium, seculi, est gaudium tuum, est gaudium Domini tui. Primum est de terrenâ affluentiâ: secundum de bonâ conscientiâ: tertium de æternitatis experientiâ. Non igitur exeas in gaudium seculi, non remaneas in gaudio tuo, sed intres gaudium Domini tui. . . . Ad primum exivit homo, cum cecidit de Paradiso: ad secundum venire incipit, cum per fidem reconciliatum Doe : tun: autem ad tertium perveniet, cum videndo ipsum sicuti est in æternum fruetur ipso.

b See the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Manumisso, p. 596.

The σκληρός here is stronger than the αὐστηρός in the parallel passage of St. Luke
 (xix. 21); see my Synonyms of the New Testrment, § 14. All English Versions have

sown, and gathering where thou hast not strawed; and I was afraid, and went and hid thy talent in the earth: lo, there thou hast that is thine.' We can well understand why he should linger to the last, his heart secretly misgiving him, whatever face he may attempt to put on the matter. It is true that he had not wasted his master's goods like the unjust steward (Luke xvi. 1), nor spent all his portion in riotous living like the prodigal (Luke xv. 13), nor was he ten thousand talents in debt like the unmerciful servant (Matt. xviii. 24); and it is an entire mistake to confound his guilt with theirs, from which it should be kept wholly distinct; for so the very persons whose consciences the parable was meant to reach escape its force. When we weave the meshes of the spiritual net so large, all but the biggest offenders contrive to slip through; and the parable is not for gross sinners, who by their whole lives evidently deny that they count Christ to be their Lord and Master at all; who squander their talent, or refuse to acknowledge that they have ever received one. The law and their own hearts tell them plainly enough of their sin and danger. But the warning here is for those who hide their talent, who, being equipped of God for a sphere of activity in his kingdom, do yet choose, in Lord Bacon's words, 'a goodness solitary and particular, rather than generative and seminal.' Such might only too easily deceive themselves, the temptations being so many to a shrinking from the labour and pains involved in a diligent laying out of this talent. There is a show of humility in the excuses which would palliate this sloth: as for instance, 'The care of my soul is sufficient to occupy me wholly; the responsibilities of any spiritual work are so awful. that I dare not undertake them; while I am employed about the souls of others, I may perhaps be losing my own.' How often we read in the early Church of some who on pleas like these declined charges to which they were called; and, when they should have been the salt of the earth, thought rather to keep their own saltness by withdrawing from all those active ministries in which they might have served their brethren in love.a

from the beginning rendered this by 'hard,' on which it would be impossible to improve; but αὐστηρύς was 'stern' in Wiclif, 'strait' in the early Reformed Versions, and 'austere' first in the Rheims.

*Augustine, preaching on the anniversary of his exaltation to the episcopate (Term. cccxxxix. 3), uses this parable, speaking of a temptation which he felt to withdraw from active labour in the Church, and to cultivate a solitary piety: Si non erogem, et pecuniam servem, terret me Evangelium. Possem enim dicere: Quid mihi est ætdio esse hominibus, dicer iniquis: Inique agere nolite, sic agite, sic agere desistite? Quid mihi est oneri esse hominibus? Accepi quomodo vivam, quomodo jussus sum, quomodo præceptus sum, assignem quomodo accepi; de aliis me reddere rationem quo mihi? Evangilum me terret: Nam ad istam securitatem otiosissi-

Very instructive also is the fact that it is the recipient of the one talent who is the defaulter here. Nothing in the scheme of the parable hindered the attribution of this guilt to him of the five talents, or to him of the ten; for there are only too many of those whom God has gifted the most richly, who altogether fail to turn to his glory the marvellous powers with which He has endowed them. Yet no, it is neither of these; but the servant of the one talent; that so henceforward none may excuse his sloth on a plea like this, 'So little is committed to my charge, that it cannot matter how I administer that little. It is so little that I can do for God, what signifies that little whether it be done or left undone?' Christ will teach us here that it is not the more or the less which has been entrusted, but the fidelity with which this has been administered, which differences now in character, and will difference at the last in doom, one servant from another.

What the root was out of which the sin of this servant grew, he himself declares: 'Lord I knew thee that thou art a hard man;'-for this is no excuse framed for the occasion; but rather the exact expression of the aspect in which this servant did really contemplate his lord. The churl accounted him churlish, esteemed him such a one as himself. He did not believe in his lord's forgiving love, and in his gracious acceptance of that work, with all its shortcomings, which was done for him out of a true heart, and with a sincere desire to please him. This was his wilful and guilty ignorance concerning the true character of the master whom he was called to serve. But to know the name or, in other words, the true character of God is to trust in Him; and this knowledge will save from any pusillanimous or slothful shrinking from work for Him. They, indeed, who undertake this are only too well aware that they shall commit manifold mistakes in their service, which they might have avoided, if they had declined that service altogether; that they will be guilty of many shortcomings, fall into many faults in the handling of holy things,

mam nemo me vinceret: nihil est melius, nihil dulcius, quam divinum scrutari, nullo strepente, thesaurum; dulce est, bonum est. Prædicare, arguere, corripere, ædificare, pro unoquoque satagere, magnum onas, magnum pondus, magnus labor. Quis non refugiat istum laborem? Sed terret Evangelium. And again (In Ev. Joh. Tract. 10): Si autem fueris frigidus, marcidus, ad te solum spectans, et quasi tibi sufficiens, et dicens in corde tuo: Quid mihi est curare aliena peccata, sufficit mihi anima mea, ipsam integram servem Deo: Eja non tibi venit in mentem servus ille qui abscondit talentum et noluit erogare? numquid enim accusatus est quia perdidit, et non quia sine lucro servavit? Cf. Enarr. in Ps. xcix. 2; De Fide et Oper. 17.

* Grotius: In eo cui minimum erat concreditum negligentiæ exemplum posuit Christus, ne quis speraret excusatum se iri ab omni labore, Deo quod non eximia dona accepisset. which they might escape if they held aloof from these altogether. But shall those competently furnished and evidently called be therefore justified or excused in so doing? would they not so acting, come under the condemnation of this servant? testify that they deemed of God, as he deemed of his master, that He was a hard Lord,—extreme to mark what was amiss,—making no allowances,—never accepting the will for the deed, but ever on the watch to take advantage of the least failure or mistake on the part of his servants.

But this is not all. Proceeding still upon the plan of turning the tables on his lord, and anticipating the accusation which shall be made against himself, by first accusing him—in a speech half cowering and half defiant, a wonderful picture of the sinner's bearing towards God, he scruples not to ascribe to him the character of a harsh unreasonable despot, who requires the bricks, but refuses the straw, and gather with the rake, where others have winnowed with the fan, thus unrighteously entering on the fruits of others' toil. He declares himself thus as much mistaken in the nature of the work, as in the character of the master for whom that work should have been done. In the darkness of his heart he regards the work as something outward, to be done for God, not to be wrought in Him, or rather, which He would work in and through His servants; as though God called to a labour, and gave no ability for the labour, imposed a task, and put no joy nor consolation into the hearts of them that fulfilled it. No wonder, therefore, that he should go on to say, 'I was afraid;' i justifying the caution and timidity which he had shown, explaining why he would atttempt nothing, and venture upon nothing. He feared to trade on that talent, lest in the necessary risks of business, seeking to gain other he might lose that one, and so enrage his master against himself; even as men might profess to

^{*} This is the meaning of the 'strawed' in our Version, which does not refer to the orderly strewing of the sower's seed (in that case the same thing would be twice said over), but to the scattering of the chaff from the floor (Matt. iii. 12), that the wheat purged from this might be gathered into the barn. The διασκορπίζειν of the original shows plainly this; it expresses the dispersing, making to fly in every direction, as a pursuer the routed enemy (Luke i. 51; Acts v. 37); as the wolf the sheep (Matt. xxvi. 31); as the prodigal his goods (Luke xv. 13; xvi. 1); or as here, the husbandman the chaff. Thus rightly Schott: Notionem ventilandi frumentum in areâ repositum exprimit.

b Aquinas: Deus nihil requierit ab homine, nisi bonum quod ipse in nobis seminavit; and Augustine, putting the same truth in the form of a prayer: Da quod jubes, et jube quod vis.

^o Hilary (Comm. in Matt. in loc.) in the words, 'I was afraid,' hears the voice of those resolved to abide, like the Jew, in the law and in the spirit of bondage, shrinking from the liberty and activity of Christian service: Timui te, tanquam per reverentiam et metum veterum præceptorum usu Evangelicæ libertatis abstineat.

fear to lay themselves out for the winning of other souls, lest, so doing, they might endanger their own 'Lo, there thou hast that is thine.' As it is not denied that he does give back the talent to his lord, how, it may be asked, could this be? how, that is, can God's gifts be hidden, and yet restored to Him entire; since the suffering them to lie idle is, in fact, one form of wasting them? In reality, they could not be so restored. It is only that men imagine they can be thus given back, when they take for granted that keeping the negative precepts is all that God requires, that this done they will restore to Him His own.

The lord of the parable is at no pains to dispute or deny the character which this recreant servant has drawn of him, but answers him on his own grounds, making his own mouth to condemn him (Job xv. 6; 2 Sam. i. 16): 'Thou wicked and slothful servant;'—'wicked,' in that he defended himself by calumniating his lord, and 'slothful,' as all which he had left undone declared;—'thou knewest that I reap where I sowed not, and gather where I have not strawed; thou oughtest therefore to have put my money to the exchangers, and then at my coming I should have received mine own with usury.° Be

'There went a man from home: and to his neighbours twain He gave, to keep for him, two sacks of golden grain. Deep in the cellar one the precious charge concealed; And forth the other went and strewed it in his field. The man returns at last-asks of the first his sack: "Here, take it; 'tis the same; thou hast it safely back." Unharmed it shows without; but when he would explore His sack's recesses, corn there finds he now no more: One half of all therein proves rotten and decayed, Upon the other half have worm and mildew preyed. The putrid heap to him in ire he doth return; Then of the other asks, "Where is my sack of corn?" Who answered, "Come with me, behold how it has sped"-And took, and showed him fields with waving harvest spread. Then cheerfully the man laughed out and cried, "This one Had insight, to make up for the other that had none: The letter he observed, but thou the precept's sense; And thus to thee and me shall profit grow from hence; In harvest thou shalt fill two sacks of corn for me, The residue of right remains in full for thee."

^{*} Cocceius: Jactatio superba conservati talenti significat fiduciam et securitatem ejus qui sibi facile satisfacit. See Suicer, Thes. s. v. τάλαντον.

b There is an instructive Eastern tale, which in its deeper meaning runs remarkably parallel to this parable. It is as follows:

ε Σὺν τίκφ. So fenus is explained by Varro, a fetu et quasi a feturâ quâdam pecuniæ parientis atque increscentis. Plato, with the same image, calls the original sum $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, and the interest τοῦ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \rho s$ ἔκγονοι ($Re\rho$. ii. 196). To estimate how great the master's gains even so might have been, how largely the original sum might be made avidos

it so, grant that I am all which thou sayest, severe, exacting, harsh; and yet thou oughtest to have done me justice still; and this with little or no peril to thyself thou mightest have done; and obtained for me, if not the larger gains possible through some bolder course, vet some small and certain returns for moneys.' It is hard to find any distinct spiritual signification for this putting the money to the exchangers,—confidently to determine whether it has such, or is only introduced to add vivacity to the narrative; as the natural exclamation of an offended master: Olshausen ingeniously explains it: 'Those timid natures which are not suited to independent labour in the kingdom of God, are here counselled at least to attach themselves to other stronger characters, under whose leading they may lay out their gifts for the service of the Church.'a Perhaps, without pressing the words quite so much in detail, we should not err in saying that they mean generally, 'If thou wouldest not do and dare for me in great ventures of faith, yet at all events in humbler paths, in safer and less perilous, thou mightest have shown fidelity, and have preserved me from loss.'

His doom, who had neither on a large scale, nor yet on a small, set forward his master's interests, is now pronounced. It has two sides; it is first, the forfeiture of the neglected talent; and secondly, the casting of him who possessed that talent, but would not use it, into 'the outer darkness.' And first, he forfeits what he had, and sees it transferred to another: 'Take therefore the talent from him' (we

sudare deunces, we must keep in mind the high rates of interest paid in antiquity. See the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Interest on Money, p. 523; and Becker (Charicles, vol. i. p. 237), who has a graphic account of the τραπεζίται, the bankers of antiquity.

^a So Cajetan: Intendit per hoc, quod si non ausus fuit uti dono Dei in actionibus multi periculi, uti tamen debuit illo in actionibus in quibus est lucrum cum parvo periculo. Has the saying so often quoted in the early Church as our Lord's, yet nowhere found in the New Testament, Γίνεσθε δόκιμοι (ΟΓ καλοί, ΟΓ φρόνιμοι) τραπεζίται, its origin here? Many have thought so (see Suicer, s.v. τραπεζίτης); but it is difficult to see why, except for the occurrence here of the word τραπεζίται. The point of that exhortation is this: Be as experienced money-changers, who readily distinguish good coin from bad, receiving that but rejecting this. Now there is no comparing of the disciples with money-changers here, and such an exhortation lies wholly aloof from the scope of the parable. The precept would be more easily deduced from I Thess. v. 2I, 22; even as we find Γίνεσθε δόκιμοι τραπεζίται sometimes called not a dominical, but an apostolic, saying or attributed to St. Paul by name, and by some even inserted before that very passage; se Hänsel (Theol. Stud. und Krit. 1836, p. 179, sqq.) who discusses the subject well. Cf. Cotelerius, Patt. Apostol. vol. i. p. 249, and the Annott. in Euseb. Oxf. 1842, vol. i. p. 930.—There being mention of interest here, τραπεζιτης is the fitter word than κολλυβιστής, which, however, rightly finds place at Matt. xxi. 12; Mark xi. 15.

b Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. xxxviii. 4): Quid expectare debent, qui cum luxuria

have here an important limitation of Rom. xi. 29)- and give it unto him which hath ten talents.' This deprivation, partly the directly penal, is partly also the natural, consequence of his sloth. For there is this analogy between things natural and spiritual, that as a limb, never called into exercise, loses its strength by degrees, its muscles and sinews disappearing, even so the powers which God gives us, unexercised, fade and fail from us: 'From him that hath not shall be taken away even that which he hath.' And, on the other hand, as the limb is not wasted by strenuous exertion, but rather nerved and strengthened more, so fares it with the gifts of God; they are multiplied by being laid out; a truth we recognize in our proverb: 'Drawn wells are seldom dry; 'a and thus, 'Unto every one that hath shall be given, and he shall have abundance.' Nor is it merely that the one receives more than before he had, and the other loses what he had. This is not all; but that very gift which the one forfeits the other obtains; one is enriched with a talent withdrawn from the other; one takes the crown which another has let go (Rev. iii. 11); even as we see continually one by the ordinance of God stepping into the place and the opportunities which another has neglected, despised, or misused, and so has lost (Gen. xxv. 34; xxvii. 36; xlix. 4, 8; 1 Sam. xvi. 1, 13; 1 Kin. ii. 35; Isai. xxii. 15-25; Acts i. 25, 26; Rom. xi. 11). Neither let us forget that this taking away of the unused talent, which will find its consummation at the day of judgment, is in this present time continually going forward. And herein is mercy, that this is not done all at once, but little by little; so that, till all is withdrawn, all may be recovered. At each successive step in the withdrawal there is still some warning to hold fast what is left, 'to strengthen the things which remain, that are

consumserunt, si damnantur qui cum pigritiâ servaverunt? Intelligatur pœna interversoris ex pœnâ pigri.

^{*} Exactly so Chrysostom (De Christi. Prec., Con. Anom. 10): 'As a fountain from which water is continually drawn forth, is thereby rather purified, and bubbles up the more, but being staunched fails altogether; so the spiritual gift and word of doctrine, if it be continually drawn forth, and if he who will has liberty to share it rises up the more, but if restrained by envy and a grudging spirit, diminishes, and at last perishes altogether.' Augustine too (or Cæsarius, as the Benedictine editors affirm, Augustini Opp. vol. v. p. 81, Appendix) admirably discourses on the way in which gifts imparted multiply, withholden diminish,—making spiritual application of the story of the widow (2 Kin. iv.) whose two sons Elisha redeemed from bondage, by multiplying the oil which she had in her vessel so long as she provided other vessels into which to pour it; that oil when there were no more of these, stopped at once:—et ait Scriptura stetisse oleum, posteaquam ubi poneret, non invenit. Sic, dilectissimi fratres, tamdiu caritas augetur, quamdiu tribuitur. Et ideo etiam ex industriâ debemus vasa quæerere, ubi oleum possumus infundere, quia probavimus, quod dum allis infundimus, plus habemus. Vasa caritatis, homines sunt.

ready to die.' True it is that at each successive stage of this decline the effort required for this is greater, the strength for it less. But to complain of this, is to complain that sin is sin, and brings a curse with it; and it still remains possible, till the last spark is extinguished, to fan that spark again into a flame: the sense of increasing darkness and death being that which may arouse to a consciousness of danger, and to the need of an earnest revival of God's work in the soul. But this servant never awoke to the sense of his danger till all was irrevocably lost. And now the sentence of the forfeiture of his unused talent is pronounced;—the forfeiture itself had in some sort taken place already. Nor is this all. It is further said to those that stand by (see Luke xix. 24), 'And cast ye the unprofitable servant into outer darkness: there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth' (Matt. xiii. 42; xxii. 13). Olshausen would fain distinguish between the 'outer darkness' of this passage and of Matt. xxii 13, and 'the furnace of fire' of Matt. xiii. 42, that while the latter is the expression of total and final loss, the former though punitive is also remedial. But not to urge other objections against a scheme which has no scriptural warrant, namely, that for those who have been brought within the sphere of the Gospel, the present dispensation is not decisive, the words which in each case follow, 'There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth,' set the two dooms on the same awful level, however one may have a more dreadful sound than the other.

A comparison of the causes which led to this servant's exclusion, and those which led to that of the foolish virgins, is full of warning and instruction for all. Those virgins erred through a vain overconfidence, this servant through an under-confidence that was equally vain and sinful. They were overbold, he was not bold enough. Thus two wrong aspects under which we might be tempted to regard God's service, two rocks upon opposite sides on which faith is in danger of making shipwreck, are laid down for us, as in a chart, that we may avoid them both. Those virgins counted it too easy a thing to serve the Lord; this servant counted it too hard They esteemed it but as the going forth to a festival which should presently begin; he as a hard, dreary, insupportable work for a thankless master. In them we behold the perils which beset the sanguine, in him the melancholic, complexion. They represent a class needing such warnings as this: 'Strait is the gate, and narrow is the way, that leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it' (Matt. vii. 14); 'Work out your own salvation with fear and trembling' (Phil. ii. 12); 'If any man will come after Me, let him deny himself' (Matt. xvi. 24). He is representative of a class which should need to be

reminded: 'Ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear' (Rom. viii. 15); 'Ye are not come unto the mount that might be touched, and that burned with fire, nor unto blackness, and darkness, and tempest; but ye are come unto Mount Zion, and unto the city of the living God, and to Jesus, the Mediator of the new covenant, and to the blood of sprinkling, that speaketh better things than that of Abel' (Heb. xii. 18, 22, 24).

15. THE SEED GROWING SECRETLY.

MARK iv. 26.29.

THIS parable, the only one peculiar to St. Mark, declares, like that of the Leaven, whose place it occupies, the secret invisible energy of the divine word,—that it has life of its own, and will unfold itself according to the law of its own being; while, besides all which it has in common with that parable, it teaches further, that this divine word has that in it which will allow it to be confidently left to this inherent energy which it possesses.

'So is the kingdom of God, as if a man should cast seed into the ground; and should sleep, and rise night and day, and the seed should spring and grow up, he knoweth not how.' It is better to deal with the main difficulty in the parable at once—not so much to wait till it arises, as rather to go to meet it. It is this. Whom shall we understand by the man casting seed into the ground? Is it the Son of man Himself? or is it those who, in subordination to him, declare the Gospel of the kingdom? Embarrassments attend either explanation. If we say that the Lord is Himself the sower here, how then shall we explain ver. 27? It cannot be affirmed of Him that He 'knoweth not how' the seed sown springs and grows; since it is only by the continual presence of His Spirit in the hearts of His people that it grows at all; while certainly it is a poor evasion of this difficulty to say with Erasmus, that, 'he knoweth not how,' ought rather to be, 'it,'—that is, the seed itself — 'knoweth not how.' For who would think of denying this? Neither can He fitly be compared to a sower who, having scattered his seed, goes his way, and occupies himself in other tasks, knowing that it lies beyond the range of his power to do more for the seed: which must live by its own life; and that his part will not begin again, till the time of the harvest has come round. This is no fit description of Him, who is not merely 'the author and finisher of our faith,' but conducts it through all intermediate stages, and without whose blessing and active cooperation it could make no progress at all. Shall we, to escape these embarrassments, take the sower of the seed here to represent the inferior ministers and messengers of the truth; the purpose of the parable being to teach such, that the word which they bear has a life which is quite independent of him who may have been the instrument of its first communication; even as a child, once born, has a life no longer dependent on theirs from whom it was originally derived? But on this explanation attends another and not slighter difficulty; for at ver. 29 it is said, ' when the fruit is brought forth immediately he' (the same clearly who sowed the seed) 'putteth in the sickle, because the harvest is come.' Of whom can it be affirmed, save of the Son of man, 'the Lord of the harvest,' that 'he putteth in the sickle,' —that he gathers the saints, when they are ripe for glory,—when the work of faith has been accomplished in their hearts,—into everlasting habitations? The perplexity then is this,—If we say that Christ means Himself by the central figure of the parable, then functions are ascribed to Him falling short of those which to Him rightly appertain; while if, on the other hand, we take Him to intend those who, in subordination to Himself, are bearers of His word, then higher prerogatives are ascribed than belong rightly to any other than Him.

I can see no perfectly satisfactory way of escape from this perplexity. Some evade the embarrassments which beset the first explanation, urging that the circumstances of ver. 27 are not to be pressed, that they belong to the drapery, and not to the body, of the parable. Yet this is only an evasion, for clearly on the sower absenting himself after he has committed the seed to the ground, and leaving it to grow within him, the moral of the whole must lie; and to omit this in the interpretation is to leave all without purpose or point.

But without admitting so much, I will still take the sower as meaning first, though not exclusively, the Lord Himself; it will remain to see how far the acknowledged difficulties are capable of removal or mitigation. The sleeping and rising night and day on the part of the sower who has once sown his seed, expresses, as by nearly all interpreters, old and new, is allowed, not the after carefulness with which he follows up his sowing, but the absence upon his part of any such after carefulness; as indeed any other explanation runs counter to the whole drift of the parable. He does not think it necessary to keep a continual watch, having once entrusted the seed to the ground, but sleeps securely by night, and by day rises and goes about his ordinary business, leaving with full confidence the seed to itself;

[•] Pole (Synops. in loc.): Semente factâ transigit securus noctes et dies, segetem Deo committens, nec dubitans quin germinet, ipse agens alia vitæ munia.

which meanwhile 'should spring and grow up, he knoweth not how,' These words have no difficulty—on the contrary, are full of most important instruction—so long as we apply them to those who under Christ are sowers of the seed of eternal life. They are here implicitly bidden to have faith in the word which they preach; for it is the seed of God. When it has found place in a heart, they are not to be tormented with anxiety concerning the final issue, as though they were to keep it alive, and that it could only live through them; for this of maintaining its life is God's part and not theirs, and He undertakes to fulfil it (1 Pet. i. 23-25). They are instructed also to rest satisfied that it should grow and spring up without their knowing exactly the way of it. Let them not be searching at its roots to see how they have stricken into the soil, nor seek prematurely to anticipate the shooting of the blade, or the forming of the corn in the ear: for the mystery of the life of God in any and every heart is unfathomable; all attempts to determine that its course shall be exactly this way, or that way, can only work mischief. It has a law, indeed. of orderly development, 'first the blade, then the ear, then the full corn in the ear; ' words which suggest a comparison with I John ii. 12-14, where in like manner the Apostle distributes the faithful, according to their progress In the spiritual iife, into 'little children,' 'young men,' and 'fathers;' but this law is hidden; and the works of God in nature, where they never exactly repeat themselves, are not more manifold than are His works in grace. Therefore let the messengers of the Gospel be content that the divine word should grow in a mysterious manner, and one whereof the processes are hidden from themselves; and the seed being sown, and having taken root, commit what remains to God, being satisfied that this seed is incorruptible, and that He will bring His own work to perfection. Of course it can never be meant that they are not to follow up the work which has been through their instrumentality commenced; for as, when it is said, 'the earth bringeth forth fruit of herself,' this excludes not the rain, and sun, and other favourable influences, so neither, when we affirm that the seed of God implanted in any heart has a life of its own, does this imply that it will not require the

^{*} Calvin urges this side of the truth, though an important one, too exclusively: Sermonem ad verbi ministros dirigit, ne frigidius muneri suo incumbant quia non statim laboris fructus apparet. Ergo illis agricolas ad imitandum proponit, qui sub spe metendi semen in terram projiciunt, neque anxiâ inquietudine torquentur, sed eunt cubitum et surgunt, hoc est pro more intenti sunt quotidiano labori, et se nocturnâ quiete reficiunt, donec tandem suo tempore maturescat seges. Ergo quamvis verbi semen ad tempus suffocatum lateat, jubet tamen Christus bono animo esse pios doctores, ne diffidentia illis alacritatem minuat.

nourishment suitable for it,—nay, rather it is involved that it will require it. A dead thing would want nothing of the kind; but because it is living, it needs whereon it may feed. Still it is a different thing to impart life, and to impart the sustenance of life: this latter the Church has still to do for her children; but then it is faith that they have a life of their own once given and continually maintained from on high, by which they can assimilate to themselves this spiritual food provided for them, and grow thereby (Ephes. iv. 16). It may excite surprise that instead of the words last quoted, the earth bringeth forth fruit of herself," we do not rather read, 'the seed groweth and springeth up of itself;' for that, strictly speaking, is the point which the Lord is now urging: and if the earth signifies here, as it must, the heart of man, it is not in it, but in the word which it receives, that the living power resides. But His purpose, in using this language, is pointedly to exclude the agency of the sower, at least a continuous agency on his part of the same kind as he exercises at the first, and this done, He is not careful for more.

It still remains to consider in what sense this leaving of the seed to itself can be attributed to Christ. It is true, Olshausen observes, that the inner spiritual life of men is in no stage of its development without the care and watchful oversight of Him who was its first author: yet there are two moments which, more than any other, are peculiarly his own; one, when the divine life is by Him first implanted in a soul; this is the seed-time; the other, when that soul is ripe for his kingdom, and He gathers it to Himself; this is the harvest. Between these lies an interval, in which his work is going forward, not indeed without the daily supplies of his Spirit, and the daily ordering of his providence, but without any putting to of his hand so distinct and immediate as at those two cardinal moments. And the difficulty will be slighter, when we make application of all this,—as undoubtedly

[•] Αὐτομάτη, from αὐτός and the obsolete μάω, desidero, a word of singular fitness and beauty, occurs but once elsewhere in the New Testament (Acts xii. 10: cf. Josh. vi. 5, LXX). It is often used by classic authors to describe the earth's spontaneous bringing forth in the golden age. In the next verse, ἐαυτὸν must be supplied after παραδῷ. Virgil will then have exactly the same idiom:

Multa adeo gelidà melius se nocte dederunt.

b We may compare Job v. 26: 'Thou shalt come to thy grave in a full age, like as a shock of corn cometh in his season.' There, however, it is rather said that the favoured of God shall not die till they have known the fulness of earthly blessing, and Abraham's 'good old age.' But in our parable, consistently with the higher dispensations which looks to higher blessings, it is rather affirmed that the faithful are not taken away while the work of grace is incomplete in them, that in this respect there is a provident love ordering their death as well as their life, that it is only 'when the fruit is brought forth,' that Christ putteth in the sickle.

we ought,—to the growth and progress of the universal Church, and not to that of any single soul alone. The Lord at his first coming in the flesh sowed the word of the kingdom in the world, planted a Church: which having done He withdrew Himself: the heavens received Him till the time of the consummation of all things. Often and often since then the cry has ascended in his ears, 'Oh, that Thou wouldest rend the heavens, that Thou wouldest come down!'-often it has seemed as though his Church were at the last gasp, its enemies about to prevail against and extinguish it for ever, unless He appeared for its deliverance. But for all this He has not come forth: He has left it to surmount its obstacles, not without his mighty help, for He is with it always, yet without his visible interference. He has left the divine seed, the plant which He has planted, to grow by night and by day, through storm and through sunshine, increasing secretly with the increase of God; and this shall continue, till it has borne and brought to maturity all its appointed fruit. And only then, when the harvest of the world is ripe, when the number of his elect is accomplished, will He again the second time appear, fulfilling that glorious vision beheld by the seer in the Apocalypse: 'And I looked. and behold a white cloud, and upon the cloud one sat, like unto the Son of man, having on his head a golden crown, and in his hand a sharp sickle. And another angel came out of the temple, crying with a loud voice to Him that sat on the cloud, Thrust in thy sickle and reap: for the time is come for Thee to reap; for the harvest of the earth is ripe.'a

a Grotius: Sensus mihi videtur esse perspicuus: Christum a factâ semente ad messis tempus agro aspectabiliter non adfuturum.

16. THE TWO DEBTORS.

LUKE vii. 41-43.

It may be taken as left above all doubt that the two earlier Evangelists and the last, in their several records of the anointing of Christ by a woman, refer to one and the same event (Matt. xxvi. 7; Mark xiv. 8; John xii. 3). The question whether St. Luke refers to the same, and the woman in his Gospel, 'which was a sinner,' be Mary the sister of Lazarus, as then must follow, is more difficult, and has been variously answered from earliest times in the Church. The main arguments for the identity not merely of three, but of all four relations are, first, the name Simon, as the giver of the feast on one occasion (Luke vii. 40), and most probably so on the other, even as he certainly is the master of the house where it was given (Matt. xxvi. 6); secondly, the unlikelihood that the Lord should have been twice honoured in so very unusual a manner; and thirdly, the further unlikelihood that there should have been twice on the part of some present a misinterpretation of the homage offered, and an offence taken.

To all this it may be fairly replied, that the name Simon was much too common among the Jews for any stress to be laid upon its recurrence. Then, too, the anointing of the feet with odours or with ointments, though less usual than the anointing of the head, yet was not without precedent; the only remarkable coincidence here being,

a Besides these two, as I take them, there are nine Simons mentioned in the New Testament: Simon Peter, Simon Zelotes (Luke vi. 15); Simon, one of the Lord's brethren (Matt. xiii. 55); Simon of Cyrene (Matt. xxvii. 32); Simon, father of Judas Iscariot (John vi. 71); Simon Magus (Acts viii. 9); Simon, Peter's host at Joppa (Acts ix. 43); Simeon, for it is the same name, who took the infant Savior in his arms in the temple (Luke ii. 25, and Simeon called Niger, a prophet at Antioch (Acts xiii. 1).

b Thus Curtius, of the Indian monarchs (viii. 9): Demtis soleis odoribus illinuntur pedes; and Plutarch mentions, but on a peculiar occasion, wine and sweet-smelling essences as so used (Becker, Charicles, vol. i. p. 428). Sandals were taken off before

that Mary the sister of Lazarus, and the woman, 'which was a sinner,' should have each wiped the feet of the Lord with the hairs of her head (Luke vii. 38; John xii. 3). Now if this had been any merely fantastic honour paid to the Lord, which to offer would scarcely have suggested itself to more persons than one, we might well wonder to find it on two independent occasions repeated. But regard it as an expression of homage, such as would naturally rise out of the deepest and truest feelings of the human heart, and then its repetition is nowise wonderful. And such it is; in the hair is the glory of the woman (I Cor. xi. 15), long beautiful tresses having evermore been held as her chiefest adornment; they are in the human person highest in place and in honour, while on the contrary the feet are lowest in both. What then was this service, but the incorporation in an outward act, of the inward truth, that the highest and chiefest of man's honour and glory and beauty are lower and meaner than the lowest that pertains to God; that they only find their true place, when doing service to Him? And what wonder that He, who stirred as none else might ever do, feelings of intensest love and profoundest reverence in the hearts of men, should twice have been the object of this honour?—an honour, we may observe, with some differences in the motives which called it forth. In one case, in that of Mary the sister of Lazarus, the immediately impelling cause was intense gratitude. She had found the words of Christ words of eternal life to herself, and He had crowned his gifts by restoring to her a beloved brother from the grave. The pound of ointment 'very costly' was her thank-offering; and as less of shame was mingled in her feelings, she anointed both her Lord's feet and also his head. But what brought this woman with the alabaster box of ointment to Jesus, was an earnest yearning after the forgiveness of her sins; and she, in her deep abasement of soul before Him, presumed not to approach Him nearer than to anoint his feet only, standing the while behind Him. Kissing them with those lips, with which she had so often enticed the

meals, which would leave the service of the women easy and natural to be done. Thus Terence:

Adcurrunt servi, soccos detrahunt, Inde alii festinare, lectos sternere, Cœnam apparare.

In ancient bas-reliefs and pictures we constantly see the guests reclining with their feet bare. (Se the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Cæna, p. 253).

* So the Latin poet: Quod primum formæ decus est, cecidere capilli. And of nearly similar uses of the hair in extreme humiliation and deprecation of the divine anger we have abundant examples in profane history. Thus Livy, iii. 7: Stratæ passim matres crinibus templa verrentes veniam irarum cælestium exposcunt. Cf. Polybius, ix. 6. 3.

simple (Prov. v. 3; vii. 13), and wiping with the hairs of her head, which had been so often nets with which she entangled souls (I Pet. iii. 3), she realized, as in outward act, the bidding of St. Paul, 'As ye have yielded your members servants to uncleanness and to iniquity unto iniquity, even so now yield your members servants to righteousness unto holiness' (Rom. vi. 19). And the precious unguent, once poured upon her own person, to enhance the unholy seduction of her charms (Judith x. 3), this she now devotes to the service of her Lord; just as the women of Israel gave the looking-glasses of their vanity to be made into the laver of brass for the tabernacle (Exod. xxxviii. 8). And to the third argument it may be answered, that though the two incidents have this in common, that in both the act was misinterpreted and some offended, yet beyond this there is no similarity. In the one instance, the Pharisee, the giver of the feast, is offended; in the other, some of the disciples, and mainly Judas: the Pharisee is offended with the Lord, Judas not so much with Him as with the woman; the Pharisee, because the Lord's conduct seems inconsistent with his reputation for holiness, but Judas from a meaner and baser motive of covetousness. To all which we may add, that there is nothing to make probable, that Mary of the happy family circle in Bethany, b to whom the Lord bears such honourable testimony (Luke x. 42), had ever been aforetime one to whom the title of 'sinner,' as it is here meant, could belong; and, as one has ingeniously urged, with the risen Lazarus sitting at the table (John xii. 2), even this Pharisee would hardly have jumped so rapidly to his conclusion that his guest was no prophet of God after all.

These arguments appear so convincing, that one is surprised to find how much opinion has fluctuated from the first, on the relation

^a Gregory the Great (*Hom.* 33 in Evang.): Consideravit quid fecit, et noluit moderari quid faceret. The whole discourse is full of beauty.

b Σεμνη καὶ σπουδαία as a Greek father entitles her.

^{**}Which was a sinner* must then mean 'which had been a sinner,' but had long since repented and chosen the better part; even as the history must be here altogether out of its place, for the anointing by Mary immediately preceded the Lord's death, being for his burial (Matt. xxvi. 12). Many do thus understand the words to refer to sin long ago committed, and long ago forsaken; as Grotius, partly moved thereto by the necessities of his Harmony, which admits but one anointing, and partly, afraid as he was of the Gospel of the grace of God, by his dread of antinomian tendencies in the other interpretation; this same fear making another expositor affirm, that here sin was no worse than an over fondness for dress. Had the woman, however, long since returned to the paths of holiness, even the Pharisee himself would hardly have taken so ill the gracious reception which she found, or spoken of her as being, not as having been, a sinner. We should rather with Augustine (Serm. 99) consider this as the turning moment in her life: Accessit ad Dominum immunda ut rodiret munda, accessit ægra ut rediret sana.

of these histories one to another,—the Greek fathers generally distinguishing, the Latin seeing in them but one and the same history. This last opinion, however, finally prevailed, and was almost universal from the time of Gregory the Great, who threw all his weight into this scale, until the times of the Reformation. Then, when the Scriptures were again subjected to a more critical examination, the other interpretation gradually became prevalent anew, and had for some while been recognized almost without a dissentient voice, till in our own days Schleiermacher, and subsequently to him Hengstenberg, have maintained, and both with extraordinary acuteness, at that the anointing happened but once. But to enter further on this debate would be alien to the present purpose: and the passage containing the parable of the Two Debtors will be considered without any reference to the histories in the other Gospels, of which I am convinced that it is altogether independent.

Our Lord, having been invited to the house of a Pharisee, had there 'sat down to meat.' That a woman, and one 'which was a sinner,' should have pressed into the guest-chamber, and this, uninvited by the master of the house or by the Lord, and should have there been permitted to offer to Him the homage which she did, may seem strange;—yet does not require the supposition of something untold to explain it, as that she was related to Simon (Hengstenberg thinks she was his sister-in-law, Simon being for him the husband of Martha), or lived in the same house,—suppositions altogether foreign, not to say contradictory, to the narrative. A little acquaintance with the manners of the East, where meals are so often almost public, where ranks are not separated with such rigid barriers as with us, will make us understand how easily all which is recorded here might have taken place, onto to say that, even had there been

a Hengstenberg has bestowed an immense amount of labour on the endeavour to prove the identity of Mary the sister of Lazarus, and the woman that was a sinner; and also the further identity of Mary Magdalene with these two;—or with this one, as he regards her. To my mind he has failed altogether; but no one knows all which can be said on that side of the question, who has not read his treatise, for it is nothing less (Evangelium des Johannes, vol. ii. pp. 198-224), on the matter. It is a singular display of rare, but wasted, ingenuity.

b For a good sketch of the controversy see Deyling, Obss. Sac. vol. iii. p. 291.

e I quote the following in confirmation: 'At dinner at the Consul's house at Damietta we were much interested in observing a custom of the country. In the room where we were received, besides a divan on which we sat, there were seats all round the walls. Many came in and took their places on those side-seats, uninvited and yet unchallenged. They spoke to those at the table on business or the news of the day, and our host spoke freely to them. This made us understand the scene in Simon's house at Bethany, where Jesus sat at supper, and Mary came in and anointed his feet with ointment; and also the scene in the Pharisee's house, where the woman

obstacles, and such as would have seemed insuperable to another, or to herself in another state of mind, these would easily have been put aside, or broken through, by an earnestness such as now possessed her; it being the very nature of such an earnestness to break through and desipse these barriers, nor ever to ask itself whether, in the world's judgment, it be 'in season' or 'out of season.'

In the thoughts which passed through the heart of the Pharisee,—displeased that the Lord did not repel the suppliant, but graciously accepted her homage,—the true spirit of a Pharisee betrays itself, unable to raise his thoughts above a ceremonial defilement, or to understand of holiness as standing in ought save the purifying of the flesh; b who would have said to that woman, had she dared to approach him, 'Stand by thyself, for I am holier than thou!' In the conclusion to which he arrives, 'This man, if He were a prophet, would have known who and what manner of woman this is,' we trace the prevailing belief, that discerning of spirits was one of the notes of a true prophet, above all of the greatest prophet of all, the Messiah,—a belief founded on Isai. xi. 3, 4 (see t Kin. xiv. 6; 2 Kin. i. 3; v.

who was a sinner came in uninvited and yet not disturbed, and washed his feet with her tears. We afterwards saw this custom at Jerusalem, and there it was still more fitted to illustrate these incidents. We were sitting round Mr. Nicolayson's table, when first one, and then another stranger opened the door, and came in, taking their seat by the wall. They leant forward and spoke to those at the table.' (Narrative of a Mission to the Yews from the Church of Scotland in 1830).

*Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. cxl. 4): Illa impudica, quondam frontosa ad fornicationem, frontosior ad salutem irrupit in domum alienam; and again (Serm. xcix. 1): Vidistis mulierem famosam . . . non invitatam irruisse convivio, ubi suus medicus recumbebat, et quæsisse piâ impudentiâ sanitatem: irruens quasi importuna convivio, opportuna beneficio; and Gregory the Great (Hom. 33 in Evang.): Quia turpitudinis suæ maculas aspexit, lavanda ad fontem misericordiæ cucurit, convivantes non erubuit: nam quia semetipsam graviter erubescebat intus nihil esse credidit, quod verecundaretur foris; and another (Bernard, Opp. vol. ii. p. 601): Gratias tibi ô beatissima peccatrix; ostendisti mundo tutum satis peccatoribus locum, pedes scilicet Jesu, qui neminem spernunt, neminem rejiciunt, neminem repellunt; suscipiunt omnes, omnes admittunt. Ibi certe Æthiopissa mutat pellem suam; ibi pardus mutat varietatem suam; ubi solus Pharisæus non exspumat superbiam suam.

b Augustine: Habebat sanctitatem in corpore non in corde, et quia non habebat eam in corde, utique falsam habebat in corpore. Cf. Enarr. in Ps. c. 5; cxxv. 2; and Gregory the Great (Hom. 34 in Evang.): Vera justitia compassionem habet, falsa justitia dedignationem.—As a specimen of similar notions of holiness current among the Jews, a commentator on Prov. v. 8 puts this very question: Quanto spatio a meretrice recedendum est? R. Chasda respondet: Ad quatuor cubitos (Schoettgen Hor. Heb. vol. i. p. 348). And again, p. 303, various Rabbis are extolled for the precautions which they took to keep lepers at a distance; for example, by flinging stones at them if they approached too near.

^o Bernard, in a beautiful passage (*De Dedic. Ecc. Serm.* 4), styles him, Pharisæum illum murmurantem adversus medicum, qui salutem operabatur, et succensentem languidæ, quæ salvabatur.

Testament this knowledge is explicitly or implicitly claimed continually for the Lord (John i. 47-49; ii. 25; iv. 29). The Pharisee in fact mentally put the Lord into this dilemma,—Either He does not know the true character of this woman, in which case He lacks that discernment of spirits which pertains to a true prophet; or, if He knows, and yet endures her touch, and is willing to accept a service at such hands, He lacks that holiness which is no less the note of a prophet of God; such therefore in either case He cannot be. As these thoughts passed through his mind, he may have already repented of the superfluous honour he had shown to one, whose pretensions to a mission from God he had in this summary way convinced himself were unfounded.

The Lord shows that He is indeed a discerner of the thoughts of hearts, by reading at once what is passing in his, and laying his finger without more ado on the tainted spot which was there: 'Simon, I have somewhat to say unto thee.' The other cannot refuse to hear, nor has he so entirely renounced his faith in the higher character of his guest, but that he still addresses Him with an appellation of respect: 'Master. say on.' With this leave to speak asked and received, the parable is uttered: 'There was a certain creditor which had two debtors: the one owed five hundred pence, and the other fifty.' In the words themselves there is no difficulty, but in their application one or two will presently claim to be considered. God, it needs not to say, is the creditor, men the debtors (Matt. xviiii. 24), and sins the debts (Matt. vi. 12). The sums named, 'five hundred pence,' and 'fifty,' vary indeed, but not at all in the same proportion as those in the parable of the Unmerciful Servant (Matt. xviii. 24, 28). There one owes ten thousand talents, and another an hundred pence, an enormous difference, even as the difference is enormous between the sins which a man commits against God, and those which his fellow-man may commit against him; here the difference is immeasurably less, the sums varying only in the proportion of ten to one, for no such incalculable difference exists between the sins which one man and another commits against God.

'And when they had nothing to pay, he frankly forgave them both.

Tell Me therefore, which of them will love him most? Simon answered and said, I suppose that he, to whom he forgave most. And He said unto him, Thou hast rightly judged.' Our difficulties meet us in the

^a Vitringa (Obss. Sac. vol. i. p. 476) has an interesting and instructive essay (De Signis a Messiâ edendis) on the expectations of the Jews concerning the miracles which the Messiah was to perform, and by which He should legitimate his pretentions.

transfer of what is here said, from the natural world to the spiritual. Are we to conclude, as at first might appear, that there is any advantage in having multiplied trangressions; in owing five hundred pence rather than fifty; that the wider one has wandered from God, the closer, if he be brought back at all, he will cleave to Him afterwards? the more sin, the more love? Would it not then follow, 'Let us do evil, that good may come,'—let us sin much now, that we may love much hereafter, avoiding that lukewarmness of affections which will be their condition that have sinned but little? And must we not then conclude, that for a man to have been preserved from gross offences in the time before he was awakened to a deeper religious earnestness,—or, better still, to have grown out of his baptismal root, this, instead of being a matter of everlasting thanksgiving, would interpose an effectual barrier to any very near and high communion of love with his Saviour? And to understand the passage thus, would it not involve a moral contradiction,—that the more a man has emptied himself of good,—the more he has laid waste all nobler affections and powers,—the deeper his heart has sunk in selfishness and sensuality (for sin is all this,) the more capable he will be of the highest and purest love?

But the whole matter is clear, if we contemplate the debt, not as an objective, but a subjective, debt, -not as so many outward transgressions and outbreaks of evil, but as so much conscience of sin; which we know is nowise in proportion to a man's actual and positive violations of God's law. Often they who have least of what the world can call sin, or rather crime (for the world knows nothing of sin), have the strongest sense of the exceeding sinfulness of sin, are most conscious of it as a root of bitterness within them, and therefore, as they have most groaned under the evil, are the most thankful for the gift of a Redeemer. But 'he to whom little is forgiven' is not necessarily one who has sinned little, but one who lacks any strong conviction of the malignity of sin, and of his own share in the universal corruption; who, therefore, while he may have no serious objection to God's plan of salvation, nay a cold respect, as had this Pharisee, for Christ, yet esteems that he could have done as well, or nearly as well, without Him. He loves little, or scarcely at all, because he has little sense of a deliverance wrought for him; because he never knew what it was to lie under the curse of a broken law, and then by that merciful Saviour to be set free, and brought into the liberty of the children of God."

Augustine (Serm. xcix. 4) freely acknowledges the stress of this difficulty: Dicit enim aliquis, Si cui modicum dimittitur. modicum diligit; cui autem plus dimittitur.

Simon himself was an example of one who thus loved little, who, having little sense of sin, but slightly felt his need of a Redeemer, and therefora loved that Redeemer but little; and he had betrayed this lack of love in small yet significant matters. Counting the invitation itself a sufficient honour done to his guest, he had withheld from Him courtesies almost universal in the East: had neither given Him water for the feet (Gen. xviii. 4; Judg. xix. 21), nor offered Him the kiss of peace (Gen. xxii 4; Exod. xviii. 7), nor anointed His head with oil, as was ever the custom at festivals (Ps. xxiii, 5: cxli. 5; Matt. vi. 17). But while he had fallen thus short of the customary courtesies, that woman had far exceeded them. He had not poured water on the Saviour's feet, she had washed them, not with water, but with her tears—the blood of her heart, as Augustine calls them—and then wiped them with the hairs of her head; he had not given the single kiss of salutation on the cheek, she had multiplied kisses, and those upon the feet; he had not anointed the head of Jesus with ordinary oil, but she with precious ointment had anointed even his feet.

Wherefore I say unto thee, Her sins, which are many, are forgiven; for she loved much: but to whom little is forgiven, the same loveth little.' There is an embarrassment, by all acknowledged, on the face of these words; first, how to bring them into agreement with the parable, for in that the debtor is said to love much, because forgiven much, and not to be forgiven much, because he had loved much; and again, how to bring them into agreement with the general tenor of Scripture, which ever teaches that we love God because He first loved us,—that faith is the one previous condition of forgiveness, and not love, which is not a condition at all, but a consequence. Some have felt these difficulties so strongly that in their fear lest the Romanists should draw any advantage for their fides formata from the passage,—which indeed they are willing enough to do,—they have affirmed that the word designating the cause stands for that designating the consequence,—that 'her sins are forgiven, for she loved much,' means 'her sins are forgiven, therefore she

plus diligit, expedit plus diligere quam minus diligere: oportet ut multum peccemus ut dimissorem magnorum debitorum amplius diligamus; and again: Si invenero plus diligere eum, cui plura peccata dimissa sunt, utilius multa peccavit, utilior erat multa iniquitas, ne esset tepida caritas. And he solves it as is done above: O Pharisæe, ideo parum diligis, quia parum tibi dimitti suspicaris: non quia parum dimittiur, sed quia parum putas esse, quod dimittiur. Compare a beautiful sermon by Schleiermacher (*Predigten*, vol. i. p. 524).

^{*} Fudit lacrymas, sanguinem cordis.

loved much.'a But, in the first place, she did not as yet know her sins to be forgiven,—the absolving words are only spoken in the next verse;—and moreover, this escape from a doctrinal embarrassment, by violence done to the plain words of the text, will at once be rejected by all who believe that in the interpretation of Scripture, as of any other book, grammar and the laws of human speech should first be respected; that the doctrine can and will take care of itself, and will never in the end be found in contradiction with itself. And as regards advantage which Romish controversialists would fain draw from the passage, such, whatever the explanation, there can be none. The parable stands in the heart of the narrative, an insuperable barrier against such. He who owed the larger debt is not forgiven it as freely as the other is his smaller debt, because of the greater love which he before felt towards the creditor; but, on the contrary, the sense of a larger debt remitted makes him afterward love his creditor more. Moreover, were it meant that her sins were forgiven because—in their sense who would make charity justify, and not faith, -she loved much, the other clause in the sentence would necessarily be, 'but he who loveth little, to the same little is forgiven.'

But the words, 'for she loved much,' may best be explained by considering what the strong sorrow for sin, and the earnest desire after forgiveness, such as this woman displayed, mean, and from

^a They make ὅτι=διό, and very idly appeal to John viii. 44; I John iii. I4, in confirmation: see Winer, *Gramm*. § 57, p. 536.

b Incredible as it will appear, this is actually the interpretation of Maldonatus (ad loc.): 'Which of them will love him most?' is only, he affirms, a popular way of saying, 'Which of them did love him most?'—which may you conclude from the effect to have had most affection for him, and therefore to have been dearest to him, he to whom he remitted a large debt, or he to whom he only remitted a small?—He claims Euthymius and Augustine as agreeing with him; the latter certainly without right.

o I quote here some remarkable words of Coleridge (Literary Remains, vol. ii. p. 368), on the attempt thus to substitute charity for faith in the justification of the sinner. 'To many, to myself formerly, it has appeared a mere dispute about words: but it is by no means of so harmless a character; for it tends to give a false direction to our thoughts, by diverting the conscience from the ruined and corrupted state in which we are without Christ. Sin is the disease. What is the remedy?—Charity? -Pshaw! Charity in the large apostolic sense of the term is the health, the state to be obtained by the use of the remedy, not the sovereign balm itself,-faith of grace, -faith in the God-manhood, the cross, the mediation, the perfected righteousness of Jesus, to the utter rejection and adjuration of all righteousness of our own! alone is the restorative. The Romish scheme is preposterous;—it puts the rill before the spring. Faith is the source, -charity, that is, the whole Christian life, is the stream from it. It is quite childish to talk of faith being imperfect without charity; as wisely might you say that a fire, however bright and strong, was imperfect without heat; or that the sun, however cloudless, is imperfect without beams. The true answer would be: It is not faith, -but utter reprobate faithlessness,'

whence they arise. Surely from a deep sense in the sinner's heart, that by his sins he has separated himself from that God who is Love. while yet he cannot do without His love,—from a feeling that the heart must be again permitted to love Him, again assured of His love towards it, else it will utterly wither and die. Sin unforgiven is felt to be the great barrier to this; and the desire after forgiveness if it be not a mere selfish desire after personal safety, in which case it can be nothing before God,—is the desire for the removal of this barrier, that so the heart may be free to love and to know itself beloved again. This desire, then, is itself love at its negative pole; not as yet made positive, for the absolving word of grace can alone make it this. It is the flower of love desiring to bud and blossom, but not daring and unable to put forth its petals in the chilling atmosphere of God's anger; but which will do so at once when to the stern winter of His wrath the genial spring of His love succeeds. In this sense that woman 'loved much.' All that she did attested the intense yearning of her heart after a reconciliation with a God of love, from whom she had separated herself by her sins. All her tears and her services witnessed how much she desired to be permitted to love Him and to know herself beloved of Him; and on account of this her love, which, in fact, was faith (see ver. 50, 'Thy faith hath saved thee'), she obtained forgiveness of her sins. acknowledgment that a life apart from God is not life, but death, with the conviction that in God there is fulness of grace and blessing, and that He is willing to impart of this fulness to all who bring the vessels of empty hearts to be filled by Him; this, call it faith or initiatory love, is what alone makes man receptive of any divine gift; and this the Pharisee, in the self-sufficiency of his legal righteousness, b had scarcely at all; who therefore derived little or no

^{*} Very distinctly Theophylact (in loc.): *Οτι ἡγάπησε πολύ, ἀντὶ τοῦ, πίστιν ἐνεδείξατο πολλήν· and presently before he calls all which she had been doing for her Saviour πίστεως σύμβολα καὶ ἀγάπης. See Gerhard, Loc. Theoll. loc. xvi. 8. 1.

P The Bustan of the famous Persian poet Saadi (Tholuck, Blüthensamml. aus d. Morgenl. Mystik, p. 251) has a story which seems an echo of this evangelical history. Jesus, while on earth, was once entertained in the cell of a dervise of eminent reputation for sanctity. In the same city dwelt u youth sunk in every sin, 'whose heart was so black that Satan himself shrunk back from it in horror;' he, appearing before the cell of the monk, as smitten by the very presence of the Divine prophet, began to lament deeply the wickedness of his life past, and shedding abundant tears, to implore pardon and grace. The monk indignantly interrupted him, demanding how he dared to appear in his presence and in that of God's holy prophet; assured him that for him it was in vain to seek forgiveness; and in proof how inexorable he considered his lot was fixed for hell, exclaimed, 'My God, grant me but one thing, that I may stand far from this man on the judgment-day.' On this Jesus spoke: 'It shall be even so:

THE TWO DEBTORS.

profit from that fellowship with Christ into which by God's good providence he was brought. But that woman had it in large measure; she therefore bore away the chiefest and best blessing which the Son of God had to bestow, even the forgiveness of her sins: to her those words of joy were spoken, 'Thy faith hath saved thee; go in peace; and in her heart it was fulfilled that 'where sin abounded, grace did much more abound.'

the prayer of both is granted. This sinner has sought mercy and grace, and has not sought them in vain,—his sins are forgiven,—his place shall be in Paradise at the last day. But this monk has prayed that he may never stand near this sinner,—his prayer too is granted,—hell shall be his place, for there this sinner shall never come.'

17. THE GOOD SAMARITAN.

LUKE X. 30-37.

TE need not ascribe to the lawyer who 'stood up' and proposed to our Lord the question out of which this parable presently grew, any malicious intention; least of all that deep malignity which moved some other questioners, who were, in fact, laying snares for His life (John viii. 6; Matt. xxii, 16). The question itself, 'What shall I do to inherit eternal life?' was not an ensnaring one; was not, like that of the tribute-money, one which it might be hoped would compromise the answerer, whatever reply He made. Neither was the spirit which dictated the question captious or mocking. So much we gather from the earnestness of the Lord's reply, who was not wont to answer mere cavillers or despisers so. It is true that this scribe or lawyer (Matt. xxii. 25, compared with Mark xii. 28, shows the identity of the two) put his question to Christ, 'tempting Him.' But exactly the same is affirmed of another lawyer Matt. xxii. 35); who could have done it with no ill intention, seeing that Christ bears testimony to him, 'Thou art not far from the kingdom of God' (Mark xii. 34). For, indeed, 'to tempt' means properly no more than to make trial of; and whether the tempting be honourable or the contrary, is determined by the motive out of which it springs. Thus God 'tempts' man, putting him to wholesome proof, revealing to him secrets of his own heart, to which else even he himself might have remained a stranger to the end (Jam. i. 12); He 'tempts' man, to bring out his good and to strengthen it (Gen. xxii. 1; Heb. xi. 17); to show him his evil, that he, made aware of this, may strive against and overcome it,-to humble him, and to do him good in his latter end (Deut. viii. 3, 16). Only he who bears the Tempter's name (Matt. iv. 3), which he has earned too well (Gen. iii. 1-5), 'tempts' with the single purpose of irritating, calling out and multiplying man's evil. a If the intention of this lawyer

^{*} Πειράζειν = πεῖραν λαμβάνειν. Augustine defines often the manner in which it is lawful to affirm that God tempts; thus (Enarr. in Ps. lv. 1): Omnis tentatio probatio

is not that high and holy one, as little is it this malignant and devilish. Rather we may suppose that the fame of this young Galilæan teacher has reached his ears, and he will now make proof of His skill, measure His depths; and counts that he cannot do this more effectually than by proposing to Him the question of questions, 'What shall I do to inherit eternal life?'

Our Lord answers question with question: 'What is written in the law? how readest thou?'—as much as to say, 'What need of inquiring further? Is not the answer to thy question contained in that very law of which thou professest thyself a searcher and expounder?' The lawyer shows himself not altogether unworthy of the name he bears; for in answer to this appeal he quotes rightly Deut. vi. 5, in connexion with Lev. xix. 18, as containing the quintessence of the law. That he should thus lay his finger at once on 'the great commandment, by the Lord accepted as such (Matt. xxii. 36), showed no little spiritual discernment. His words are right words, however he may be ignorant of their full import, of all which they involve; and the Lord declares as much: 'Thou hast answered right; this do, and thou shalt live.' Let this which he knows utter itself in his life, and all will be well. His conscience is touched at last; he feels himself put on his defence, and it is, as the Evangelist declares, out of a desire to clear himself that the next question proceeds: 'But he, willing to justify himself, said unto Jesus, And who is my neighbour? He may not have been large and free in the exercise of love towards his fellow-men; but then how few had claims upon him: 'who is my neighbour?' The very question, like Peter's, 'How oft shall my brother sin against me, and I forgive him?' (Matt. xviii. 21) was not merely one that might receive a wrong answer, but did itself involve a wrong condition of mind, out of which alone it could have proceeded. He who inquired, 'Who is my neighbour?' who wished

est, et omnis probationis effectus habet fructum suum. Quia homo plerumque etiam sibi ipsi ignotus est: quid ferat, quidve non ferat ignorat, et aliquando præsumit se ferre quod non potest, et aliquando desperat se posse ferre quod potest. Accedit tentatio quasi interrogatio, et invenitur homo a seipso, quia latebat et seipsum, sed artificem non latebat. Cf. Tertullian, De Orat. 8. On the difference between πειράζειν and δοκιμάζειτ see my Synomyms of the New Testament, § 74.

^{*}It is instructive to see the question of the narrow-hearted lawyer, 'Who is my neighbor?' reappearing in one with whom we might think that he had little in common. I make this extract from Emerson's Essays (Ess. 2): 'Do not tell me, as a good man did to-day, of my obligation to put all poor men into good situations. Are they my poor? I tell thee, thou foolish philanthropist, that I grudge the dollar, the dime, the cent, I give to such men as do not belong to me, and to whom I do not belong. There is a class of persons to whom by all spiritual affinity I am bought and sold; for them I will go to prison, if need be; but your miscellaneous popular charities,' &c.

the entire extent of his obligation to others to be declared to him beforehand, showed in this how little he understood of that love, whose essence is that it owns no limit except its own inability to proced further, receives a law from itself alone, being a debt which they who are ever paying, are best contented still to owe (Rom. xiii. 8).

What he needed who could propose such a question as this, was, that his eye should be taken off from those, the more or fewer, towards whom, as he conceived, love should be shown, and turned backward upon him who should show the love; and this which he needed the Lord in His infinite wisdom and grace provided for him in the parable which follows. Without further preface He begins: 'A certain man went down from Jerusalem to Jericho.' He 'went,' or, 'was going down,' not merely because Jerusalem stood considerably higher than Jericho,—the latter lying nearly six hundred feet below the level of the Mediterranean sea, so that the language has its fitness in this respect, -- but because the going to Jerusalem, as to the metropolis, was always regarded as a going up (Acts xviii. 22). The distance between the two cities was about a hundred and fifty stadia,—the road lying through a desolate and rocky region, 'the wilderness that goeth up from Jericho' (Deut. xxxiv. 3; Josh. xvi. 1). The plain of Jericho itself (now Richa and of old the second city in the land) was one of rare fertility and beauty, the Tempe of Judæa, well watered, and abounding in palms ('the city of palm-trees,' Deut xxxiv. 3; Judg. i. 16; 2 Chron. xxviii. 15), in roses, in balsam, in honey, and in all the choicest productions of of Palestine. On his way he 'fell among thieves,' or rather 'among robbers;'-but at the time when our Translation was made there was no strongly-marked distinction between the words; b-violent and bloody men, who 'stripped him of his raiment, and,' because, perhaps, he made some slight resistance as they were spoiling him, or out of mere wantonness of cruelty, 'wounded him, and departed, leaving him half dead.' The incident is drawn from the life. Josephus more than once mentions the extent to which Palestine in those later days was infested with banditti; and from St. Jerome we learn that the road leading from one of these cities to the other was at one place called the Red or the Bloody Way, d from the blood

^{*} Cotoricus, Itiner., quoted by Winer (Realwörterbuch, s.v. Jericho): Est in planitie sita peramplâ, montibus in theatri formam circumdatâ, amœnissimâ quidem et pinguinissimâ, sed incultâ hodie, floribus tamen et herbis odoriferis abundantissimâ. Dompare Strabo, xvi. 2, ad finem.

^b See my Synonyms of the New Testament, § 44.

[·] Antt. xx. 6. 1; B. J. xi. 12. 5.

d Onomast. s.v. Adommim But it bore this already in Joshua's time, Josh. xv.

which had been there shed; that in his own time there was in this wilderness a fort with a Roman garrison, for the protection of travellers. Nor has the danger now ceased; Arabs of the wilderness, having their lurking places in the deep caves of the rocks, now, as of old, infest the road, making it unsafe even for the vast hosts of pilgrims to descend to the Jordan without the protection of a Turkish guard.

As the poor traveler lay bleeding in the road, 'by chance there came down a certain priest that way; '-by coincidence,' we might say, which often seems chance to us, being indeed the mysterious weaving-in, by a higher hand, of the threads of different men's lives into one common woof. That hand brings the negative pole of one man's need into relation with the positive of another man's power to help, one man's emptiness into relation with another's fulness. Many of our summonses to acts of love are of this kind, and they are those, perhaps, which we are most in danger of missing, through a failing to see in them this ordering of God. At all events he who 'came down that way' missed his opportunity—a priest, perhaps one of those residing at Jericho, which was a great station of the priests and other functionaries of the temple, and now on his way to Jerusalem, there to execute his office 'in the order of his course' (Luke i. 8); or who, having accomplished his turn of service, was now returning home. But whether thus or not, he was one who had never learnt what that meant, 'I will have mercy, and not sacrifice;' who, whatever duties he might have been careful in fulfilling, had 'omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith; 'for when he saw him, he passed by on the other side. And likewise a Levite.' but with aggravation in his cruelty; for he, 'when he was at the place, came and looked on him,' and having seen the miserable condition of the wounded man, claiming as it did instant help-for the life that remained was fast ebbing through his open gashes—he too 'passed by on the other side.' Tacitus, while he painted in darkest colours the unsocial character of the Jews, could yet admit this much to their honour, that, however unfriendly to all the rest of the world, among themselves their pity was prompt; but even this redeeming grace is wanting here; they on whose part it is wanting

^{7;} xviii. 17. there is an impressive description of this dreary route in Lamartine, Travels in the Holy Land.

^{*} Jerome (In Jerem. iii, 2): Arabes, quæ gens latrociniis dedita, usque hodie incursat terminos Palæstinæ, et descendentibus de Jerusalem in Jericho absidet vias.

b Stanley, Sinai and Palestine, p. 416.

º Hist. 5. 5: Apud ipsos misericordia in promptu.

being the express interpreters of a law so careful in urging the duties of humanity, that it twice said, 'Thou shalt not see thy brother's ass, or his ox, fall down by the way, and hide thyself from them; thou shalt surely help him to lift them up again' (Deut. xxii. 4; Exod. xxiii. 5). Here not a brother's ox or his ass, but a brother himself, was lying in his blood, and they hid themselves from him (Isai. lviii. 7).

No doubt they did, in some way or other, justify their neglect to their own consciences; made excuses to themselves, as that where one outrage had happened, there was danger of another,—that the robbers could not be far distant, and might return at any moment,or that the sufferer was beyond all human help,—or that one found near him might himself be accused as his murderer. The priest, we may imagine, said he could not tarry; the service of the temple must not wait, must not be left incomplete during his absence; and why should he? was not the Levite close behind, to whom such ministries of help would more naturally appertain, and by whom his lack of service, service which the circumstances of the case rendered impossible that he should render, would inevitably be supplied? then the Levite in his turn may have thought with himself, that it could not be incumbent on him to undertake a perilous office, from which the priest had just shrunk; duty it could not be, else that other would never have omitted it. For him to thrust himself upon it now would be a kind of affront to his superior, an implicit charging of him with inhumanity and hardness of heart. And so, by aid of these pleas, or pleas like these, they left their fellow-countryman to perish.

'But a certain Samaritan, as he journeyed, came where he was.' This man was exposed to exactly the same dangers as those who went before him; moreover it was no fellow-countryman who demanded his help; one rather of an alien and hostile race; but he neither took counsel of selfish fears, nor steeled his heart against all pity with the thought that the wounded and bleeding man was a Jew, whom he as a Samaritan was bound to detest; but when he saw him, 'he had compassion on him.' This, as the best thing which he gave or had to give, is mentioned first; the rest will follow. While the priest and Levite, boasting themselves the ministers of the God of all pity and compassion, neglected the commonest duties of humanity, it was left to the excommunicated Samaritan, whose very name was a by-word of contempt among the Jews, and synonymous with

[•] Gregory the Great says beautifully on this (Moral. xx. 36): Exteriora etenim largien, rem extra semetipsum præbuit. Qui autem fletum et compassionem proximo tribuits ei aliquid etiam de semetiposo dedit.

heretic (John viii. 48), to show what love was; and this toward one of an alien stock; one of a people who would have no dealings with his people, who anathematized them; even as, no doubt, all the influences which had surrounded him from his youth would have led him, as far as he yielded to them, to repay insult with insult, and hate with hate. For if the Jew called the Samaritan a Cuthite,—a proselyte of the lions (2 Kin. xvii 25),—an idolater worshipping the image of a dove,—if he cursed him publicly in his synagogue,—prayed that he might have no portion in the resurrection of life, and by refusing under any conditions to admit him as a proselyte, did his best to secure the fulfilment of this prayer,—proclaimed that his

* Our Lord calls the Samaritan a 'stranger' (ἀλλογενεῖς, Luke xvii. 18), one of a different stock; ἀλλοεθνείς Josephus tells us they were wont to style themselves, when in the evil times of the Jews they wished to disclaim all relationship, and such he evidently accounts them (Antt. ix. 14. 3; xi. 8. 6). The notion of the Samaritans as a mingled people, composed of two elements, one heathen and one Jewish, has of late found its way not merely into popular but into learned books; so that they are often spoken of as, in a great measure, the later representatives of the ten tribes. The mistake is quite recent. In Christian antiquity they were always regarded as a people of unmingled heathen blood (see testimonies in Suicer, Thes. s. v. Σαμαρείτης, to which may be added Theophylact on Luke xvii. 15, 'Ασσύριοι γάρ οἱ Σαλαρἐῖται); 50 too by the expositors of two hundred years ago. Hammond describes the Samaritan in our parable as 'being of an Assyrian extraction;' and Maldonatus: Samaritani origine Chaldæi erant; see Reland, Ie Samaritanis. For the opinion of Makrizi. the very accurate and learned Arabian geographer, see S. de Sacy, Chrest. Arabe, vol. ii. p. 177; and Robinson says (Biblical Researches), 'The physiognomy of those we saw was not Jewish.' At 2 Kin. xvii., where the deportation of Israel is related, there is not a word suggesting that any were left, or that there afterwards was any blending of the Cuthites and other Assyrian colonists brought in with a remnant of the Israelites whom they found in the land. It is true that when Judah was carried away captive, many of the poorer sort were allowed to remain (2 Kin, xxv. 12); and Winer, (Realwörterbuch, s. v. Samaritaner) thinks it very unlikely that some out of the ten tribes were not left behind in like manner. But at 2 Kin. xxi. 13 the Lord threatening Judah says, 'I will stretch over Jerusalem the line of Samaria and the plummet of the house of Ahab; and I will wipe Jerusalem as a man wipeth a dish, and turneth it upside down.' This, only a threat against Judah, in part averted by repentance, had actually been executed against Samaria (2 Kin. xvii. 6, 23, 24; Jer. vii. 15; Josephus, Antt. ix. 14. 1). With Oriental conquerors it was no uncommon thing thus thoroughly to clear a conquered territory of all its inhabitants; σαγηνεύειν the actual process was called (Herodotus, iii. 149; vi. 31). If the Samaritans had owned any Jewish blood, they would certainly have urged this, as mightily strengthening their claim to be allowed to take part with the returned Jewish exiles, in the rebuilding of the temple; but their words exclude this: 'We seek your God as ye do, and we do sacrifice unto Him since the days of Esarhaddon king of Assur, which brought us up hither' Ezra iv. 2). When our Lord, at the first sending out of his Apostles, said, 'Into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not' (Matt. x. 5), He was not, as some tell us, yielding to popular prejudice, but gave the prohibition because, till the Gospel had been first offered 'to the lost sheep of the house of Israel,' Samaritans had no more claim to it than any other heathen. See a valuable article, 'Samaria,' in the Dictionary of the Bible.

testimony was naught and might not be received, -that he who entertained a Samaritan in his house was laying up judgment for his children,—that to eat a morsel of his food was as the eating of swine's flesh, and would rather suffer any need than be beholden to him for the smallest office of charity, -if he set it as an object of desire that he inight never so much as see a Cuthite; the Samaritan was not behindhand in cursing, and as little in active demonstrations of enmity and ill-will. We have proofs of this in the Gospels (John iv. 9; Luke ix. 53), and from other sources more examples of their spite may be gathered. For example, the Jews being in the habit of communicating the exact time of the Easter moon to those of the Babylonian Captivity, by fires kindled first on the Mount of Olives, and then taken up from mountain top to mountain top, a line of fiery telegraphs which reached at length along the mountain ridge of Auranitis to the banks of the Euphrates, the Samaritans would give the signal on the night preceding the right one, so to perplex and mislead. And Josephus mentions that they sometimes proceeded much further than merely to refuse hospitality to the Jews who were going up to the feasts of Jerusalem; they fell upon and murdered many of them; b and once, which must have been to them most horrible of all (see Kin. xxiii. 13, 14; Matt. xxiii. 27; Luke xi. 44; Num. xix. 16; Ezek. xxxix. 15), a Samaritan entering Jerusalem secretly, polluted the whole temple by scattering in it human bones.c

But the heart of this Samaritan was not hardened; though so many influences must have been at work to steel it against the distresses of a Jew; though he must have known that any Jew who was faithful to the precepts of the Jewish schools would not merely have left, but have made it a point of conscience to leave, him in his blood, would have counted that he was doing a righteous act therein. All the details of his tender care toward the poor stranger, of whom he knew nothing, save that he belonged to a nation the most bitterly hostile to his own, are given with a touching minuteness. He 'bound up his wounds,' no doubt with strips torn from his own garments, 'pouring in oil and wine,' wine to cleanse them, and oil to assuage their smart and to bring gently their sides together (Isai. i. 6), these two being costly and highly esteemed remedies in all the East.^a No little

^a This, according to Makrizi (S. de Sacy, *Chrest. Arabe*, vol. ii. p. 159), first put the Jews on calculating for themselves the moment of the new moon. Cf. Schoettgen. *Hor. Heb.* vol. i. p. 344.

b .Antl. xx. 6. I.

[·] Antt. xviii. 2. 2; B. J. ii. 12. 3.

d Pliny, H. N. xxxi. 47.

time must have been thus consumed, and this when there was every motive for haste. Having thus ministered to the wounded man's most urgent needs, and revived in him the dying spark of life, he 'set him on his own beast,' pacing himself on foot; and brought him to an inn,' we may suppose that at Bachurim, and there again 'took care of him,' tended him as his state required. Nor even so did he account that he had paid the whole debt of love, but with considerate foresight provided for the further wants of the sufferer: 'And on the morrow, when he departed, he took out two pence, and gave them to the host, and said unto him, Take care of him; and whatsoever thou spendest more, when I come again, I will repay thee.' The sum may not seem much, though considerably more than it sounds; but in all likelihood he was journeying on some needful business to Jerusalem, and a day or two would bring him back.

Beautiful as is this parable when thus taken simply in the letter, bidding us to 'put on bowels of mercies,' to shrink from no offices of love, even though they should be painful and perilous; but how much fairer yet, how much more mightily provoking to love and good works, when, with most of the Fathers, and with many of the Reformers, we trace in it a deeper meaning still, and see the work of Christ, of the merciful Son of man Himself, portrayed to us here. None can refuse to acknowledge the facility with which all the circumstances of the parable yield themselves to this interpretation. It has been indeed objected, that it leaves the parable beside the mark, and nothing to the matter immediately in hand. But this is not so. For what is that matter; To magnify the law of love, to show who fulfils it, and who not. But if Christ Himself, He who accounted Himself every man's brother, fulfilled it the best, showing how we ought to love and whom; and if His example, or rather faith in His love towards us, is alone effectual in kindling our love to one another, He might well propose Himself and His act in succouring the perishing humanity, as the everlasting pattern of self-forgetting love, and place it in strongest contrast with the carelessness and selfish neglect of the present leaders of the theocracy. Such a meaning as this, lurking behind, though one day to pierce through, the literal, and to add to the parable a yet more endearing charm, would be of course latent at the

^{*} Hανδοχεῖον, not altogether identical with κατάλυμα (Luke ii. 9); though both are translated by the same word. This has a host, is something of an inn in our sense of the word: that more the Eastern caravanseral where every one shifted for himself. See the Dict. of the Bible, s. v. Inn.

b Let us not miss the ἐγὼ ἀποδώσω. 'Trouble not the poor man upon that score; / will take those charges on myself;' or it might be, 'Fear not thou to be a loser; / will be thy paymaster.'

first uttering. He to whom it was then spoken, took all in the obvious meaning; nor is the parable less effectual in commending man's love to his fellow, because it further shadows forth the Son of man's crowning act of love to the whole race of mankind.

Regarding it in this mystical sense, the traveller will be the personified human Nature, or Adam as the representative and head of our race. He has forsaken Jerusalem, the heavenly City, the city of the vision of peace, and is going down to Jericho, the profane city, the city under a curse (Josh. vi. 26; 1 Kin. xvi. 34). But no sooner had he thus left the holy City and the presence of his God, and turned his desires toward the world, than he falls into his hands who is at once a robber and a murderer (John viii. 44), and is by him and his evil angels stripped of the robe of his original righteousness, grievously wounded, left covered with almost mortal strokes, every sinful passion and desire a gash from which the life-blood of his soul is streaming. But for all this he is not dead outright; for as all the cares of the Samaritan would have been spent in vain upon the poor traveller had the spark of life been wholly extinct, so a restoration for man would have been impossible had there been nothing to restore, no spark of divine life, which by a heavenly breath might be fanned into flame; no truth in him, which might be extricated from the unrighteousness in which it was detained. When the angels fell, by a free self-determining act of their own will, with no solicitation from without, their loss was not in part, but altogether. With man it is otherwise. He is 'half dead;' he has still a conscience witnessing for God; evil has not become his good, however weak he may be to resist it; he has the sense of something lost, and at times a longing for its recovery. His case is desperate were there none to restore him but himself; but not desperate in the hands of an almighty and all-merciful Physician.

He, and He only, can restore to man what he has lost, can bind

^a H. de Sto. Victore (Annott. in Luc.): Homo iste genus designat humanum, quod in primis parentibus supernam civitatem deserens, in hujus seculi et exilii miseriam per culpam corruens; per antiqui hostis fraudulentiam veste innocentiæ et immortalitatis est spoliatum, et originalis culpæ vitiis graviter vulneratum. See Ambrose, Exp. in Luc. vii. 73; Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. cxxv. 6; and the sermon (Hom. 34 in Luc.) which Jerome has translated-out of Origen. For the later Gnostic perversions of the parable in this direction, see Neander, Kirch. Gesch. vol. v.p. 1121.

b H. de Sto. Victore: Quamvis enim tantâ malitiâ possit affici, ut nihil diligat boni, non tamen ignorantiâ tontâ excæcari potest, ut nihil cognoscat boni . . . Hostilis gladius hominem penitus non extinxit, dum in eo naturalis boni dignitatem omnino delere non potiut. Augustine (Quast. Evang. ii. 19): Ex parte quâ potest intelligere et cognoscere Deum, vivus est homo: ex parte quâ peccatis contabescit et premitur mortuus est.

up the bleeding hurts of his soul, can say to him in his blood, Live (Ezek. xvi. 6). The Law could not do it. 'If there had been a law which could have given life, verily righteousness should have been by the law' (Gal. iii. 21). That was but like Elisha's staff, which might be laid on the face of the dead child, but life did not return to it the more (2 Kin. iv. 21); Elisha himself must come ere the child revive b Or as Theophylact here expresses it: 'The law came and stood over him where he lay, but then, overcome by the greatness of his wounds, and unable to heal them, departed.' Nor could the sacrifices do better; they could not 'make the comers thereunto perfect,' nor 'take away sins,' nor 'purge the conscience.' Priest and Levite were alike powerless to help: so that, in the eloquent words of a scholar of St. Bernard's, o 'Many passed us by, and there was none to save. That great Patriarch Abraham passed us by, for he justified not others, but was himself justified in the faith of One to come. Moses passed us by, for he was not the giver of grace, but of the law, and of that law which leads none to perfection, for righteousness is not by the law. Aaron passed us by, the priest passed us by, and by those sacrifices which he continually offered was unable to purge the conscience from dead works to serve the living God. Patriarch and prophet and priest passed us by, helpless both in will and deed, for they themselves also lay wounded in that wounded man. Only that true Samaritan, beholding, was moved with compassion, as He is all compassion, and poured oil into the wounds, that is, Himself into the hearts, purifying all hearts by faith. Therefore the faith of the Church passes by all, till it attain to Him who alone would not pass it by 'd (Rom. viii. 3).

^a The selection of Gal. iii. 16-23 for the Epistle on the 13th Sunday after Trinity, this parable supplying the Gospel, shows the interpretation which the Church puts upon the parable. The Gospel and Epistle attest the sume truth, that the law cannot quicken; that righteousness is not by it, but by faith in Christ Jesus.

b Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. lxx. 15.

[°] Gillebert. He completed not unworthily the exposition of the Canticles which St. Benard had left unfinished at his death.—Compare a noble passage in Clement of Alexandria (Quis Dives Salv. 29): Τίς δ' ᾶν ἄλλος εἴη πλὴν αὐτὸς ὁ Σωτήρ; ἢ τίς μᾶλλον ἡμᾶς ἐλεήσας ἐκείνου, τοὺς ὑπὸ τῶν κοσμοκρατόρων τοῦ σκότους ὀλίγου τεθανατωμένους τοῖς πολλοῖς τραίμασι, φόβοις, ἐπιθυμίαις, ὀργαῖς, λύπαις, ἀπάταις, ἡδοναῖς; τούτων δὲ τῶν τραυμάτων μόνος ἰατρὸς Ἰησοῦς, ἐκκόπτων ἄρδην τὰ πάθη πρόρμίζα· οὐχ ὥσπερ ὁ νόμος ψιλὰ τὰ ἀποτελέσματα, τοὺς καρποὺς τῶν πουηρῶν ψυτῶν, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀξίτην τὴν ἐαυτοῦ πρὸς τὰς ρίζας τῆς κακίας προσαγαγών οὕτος ὁ τὸν οἶνον, τὸ αἶμα τῆς ἀμπέλου τοῦ Δαβίδ, ἐκχέας ἐμῖν ἐπὶ τὰς τετρωμένας ψυχάς ὁ τὸ ἐκ σπλάγχνων πνεύματος ἔλαιον προσενεγκγῶν καὶ ἐπιδαψιλευόμενος· οῦτος ὁ τοὺς τῆς ὑγείας καὶ σωτηρίας δεσμοῦς ἀλύτους ἐπιδείξας, ἀγάπην, πίστιν, ἐλπίδα· οῦτος ὁ διακονεῖν ἀγγέλους καὶ ἀρχὰς καὶ ἐξουσίας ἡμῖν ὑποτάξας ἐπὶ μεγάλω μισθῷ, δότι καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐλευθερωθήσονται ἀπὸ τῆς μσταιότητος τοῦ κόσμου παρὰ τὴν ἀποκάλυψιν τῆς δόξης τῶν νίῶν τοῦ Θεοῦ·

d Augustine's proof that our Lord intended Himself by this Samaritan, is singular

Were it absolutely needful to attach a precise meaning to the 'oil' and the 'wine,' we might say, with Chrysostom, that the former is the anointing of the Holy Spirit, the latter the blood of passion. On the binding up of the wounds it may be often observed that the sacraments have been often called the *ligaments* for the wounds of the soul; and the hurts of the spirit are often contemplated as bound up, no less than those of the body; and God as He who binds them up. The Samaritan setting the wounded man on his own beast. himself therefore pacing on foot by his side, reminds of Him, who, though He was rich, yet for our sakes became poor, that we through His poverty might be rich,—and who came not to be ministered unto, but to minister. Neither is it far-fetched to regard the 'inn' as the figure of the Church, the place of spiritual refection, in which the healing of souls is ever going forward,—called therefore by some a hospital,—whither the merciful Son of man brings all whom He has rescued from the hand of Satan, where He, the good Physician,

(Serm. clxxi. 2): Cum duo essent verba conviciesa objecta Domino, dictumque illi esset, Samaritanus es, et dæmonium habes, poterat respondere, Nec Samaritanus sum, nec dæmonium habeo:respondet autem, Ego dæmonium non habeo. Quod respondit, refutavit: quod tacuit, confirmavit. Cf. Enarr. in Ps. cxxxvi 3.

a They were sometimes interpreted differently; the oil as the blanda consolatio, the wine as the austera increpatio. Thus Bernard says of the good pastor: Samaritanus sit, custodiens et observans quando oleum misericordiæ, quando vinum fervoris exhibeat; and at more length, In Cant., Serm. xliv. 3. So too Gregory the Great (Mor. xx. 5): Inesse rectoribus debet et juste consolans misericordia, et pie sæviens Hinc est quod semivivi illius vulneribus, qui a Samaritano in stabulum ductus est, et vinum odhibetur et oleum; ut per vinum mordeantur vulnera, per oleum foveantur: quatenus unusquisque qui sanandis vulneribus præest, in vino morsum districtionis adhibeat, in oleo mollitiem pietatis per vinum mundentur putrida, per oleum sananda foveantur. Elsewhere he has resolved this whole history into prayer (Exp. in Ps. li.): Utinam, Domine Jesu, ad me misericordiâ motus digneris accedere, qui descendens ab Jerusalem in Jericho, proruens scilicet de summis ad infima, de vitalibus ad infirma, in angelos tenebrarum incidi, qui non solum gratiæ spiritalis mihi vestimentum abstulerunt, sed etiam plagis impositis semivivum reli-Utinam peccatorum meorum vulnera, datâ mihi recuperandeze salutis fiduciâ, alliges, ne deterius sæviant, si sanari desperent. Utinam oleum mihi remissionis adhibeas, et vinum compunctionis infundas. Quod si in jumentum tuum n.e imposueris, de terra, inopem, pauperem de stercore suscitabis. Tu es enim qui peccata nostra pertulisti, qui pro nobis quæ non rapueras exsolvisti. Si in stabulum me Ecclesiæ tuæ duxeris, corporis et sanguinis tui me refectione cibabis. Si curam mei egeris, nec præcepta tua prætereo, nec fermentium rabiem bestiarum incurro. Custodiâ enim tuâ indigeo, quamdiu carnem hanc corruptibilem porto. Audi ergo me, Samaritane, spoiliatum et vulneratum, flentem et gementem, invocantem et cum David clamantem, Miserere mei, Deus, secundum magnam misericordiam tuam.

b Thus Ps. cxlvi. 3: Ὁ Ιώμενος τοὺς συντετριμμένους τὴν καρδίαν, καὶ δεσμεύων τὰ συντρίμματα αὐτῶν. Augustine: Alligatio vulnerum est cohibitio peccatorum.

[·] Lyser: Suo quasi incommodo nostra commoda quæsivit.

cares for them until they shall have been restored to a perfect health (Mal. iv. 2; Hos. xiv. 4; Ps. ciii. 3; Matt. xiii. 15; Rev. xxii. 2; and typically, Num. xxi. 9).

And if, like the Samaritan, He cannot tarry, b cannot always be inbody present with those whose cure He has begun, if it is expedient that He should go away, yet He makes for them a rich provision of grace till the time of his return. It would be entering into curious minutiæ, such as tend to bring discredit on this scheme of interpretation, to affirm decidedly of the 'two pence,' that they mean either the two Sacraments, or the two Testaments, or the Word and the Sacraments, or unreservedly to accede to any one of the ingenious explanations which have been offered for them. Better to say that they include all gifts and graces, sacraments, powers of healing, of remission of sins, or other powers which the Lord has left with His Church, that it may keep house for Him till His return. As the Samaritan 'took out two pence, and gave them to the host, and said unto him, Take care of him; '-even so He said to Peter, and in him to all the Apostles, 'Feed my sheep,' 'Feed my lambs' (John xxi. 15-17; cf. xx. 22, 23). To them, and in them to all their successors, He has committed a dispensation of the Gospel, that as stewards of the mysteries of God, they may dispense these for the health and salvation of His people. And as it was promised to the host, ' Whatsoever thou spendeth more, when I come again, I will repay thee," so · has the Lord engaged that no labour shall be in vain in Him, that what is done to the least of His brethren shall be accounted as done to Himself, that they who 'feed the flock of God, not for filthy lucre, but of a ready mind,' 'when the chief Shepherd shall appear, shall receive a crown of glory that fadeth not away' (I Pet. v, 2, 4).d

degere: redeundum eo erat, unde descenderat.

· Melancthon: Si quid supererogaveris, solvam; quasi dicat: Accedunt labores. pericula, inopia consilii, in his omnibus adero et juvabo te.

d Cyprian's use of the parable (Ep. 51) forms a sort of connecting link between these two interpretations, the literal and the allegorical: the wounded man is a sinning brother, one who has fallen away in time of persecution. Cyprian, who desired to deal mildly with these lapsed, and to readmit them to Church communion, exclaimed: Jacet ecce saucius frater ab adversario in acie vulneratus. Inde diabolus conatur occidere quem vulneravit, hinc Christus hortatur ne in totum pereat quem redemit. Cui de duobus assistemus, in cujus partibus stamus? Utrumne diabolo favemus ut perimat, et semianimem fratrem jacentem, sicut in evangelio sacerdos et Levites, præterimus? An vere ut sacerdotes Dei et Christi quod Christus et dociut et secit imitantes, vulneratum de adversarii faucibus rapimus, ut curatum Deo judici reservemus? Cf. Ambrose, De Panit. i. 6; and Chrysostom, Adv. Jud., Oral. viii. 3.

Augustine brings out another side of the similitude: Stabulum est Ecclesia, ubi reficiuntur viatores de perigrinatione redeuntes in æternam patriam; or it is an inn (πανδοχείον), because (Origen, Hom. 24 in Luc.) universos volentes introire suscipiat. Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. vii. 78): Non vacabat Samaritono huic diu in terris

Let us reverently admire as it deserves to be admired, the divine wisdom with which, having brought this parable to an end, Christ reverses the question of the lawyer, and asks, 'Which now of these three thinkest thou was neighbour unto him that fell among the thieves?' The lawyer had asked, 'Who is the neighbour to whom it is my duty to show love?' But the Lord, answering question with question. demands, 'Who is a neighbour, he who shows love, or he who shows it not?'-for it was this which he desired to teach, that love has its own measure in itself; like the sun, which does not inquire upon what it shall shine, or whom it shall warm, but shines and warms by the very law of its own being, so that nothing is hidden from its light and heat. The lawyer had said, 'Designate my neighbour to me; tell me what marks a man to be such; Is it one faith, one blood, the obligation of mutual benefits, or what else, that I may know to whom I owe this debt?' The Lord rebukes the question. holding up to him a man, and that man a despised Samaritan, who so far from seeking limits to his love, freely and largely exercised it towards one whose only claim upon him consisted in his needs; who assuredly had none of the marks of a neighbour, in the lawyer's sense of the word. The parable is a reply, not to the question, for to that it is no reply, but to the spirit out of which the question proceeded. 'You inquire, Who is my neighbour? Behold a man who asked quite another question, "To whom can I be a neighbour?" And then be yourself the judge, whether you or he have most of the mind of God; which is most truly the doer of his will, the imitator of his perfections.

To the Lord's question, 'Which now of these three was neighbour unto him that fell among thieves?' the lawyer circuitously replies, 'He that showed mercy on him;'—let us hope from no grudging reluctance to give the honour directly and by name to a Samaritan;' although it certainly has something of this appearance. But having acknowledged this, whether reluctantly or freely, 'Go,' said the Lord to him, 'and do thou likewise.' These last words will hardly allow us to agree with those who in later times have maintained that this parable and the discourse that led to it are, in fact, a lesson on justification by faith—that the Lord sent the questioner to the law, to the end that, being by that convinced of sin and of his own shortcom-

^{*} Maldonatus is the only commentator I know who has fairly put this difficulty, which is on the face of the parable. It is one of the many merits of this most intolerant and abusive Jusuit (Maldonatus maledicentissimus), that he never evades a difficulty, but fairly states it, whether he can resolve it or not.

So Bengel: Non invitus abstinet legisperitus appellatione proprià Samaritæ.

ings, he might discover his need of a Saviour. The intention seems rather to make the lawyer aware of the mighty gulf which lay between his knowing and his doing,—how little his actual exercise of love kept pace with his intellectual acknowledgment of the debt of love due from him to his fellow-men: on which subject no doubt he had secret misgivings himself, when he asked, 'Who is my neighbour?' It is true, indeed, that thisour sense of how short our practice falls of our knowledge, must bring us to the conviction that we cannot live by the keeping of the law, that by the deeds of the law no flesh shall be justified,—so that here also we shall get at last to faith as that which alone can justify; but this is a remoter consequence, and not the immediate purpose, of the parable.

18. THE FRIEND AT MIDNIGHT.

Luke xi. 5-8.

THE connexion between this parable and the words that go before is easy to be traced. As the Lord 'was praying in a certain place, when He ceased, one of his disciples said unto Him, Lord, teach us to pray, as John also taught his disciples.' He graciously gives them that perfect form of words, which, coming immediately from Him, has ever borne his name; but having done, He now instructs them further in what spirit, with what instancy and perseverance, they ought to pray. There is the same argument as in the parable of the Unjust Judge, from the less to the greater, or more accurately, from the worse to the better; -but with this difference, that here the tardy selfishness of man is set against the prompt liberality of God, while there it is the unrighteousness of man which is tacitly contrasted with the righteousness of God. The conclusion is, if churlish man can be won by mere importunity to give, and unjust man to do right, how much more certainly shall the bountiful Lord bestow, and the righteous Lord do justice.a Perhaps there is this further distinction, that here it is prayer for the needs of others, in which we are bidden to be instant; while there it is rather prayer for the supply of our own. In neither case may we urge the illustration so far, as to conceive of prayer as an overcoming of God's reluctance, when it is, in fact, a laying hold of his highest willingness.

Regnum cœlorum violenzia pate
Da caldo amore e da viva speranza,
Che vince la divina volontate,
Non a guisa che l' uomo all' uom sovranza,
Ma vince lei, perchè vuole esser vinta,
E vinta vince con sua beninanza.

[•] Augustine (Ep. cxxx. 8): Ut hinc intelligiremus, si dare cogitur, qui cum dormiat, a petente excitatur invitus, quanto det benignius, qui nec dormire nocit, et dormientes nos excitat ut petamus.

b This is finely expressed by Dante (Parad. 20), in words which have as much a theological as a poetical interest:

For though there is an aspect under which God may present Himself to us, similar to that of the Unjust Judge and of his churlish friend, yet always with this essential difference,—that his is a seeming unwillingness to grant, theirs was a real. Under such an aspect of seeming unwillingness to hear did the merciful Son of man present himself to the Syro-Phœnician woman (Matt. xv. 21). But why? Not because He was reluctant to give, but because He knew that her faith would carry her triumphantly over all obstacles in her path; that through such resistance as He opposed to that faith for a while, it would be called out, strengthened, purified, as, had this trial been spared it, it could never otherwise have been. In like manner the great Angel of the Covenant contended with Jacob, wrestled with him all the night; yet allowing himself at the last to be overcome by him, left a blessing behind Him; and Jacob henceforth was Israel; being permanently lifted up through that conflict into a higher condition, as was expressed by that nobler name which henceforth he bore, 'for as a Prince hast thou power with God and with men, and hast prevailed' (Gen. xxxii. 28).

The parable of the Friend at Midnight rests on a familiar incident of common life; and, spoken to humble men, may easily have come within the limits of their own experience: 'Which of you shall have a friend, and shall go unto him at midnight, and say anto him, Friend, lend me three loaves; for a friend of mine in his journey is come to me, and I have nothing to set before him?' These words have yielded ample scope for allegorical and mystical interpretations and some of no little beauty; though we cannot regard them as more than graceful adaptations, by man's art and device, of the Lord's words. For example, the guest arriving at midnight has been explained as the spirit of man, which, weary of its wanderings in the world, of a sudden desires heavenly sustenance; - begins to hunger and thirst after righteousness. But the host, or man himself, in so far as he is 'sensual, not having the Spirit,' has nothing to set before this unlooked-for guest, and in this his spiritual emptinessa is here taught to appeal to God, extorting in earnest prayer from him that which is bread indeed, and heavenly food for the soul. Another interesting

Augustine: In medià tribulatione constitus.

b Bede (*Hom. in Luc.* xi.): Amicus qui venit de viâ, ipse noster est animus, qui toties a nobis recedit, quoties ad appetenda terrena et temporalia foris vagatur. Redit ergo, coelestique alimoniâ refici desiderat, cum in se reversus superna ceperit ac spiritualia meditari. De quo pulchre qui petierat, adjungit, se non habere quo ponat ante illum, quoniam animæ post seculi tenebras Deum suspiranti, nil preter eum cogitare nilque libet intueri. And Bernard (*In Rogat. Serm.*): Amicum venientem ad me, non alium intelligio quam meipsum, cum transitoria deserens, ad cor

adaptation in the same kind we owe to Augustine. He is urging on his hearers the duty of being able to give a reason for their faith, and one not merely defensive, but such as shall win and persuade others; and this, since it may well happen that one from the heathen world, or a heretic, or even a nominal Catholic, weary of his wanderings in error, and longing to know something of the Christian faith, though lacking confidence or opportunity to go to the bishop or catechists, may come to them, claiming instruction in righteousness at their lips. How greatly it behoved in such a case to have what to set before him; or having nothing, they are then taught in this parable whom they should seek to for the supply of their own needs and the needs of their friend,-that they go to God, beseeching Him to teach them, that so they may be competent to teach others.a Vitringa's explanation is a modification of this. For him the guest is the heathen world; the host who receives him are the servants and disciples of Jesus; who in this parable are instructed that they can nourish with bread of life those coming to them, only as they themselves have obtained the same from God; which therefore they must solicit with all perseverance and instancy of supplication.° Where such a mystical interpretation has found room, it will naturally follow that in the 'three loaves' which the suppliant asks for, some special significance will be looked for. In them various triads have been traced; as that the host, craving these, craves the knowledge of the Holy Trinity, of God in his three persons; d or the three choicest

redeo. Venit amicus de regione loginquâ, ubi pascere porcos, et ipsorum siliquas insatiabiliter esurire solebat. Venit fame laborans, sed heu me! pauperem eligit hospitem, et vacuum ingreditur habitaculum. Quid faciam huic amico misero et miserabili? Fateor amicus est, sed ego mendicus. Quid venisti ad me, amice, in necessitate tantâ? Festina, inquit, discurre, suscita amicum tuum illum magnum, quo majorem dilectionem nemo habet, sed neque substantiam ampliorem. Clama et dic, Amice, commoda mihi tres panes. Compare Augustine (Quast. Evang. ii. qu. 21); and a discourse which is not Augustine's, but has sometimes been attributed to him (Serm. 85. Appendix).

* Serm. cv. 2: Venit tibi emicus de viâ, id est, de vitâ hujus seculi, in quâ omnes velut peregrini transeunt, nec ullus quasi possessor manet, sed omni homini dicitur: Refectus es, transi, age iter, da venturo locum. Aut forte de viâ malâ, hoc est, de vitâ malâ, fatigatus nescio quis amicus tuus, non inveniens veritatem, quâ auditâ et perceptâ beatus fiat, sed lassatus in omni cupiditate et egestate seculi, venit ad te, tanquam ad Christianum, et dicit: Redde mihi rationem, fac me Christianum. Et interrogat quod forte tu per simplicitatem fidei nesciebas, et non est unde reficias esurientem, et te admonitus invenis indigentem. Tibi forte sufficiebat simplex fides, illi non sufficit. Nunquid deserendus est? nunquid de domo projiciendus? Ergo ad ipsum Dominum, ad ipsum cum quo familia requiescit, pulsa orando, pete, insta.

b Erklär, d. Parab. p. 763.

[•] Augustine: Unde vivo, inde dico; unde pascor, hoc ministro. Cf. a sermon by Guerricus, Bernardi Opp. Ben. ed. vol. ii. p. 1023.

Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. cii. 5; Quæst. Evang. ii. 21.

gifts and graces of the Spirit, faith, hope, and charity; with more of the same kind.

'And he from within shall answer and say, Trouble me not; the door is now shut;' the house made up for the night, barred and bolted; 'and my children'—or, as many have taken it, 'my servants' —'are with me in bed.' In the parable allegorically interpreted these last words have their spiritual equivalent, and are understood to mean, 'All, who by earlier application have obtained right to be called my children, have secured their admission into my kingdom, and are now resting with Me there; it is too late to apply, when the time of admission is past.'e

'I say unto you, Though he will not rise and give him because he is his friend, yet because of his importunity he will rise, and give him as many as he needeth.' The strength of the word which the Lord uses here is remarkable; and has been a little reduced by our Translators. It is not his 'importunity' only, it is his 'shdmelessness,' for we are to suppose many askings, each more urgent than the last; although only that one is recorded which at length extorts the gift. Yet it is a 'shamelessness' mitigated by the fact, that not for himself, but for another, and that he may not fail in the sacred duties of hospitality, he thus pertinaciously urges his request; even as the same may be affirmed of Abraham, who offers us another example of successful 'importunity,' rising almost to shamelessness in asking; he too is pleading not for himself, but for Sodom (Gen. xviii. 23-33). With no other arms than those which his 'importunity' supplies, the sup-

^{*} Euthymius: *Αρτους· τὰς θρεπτικὰς τῶν ψεχῶν διδασκαλίας.

b Augustine has taken παιδία so (Ep. cxxx. 8): Jam cum suis servis dormientem petitor instantissimus et molestissimus excitavit.

[•] Augustine: Quid pulsas sine tempore, qui piger fuisti cum tempore? Dies fuit, et in lumine non ambulâsti: nox supervenit, et pulsare cœpisti.

d Most translators render $\partial v a \sigma \tau ds$ and $\partial v \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon ds$ here by the same word. But a difference is intended. He does not merely rise, but is at length roused, thoroughly wakened.

On some other occasions they have done the same. Σχιζομένους (Mark i. 10) is more than 'opened' ('cleft' in the Geneva Version); so too βασανιζομένους (Mark vi. 48) than 'toiling;' οἰκοδεσποτεῖν (I Tim. v. 14) than 'guide the house;' ἀποσπασθέντας ἀπ' αὐτῖν (Acts xxi. 1) than 'were gotten from them.'

f Ἰαναιδεια—by the Vulgate happily rendered improbitas, expressing, as it does, an unwearied labour either in good or in bad. The Greeks had a proverb which one scarcely can help being reminded of here, $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ ἀναίδεια, expressing in quite another spirit, yet with a similar energy all which ἀναίδεια will obtain for a man.

s Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. cii. 5); Extorsit tædio quod non possit merito. The Jews have a proverb, Impudentia etiam coram Deo proficit: so too in Greek: Θεὸς ἀναίδεια. Von Meyer (Blätter für höhere Wahrheit, vol. v. p. 45) has some interesting remarks on the ἀναίδεια of this petitioner, and how it is reconcilable with the humility which is praised in the publican (Luke xviii. 13).

pliant here triumphs in the end; he obtains, not merely the three loaves which he asked, but 'as many as he needeth;' like that woman already referred to, from whom the Saviour at first seemed to have shut up all his compassion, yet threw open to her at the last the ample treasure-house of his grace, bidding her to help herself. Nor is it merely that he thus at last gives as many as the other desires; but he who would not at first so much as send one of his household, himself now rises, and supplies all the wants of his friend. It is thus that 'the kingdom of heaven suffereth violence, and the violent take it by force.' On the return of prayers not being always immediate Augustine has many excellent observations, not a few in connexion with this parable;—as this: 'When sometimes God gives tardily, He commends his gifts, He does not deny them;'-' Things long desired. are more sweet when obtained; those quickly given, soon lose their value;'-and again: 'God withholds his gifts for a time, that thou mayest learn to desire great things greatly.'a Faith, patience, humility, are all called into exercise by these temporary denials It is then seen who will pray always and not faint; and who will be daunted by the first ill-success; like the leopard, which, failing to attain its prey at the first spring, turns sullenly back, and cannot be induced to repeat the attempt.b

A few concluding words give the moral of all which has been spoken: 'And I say unto you, Ask, and it shall be given you; seek, and ye shall find; knock, and it shall be opened unto you.' There is something else here than merely three repetitions of the same command; since to 'seek' is more than to 'ask,' and to 'knock' than to 'seek.' In this ascending scale of earnestness, an exhortation is implicitly contained not merely to prayer, but to increasing urgency in prayer, even till the suppliant carry away the boon which he requires, and which God is only waiting for the arrival of the proper moment to bestow."

^{*}Cum aliquando tardius dat, commendat dona, non negat.—Diu desiderata dulcius obtinentur, cito data vilescunt. Ut discas magna magne desiderare.

b Stella: Sunt multi qui naturæ sunt et conditionis leonispardi, qui si primo saltu vel secundo non assequitur prædam, non amplius eam insequitur. Ita isti sunt qui primâ oratione vel secundâ non exauditi, protinus ab oratione cessant, et impatientæ notâ signantur.

^{*}Augustine: Deus ad hoc se piti vult, ut capaces donorum ejus fiant, qui petunt.— Non dat nisi petenti, ne det non capienti.

19. THE RICH FOOL.

LUKE xii. 16-21. .

IN the midst of one of our Lord's discourses an interruption occurs. One of His hearers had so slight an interest in the truths which He is declaring, but has so much at heart the redressing of a wrong which he has, or believes he has, sustained in his worldly interests, that, unable to wait for a more convenient season, he breaks in upon the Lord's teaching with that request which gave occasion for this parable, 'Master, speak to my brother, that he divide the inheritance with me.' From this confident appeal, made in the presence of so many, it is likely that his brother did withhold from him a share of the patrimony which was justly his. The contrary is often taken for granted—that he had no right, and knew that he had no right, to what he is here claiming, but hoped to win from the Lord's unacquaintance with the matter a decision in his favour. There is much in the parable which found its motive in this request, to lead us to the opposite conclusion. That contains a warning, not against unrighteousness, but against 'covetousness' (ver. 15; which may display itself quite as much in the spirit wherewith we hold or reclaim our own, as in the undue snatching at that of others. It was the extreme inopportuneness of the time he chose for urging his claim, which showed him one in whom the worldly prevailed to the danger of making him totally irreceptive of the spiritual, and drew this warning word from the lips of the Lord. That he should have desired Christ as an umpire or arbitrator—for this was all he claimed (see Acts vii. 27, 35; Exod. ii. 14); and this was all which the Lord, without publicly recognized authority, could have been, a-in itself was nothing sinful. St. Paul himself recommends the settling of differences among brethren by means of such an appeal (1 Cor. vi. 1-6); and how serious a burden this arbitration afterwards became for the bishops of the Church is sufficiently known.

Grotius explains μεριστής: Qui familiæ herciscundæ, communi dividundo, aut finibus regundis arbiter sumitur.

Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. cxviii. 115) complains of this distraction from spiritual

But although no fault could be found with the request itself, Christ absolutely refused to accede to it; declined here, as ever, to interfere in affairs of civil life. It was indeed most certain that the truth He brought, received into the hearts of men, would modify and change the whole framework of society, that His word and His life were the seeds out of which the Christian States with laws affecting property as everything else, in due time would evolve themselves; but His work was from the inward to the outward. His adversaries more than once sought to thrust upon Him the exercise, or to entangle Him in the assumption of a criminal jurisdiction, as in the case of the woman taken in adultery (supposing John viii. 3-11 to be authentic); or else in a jurisdiction civil and political, as in the matter of the Roman tribute (Matt. xxii, 17). But on each occasion He carefully avoided the snare laid for Him, the rock on which so many religious reformers, as eminently Savonarola, have made shipwreck: keeping Himself within the limits of that moral and spiritual world, from which alone an effectual renovation of the outer life of man could proceed. The language in which He puts back this claimant of his interference, 'Man, who made Me a judge or a divider over you?' contains an allusion, which it is impossible to miss, to Exod. ii. 14. Almost repeating the words there spoken, He declares plainly that He will not fall into the error of Moses, thrusting Himself into matters that do not concern Him. But though refusing this man what he sought, He gives him something much better than he sought, a warning counsel; and not to him only, but to that whole multitude present; though suggested by his untimely petition: 'Take heed, and beware of covetousness," or, 'of all covetousness; for a man's life consisteth not in the abundance of the things which he possesseth.'

Fully to understand these words we must understand what 'a man's life' is, which thus does not stand in the abundance of the things which he possesses; of which, therefore, this petitioner would not have had more, if instead of half, he had secured the whole of the disputed inheritance. While we have but one word for 'life,'

objects, and that he was not allowed to say to those who came to him with cases for arbitration, 'Who made me a judge or a divider over you?' And St. Bernard warns Pope Eugenius of the dangers which from this quarter would beset him.

See Hammond, Paraphrase (in loc.).

b'Aπὸ πάσης πλεονεξίας (Lachmann, Tischendorf); ab omni avaritiâ (Vulg.). Augustine (Serm. cvii. 3) urges well the force of this 'all:' Forte tu avarum et cupidum diceres, si quæreret aliena. Ego autum dico cupide et avare non appetas nec tua . . . Non solum avarus est qui rapit aliena; sed et ille avarus est qui cupide servat sua.

the Greek possesses two, - one to express the life which we live. another to express that life by which we live; and it is of this latter which Christ is speaking here. A man may have his living, his Bive. the sustenance of his lower life, out of his earthly goods; nay, more, they may themselves be called by this very name (Mark xii. 44; Luke viii. 43; xv. 2; xxi. 4; I John iii. 17); but his life itself, his ζωή, he cannot draw from them. The breath of his nostrils is of God; not all his worldly possessions, be they ever so large, will retain his spirit an instant if that breath be withdrawn. And if this be true of life, merely as the animating principle of man's earthly existence, how much less can life, as identical with peace, joy, blessedness here, and with immortality hereafter, consist in these things which are at once outside of a man and beneath him? They may overlay, hinder, strangle this life; they were threatening to do so in one who evidently cared so much more for a patch of earth than for the kingdom of heaven; but they cannot produce it. life is from God, as it is to God. In this double meaning of 'life' lies the key to this passage, whose force they fail to educe who accept 'life' either exclusively in the lower, or exclusively in a higher sense.

And this solemn truth, that a man's life consists not in his goods; that his lower life may come to an abruptest end, and that quitting this, he may have quitted all, this Christ proceeds to illustrate by the parable which follows. 'The ground of a certain rich man brought forth plentifully.' This is no spoiler, no extortioner, no remover of his neighbour's landmarks. His riches are fairly gotten; the earth empties its abundance into his lap; his wealth has come to him in ways than which none can be conceived more innocent, namely, through the blessing of God on toils which He has Himself commanded. But here, as so often, the Giver is forgotten in the gift. and that which should have brought nearer only separates further from Him. The wise king had said long before, 'The prosperity of fools shall destroy them ' (Prov. i. 32): this man sets his seal to this word, his prosperity ensnaring him in a deeper worldliness, drawing out the selfish propensities of his heart into stronger action; for indeed out of how profound a heart-knowledge that warning word of the Psalmist proceeded, 'If riches increase, set not thy heart upon

See my Synonyms of the New Testament, § 27.

b Augustine (Serm. clxxviii. 2): Non limite perturbato, non spoliato paupere, non circumvento simplice.

Ambrose: Dat tibi fœcunditatem Deus, ut aut vincat aut condemnet avaritiam tuam.

them.' It might beforehand be assumed that the danger of setting the heart on riches would be the greatest when they were escaping from our grasp, perishing under our hand. Experience teaches another lesson, that earthly losses are remedies for covetousness, while increase in worldly goods rouses and provokes it; serving, not as water to quench, but as fuel to feed, the fire: "He that loveth silver shall not be satisfied with silver, nor he that loveth abundance with increase" (Eccl. v. 10).

'And he thought within himself, saying, What shall I do, because I have no room where to bestow my fruits?' Some find in these words the anxious deliberations of one brought into sore straits by that very abundance for which others were envying him; not knowing which way to turn, and as painfully perplexed through his riches as another through his poverty. b Better to say, that the curtain is here drawn back, and we are admitted into the inner council-chamber of a wordling's heart, glorying in his abundance, and realizing to the very letter the making 'provision for the flesh, to fulfil the lusts thereof.' To his first words, 'I have no place where to bestow my fruits,' it has been answered well, 'Thou hast barns,—the bosoms of the needy,—the houses of the widows,—the mouths of orphans and of infants. ' Had he listened to the admonition of the Son of Sirach. 'Shut up alms in thy storehouses' (xxix. 12), he would not have found his barns to narrow. To one about to bestow his fruits amiss. and so in danger of losing them, Augustine addresses this affectionate admonition: 'God desires not that thou shouldst lose thy riches, but that thou shouldst change their place; He has given thee a counsel, which do thou understand. Suppose a friend should enter thy house, and should find that thou hadst lodged thy fruits on a damp floor, and he, knowing by chance the tendency of those fruits to spoil, whereof thou wert ignorant, should give thee counsel of this sort, saying, "Brother, thou losest the things which with great labour thou hast gathered; thou hast placed them in a damp place; in a few

a Plutarch applies to the covetous the line, τὸ φάρμακον σαυ τὴν νόσον μείζω ποιεῖ· and so the Latin proverb: Avarum irritat pecunia, non satiat; compare Seneca, Ad Helv. II; Augustine, Serm. i. 4; and the fine Eastern tale of Abdallah the cameldriver.

b So Augustine: Turbavit hominem copia plusuqam inopia; thus too Gregory the Great (Moral. xv. 22): O augustia ex satietate nata! De ubertate agri angustatur animus avari. Dicens namque, Quid faciam? profecto indicat quia votorum suorum affectibus pressus, sub quodam rerum fasce laborabat; and Grotius quotes in this view: Crescentem sequitur cura pecuniam. But Unger much better: Opulentum describit parabola elate deliberantem.

^e Ambrose (De Nabuthe, 7): Habes apothecas, inopum sinus, viduarum domus, ora infantium. Cf. Augustine, Serm. xxxvi. 9.

days they will corrupt;"—"And what, brother, shall I do?"—
"Raise them to a higher room;"—thou wouldst listen to thy brother suggesting that thou shouldst raise thy fruits from a lower to a higher floor; and thou wilt not listen to Christ advising that thou raise thy treasure from earth to heaven, where that will not indeed be restored to thee which thou layest up; for He bids thee lay up earth, that thou mayest receive heaven, lay up perishable things, that thou mayest receive eternal."

This would have been his wisdom, to provide thus for himself bags which wax not old, a treasure in the heavens which faileth not' (ver. 33). But he determines otherwise; he has another scheme altogether: 'This will I do: I will pull down my barns, and build greater; and there will I bestow all my fruits and my goods. And I will say to my soul, Soul, thou hast' much goods laid up for many years; take thine ease, eat, drink, and be merry.' Having now at last a citadel and strong tower, to which he may flee and be safe, he will rest from his labours, and henceforth, to put heathen language into the mouth of this truly heathen man, not defraud his genius any more. There it again an irony as melancholy as profound in making him address this speech, not to his body, but to his soul;—for that soul, though capable of being thus dragged down to a basest service of the flesh, embodied and imbruted, was also capable of being quickened by the divine Spirit, of knowing and loving and gloryifying God.º And then, though the wise king had said, 'Boast not thyself of to-morrow' (Prov. xxvii. 1), he boasts himself of 'many years' (cf. Ecclus. v. 1.); expects, like Job, to multiply his days as the sand, and to die in his nest (Job xxix. 18).d Some words in the Apocrypha (Ecclus. xi. 18, 19) constitute a remarkable parallel: 'There is that waxeth rich by his weariness and pinching, and this is the portion of his reward: whereas he saith, I have found rest, and now will eat continually of my goods; and yet he knoweth not what time shall come upon him, and that he must leave those things to others, and die.' Such a man is here. We have heard what he was saying to himself; it is now

Permutet dominos, et cedat in altera jura —Horace, Ep. ii. 2. 171.

Enarr. in Ps. xlviii. 9; cf. in Ps. xxxviii. 6.

Sit proprium quidquam, puncto quod mobilis horæ, Nunc prec, nunc pretio, nunc vi, nunc morte supremâ,

[°] This is finely brought out by Basil the Great in his noble sermon on this parable: °Ω της ἀλογίας! εἰ δέ χοιρείαν εἶχες ψυχήν, τί ἀν ἄλλο, ἡ τοῦτο αὐτῆ εὐηγγελίσω; Οὐτω κτηνώδης εἰ, οὕτως ἀσύνετος τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀγαθῶν, τοῖς τῆς σαρκὸς αὐτην βρώμασι δεξιούμενος καὶ ὅσα ὁ ἀφεδρῶν ὑποδέχεται, ταῦτα τῆ ψυχῆ παραπέμπεις.

⁴ Tertullian: Provenientibus fructibus ampliationem horreorum, et longe securitatis spatia cogitavit.

permitted us to hear what God at the same instant was saving to him: 'Thou fool, this night thy soul shall be required of thee. "Thou fool,'a_this title is opposed to the opinion of his own foresight which he entertained, - 'this night,' to the 'many years' which he promised to himself,—and that 'soul' which he purposed to nourish and make fat, it is declared shall be inexorably 'required' of him, and painfully rendered up. b But how, it is asked, did God speak to him? Was it by a sudden presentiment of approaching death, by some strong alarm of conscience, by some mortal sickness at this instant falling upon him, or by what other means? In none of these or like ways, as I understand the words. It fared not with him as with the Babylonian king, to whom, even while the word of pride was yet in his mouth, there came a voice from heaven, announcing that the kingdom was departed from him (Dan. iv. 31); nor yet as it fared with Herod, stricken in the hour of his profane apotheosis (Acts xii. 23). Not thus, but, more awfully still, while those secure deliberations were going on in his thoughts, this sentence was being determined in the counsels of God; for so does the Lord in heaven deride the counsels of sinners, knowing how soon He will bring them to nothing. Not as yet was there any sign or token importing the nearness of the divine judgment; but at the very moment when the decree was going forth that his thread of life should so soon be cut in twain, he was promising himself the long spaces of an uninterrupted security.

Nor is it merely, as our Translation has it, that his soul 'shall be required,'—it 'is required,'—of him; the doom is so fearfully near that the present can alone express its nearness. In another point our Version may be bettered. Why not render, 'This night they require thy soul of thee,' leaving who 'they' are who shall thus require it in the fearful obscurity of the original? Probably the avenging angels are intended, the ministers of judgment (cf. Job xxxiii. 22: 'Yea, his soul draweth near unto the grave, and his life to the destroyers'); so that we have here the reverse of that 'carried by the angels

^{*} Seneca, in an epistle (the roist) on the sudden death of a rich acquaintance: Quam stultum est ætatem disponere! ne crastino quidem dominamur. O quanta dementia est, spes longas inchoantium. Emam, ædificabo, credam, exigam, honores geram; tum demum lassam et plenam senectutem in otium referam. A Greek epigram reminds us, that with all his heaping a man is not able ζωῆς σωρεῦσαι μίτρα περισσότερα.

b Vitringa (Erklär, der Parab. p. 781) makes ingenious reference here to I Sam. xxv. 25, observing that this rich fool is the Nabal of the New Testament: 'As his name is, so is he: Nabal is his name, and folly is with him.' Compare ver. 36-38 there with this ver. 20 of our parable.

God said to him this, non revelando, sed decernendo (Grotius).

into Abraham's bosom,' of Luke xvi. 23. The force of this 'required' (cf. Wisd. xv. 8: 'His life which was lent him shall be demanded'), is well brought out by Theophylact: 'For like pitiless exactors of tribute, terrible angels shall require thy soul from thee unwilling, and through love of life resisting. For from the righteous his soul is not required, but he commits it to God and the Father of spirits, pleased and rejoicing, nor finds it hard to lay it down, for the body lies upon it as a light burden. But the sinner who has enfleshed his soul, and embodied it, and made it earthy, has prepared to render its divulsion from the body most hard: wherefore it is said to be required of him, as a disobedient debtor that is delivered to pitiless exactors; 'a compare Job xxvii. 8: 'What is the hope of the hypocrite, though he hath gained, when God taketh away his soul?' God 'taketh it away;' for he is not as a ship, which has long been waiting in harbour, and when the signal is given, lifts joyfully its anchors, and makes sail for the haven of eternity; but like one by fierce winds dragged from its moorings, and driven furiously to perish on the rocks. wordling is tern from the world, the only sphere of delight which he knows, as the fabled mandrake is torn from the earth, shrieking and with bleeding roots b- Then whose shall those things be, which thou hast provided?' 'He heapeth up riches, and knoweth not who shall gather them' (Ps. xxxix. 6). Solomon long before had noted, among the vanities that cling to wealth, the uncertainty upon whom at the death of the gatherer it would devolve, as of the uses to which he would turn it: 'Yea, I hated all my labour which I had taken under the sun, because I should leave it unto the man that shall be after me: and who knoweth whether he shall be a wise man or a fool?' (Eccles. ii. 18, 19, 21, 26; Ps. xlix. 6-20; Jer xvii. 11; Job xxvii. 16, 17).

'So is he that layeth up treasure for himself, and is not rich toward God.' Self and God are here contemplated as the two poles between which the soul is placed, for one or other of which it must determine, and then constitute that one the end and object of all its aims and efforts. If for the first, then the man 'layeth up treasure for himself,' and what the issue of this is, we have seen; the man and his treasure come to nothing together. He has linked himself to the perishable in his inmost being, and he must perish with it. The very

a As the other side of the same truth, the Jewish doctors :aught that the angel Gabriel drew gently out with a kiss the soul of the righteous from their mouths: with which we may compare the Christian phrase, in osculo Domini obdormire.

b So Lucian's inimitable sixteenth Dialogue (Cataplus), for a commentary, in its way, on these words.

enriching of himself outwardly, being made the purpose of his existence, is an impoverishing of himself inwardly, that is, toward God and in those which are the true riches: for there is a continual draining off to worldly objects, of those affections which should have found their only satisfying object in God; where his treasure is. there his heart is also. Now the scripture ever considers the heart as that which constitutes a man truly rich or truly poor. He that has no love to God, no large spiritual affections, no sympathies with his brethren, is 'wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked,' and shall one day discover that he is so, however now he may be saying, 'I am rich, and increased with goods, and have need of nothing' (Rev. iii. 17). He is poor toward God; he has nothing with God; he has laid up in store no good foundation against the time to come. On the other hand, he only is truly rich, who is rich toward God, who is rich in God; who has made the eternal and unchangeable the object of his desires and his efforts. possesses all things, though in this world he may have nothing; and for him to die will not be to quit, but to go to, his riches.

Christ, having thus warned His hearers against covetousness, and knowing how often it springs from distrust in God (Heb. xiii. 5), proceeds to teach them where they may find the best antidote to this and to all over-anxious thoughts for the future, namely, in the assurance of His tender watchfulness and care over them (ver. 22-30); the connexion being thus as close as it is beautiful between this parable and the instructions which immediately follow. In the mention of the ravens, which are fed, though they neither sow nor reap, have neither storehouse nor barn (ver. 24), there is, perhaps, a distinct reminiscence of it, and allusion to it.

^{*} Cyprian's words addressed to the covetous involve the true interpretation of the passage (De Op. et Eleem.): Obsederunt animum tuum sterilitatis tenebræ, et recedente inde lumine veritatis, carnale pectus alta et profunda avaritiæ caligo cæcavit: pecuniæ tuæ captivus et servus es, servas pecuniam, quæ te servata non servat, patrimonium cumulas, quod te pondere suo gravius onerat: nec meministi quid Deus responderit diviti exuberantium fructuum copiam stultâ exultatione jactanti. Quid divitiis tuis solus incubas? qui iu pœnam tuam patrimonii tui pondus exaggeras; ut quo locupletior seculo fueris, pauperior Deo fias? See Suicer, Thes. s. v. πουντέω.

20. THE BARREN FIG-TREE.

LUKE xiii. 6-9.

THE eagerness of men to be the first narrators of evil tidings, an eagerness which must spring from a certain secret pleasure in these, most often unacknowledged even to themselves, may have moved some to hasten to the Lord with tidings of a new outrage which Pilate had committed. These bearers of this report understood rightly that He was speaking, in the words which conclude the last chapter (ver. 58, 59), of the terrible judgments which men draw down upon their own heads through their sins: but, as is the manner of most, they made application of them only to others. Of the outrage itself,—which, however, agrees well with the quarrel between Herod and Pilate (Luke xxiii. 12), and may have been either its cause or its consequence,—there is no notice elsewhere; for we cannot allow that the scattering or slaying by Pilate of some fanatical Samaritan insurgents, recorded by Josephus, b and here adduced by some earler commentators, is the event referred to. But we know that a revolt, or, at the least, a tumult, was always dreaded at the great festivals, and various precautions taken against it; a very small spark serving to kindle into a blaze the smouldering elements of Jewish resistance to the hated Roman dominion, and to provoke measures of severest retaliation on the part of the Roman authorities.° Among the numberless atrocities which ensued, it is nothing strange that this, which must have been but as a drop of water in a great ocean, should remain unrecorded. Some outbreak of that troublesome insurrectionary spirit for which the Galilæans were noted, may

a Two languages at least bear melancholy witness to the existence of such a feeling, having a word to express this joy at calamities:—the German, Schadenfreude; and the Greek, ἐπιχαιρεκακία.

b Antt. xviii. 4. I.

o Antt. xx. 5. 3.

d The Galileans Josephus describes as industrious and brave; they were held in a certain contempt by other Jews, partly as less pure in blood, many heathens being mingled among them, whence their country is called 'Galilee of the Gentiles' (Matt.

have been the motive or excuse for this massacre; which yet cannot have been perpetrated in Galilee, where, as subjects of Herod (Luke xxiii. 7, 12), these men would not have been exposed to Pilate's cruelty, but at Jerusalem, which also was the only place where sacrifices were offered (Lev. xvii. 8, o; Deut. xii. 26, 27; John iv. 20). The language in which their slaughter is reported is significant; they were men 'whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices;' thus blood was mingled with blood, their own with that of the slain beasts which they offered; the narrators probably urging this as evidence of the peculiar anger of God against those who perished so. If men might be safe anywhere, or at any time, it would be at the altar of God, and in the act of offering sacrifices to Him. But here, they would infer (just as Job's friends inferred some mighty guilt upon his part from the mighty calamities which overwhelmed him), there must have been some hidden enormous guilt, which turned the very sacrifices of these men into sin,—not a propitiation of God, but a provocation,—so that they themselves became piacular expiations, their blood mingling with, and itself becoming part of, the sacrifices which they offered.

But whether they intended this or not, the Lord at once rebuked the cruel judgments which they certainly had formed concerning those that perished: 'Suppose ve that these Galilaans were sinners above all the Galilaans, because they suffered such things?' He does not deny that they were sinners, justly obnoxious to this or any other severest visitation from God, but only that the blood-bath in which they perished marked them out as sinners above all their fellow-countrymen; and then He leads his hearers, as was his wont (see Luke xiii. 23; John xxi. 22), to take their eyes off from others, and to fix them upon themselves: 'I tell you, Nay; but, except ye repent, ye shall all likewise terish.' This is the lesson for ourselves in the calamities which befall others; they are loud and earnest calls to repentance. Instead of exalting ourselves above and against the sufferers, as though we were more righteous than they, and therefore exempt from like tribulations, we shall rather acknowledge that whatever befalls another, might justly have befallen ourselves When, too, we have learned to

iv. 15; see I Macc. i. 15, Γαλιλαία ἀλλοφύλων),—and partly as less strictly orthodox (John vii. 52; see i. 46; Acts ii. 7), and departing in many observances from the tradition of Jerusalem. They spoke a harsh broad dialect (Matt. xxvi. 73), characterized by a confusion of gutturals, and not always intelligible to a native of Jerusalem (Lightfoot, Chorograph. Cent. lxxxvi. 87).

^{*}A tumult in the temple itself, pitilessly quelled in blood by Archelaus, son of Herod the Great, some thirty years before this, is related at length by Josephus 'Antt. xvii. 9.3).

recognize in ourselves the bitter root of sin, we shall be prompt to confess that whatever deadly fruit it bears in another, it might have borne the same or worse, under like temptations, in ourselves. when this is felt, it will be no longer possible to triumph over the doom of any sinner. The thoughts of one, thus taught to know himself, will fall back on his own life and on his own heart. He will see in the chastisement which has overtaken another, the image of that which might justly have overtaken himself; and a message of warning to be laid to his own heart. For he will not deny, as neither does Christ here deny, the intimate connexion between suffering and sin; but it is the sin of the whole race which is linked with the suffering of the whole race; and not of necessity the sin of the individual with his particular share and portion in this the world's woe. So far from denying this connexion, the more the Christian conscience is unfolded in him, the more close will this connexion appear. At every new instance of moral and physical evil which he encounters in a world which has departed from God, he will anew justify God as the author of all good, even when He asserts Himself negatively as such, in the misery of man as he is a sinful creature separated from his God, no less than positively, in the blessedness of man as he is redeemed and reunited with God.

Our blessed Lord, more fuly to illustrate the truth which He has in hand, Himself brings forward another instance of a swift destruction overtaking many persons at once: 'Or those eighteen, upon whom the tower in Siloam fell, and slew them, think ye that they were sinners above all men'—or literally, 'debtors above all men' (Matt. v. 25; vi. 12; xviii. 24; Luke vii. 41)—'that dwelt in Jerusalem?' As little in this case were cruel judgments to find place. But while none might attribute a preëminence in guilt to those who were crushed by that

Strauss (Leben Jesu, vol. ii. pp. 84-70) terms the faith in a connexion between sin and suffering, a 'vulgar Hebrew notion,' from which this passage might at first seem to clear the Lord, but which Matt. ix. 2, John v. 14 lay again at his door, or at theirs who profess to report his words. Christ affirms, and all Scripture affirms, that the sum total of the calamity which oppresses the human race is the consequence of the sum-total of its sin; nor does He deny the relation in which a man's actual sins may stand to his suffering. What He does deny is the power of other men to trace the connexion, and thus their right in any particular case to assert it. And this, instead of being a 'vulgar Hebrew notion,' is a most deeply rooted conviction in the universal human heart, inextricably entwined in all language—a truth which men may forget or deny in their prosperity, but which in the hour of calamity they are compelled to acknowledge, extorting as it does this confession from them : Our sin hath found us out (Gen. xlii. 21: cf. 1 Kin. xvii. 18; Judg. i. 7). Strange that the barbarous islanders of Melite should have been in moral intuition so far ahead of the learned German Professor (Acts xxviii. 4). Hengstenberg (Authentie d. Pentateuches, vol ii. p. 577 seq.) has some good observations on the same subject.

falling tower, yet here also, in sudden and strange catastrophes like this, all were to recognize a call to repentance; partly as these should remind all of the uncertainty of life, how soon therefore their own day of grace might end; but chiefly as awakening in them a sense and consciousness of sin. For all discords of outward nature, of fire and flood, of earthquake and storm, all fearful accidents, like that of the falling tower, are parts of that subjection of the whole creation to vanity, consequent on the sin of man; all speak to sinners in the same warning language, 'Except ye repent, ye shall all likewise perish.' The near resemblance between these two calamities just instanced, and the doom which actually did overtake the rebellious Jews, the nation which refused to obey this bidding and to repent, can scarcely be accidental, and demands that we shall give to that 'likewise' of the Lord all its force. It was indeed 'likewise' that they perished: for the very same forms of violent death overtook them. As the tower in Siloam fell and crushed eighteen of the dwellers in Jerusalem, exactly so multitudes of its inhabitants in that last siege and assault were crushed beneath the ruins of their temple and city; numbers also were pierced through by the Roman missiles,—or more miserably vet, by the swords of their own frantic factions, a-in the courts of the temple, in the very act of preparing their sacrifices, so that literally their blood, like that of these Galilæans, was 'mingled with their sacrifices,' one blood with another.

Those two calamities then are adduced as slight foretastes of the doom reserved for the whole people of the Jews. If they would lay to heart the warning, and bring forth fruit meet for repentance, that doom might even now be averted: but if not, then these beginnings of sorrow should usher in at length the overwhelming calamity which would leave no room for repentance. In the meanwhile, in the fact that hitherto the strokes descended upon them for warning, and not the stroke for excision, they should see proof of the long suffering of God, not willing that any should perish: and to use Olshausen's words,—'the discourse of Jesus, severe and full of rebuke, is closed by a parable, in which the merciful Son of man again brings the side of grace prominently forward. He appears as the Intercessor for men before the righteousness of the heavenly Father, as He who obtains for them space for repentance. This idea of the deferring of the judgment of God, so to leave men opportunity to turn, runs through all Scripture; before the deluge, a period of a hundred and twenty years was fixed (Gen. vi. 3); Abraham prayed for Sodom (Gen. xviii, 24); the destruction of Jerusalem did not follow till forty

Josephus, B. J. v. 1. J: Πολλοὶ . . . πρὸ τῶν θυμάτων ἔπεσον αὐτοί. Cf. ii. 2. 5.

years after the Ascension of the Lord; and the coming again of Christ is put off through the patience of God (2 Pet. iii. 9).'

We have then a parable here concerning the long-suffering and the severity of God. 'A certain man had a fig-tree planted in his vineyard.' 'The peculiarity of the image—that of a fig tree in a vineyard, however unlike to the European notion of a mass of unbroken vine-clad hills, is natural in Palestine, where, whether in cornfields or vineyards, fig-trees, thorn-trees, apple trees, are allowed to grow freely wherever they can get soil to support them.'a The vineyard here must be the world, and not, as in the parable of the Wicked Husbandmen, the kingdom of God: in the midst of the world the Tewish people were set that they should bear much fruit, that they should bring much glory to God (Deut. iv. 6). But the parable, though directly addressed to them, is also of universal application; for as Israel was the representative of all and each who in after times should be elected out of the world to the privileges of a nearer knowledge of God, therefore a warning is here for the Gentile Church, and for each particular soul. Compare Matt. iii. 2; John xv. 2.

'And he came and sought fruit thereon, and found none.' There is a wonderful fitness in the simple image running through all Scripture, which compares men to trees, and their work to fruit, —the fruit of a tree, just as the works of a man, being the organic utterance and outcoming of the inner life, not something arbitrarily attached or fastened on from without (Ps. i 3; Jer. xvii. 8; John xv. 2, 4, 5; Rom. vii. 4). The three kinds of works whereof Scripture speaks may all be illustrated from this image: first, good works, a when the tree, having been made good, bears fruit after its own kind; then dead works, such as have a fair outward appearance, but are not the genuine outgrowth of the renewed man,—fruit, as it were, fastened

^{*} Stanley, Sinai and Palestine, p. 413.

b Such application of it Ambrose makes (Exp, in Luc, vii. 171): Quod de Judæis dictum, omnibus cavendum arbitror, et nobis maxime: ne fecundum Ecclesiæ locum vacui meritis occupemus: qui quasi melogranata benedicti, fructus ferre debemus internos, fructus pudoris, fructus conjunctionis, fructus mutuæ caritatis et amoris, sub uno utero Ecclesiæ matris inclusi: ne aura noceat, ne grando decutiat, ne æstus cupiditatis exurat, ne humoris iber elidat.

^o Bengel (in Matt. vii. 16): Fructus est, quod homo, tanquam arbor, ex bonâ vel malâ indole suâ, omnes interiores facultates permeante, scaturit. Doctrina undecunque compilata et linguæ alligata non est fructus; sed id omne quod doctor aliquis ex suo corde promit et profert, in sermone et actione, ceu quiddam ex intimâ suâ constitutione fluens, ut lac quod mater præbet ex se. On this same subject Augustine has an admirable sermon (Serm. .xxii.).

⁴ Έργα Θεοῦ (John vi. 28), καλὰ ἔργα (Tit. ii. 7), ἀγαθὰ ἔργα (I Tim. ii. 10), ἔρλα πίστεως (I Thess. i. 3).

[·] Έργα νεκρά (Heb. ix. 14), and sometimes έργα νόμου (Gal. ii. 16).

on externally, alms given that they may be gloried in, prayers made that they may be seen; and lastly, wicked works, when the corrupt tree bears fruit manifestly after its own kind. Here it is, of course, those good fruits, of which none are found; both the other kinds of fruit the Jewish fig-tree only too abundantly bore.

What is here parabolically related was on another occasion typically done in a kind of sermo realis by the Saviour: when in the last days of His ministry, 'seeing a fig-tree afar off, having leaves, He came, if haply He might find anything thereon' (Mark xi. 13). But He then, as the master of the vineyard now, 'found none.' Long since the prophets had upbraided their people, that having been ordained to bring forth much fruit to the glory of God, they had fallen short of the purpose for which they were set in the world; bringing forth either bitter fruit or none (Isai v. 2, 7; Jer. xv.; and, if our Version is to stand, Hos. x. 1); and now the greatest of the prophets implicitly repeats the charge.

Then said he unto the dresser of his vineyard, Behold, these three years I come seeking fruit on this fig-tree, and find none.' By these 'three years' Augustine understands the times of the natural law,—of the written law, and now, at last, of grace. Theophylact: 'Christ came thrice, by Moses, by the prophets, and thirdly in His own person; He comes, when application of the parable is made to the individual, -in childhood, in manhood, in old age.' Olshausen finds allusion to the three years of the Lord's open ministry; but Grotius had already observed against this, and with reason, that if the 'three years' are chronological, the 'one year more,' presently granted to the tree, should be chronological also; whereas not one, but forty years of grace were allowed to the Jews, before the Romans came and took away their name and place .- 'Cut it down' (see Isai. v. 5, 6; Matt. vii. 19; Luke xix. 41-44); 'why [also] cumbereth it the ground?'b which 'also,' helping to explain the sentence passed upon the tree, our Translators have missed. Why should the tree continue, when, besides being itself barren, it 'also' injured the soil in which it stood; for that question, 'Why cumivereth it the ground?' implies something more

^{*} Έργα πονηρά (I John iii. 12), ἔργα τοῦ σλότους (Rom. xiii. 12), τῆ σαρκός (Gal. v. 19).
b Ἰνατί καὶ τῆν γῆν καταργεῖ; Ut quid etiam terram occupat? (Vulgate); or better,
Quare insuper terram reddit sterilem? Warum macht er auch noch das Land unfruchtbar? (De Wette). Gregory the Great (Hom. 31 in Evang.): Postquam enim
se perdidit, quærendum est cur et alios premat. And Bengel: Non modo nil prodest,
sed etiam laticem avertit, quem e terrâ sucturæ erant vites, et soles interpellat, et
spatium occupat.

^ο Καταργείν, a favourite word with St. Paul, occurs twenty-six times in his Epistles;

than that it occupied the room which might have been filled by another and a fruit-bearing tree. The barren tree mischiefed the land, spreading injurious shade, and drawing off to itself the fatness and fertility which should have gone to trees rendering a return. It was thus with the Jewish Church, which not merely did not itself bring forth fruits of righteousness, but through it the name of God was blasphemed among the Gentiles (Rom. ii. 24); the Jews hindering in many ways the spread of the knowledge of God among other nations, through the mischievous influences of their pride and hypocrisy (Matt. xxiii. 13, 15); what was thus true of a Church being not less true of each separate sinner; who is not merely himself unprofitable to God, but by his evil example, by his corrupt maxims, is an obstacle and a stumbling-block to others in the way of godliness.—On that 'Cut it down' St. Basil beautifully bids us note the love which breathes even in the threatenings of God. 'This,' he says, 'is peculiar to the clemency of God toward men, that He does not bring in punishments silently or secretly; but by his threatenings first proclaims them to be at hand, thus inviting sinners to repentance.' That grand old proverb, which so finely expresses the noiseless approach of the divine judgments, 'The gods have feet of wool' (Dilaneos habent pedes), true for others, is not true for those who have a listening ear. Before the hewing down begins, the axe is laid at the root of the tree (Matt. iii. 10); laid there that it may be ready at hand for immediate use; but laid there also, that, if possible, this sign of what is threatened may avert the actual fulfilment of the threata (2 Chron. xxxiii. 10).

The dresser of the vineyard, who pleads for the tree, and would fain avert its doom, 'Lord, let it alone this year also, till I shall dig about it, and dung it,' is the Son Himself, the Intercessor for men

and only here besides it the New Testament. I may observe too that it is rendered in our Version in fourteen different ways! 'Cumbereth' it not altogether an adequate rendering, though this in part from a change in the meaning of the word since our Version was made. The occupat of the Vulgate is equally inadequate. Impedit, of the older Latin Version, was better, for the tree is charged not merely with being negatively, but positively evil; it marred and mischiefed the soil beneath and around it. Gregory the Great: Stat desuper arbor infructuosa, et subtus terra sterilis jacet. Infructuosæ arboris desuper umbra denstaur, et soil radius ad terram descendere nequaquam permittitur. Corn. a Lapide: Terram inertem et sterilem reddit, tum umbrâ suâ, tum radicibus suis, quibus succum terræ vicinis vitibus eripit et præripit. Even so we have in Shakespeare:

'The noisome weeds that without profit suck The soil's fertility from wholesome flowers.'

Augustine: Si damnare vellet, taceret. Nemo volens ferire dicit, Observa. Chrysostom (De Pænit, Hom. 7): 'Απειλεί την τιμωρίαν, ϊνα φύγωμεν την πείραν της τιμωρίας* φοβεί τῷ λόγω, ϊνα μὴ κολάση τῷ έργω.

(Job xxxiii. 23; Zech. i. 12; Heb. vii, 25); not, indeed, as though the Father and Son had different minds concerning sinners, the counsels of the Father being wrath, and of the Son mercy; for righteousness and love are not qualities in Him who is Righteousness and who is Love; they cannot, therefore, be set one against the other, since they are His essential being. But in our anxiety to escape this error, we must not fall into the opposite, letting go the reality of God's wrath against sin,—the reality of the sacrifice of Christ, not merely on the side with which it looks toward men, but also on that which looks toward God; this sacrifice being indeed a propitiation of God. and not merely an assurance of God's love towards sinners. How these two truths shall be reconciled, and those two errors shunned, is shown in those words: 'the lamb slain from the foundation of the world' (Rev. xiii. 8) 'foreordained before the foundation of the world' (I Pet. i. 20). The sacrifice, though of necessity outwardly brought to pass in time, found place in the purpose of Him who offered, and of Him who accepted it, before all time, or rather, out of time; so that we can never conceive of man as not contemplated by God in Christ. There was no change in God's mind, concerning the sinner, because He who beholds the end from the beginning, had beheld him from the first as reconciled and reconstituted in His Son (Rom. xvi. 25, 26). From this point of view we may regard the high-priestly intercession of Christ as having been effectual even before He passed into the heavens, there to appear before God for us; for to that intercession all the long-suffering of God toward sinners is to be referred; the prætermission of sins through the forbearance of God (Rom. iii. 25) under the Old Covenant, to be followed by a remission of them when the designed sacrifice had been actually accomplished: b-' the earth and all the inhabitants thereof are dissolved; I bear up the pillars of it' (Ps. lxxv. 3). Some of the Fathers see here an allusion as well to the intercessory work which the Church, in its healthy members, is ever carrying forward on behalf of its sick, or that of the Church for the world.° No doubt such intercession has a real worth before God (Gen. xviii. 23-33; xx. 7: Exod. xxxii. 11; Job xlii. 8; 1 Sam. xii. 19, 23; 2 Kin. xix. 24; Jer. xv. 1; 1 Tim. ii. 1-4; Jam. v. 14-18; 1 John v. 16); nor need such be absolutely excluded here; yet, this must first and

^{*} Augustine (Serm. ccliv. 2): Interpellat misericors misericordem. Qui enim se volebat exhibere misericordem, ipse sibi opposuit intercessorem.

Don the distinction between the πάρεσις (Rom, iii. 25) and the ἄφεσις άμαρτιῶν, see my Synonyms of the New Testament, § 33.

[•] As Augustine (Serm. ex. 1): Qui intercedit colonus est omnis sanctus, qui intra Ecclesiam orat pro iis qui sunt extra Ecclesiam.

chiefly be referred to that one Intercessor, on whose intercession that of all others must ultimately rest. It is plain, too, that *He* must be meant, for He only to whom all judgment is committed could have received the command, '*Cut it down*;' to men it could in no case have been given (Matt. xiii. 29, 30).

This great Intercessor pleads for men, yet not that they may always continue unpunished in their sins, but only that their sentence may for a while be suspended; so to prove whether they will turn and repent; even as the vine-dresser here begs for the barren tree, not that it may be suffered always to stand (for, on the contrary, he allows its doom, should it abide unfruitful, as righteous and good), but asking for it one year of grace; 'If it bear fruit, well; b and if not, then after that thou shalt cut it down.' During this year he 'will dig about it and dung it; ' will hollow out the earth from around the stem, filling up the space with manure; as one may now see done to the orange-trees in the south of Italy.º By these appliances is signified that multiplication of the means of grace which is so often granted to men and nations in the last period of their probation, and just before those means are withdrawn from them for ever. Thus, before the flood, they had Noah, a 'preacher of righteousness,'before the great catastrophes of the Jewish people some of their most eminent prophets, as Jeremiah before the taking of Jerusalem by the Chaldwans,—and before its final doom they enjoyed the ministry of Christ and of His Apostles. This last is intended here; that richer supply of grace, that freer outpouring of the Spirit, which should follow on the death, resurrection and ascension of the Lord. So Theophylact: 'Though they were not made better by the law and the prophets, nor yielded fruit or repentance, yet will I water them by my doctrines and passion; it may be they will then yield fruits of obedience.' No doubt if the history of men's separate lives were written as large as that of nations and Churches, and we could thus read one as plainly as the other, we should oftener perceive that what is true of the one is also true of the other: we should mark critical moments in men's lives to which all the future is linked, on which altogether it turns,—times of gracious visitation, which above all it behoved them to know, and not suffer to escape unimproved. Such a time of visitation to the Jewish people was the Lord's and His

^{*} Augustine (Serm. cx. 4): Dilata est securis, noli esse secura; and elsewhere: Distulit securim, non dedit securitatem.

 $[^]b$ We have the same suspended sense, with $\epsilon \mathring{v}$, or some word similar, understood. Luke xxii. 42; and Thucydides, iii. 3.

[•] Augustine, Serm. celiv.; cx. 1: Sordes cultoris, dolores sunt peccatoris. Cf. Ambrose, De Panit. ii. 1.

Apostles' ministry (Luke xix. 42); then was the last digging about and manuring of the tree which had continued barren so long. But it abode in barrenness; its day of grace came therefore to an end; and, as here is threatened, it was inexorably cut down. In the parable, indeed, our Lord does not positively affirm that the tree will remain unfruitful to the last, but suggests the other as a possible alternative: 'If it bear fruit, well;' for thus the door of repentance is left open still; the free will of man is recognized and respected, and none are left to suppose that they are shut up, except by their own evil will, in unbelief and hardness of heart, that any but themselves can make inevitable their doom.

Rosenmüller (Alte und Neue Morgenland, vol. v. p. 187) quotes from an Arabian writer this receipt for curing a palm-tree of barrenness: 'Thou must take a hatchet, and go to the tree with a friend, unto whom thou sayest, I will cut down this tree, for it is unfruitful. He answers, Do not so, this year it will certainly bear fruit. But the other says, It must needs be,—it must be hewn down; and gives the stem of the tree three blows with the back of the hatchet. His friend restrains him, crying, Nay, do it not, thou wilt certainly have fruit from it this year, only have patience, and be not overhasty in cutting it down; if it still refuses to bear fruit, then cut it down. Then will the tree that year be certainly fruitful and bear abundantly.' Compare Rückert, Brahmanische Erzählungen; S. de Sacy, Chrest. Arabe, vol. ii. p. 379; the same reappearing in the collection of tracts De Re Rustica, entitled Geoponica.

al. THE GREAT SUPPER.

Luke xiv: 15-24.

I SHALL not repeat the arguments which leave no doubt on my mind that this parable, and that recorded at Matt. xxii. 1-14, are essentially different, spoken upon different occasions, and with (partially) different aims. On the present occasion the Lord had been invited to eat bread with one of the chief Pharisees (ver. 1). The meal must have been a costly and ceremonious one. It included probably friends and kinsmen and rich neighbors of His host (ver. 12); among whom there was silent contests for precedence (ver. 7). But among these guests, hostile as no doubt for the most part they were to the young Galilæan teacher, there was one who could not forbear expressing his sympathy with some words which fell from the Lord's lips (ver. 15). To Him, and in Him to us all, this parable was youchsafed.

'A certain man made a great supper, and bade many'—'a supper,' it has been often explained, because, as such takes place at evening, so in the evening of time, in the 'last hour' (I John ii. 18; I Cor. x. II,) Christ came and invited men to the fulness of Gospel blessings. But this is pressing too far a word of fluctuating use; which, even if it does in later Greek signify predominantly a supper, was not upon this account selected here, but as expressing the principal meal in the day. Men's relish for things heavenly is so little, their desire so faint, that God graciously presents these things to them under such inviting and alluring images as this, that so they may be stirred up to a more earnest longing after them. The 'many' whom the rich man bade

This snatching at the first places is adduced by Theophrastus (Char. 21) as an example of the μικροφιλοτιμία. See also Becker, Charicles, v. i. p. 427.

b A sermon by Gregory the Great (*Hom.* 36 in Evang.) on this parable begins beautifully thus: Hoc distare inter delicias corporis et cordis solet, quod corporales deliciæ cum non habentur, grave in se desiderium accedunt; cum vero habitæ eduntur, comedentem protinus in fastidium vertunt. At contra spiritales deliciæ, cum non habentur, in fastidio sunt: cum vero habentur, in desiderio; tantoque a

are the Jews; yet not so much the entire nation, as those who might be presumed the most favourably disposed for the embracing of the truth; the most religious among the people; and thus, as guardians of the faith, the priests and elders, the Scribes and Pharisees, in opposition to the publicans and sinners, and the more despised portions of the nation, whose turn only arrives when these others have made light of the invitation.

And sent His servant at supper-time to say to them that were bidden. Come; for all things are now ready.' Some will have it that the guests, in needing thus to be reminded that the hour of the festival had arrived, showed already how lightly they esteemed the invitation. But this is a mistake, such having been, as is noted elsewhere, the usual custom; and their contempt of the honor vouchsafed them, with their breach of promise—for we must presume they had pledged themselves to come—is first displayed in their excuses for not appearing at the festival. There was, beyond doubt, in the world's history, a time when, more than at any other, it might be said, 'All things are now ready; ' a fulness of time, b at the arrival of which, and not till then, the kingdom of heaven was set up, and men invited, the Jew first, and afterwards the Gentile, to enter into that kingdom, (Gal. iv. 1-4.) The servant who shall bid the guest is not, as Theophylact will have it, the great 'Apostle and High Priest of our profession' Himself, who 'took the form of a servant,' and might therefore fitly bear this name. Nor yet can we include under this single servant the prophets of the Old Covenant, for it is not till 'supper-time' has actually come that he is sent forth. We behold in Him not the heralds who preceded, but those who accompanied the King-Evangelists and Apostles, all who, reminding the Jews of the prophecies

comedente amplius esuriuntur, quanto et ab esuriente amplius comeduntur. In illis appetitus placet, experientia displicet; in istis appetitus vilis est, et experientia magis placet.

^a Καλεῖν, vocare, is the technical word for the inviting to a festival (Matt. xxii. 3; John ii. 2; I Cor. x. 27); that too by which St. Paul expresses that union of an outward word bidding, and an inward Spirit drawing, whereby God seeks to bring men into his kingdom; corresponding to the ἐλκύειν of St. John (vi. 44; xii. 32). Both express well that the power brought to bear on man's will is a moral power, and man a moral being; capable, though called, of not coming,—of resisting the attraction that would draw him, if he will. This attraction of bidding, outward by the word, inward by the Spirit, is the κλῆσις ἀγία (2 Tim. i. 9), κλῆσις τοῦ Θεοῦ (Rom. xi. 29), κλῆσις ἐπουράνιος (Heb. iii. I), ἡ ἄνω κλῆσις (Phil. iii. I4).

b Theophylact has here a remarkable comparison: *Ωσπερ λὰρ νόσημα ὕπουλον καὶ κακόηθες ἐῶσιν οἱ ἰατροὶ πάντα τὸν πονηρὸν χυμὸν ἐκρῆξαι, εἶθ' οὕτως τὰς φαρμακείας ἐπάγουσιν, οὕτως καὶ τὴν ἀμαρτίαν ἔδει πάντα τὰ οἰκεῖα ἑαυτῆς δίδη ἐπιδείξασθαι, ιἶτα τὸν μέγαν ἰατρὸν ἐπιθείναι τὸ φάρμακον.

concerning a coming kingdom, and their share in that kingdom, bade them now enter on the enjoyment of those good things, which were no longer good things far off, but near.

'And they all with one consent b began to make excuse.' Whether there is any essential difference between the excuses which the first guest offers, and the second, whether these represent hindrances different in their nature and character, by which different men are kept back from Christ, or whether both would alike teach us the same general lesson, that the love of the world robs men of all desire and relish for heavenly things, it is not easy to determine. Probably there is a difference. Perhaps the first, who pleaded, 'I have bought a piece of ground, and I must needs go and see it,' represents those who are elate of heart through already acquired possessions. He is going to see his estate, not exactly in the spirit of Ahab when he visited the vineyard made his own by wrong, (1 Kin. xxi. 15, 16;) for there lies no guilt in the thing itself which he is doing; and it makes greatly for the solemnity of the warning here conveyed, that no one of the guests is kept away by an occupation in itself sinful: while yet all become sinful, because the first place, instead of a place merely subordinate, is allotted to them. But he is going to see his possession that he may glory in it, as Nebuchadnezzar gloried as he walked in his palace and said, 'Is not this great Babylon that I have built by the might of my power, and for the honor of my majesty?' (Dan. iv. 30.) While he then represents those whom 'the lust of the eye and the pride of life 'detain from Christ; with the second guest it is rather the care of this life, not the pride of having, but the anxiety of getting, which so fills his soul that there is no room for higher thoughts or desires. He has made an important purchase, and cannot put off for a single day the trial of how it is likely to turn out; d 'I have bought five voke of oxen, and I go to prove them.' Both of

^{*} Augustine: Qui sunt invitati, nisi per præmissos vocati prophetas ?

^b Γνώμης, καρδίας, ὀρμής, or some such word, must be supplied; any one of these, as marking the oneness of spirit out of which all the refusals proceed, is better than φωνής.

⁶ Παραιτεῖσθαι is used for recusare and excusare; for the first, Acts xxv. II; for the second at ver. 19, where ἔχε με παρηττημένον is rather a Latin idiom (habeas me excusatum) than a Greek. 'Επαινεῖν τῆν κλῆσιν is the more classic phrase.

⁴ So Augustine (Serm. cvii. 2): In villâ emptâ, dominatio notatur; ergo superbia castigatur. His mystical explanation of the things which kept away the second guest is less satisfactory, but this is as true as beautiful. Amor rerum terrenarum, viscum est spiritalium pennarum. Ecce concupisti, hæsisti. Quis tibi dabit pennas, ut columbæ, quando volabis ubi vere requiscas, quando hic ubi male hæsisti, perverse requiescere voluisti? Cf. Enarr. in Ps. cxxxviii. 10.

[•] The number need not perplex us; Elijah (I Kin. xix. 19) finds Elisha ploughing with twelve yoke of oxen.

these offer fair words, 'I pray thee have me excused,' even while they evade the invitation.

If in these two it is the pride and the business, in the last it is the pleasure of the world which keeps him from Christ. 'See you not that I have a feast of my own? Why trouble me then with yours? I have married a wife, and therefore I cannot come.' According to the Levitical law, this would have been reason sufficient why he should not go to battle, (Deut. xxiv. 5;) but it is none why he should not come to the feast, (I Cor. vii. 29.) He, however, counts it more than sufficient. The other guests, conscious of the inadequacy of the excuses which they pleaded, gave at least courteous denials, would have the servant carry back fair words to the master of the feast; but this one has a reason perfectly valid why he should not attend, and, except in so far as his 'I will not' clothes itself in the form of 'I cannot,' does not trouble himself to send any apology for his absence.° One may trace here the same ascending scale of contumacy in the bearing of the guests, although not so strongly marked, as in the other parable (Matt. xxii. 5, 6,) where some make light of the message, others evil entreat and kill the messengers. The first of these guests would be very glad to come, if only it were possible, if there were not a constraining necessity keeping him away. It is a needs be, so at least he describes it, so he would have it represented to the maker of the feast. The second alleges no such constraining necessity, but is simply going upon sufficient reason on another errand; yet he too prays to be excused. The third has engagements of his own, and declares outright, 'I cannot come.' It is beautifully remarked by Bengel that there is another buying of a field, (Matt. xiii. 44,) another setting of the hand to the plough, (Luke ix. 62,) the participation in another wedding, (2 Cor. xi. 2,) which would not have hindered the accepting of this invitation, since rather they would one and all have been identical with it.

In what remarkable connection do their excuses stand to the declaration of the Saviour which presently follows: 'If any man come to Me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children,

Villa, boves, uxor, cœnam clausere vocatis Mundus, cura, caro cælum clausere renatis.

On the same grounds Crœsus would have excused his son from the great hunting party which had for him so fatal an issue (Herodotus, i. 36): Νεόγαμος γάρ ἐστι, καὶ ταῦτά οἱ νῦν μέλει.

b Gerhard sums up well the three hindrances in three words, Dignitates, opes, voluptates; and Hildebert evidently interprets as above:

Bengel: Hic excusator, quo speciosiorem et honestiorem videtur habere causam,
 eo est ceteris importunior.

and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be My disciple; 'a and how apt a commentary the words of St. Paul supply, 'This I say, brethren, the time is short; it remaineth that both they that have wives be as though they had none, and they that weep as though they wept not, and they that rejoice as though they rejoiced not, and they that buy as though they possessed not, and they that use this world as not abusing it' (I Cor. vii. 29-31;) since it was not the having—for they had nothing which it was not lawful for men to, have—but the unduly loving these things, which proved their hindrance, and ultimately excluded them from the feast.

'So that servant came, and showed his Lord these things;' declared the ill success which he has met—reported to Him the excuses which all had made—even as hitherto in all likelihood not so much as one among the spiritual chiefs of the Jewish nation had attached himself openly and without reserve to Christ, (John vii. 48). 'Then' the Master of the house, being angry, said to His servant, Go out quickly into the streets and lanes of the city, and bring in hither the poor, and the maimed, and the halt, and the blind,' There lies a distinct reminiscence here of the precept just before given to him at whose table the Lord was sitting; 'Call thou the poor, the maimed, the lame, the blind,' (ver. 13.) It is even thus with the great Giver of the heavenly feast. He bids to His table the spiritually sick, the spiritually needy; while the rich in their own virtues, in their own merits, at once exclude themselves, and are excluded by Him, (Luke vi. 24, 25; Rev. iii. 17). The people who knew not the law, the despised and the outcast, these should enter into the kingdom of God, before the wise, the prudent-before those who said they saw, who thanked God that they were not as other men, who had need of nothing.

'And the servant said, Lord, it is done as Thou hast commanded, and yet there is room.' Whereupon, since grace will endure a vacuum as little as nature, he receives a new commission: 'Go out into the highways and hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled.' If those 'in the streets and lanes of the city' were the more abject among the Jews, the meaner, the more ignorant, the more deeply sunken in sin; then those without the city,—which we must take as the symbol of the theocracy,—in the country round about, wandering in the highways, and camping as gypsies now-a-days, under the hedges, will be the yet more despised and morally abject Gentiles,

[•] Of all the excuses made by the invited guests, Bengel well says: His omnibus mederi poterat sanctum illud odium, ver. 26.

^b Ambrose: Post divitum resupina fastidia.

[·] Bengel: Nec natura nec gratia patitur vacuum.

d Id.: Sepes, mendicorum parietes.

the pagans, in all senses of that word. It will thus appear that the parable, hitherto historic, becomes prophetic here; for it declares how God had a larger purpose of grace than could be satisfied by the coming in of a part and remnant of the Jewish people,—that He had prepared a feast, at which more should sit down than they,—founded a Church with room in it for Gentile as for Jew,—those too being 'fellow-citizens, with the saints, and of the household of God.' It is not that this is explicitly declared, for the time was not yet for the unfolding of this mystery; but it is here wrapt up, and biding its time.

'Compel them to come in,' has been always a favourite text with the persecutor and inquisitor; with all who, doing violence to the rights of conscience, would fain find in Scripture a warrant or a pretext for this. And yet it is strange how there ever could have been drawn from them arguments for any compulsion but a moral one. For first, dealing with the parable in the letter, to suppose any other compulsion save that of strong persuasion is idle; for how can we imagine this single servant,—he is but one throughout,—driving before him, from the country into the city, a flock of unwilling guests. and these gathered from the rude and lawless class unto whom he is now sent? But indeed this ' Compel them to come in,' is spoken with quite a different intention. The giver of the feast does not anticipate on their parts any reluctance to accept his invitation, nor any indifference toward it, which should need to be violently overcome. What rather he expects is that these homeless dwellers in the highways and by the hedges will hold themselves so unworthy of the invitation as hardly to be persuaded that it was intended for them; will not be induced without a certain constraint to enter the rich man's dwelling, and share in his magnificent entertainment. And when we pass on to the spiritual thing signified, since faith cannot be forced, what can this compelling mean, a save that strong earnest exhortation, which the ambassadors of Christ will address to their fellows, when themselves deeply convinced of the tremendous issues which are for every man linked with the acceptance or rejection of the message which they bear? They will 'compel,' but only as the angels; who, when Lot lingered, laid hold upon his hand and brought him forth, and set him forcibly beyond the limits of the doomed city (Gen. xix. 16);

[•] Even Maldonatus explains it thus. Sinners, he says, are to be so entreated, ut quodammodo compelli videantur; and Bengel: Non est omnimoda coactio . . . Aliter compulit Saulus pro Judaismo insaniens, aliter Paulus servus Jesu Christi, Augustine seeks to justify by this phrase a certain degree of constraint for the pring of men into the outward unity of the Church, De Unit. Eccles. xx.; Ep. 50; Serm. cxii. 7: Foris inveniatur necessitas, nascitur intus voluntas. Cf. Bernard, De Grat, et Lib. Arb. xi.

or the ambassadors of Christ will, in another way, 'compel,' for they will speak as delivering his message who has a right to be heard by His creatures,—who not merely entreats, but commands, all men everywhere to repent and believe the Gospel. Anselm observes, that God compels men to come in, when He drives them by strong calamities to seek and find refuge with Him and in His Church; or, as Luther has it, they are compelled to come in, when the law is broadly preached, terrifying their consciences, and driving them to Christ, as their only refuge and hope.

The parable closes with the householder's indignant declaration, For I say unto you, that none of those men that were bidden shall taste of my supper.' The plural 'you' is perplexing here, only one servant having been named throughout. It cannot be that Christ is now speaking in His own person to the Pharisees round Him, for the words are plainly not His, but the householder's still. Is it that this one servant is considered as the representative of many?° or shall we suppose those whom the householder thus addresses to be the guests already assembled? Exclusion, total and final, from the feast, to which, when they saw others entering, they also might desire to be admitted, this shall be the penalty of their contempt. There is such a bitter cry, the repentance as of Esau, when it is plainly seen that the birthright has been transferred to another; but it does not bring back the blessing (Heb. xii. 17). That is forfeited for ever; and no after earnestness will avail anything to reverse the doom (Prov. i. 28; Matt. xxv. 11, 12; John viii. 21).

Comparing this parable and that of the Marriage of the King's Son, we may note with how fine a skill all the minor circumstances are arranged to be in consistent keeping in each. There the principal person, being a king, has armies at his command, whole bands of servants, to send forth with his behests. The refusal to accept his invitation was there, according to Eastern notions of submission, nothing less than rebellion; and, being accompanied with outrages done to his servants, called out that terrible retribution. Here, as the offence is in every way lighter, so also is the penalty, that is, in the outward circumstances which supply the groundwork of the par-

So Gregory the Great (Hom. 36): Qui ergo hujus mundi adversitatibus fracti ad Dei amorem redeunt, compelluntur ut intrent.

b So Buonaventura: Comminatione scilicet æternorum suppliciorum, et ostensione præsentium.

So Grotius: Puto continuari fabulam, et verba esse domini servos alloquentis, et testantis nunquam se posthac illos recusatores ad cœnam suam admissurum,

d So Bengel: Plurale pertinet ad introductos pauperes.

able, being no more than exclusion from a festival; though we must not forget that it is not lighter when taken in its spiritual signification; for it is nothing less than exclusion from the kingdom of God, 'everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord and the glory of His power.'

22. THE LOST SHEEP.

MATTHEW xviii. 12-14; LUKE XV. 3-7.

THE words with which the three parables of the fifteenth chapter of St. Luke are introduced, 'Then drew near unto Him all the publicans and sinners for to hear Him,' must not be too rigidly understood. The Evangelist is describing what at this time was the prevailing feature of Christ's ministry (cf. Mark ii. 15; Luke vii. 37), namely, that, as by a secret attraction, it drew the outcasts of the nation to Him and to His word. Of these 'publicans and sinners,' the first were men infamous among their countrymen by their very occupation; the second, such as, till awakened by Him to repentance

^{*} Grotius: Actum continuum et quotidianum genus hoc loquendi [ἔσαν ἐγγίζοντες] significat. Cf. Luke iv. 31; Mark ii. 18.

b Publicans (τελώναι, ἀπὸ τοῦ τέλος ώνεῖσθαι) were of two classes. The publicani, so called because they gathered the publicum, or state revenue, were commonly Roman knights, who farmed the taxes singly or in companies, and this occupation was very far from being in disesteem or dishonour. Thus Cicero, Pro. Leg. Man. 7: Publicani homines et honestissimi et ornatissimi; cf. Pro Planc. 9. Besides these were the portitores or exactores, τελώναι of the N. T., and of Josephus (B. J. ii. 14. 11), men of an inferior sort, freedmen, provincials, and the like, who, stationed at frontiers, at gates of cities, on rivers, at havens (vendentes ipsius cœli et terræ et maris transitus: Tertullian), did the lower work of the collection. They were everywhere hateful for their rudeness, their frauds, their vexations and oppressions; we possess long lists of opprobrious epithets with which among the Greeks they were assailed. Cicero (In Vatin. 5) tells Vatinius that he must have supposed himself a publican, cum omnium domos, apothecas, naves, furacissime scrutarere, hominesque negotia gerentes judiciis iniquissimis irretires, mercatores e navi egredientes terreres, conscendentes morare. Chrysostom (De Panit. Hom. ii. 4): οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἐστὶ τελώνης η πεπαβρηςιασμένη βια, εννομος άμαρτία, εὐπρόσωπος πλεονεξία: while the modern Greek has a proverb, δ Λιάβολος ὅταν πτωχύνη, τελώνης γένεται. But there was that which made keener yet the scorn and more intense the hatred with which the Jewish publicans were regarded by their own countrymen. They were nothing less than renegades and traitors, who for filthy lucre's sake had sided with the enemy, and now collected for a profane heathen treasury that tribute which was the evident sign of the subjection of God's people to a Gentile yoke. This scorn and hate found utterance in a thousand ways; no alms might be received from their money-chest; it was not even lawful to change money there; their testimony was not received in courts

and amendment of life, had been notorious transgressors of God's holy law (Luke vii. 39). These He did not repel, as one that feared pollution from their touch; but received them graciously, taught them freely, and lived in familiar intercourse with them. Scribes and Pharisees murmured and took offence." They could better have understood a John Baptist fleeing to the wilderness, separating himself from sinners in the whole outward manner of his life, as well as inwardly in his spirit. And this outward separation from sinners, which was the Old Testament form of righteousness, may have been needful for those who would preserve their purity in those times of the law, and until He came, who brought powers of good to bear upon the world's evil far mightier than ever had been brought before. Hitherto it may have been the wisdom of those who knew themselves predisposed to the infection to flee from the infected; but He was the Physician who boldly sought out these, that His health might overcome their disease, His righteousness their sin. But this seeking out and not shunning sinners was just what the Scribes and Pharisees could not understand. They had neither love to hope the recovery of such, nor medicines to effect that recovery; nor yet antidotes to preserve themselves while making the attempt.

An earlier expression of their discontent had called out those significant words, 'They that are whole need not a physician, but they that are sick; I came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance' (Luke v. 30); and now their later murmurings are the motive of the three parables which follow. In all of these Christ would shame these murmurers, holding up to them the angels of God, and God Himself, rejoicing at the conversion of a sinner; and contrasting this liberal joy of heaven with the narrow discontent and envious repinings of earth. Heaven and its holy inhabitants welcomed the penitent; only his fellow-sinners kept him proudly aloof, as though there had been defilement for them in his touch; as though they were wronged if he were freely forgiven.

But this is not all. Not merely was there joy in heaven over the penitent sinner, but *more* joy over one such than over ninety-nine such as themselves. The good that might be in them He does not deny. Many among them, no doubt, had a zeal for God, were fol-

of justice; they were as the heathen (to keep which in mind, adds an emphasis to Luke xix. 9); and in some sort worse than the heathen. See the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Publicani, 806; Deyling Obss. Sac. vol. i. p. 206; Herzog, Real Encyclop. s. v. Zoll, Zöllner.

Gregory the Great (Hom. 34 in Evang.): Arenti corde ipsum Fontem misericordiæ reprehendebant.

lowing after righteousness such as they knew it, a righteousness according to the law. But if now that a higher righteousness was revealed,—a righteousness by faith, the new life of the Gospel,—they obstinately refuse to participate in it, then such as would receive this life from Him, however widely in times past they might have departed from God, should now be brought infinitely nearer to Him than themselves; as the one sheep was brought home to the house, while the ninety and nine abode in the wilderness; as for the prodigal a fatted calf was slain, while the elder brother had never received so much as a kid (ver. 29). Nay, they are bidden at last to beware lest the spirit which they are allowing should exclude them altogether from that new kingdom of righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost, into which they, no less than the publicans and sinners, were invited freely to enter.

Of the three parables in this chapter, the two earlier set forth to us mainly the seeking love of God; while the third describes rather the rise and growth, responsive to that love, of repentance in the heart of man. The same truth is thus presented successively under different aspects,—God's seeking love being set forth first, since all first motions towards good are from Him, vet is it the same truth in all; for it is the confluence of these two streams, of this drawing and seeking love from without, and of the faith by this awakened from within, of the objective grace and the subjective faith, out of which repentance springs. And thus the parables together constitute a perfect and harmonious whole. The first two speak nothing of a changed heart and mind toward God; nor, indeed, would the images of a wandering sheep and a lost piece of money give room for this; the last speaks only of this change, and nothing of the antecedent working of the spirit of God in the heart, the goings forth of His power and love, which yet must have found the wanderer before he could ever have found himself, or found his God. These parables are thus a trilogy, which again is divided into two and one; St. Luke himself distinctly marking the break and the new beginning which at verse 11 finds place.

There are other inner harmonies and relations between them. Thus there is a seeming anti-climax in the numbers,—one in a hundred, —one in ten,—one in two; which is a real climax, as the

^{*} This was a familiar way of numbering and dividing among the Jews of which examples are given by Lightfoot here. There is also a striking saying attributed to Mahomet, in which the same appears: The Lord God has divided mercy and pity into a hundred parts; of these, He has retained ninety and nine for Himself, and sent one upon earth (Von Hammer, Fundgruben d. Orients, vol. p. 308).

sense of the value of the lost would increase with the larger proportion which it bore to the whole. And other human feelings and interests are involved in the successive narratives, which enhance in each successive case the anxiety for the recovery of that which is in danger of perishing. The possessor of a hundred sheep is in some sort a rich man, therefore not likely to feel their diminution by one at all so deeply as the woman who, having but ten small pieces of money, should lose one of these; while the intensity of her feeling would fall very short of the affection of a father, who, having but two sons should behold one out of these two go astray. Thus we find ourselves moving in ever narrower, and so ever intenser, circles of hope and fear and love, drawing in each successive parable nearer to the innermost centre and heart of the truth.

So also in each successive case we may see shadowed forth on man's part a deeper guilt, and thus on God's part a mightier grace. In the first parable the guilt implied is the smallest. The sinner is set forth under the image of a silly wandering sheep. It is only one side of the truth, but yet a most real one, that sin is oftentimes an ignorance; nay, in a greater or lesser degree it is always such (Luke xxiii. 34; Acts iii. 17; I Tim. i. 13); the sinner knows not what he does, and if in one aspect he deserves wrath, in another he challenges pity. He is a sheep that has gone astray, oftentimes ere it knew what it was doing, ere it had even learned that it had a shepherd, or belonged to a fold. But there are others, set forth under the lost money, who, knowing themselves to be God's, with His image stamped on their souls, even the image of the Great King, do yet throw themselves away, renounce their high birth, and wilfully lose themselves in the world. Their sin is greater; but a sin worse even than theirs is behind,—the sin of the prodigal. To have tasted something of the love of God, to have known Him, not as our King who has stamped us with His image, but as our Father, of whose family we are; and to have despised that love, and forsaken that house—this is the crowning guilt; and yet the grace of God is sufficient to forgive even this sin, and to bring back this wanderer to Him.a

With so much of introduction, we may proceed to consider these parables one by one; and first this of the Lost Sheep. 'What man of you, having an hundred sheep, if he lose one of them, doth not leave the ninety and nine in the wilderness, and go after that which is lost, until he find it?' There is a peculiar fitness in this image as addressed to the spiritual rulers of the Jewish people. They too were shepherds;

^{*} Bengel gives it not quite as I have done above: Ovis, drachma, filius perditus: peccator stupidus, sui plane nescius, sciens et voluntarius.

continually charged, rebuked, warned, under this very title (Ezek. xxxiv.; Zech. xi. 16); under-shepherds of Him who sets forth His own watchful tenderness for His people by the same image (Isai. xl. II; Jer. xxxi. 10; Ezek. xxxiv. 12; xxxvii. 24; Zech. xiii. 7; cf. Ps. xxiii. 1; lxxx. 1); -yet, not only were they no seekers of the lost, a no bringers back of the strayed, no binders-up of the broken, but they murmured against Him, 'the Shepherd of Israel,' the 'great Shepherd of the sheep,' because He did in His own person, what they, His deputies, so long had neglected to do, making good Himself all these omissions of theirs. It might at first sight appear as though the shepherd were caring for the one sheep strayed at the hazard of all the others, leaving as he does them, the 'ninety and nine in the wilderness.' But 'the wilderness' here is no sandy or rocky desert, the haunt of wild beasts or of wandering robber hordes; rather wide, extended grassy plains, steppes of savannahs, called 'desert' because without habitations of men, but exactly the fittest place for the pasture of sheep. Thus we read in St. John, (vi. 10) that 'there was much grass' in a place which by St. Matthew is called 'desert' (xiv. 15); and we commonly attach to 'desert' or 'wilderness' in Scripture, images of far more uniform barrenness and desolation than the reality would warrant. Parts, it is true, of the larger deserts of Palestine or Arabia are as desolate as can be imagined, though as much from rock as from sandy levels; yet on the whole they offer far more variety of scenery, much wider extents of fertile, or at least grassy land, than is commonly supposed.b We must understand then that the residue of the flock are left in their ordinary and safe pasturage, while the shepherd goes in search of the one which has strayed.

In the order of things natural, a sheep which could wander away from, could also wander back to the fold. But it is not so with a sheep of God's pasture. Such can lose, but it cannot find itself again.

^{*} Τὸ ἀπολωλὸς οὐκ ἐζητήσατε (Ezek. xxxiv. 4).

b This is the admirable description of a late traveller in the East: 'Stern and monotonous as may be called the general features of a desert, let not the reader suppose it is all barren. There are indeed some accursed patches, where scores of miles lie before you, like a tawny Atlantic, one yellow wave rising before another. But far from unfrequently there are regions of wild fertility, where the earth shoots forth a jungle of aromatic shrubs, and most delicious are the sensations conveyed to the parched European, as the camel treads down the underwood with his broad foot, and scatters to the winds the exhalations of a thousand herbs. There are other districts, where the hard and compact gravel would do honour to a lady's shrubbery: in these regions you meet with dwarf trees, and long ridges of low bare rocks of fantastic configuration, along whose base you find the yellow partridge and the blackeyed gazelle.'

This is in sin a centrifugal tendency, and the wanderings of this wanderer could be only further and further away. If, therefore, it shall be found at all, this can only be by its Shepherd's going to seek it; else, being once lost, it is lost forever. The Incarnation of the Son of God was a girding of Himself for such a task as this; His whole life in the days of His flesh a following of the strayed. And He was not weary with the greatness of the way; He shrank not when the thorns wounded His flesh and tore His feet: He followed us into the deep of our misery, came under the uttermost of our malediction; for He had gone forth to seek His own, 'till He had found it.' And 'when He hath found it,' how tenderly does the shepherd of the parable handle that sheep which has cost him all this toil; he does not smite, nor even harshly drive it back to the fold; nay, he does not deliver it to an underling; but 'layeth it on his shoulders,'-on his 'own' shoulders-a delicate touch, which our Translation has let go, - and bears it home. We recognize in this an image of the sustaining grace of Christ, which does not cease, till His rescued are made partakers of final salvation. But when some make much of the weariness which this load must have caused to the Shepherd, seeing here an allusion to His sufferings, 'who bare our sins in His own body 'b (r Pet. ii. 24), upon whom was laid the iniquity of us all, this is a missing of the true significance. 'until He find it,' has exhausted the whole story of the painfulness of His way who came in search of His lost creature; and this is now the story of His triumphant return to heaven with the trophy that He had won, the spoil which He, a mightier David, had delivered from the lion and the bear, (I Sam. 17, 34, 35).d

Ille ovem morbo residem gregique Perditam sano, male dissipantem Vellus affixis vepribus per hirtæ Devia silvæ

[•] Augustine présses this (Enarr. in Ps. lxxvii. 19): Redit ovis perdita, non tamen in viribus suis, sed in humeris reportata pastoris, quæ se perdere potuit, dum sponte vagaretur, se autem invenire non potuit, nec omnino inveniretur, nisi pastoris misercordiâ quæreretur. Non enim et ille filius ad hanc ovem non pertinet, qui reversus in semetipsum dixit, Surgam, et ibo ad partem meum. Occultà itaque vocatione et inspiratione etiam ipse quæsitus est et resuscitatus, nonnisi ab illo qui vivificat omnia; et inventus, a quo, nisi ab illo qui perrexit salvare et quærere quod perierat?

b Cajetan: Impositio ovis in humeros redemptio est humani generis in proprio corpore, et hoc quia sponte fecit, ideo gaudens describitur. Melancthon: Est in textu suavis significatio inserta passionis Christi: ovem inventam ponit in humeros suos, i.e. ipse onus nostrum transfert in se ipsum.

[•] Gregory the Great (Hom. 34 in Evang.): Inventâ ove ad domum redit, quia Pastor noster reparato homine ad regnum cæleste rediit.

d I'he lines of Prudentius (Hymn. post Jejun.) have much beauty:

And as the man when he reaches home 'calleth together his friends and neighbours, saying unto them, Rejoice with me, a for I have found my sheep which was lost'—makes them sharers in his joy, as they had been sharers in his anxiety, even so shall joy be in heaven when one wanderer is brought back to the heavenly fold; for heaven and redeemed earth constitute but one kingdom, being bound together by that love which is 'the bond of perfectness.' 'I say unto you, that likewise joy shall be in heaven over one sinner that repenteth, more than over ninety and nine just persons, which need no repentance.' Let us not in this 'I say unto you,' miss a slight yet majestic intimation of the dignity of his person; 'I who know-I who, when I tell you of heavenly things, tell you of mine own (John i. 51; iii. 11), announce to you this.' The joy, we may observe, is still in the future; 'joy shall be in heaven; 'and this consistently with the tacit assumption of the Good Shepherd's part as his own; for not yet had He risen and ascended, leading 'captivity captive,' bringing with Him His rescued and redeemed.

Were this all, there would be nothing to perplex; but it is not merely joy over one penitent, but joy over this one 'more than over ninety and nine just persons, which need no repentance.' Now we can easily understand how, among men, there should be more joy for a small part which has been in jeopardy, than for the continued secure possession of a much larger portion. It is as when the mother concentrates for the moment all her affection on her sick, seeming to a bystander to love none but that only; and actually rejoicing at the recovery of that one more than at the uninterrupted health of all the others. Or, to use Augustine's beautiful words, b' What then takes place in the soul, when it is more delighted at finding or recovering the things it loves, than if it had ever had them? Yea and other

Impiger pastor revocat, lupisque
Gestat exclusis, humeros gravatus;
Inpe purgatam revehens aprico
Reddit ovili,
Reddit et pratis viridique campo,
Vibrat impexis ubi nulla lappis
Spina, nec germen sudibus perarmat
Carduus horrens:
Sed frequens palmis nemus, et reflexa
Vernat herbaram coma, tum perennis
Gurgitem vivis vitreum fluentis
Laurus obumbrat.

a Gregory the Great (Hom 34 in Evang.): Non dicit, Congratulamini inventa ove, sed mihi; quia videlicet ejus gaudium est vita, nostra, et cum nos ad cœlum reducimur, solemnitatem lætitiæ ejus implemus.

b Confessions, iii. 3.

things witness hereunto, and all things are full of witnesses, crying out, "So it is." The conquering commander triumpheth; yet had he not conquered, unless he had fought, and the more peril there was in the battle, so much the more joy is there in the triumph. The storm tosses the sailors, threatens shipwreck; all wax pale at approaching death; sky and sea are calmed, and they are exceeding joved, as having been exceeding afraid. A friend is sick, and his pulse threatens danger; all who long for his recovery are sick in mind with him. He is restored, though as yet he walks not with his former strength, yet there is such joy as was not when before he walked sound and strong.'a Yet whence arises the disproportionate joy? Clearly from the temporary uncertainty which existed about the result. but no such uncertainty could find place with Him, who knows the end from the beginning; whose joy needs not to be enhanced by a fear going before. As little with Him need the earnest love for the perilled one, as in the case of the mother and her children, throw into the background, even for the moment, the love and care for the others: so that the analogies and illustrations drawn from this world of ours supply no adequate solution of the difficulty.

And further, how can it be affirmed of any that they 'need no repentance,' since 'all like sheep have gone astray;' and all therefore have need to try back their ways? The explanations commonly given do not quite satisfy. We may indeed get rid both of this difficulty and the other, by seeing here an example of the Lord's severe yet loving irony. These 'ninety and nine, which need no repentance,' would then be,—like those whole who need not, or count that they need not, a physician (Matt. ix. 12),—self-righteous persons; as such displeasing to God; whose present moral condition as it causes no joy in heaven, it can be nothing strange that a sinner's conversion

[•] Thus too Bernard (In Cant. Serm. 39): Nescio autem quomodo tenerius mihi adstricti sunt qui post increpatoria et per increpatoria tandem convaluerunt de infirmitate, quam qui fortes ab initio permanserunt, non indigentes istiusmodi medicamento,—words the more valuable to illustrate the text, as not spoken with reference to it.

b As for instance that by Grotius: Quibus non est opus de toto vitæ genere migrare; and by Calvin: Nomen pænituntiæ specialiter ad eorum conversionem restringitur, qui penitus a Deo aversi, quasi a morte in vitam resurgunt. Nam alioqui continua in totam vitam esse debet pænitentiæ meditatio; nec quisquam ab hâc necessitate eximitur, quum singulos sua vitia ad quotidianum profectum sollicitent.—The suggestion of some that the ninety-nine who need no repentance signify the whole unfallen creation, the world of angels, is nothing worth. 'These,' says Theophylact, not, however, adopting the interpretation $(\phi a \sigma i \nu \tau u e s)$, 'the Good Shepherd left in the wilderness, that is, in the higher heavenly places, for heaven is this wilderness, being sequestered from all worldly tumult, and fulfilled with all tranquility and peace,' and came to seek the wandering and lost human nature.

should occasion more gladness there than the continuance of these in their evil. But the whole structure of the parables refutes this. The ninety and nine have not wandered, the nine pieces of money have not been lost, the elder brother has not left his father's house. These difficulties will only disappear when we regard these 'righteous' as such indeed, but their righteousness as merely legal, of the old dispensation, so that the least in the kingdom of heaven is greater than they. The law had partially accomplished its work in them, restraining from grosser transgressions; and thus they needed not, like the publicans and sinners, repentance from these; but it had not accomplished all, it had not been 'a schoolmaster to Christ,' bringing them to see their sinfulness, and consequent need of a Saviour. The publicans and sinners, though by another path, had come to Him: and He here pronounces that there was more real cause of joy over one of these, now entering into the inner sanctuary of faith, than over ninety and nine of those other, who lingered at the legal vestibule, refusing to go further in.b

* Here the illustration of Gregory the Great may fitly be applied: Dux in prælio plus eum militem diligit, qui post fugam conversus, fortiter hostem premit, quam illum qui nunquam terga præbuit et nunquam aliquid fortiter gessit. And Anselm Hom. 12): Sunt aliqui justi, qui licet justi vivant et ab illicitis se contineant, magna tamen bona nunquam operantur. Et sunt alii qui prius seculariter et criminose vixerunt, sed postmodum redeuntes ad cor suum, quia se illicite egisse considerant, ex ipso suo dolore compuncti, inardescunt ad amorem Dei, sesseque in magnis virtutibus exercent, cuncta etiam difficilia sancti certaminis appetunt, omnia mundi blandimenta derelinquunt; et quia se errâsse a Deo conspiciunt, damna præcedentia lucris sequentibus recompensant Compare Jeremy Taylor, Life of Christ, part iii. § 16, no. 12.

b On no image did the early Church dwell with more fondness than this (see Tertullian, De Pudic. 7); as witness the many gems, seals, fragments of glass, and other relics, which have reached us, on which Christ is thus portrayed. It is frequent also in bas-reliefs on sarcophagi, and paintings in the catacombs. Sometimes other sheep are at his feet, generally two, looking up with pleasure at Him and his burden; in his right hand he most often holds the seven-reeded pipe of Pan, symbol of the attractions of divine love, while with his left He steadies the burden which He bears. Sometimes He is sitting down, as weary with the greatness of the way. This representation always occupies the place of honour, the centre of the vault or tomb (see

Münter, Sinnbilder der Alt. Christ. vol. i. pp. 60-65; Bosio, Rom, Sotterr. pp. 339,

348, 349, 351, 373, 383, 387; Didron, Iconogr. Chrétienne, p, 346).

23. THE LOST PIECE OF MONEY.

LUKE XV. 8-10.

THE preceding parable has anticipated much that might have been said upon this; yet it would be against all analogy of former twin parables, if we assumed that the two did no more than say the same thing twice over. In the Pearl and the Hid Treasure, in the Leaven and the Mustard-seed, the second may seem at first sight only a repetition of the first; while yet, on closer inspection, important differences have revealed themselves; and so is it here. If the Shepherd in the last parable was Christ, the woman in this may be the church. Or should we understand by her that Divine Wisdom, b so often magnified in Proverbs as seeking the salvation of men, and here set forth as a person and not an attribute (cf. Luke ii. 49), this will be no different view. The two explanations flow into one, if only we keep in mind how the Church is the instrument by which the Holy Spirit seeks for the lost, and that only as quickened and informed by the Divine Spirit, is it stirred up to these active ministries of love for the seeking and saving of souls (Rev. xxii. 17). That the Church should be personified as a woman is natural; and the thought of the Holy Ghost as a mother has at different times been near to the minds of men.°

'Either what woman having ten pieces of silver, if she lose one piece, doth not light a candle, and sweep the house, and seek diligently

^{*} Ambrose: Qui sunt isti, pater, pastor, mulier? nonne Deus pater, Christus pastor, mulier Ecclesia?

b Gregory the Great (Hom. 34 in Evang.): Ipse etenim Deus, ipse et Dei Sapientia.

[•] See some interesting remarks in Jerome (Comm. in Esai, xl. 3, p. 303), justifying this language; while at the same time he guards it, saying: In divinitate nullus est sexus. Christ claims too for Himself the mother's heart in his affecting words, Luke xiii. 34.

⁴ An erroneous reading, evertit, for everrit, had possession of the Vulgate during the Middle Ages. Thus Gregory (Hom. 34 in Evang.): Domus evertitur, quum consideratione reatûs sui humana conscientia perturbatur. Thauler: Deus hominem quierit, domumque ejus penitus evertit, quomodo nos solemus, aliquod requirentes.

till she find it?' In this piece of money expositors, both ancient and modern, have delighted to trace a resemblance to the human soul, originally stamped with the image and superscription of the Great King, ('God created man in His own image,' Gen. i. 27), and still retaining traces of the mint from which it proceeded; however, by sin that image has been nearly effaced, and the superscription become well nigh illegible. One clings with pleasure to so instructive a suggestion; but it must not be forgotten that the Greek drachma, the coin here named, had not, like the Latin denarius (Matt. xxii. 20), the emperor's image and superscription upon it, but some device, as of an owl, a tortoise, or a head of Minerva. As the woman seeks anxiously her piece of silver, even so the Lord, through the ministrations of His Church, gives diligence to recover the lost sinner, to bring back the money of God to His treasury, from which originally it issued. b The allusion often found in the lighting of the candle to the mystery of the Incarnation,—the divine glory which the Saviour had within, shining through the fleshly covering which only in part concealed it,º-must of course give way, if we interpret the parable as is here proposed. Rather it must be explained by the help of such hints as Matt. v. 14, 15; Phil. ii. 15, 16; Ephes. v. 13, supply. The 'candle' is the Word of God; which candle the Church holds forth, as it has and exercises a ministry of this Word. It is by the light of this Word that sinners are found, that they find themselves, that the Church finds them. With this candle to aid her, she proceeds to 'sweep the house;' which sweeping, as Bengel well remarks, is not

cuncta evertere et loco suo movere, donec invenire contingat quod quærimus. Wicliff: 'Turneth up so down the house.'

* Thus Augustine (Enarr, in Ps. cxxxviii.): Sapientia Dei perdiderat drachmam. Quid est drachma? Numus, in quo numo imago erat ipsius Imperatoris nostri. Compare Ignatius (Ad. Magn. 3): Ἐστὶν νομίσματα δύο, ὁ μὲν Θεοῦ, ὁ δὲ κόσμου, καὶ ἔκαστον αὐτῶν ἴδιον χαρακτῆρα ἐπικείμενον ἔχει, οἱ ἄπιστοι τοῦ κόσμου τούτου, οἱ δὲ πιστοὶ ἐν ἀγάπη χαρακτῆρα Θεοῦ Πατρὸς διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ.

b H. de Sto. Victore: Drachma reperitur, dum in homine similitudo conditoris reparatur; and Bernard (De Grat. et Lib. Arb. 10): Adhuc hic fæda et deformis jacuisset imago, si non evangelica illa mulier lucernam accenderet, id est, Sapientia in carne appareret, everreret domum, videlicet vitiorum, drachmam suam requireret quam perdiderat: hoc est imaginem suam, quæ nativo spoliata decore, sub pelle peccati sordens tamquam in pulvere latitabat: inventam tergeret, et tolleret de regione dissimilitudinis, pristinamque in speciem reformatam, similem faceret illam in glorià sanctorum, immo sibi ipsi per omnia redderet quandoque conformem, cum illud Scripturæ videlicet impleretur: Scimus quia cum apparuerit, similes ei erimus; quoniam videbimus eum sicuti est.

Cajetan: Lucerna accensa mysterium est Incarnationis, Verbum in carne, tamquam lux in testâ.

d Tertullian (De Pudic. 7): Drachma ad lucernæ lumen reperta, quasi ad Dei verbum.

effected without dust. What a deranging of the house for a time! how does the dust which had been suffered to settle down and to accumulate begin to rise and fly about; how unwelcome all which is going forward to any in the house, who have no interest in what is being done, whose only interest is that nothing should trouble their selfish ease. The charge against the Gospel is still the same, that it turns the world upside down, (Acts xvii. 6). And in a sense so it does; for only let its message be proclaimed in earnest, and how much of latent aversion to the truth reveals itself now in open enmity; how much of torpid estrangement from God is changed into active hostility, what indignation is there against the troublers of Israel, the witnesses in sackcloth who torment the dwellers upon earth, (Rev. xi. 10). She meanwhile who bears the candle of the Lord, amid all this uproar and clamor is diligently looking for and finding her own again.

In the preceding parable the shepherd went to look for his strayed sheep in the wilderness; but in the house this piece of money is lost, and in the house therefore it is sought and found. This is not accidental. In that other there was the returning of the Son to the heavenly places, but in this there is the hint of a visible Church which has been founded upon earth, and to which sinners are restored. And there are other slighter variations between the two, intelligible at once when we see there the more personal and immediate ministry of Christ, and here the secondary ministry of His Church. shepherd says, 'I have found my sheep;' but the woman, 'I have found the piece of money; 'not 'my piece of money,' for it is in no sense hers, as the sheep was his. He says, 'which was lost;' but she, 'which I had lost,' acknowledging a fault of her own as having contributed to the loss; for a sheep strays of itself, but a piece of money could only be missing by a certain negligence on their part who should have kept it.

Non fit sine pulvere.

b Origen urges this with purposes of his own. The stopped wells of Gen. xxvi. 18 are for him the fountains of eternal life, which the Philistines, that is Satan and sin, had choked, but which our Isaac, the Son of gladness, opened anew for us (cf. John iv. 14), and he bids us note that in this parable the lost money was not found without the house, but within: for at the bottom of every man's soul there is this image of God, mislaid indeed and overlaid with a thousand other images, covered with dust and defilement, but which still may be found, and, in his hands from whom it came, may recover its first brightness, and distinct outline (In Gen. Hom. 13): Mulier illa quee perdiderat drachmam, non illam invenit extrinsecus, sed in domo suâ, posteaquam accendit lucernam, et mundavit domum sordibus et immunditiis, quas longi temporis ignavia et hebetudo congesserat, et ibi invenit drachmam. Et tu ergo, si accendas lucernam, si adhibeas tibi illuminationem Spiritâs Sancti, et in lumine ejus videas lumen, invenies intra te drachmam. Cum enim faceret hominem

And now 'she calleth her friends and her neighbours rogether.'they are female friends and neighbours, although this nicety in the keeping of the parts (Ruth iv. 14, 17) escapes us in English, a-that these may be sharers in her joy. Yet this need not prevent us from understanding by them the angels,—we have the Lord's warrant for so doing, whose place, it will be observed, is not 'in heaven' in this parable, as it was in the preceding; for this is the rejoicing together of the redeemed and elect creation upon earth at the repentance of a sinner. Among the angels who walk up and down the earth, who are present in the congregations of the faithful (I Cor. xi. 10), joying to behold their order, but most of all rejoicing when a sinner is converted, there shall be joy, when the Church of the redeemed, quickened by the Holy Spirit, summons them to join with it in consenting hymns of thanksgiving to God for the recovery of a lost soul. For, indeed, if the 'sons of God' shouted for joy and sang together at the first creation (Job xxxviii. 7), by how much better right when 'a new creation' had found place, in the birth of a soul into the light of everlasting life (Ephes. iii. 10; 1 Pet. i. 12); for, according to that exquisite word of St. Bernard, the tears of penitents are the wine of angels, b and their conversion, as Luther has said, causes Te Deums among the heavenly host.

ex initio Deus, ad imaginem et similitudinem suam fecit eum: et hanc imaginem non extrinsecus, sed intra eum collocavit. Hæc in te videri non poterat, donec domus tua sordida erat, immunditiis et ruderibus repleta. Iste fons scientiæ intra te erat situs, sed non poterat fluere, quia Philistini repleverant eum terrâ, et fecerant in te imaginem terreni. Sed tu portâsti quidem tunc imaginem terreni, nunc vero his auditis ab illâ omni mole et oppressione terrenâ per Verbum Dei purgatus, imaginem cælestis in te splendescere facito.

* It need not have done so in old English, which possessed the words 'friendess' (Prov. vii. 4); and 'neighbouress' (Exod. iii. 22: Wiclif).

b Pænitentium lacrymæ, vinum angelorum; and Prudentius:

Amissa drachma regio Recondita est ærario: Et gemma, deterso luto, Nitore vincit sidera.

24. THE PRODIGAL SON.

LUKE XV. 11-32.

E proceed to consider a parable which, if it be permitted to compare things divine with each other, might be fitly called the pearl and crown of all the parables of Scripture; as again, it is the most elaborate, if we may use a word having a certain unfitness when applied to the spontaneous and the free, but which yet the fulness of all the minor details suggests;—a parable, too, containing within itself such a circle of blessed truths as abundantly to justify the title, Evangelium in Evangelio, which it has sometimes borne. Of its relation to the other two parables in the same chapter there has been occasion to speak already. To the interpretation of it, therefore we may address ourselves at once.

'A certain man had two sons.' The interpreters separate off into two groups at the very outset of their interpretation of this parable. There are who see in its two sons the Gentile and Jew; and therefore in the younger son's departure from his father's house, the history of the great apostasy of the Gentile world; in his return the reception of the same into the privileges of the New Covenant;—as in the elder son a lively type of the narrow-hearted self-extolling Jews, repining that 'sinners of the Gentiles' should be admitted to the same privileges with themselves, and refusing blessings which they must possess in common with these. Others, on the contrary, recognize in the two sons not Gentile and Jew, at least not primarily these, but penitent sinners and proud sinners, wheresoever such may be met; and have naturally found the first example of the one in the publicans, of the other in the Pharisees, seeing that the Lord spake the parable to justify to these His gracious reception of those.

These last interpreters, with good right, object to the other interpretation, that it is alien to the scope of the parable; that, so ex-

[•] Grotius: Inter omnes Christi parabolas, hæc sane eximia est, plena affectuum, et pulcherrimis picta coloribus.

plained, it fails to meet the necessity which called it out, or to teach, except by remote inference, the truth which it is plain that Christ intended to teach by it. He would fain put the Pharisees to a wholesome shame, who were offended with Him for consorting so freely and so graciously with fallen members of the Jewish Church. If, indeed, 'the publicans and sinners,' whom He so freely admitted to hear Him, had been Gentiles and not Jews, the other interpretation might have some claim to stand. Then a setting forth of the gracious reception by His heavenly Father of the whole Gentile world when it turned to Him, would have been a proper justification of His own receiving of those who might be properly regarded as the first-fruits of the heathen. Some have very eagerly asserted that the 'publicans and sinners' were heathen; Tertullian, for example, who is in great dread lest, if they be acknowledged as members, though fallen ones, of the Jewish Church, an argument should be drawn from this for receiving back into communion those who, within the Church, and after their baptism, have greatly sinned. He does not scruple to assert that the publicans were always heathen; and this in the face of our Lord's declaration that Zacchæus, a chief publican, was 'a son of Abraham'a (Luke xix. 9), of the fact that Matthew the Apostle had sat as a publican at the receipt of custom (Luke v. 27), that publicans came to the baptism of John (Luke vii. 29). Set by their fellow-countrymen on a level with the heathen, traitors as they were esteemed to the dearest interests of the nation, and, till the words of Christ awoke them to a nobler life, many of them, perhaps, deserving all, or nearly all, the scorn which they found, the publicans were yet beyond all question Jews; which

^{*} This is not decisive with him; for of him he says (Adv. Marc. iv. 37): Zacchæus, etsi allophylus fortasse, tamen aliquâ notitiâ Scripturarum ex commercio judaico afflatus.

b See also Lightfoot, 11or. Heb. on Matt. v. 46.

^{*} Many proois of this are urged by Jerome (Ep. 21, ad Damasum), who marvels at the audacity of Tertullian's denial. The great aim of the latter in his treatise De Pudicitià, 7-9 (written after he had forsaken the Catholic Church), is to prove that nothing in this parable applies to those who, after baptism, have grievously sinned; and in his passionate eagerness to prove this, he does not hesitate to affirm its occasion to have been, quod Pharisæi publicanos et peccatores ethnicos admittentem Dominum mussitabant. His fear is lest sinners should be overbold in their sin, having hope, like the prodigal, to find grace, whenever they will seek it; and he asks, 'Who will fear to squander what he can afterwards recover? Who will care always to keep what he is not in danger of always losing?' But is it on calculations of this sort that men rush into sin? and not rather because they believe their good is there, and not in God? And how little was he really promoting holiness in this his false zeal for it. Tell men after they have sinned grievously, that there is for them no hope of pardon, or, which amounts to nearly the same thing, give them only a

being so we confidently conclude that we have not here the mystery of the calling of the Gentiles into the covenant; whereof during His earthly life the Lord gave only slightest hints; but a truth as precious, namely, that, within the covenant, He was come to call and to receive sinners to repentance. For all this the interpretation. which must thus be rejected as the primary, need not be excluded altogether. Wherever there are penitent sinners and proud sinners, there the parable is finding its fulfilment; and thus in a very real sense the Gentile world was the prodigal younger son, and the Jewish synagogue the self-righteous elder. Nor have they by any means exhausted the parable. It stands good also for us. In the Christian Church, too, prodigals and elder brothers still exist; and as thousands and ten thousands of those have from it taken heart to return to a heavenly Father's house, so will thousands more to the end of time: whom no perverse, narrow-hearted, 'elder-brotherly' interpretation will succeed in robbing of the strong consolation which it affords.

'And the younger of them said to his father, Father, give me the portion of goods that falleth to me.' This claiming of his share in a technical, and almost legal, form, as a right and not as a favour, is a delicate touch, characteristic of the entire alienation from all home affections which already found place in his heart. Such a right the Lord may intend to assume that he had; and, no doubt, a custom of the kind existed among some nations of the East, for example, among the Hindoos; but no satisfactory proof has been adduced that it ever prevailed among the Jews. But we need not conceive of him as asking his portion otherwise than as a favour: 'That portion which will hereafter fall to me, which thou designest for me at last, I would fain receive it now.' A younger brother's portion, according to the Jewish laws of inheritance, would be the half that which the elder brother should receive (Deut. xxi. 17; 2 Kin. ii. 9). Contemplated

dim uncertain distant hope of it, and for one whom you may thus hinder from squandering his goodly heritage, you will hinder ten thousand, who have discovered the wretchedness of a life apart from God, from throwing themselves on the riches of his mercy, and henceforth living, not to the lusts of men, but to his will. Not thus Chrysostom, Ad Theod. Laps. i. 7; De Panit. Hom. i. 4, where among other things he says, οὐτος τοίννιν ὁ νίὸς εἰκόνα πῶν μετὰ πὸ λουτρὸν φέρει πεσάντων, which he proceeds to prove. Compare Ambrose (De Panit. ii. 3), as against the Novatianists.

Τὸ ἐπιβάλλον μέρος τῆς οὐσίας = rata hereditatis pars; the phrase, like so many in
 St. Luke, is classical and happily selected (see Wetstein, in loc.).

b Rosenmüller, Alte und Neue Morgenl. vol. v. p. 115. Abraham indeed in his lifetime bestowed the bulk of his possessions on Isaac, at the same time giving gifts to the sons of his concubines, evidently their portions; for with these he sent them away (Gen. xxv. 5, 6). It may have been on his part a wise precaution to avoid disputes after his death.

spiritually this request is the expression of man's desire to be independent of God, to become a god to himself (Gen. iii. 5), and to lay out his life at his own will and for his own pleasure. Growing weary of living upon God's fulness, he desires to be, and believes that he can be, a fountain of blessedness to himself; that, laying out his life for himself, he will lay it out better than God would have laid it out for him. This sin of pride is the sin of sins; in which all subsequent sins are included; they are all but the unfolding of this one. Over against the prodigal's demand, 'Give me my portion of goods,' is the children's cry, 'Give us day by day our daily bread;' they therein declaring their desire to wait continually upon God, to be nourished from day to day by His hand.

'And he divided unto them his living.' It would have little profited to retain him at home against his will, who was already in heart estranged from that home: better that he should discover by bitter experience the folly of his request. The father therefore did not refuse his demand. And such, too, is the dealing of God; He has constituted man a spiritual being, a being with a will; and when his service no longer appears a perfect freedom, and man promises himself something better elsewhere, he is allowed to make the trial. He shall discover, and, if need be, by most painful proof, that the only true freedom is a freedom in God; that to depart from Him is not to throw off the yoke, but to exchange a light yoke for a heavy one, and one gracious master for a thousand imperious tyrants and lords.

'And not many days after the younger son gathered all together.' Having obtained his portion, a certain interval of time elapses before he actually forsakes his father's house. It is a fine and delicate touch, the apostasy of the heart, as St. Bernard here well observes, often running before the apostasy of the life.^a The sinner is indeed pleasing himself; but the divergence of his will and God's does not immediately appear. This, however, cannot be for long. As the young man in the parable, after a shorter or longer pause, 'gathered all together,' turned what had fallen to his share into ready money or

^a St. Bernard observes, that it is a sign of evil augury, when this son, bonum incipit velle dividere, quod in commune dulcius possidetur, et habere solus, quod participatione non minuitur, partitione amittitur.

b See Chysostom, De Panit Hom. i. 4.

[•] Augustine: Si hærebis superiori, calcabis inferiora; si autem recedas a superiori, ista tibi in supplicium convertentur. Compare the Italian proverb: Chi non vuol servir ad un sol signor, a molti ha da servir.

⁴ De Divers. Serm. 8. Est autem interim homo sub se, cum propriæ satisfaciens voluntati, necdum tamen possidetur a vitiis et peccatis. Jam hinc vero proficiscitur ad regionem longinquam, qui prius quidem separatns erat, sed necdum elongatus a patre.

jewels or other valuables, 'and then took his journey into a far country,' so 'after not many days' he too will openly depart from God, who in will and affections has departed from Him already. Gathering all together, collecting all his energies and powers, with the deliberate resolve of obtaining, through their help, all the gratification he can out of the world, and now manifestly preferring the creature to the Creator, we will take 'his journey into a far country,' even into that land where God is not.

And now it must be well with him at last; he has gotten what he desires; no other is lord over him. Henceforth he is his own master;—but only to find the truth of that line which the poet wrote, and to which so many, the poet himself included, have set their seal:

· Lord of himself; that heritage of woe!

Nor shall he wait long before he makes proof of this. For in that far land the Prodigal,—fitly so called by the Church, though he no where bears this name in the sacred narrative,—'wasted his substance with riotous living' (Prov. xxix. 3); so quickly has his gathering issued in a scattering, so little was it a gathering that deserved the name. For a while the supplies he brought with him may have lasted; and so long he may have congratulated himself, and counted that he had done wisely and well in asserting his own liberty. But anon, 'when he had spent all, there arose a mighty famine in that land, and he be-

Cajetan: Confidentia in omnibus donis naturæ, et gratiæ, animi et corporis, est bonorum congregatio.

b Augustine: Regio longinqua oblivio Dei est. Bede: Non regionibus longe est quisque a Deo, sed affectibus.

[°] O viòs ἄσωτος. The title, however, lies wrapped up in the ζων ἀσώτως of ver. 13; and has its fitness, ἄσωτος being in the best Greek the constant epithet given to a son who squanders his patrimony (see Wetstein, in loc.). It nowhere occurs in the New Testament, in the Septuagint only at Prov. vii. 11; but ἀσωτία three times (Ephes. v. 18; Tit. i. 6; I Pet. iv. 4). The ἄσωτος is the prodigus or the perditus, according as we give the word an active or a passive force. Most give it the active, deriving from à and σώζειν, he who does not spare, the scatterling, who counts that he may squander for ever without having squandered all. So Aristotle, the word forming part of his ethical terminology, the ἄσωτος, or spendthrift, being set over against the ἀνελεύθερος, or niggard; these two occupying the ἄκρα, remote alike from a true liberality (Eth. Nicom. iv. 1, passim). So too Chrysostom: ἄσωτος, οὐ γάρ σώζει, ἀλλ' ἀπόλλυσιν, and Theophylact, who makes ἀσωτία = ἄμετρος χρῆσις (Suicer, s. v.). But for others ἄσωτος is passive, and = ἄσωστος, i.e. σώζεσθαι μὴ δυνάμενος, a word prophetic of his doom who bears it. So Clement of Alexandria (Pæd. ii. 1) and Plutarch (Alcib. 3); so too Grotius: Genus hominum ita immersorum vitiis ut eorum salus deplorata sit; here too, as so often, the heathen ethical terminology saying more than it meant or knew. We must not restrain ἀσωτία on the one side to intemperance and access at the table, as Grotius too much has done; in this sense Cicero (De Fin. ii. 8) has latinized asotns; nor yet on the other to fleshly lusts and impurities. It signifies reckless profligate living in its widest extent. In the Tabula

gan to be in want,'a or rather, 'he began himself to be in want,' the famine reached even to him. What a picture of the downward progress of a soul that has estranged itself from the one source of happiness and joy. It is not at the first moment that the wretchedness of this is discovered. The world has its attractions, and the flesh its pleasures; the affections are not all at once laid waste, nor the sources of natural delight drawn dry in an instant. But to this spiritual bankruptcy the sinner is more or less rapidly hastening; and the time inevitably arrives when he comes to an end of all the satisfaction which the creature can give him; and he too finds out that there is 'a mighty famine' in the land where he has chosen to dwell, -a famine of truth and love, and of all whereby the spirit of man indeed lives; that it is an evil thing and bitter to have forsaken the fountain of living waters, and hewn out for himself broken cisterns, which hold no water (Jer. ii. 13; xvii. 5, 6, 13). There need no outward distresses, though often these will not be wanting, to bring on a sense of this famine. A man's worldly possessions may stand in their fulness, may go on abounding more and more; all his external helps to felicity may remain in their strength; while yet in the true riches he may have run through all, and may be beginning 'to be in want.'d The famine of which Christ here speaks presides often at the sump-

of Cebes, c. 11, 'Ασωτία, as one of the tempting courtezans, keeps company with 'Ακρασία, 'Απληστία, and Κολακεία. Cf. Deyling, Obss. Sac. vol. iii. p. 435, and my Synonyms of the New Testament, § 16.

a The Vulgate has not missed the force of the $a\dot{v}\tau \dot{o}_{5}$: Et $i\dot{p}se$ coepit egere (see Winer, Gramm. § xxii. 4).

δ Λιμὸς ἰσχυρός=σκληρὰ λιμός (Isli. viii. 21); λιμὸς κραταιός (1 Kin. xviii. 2); λιμὸς μέγας (2 Kin. vi. 25; Luke iv. 25); λιμὸς στενός (Job. xviii. 12).

• Ambrose (Lxp. in Luc. vii. 215): Etenim qui recedit a verbo Dei esurit, quia non in solo pane vivit homo, sed in omni verbo Dei: qui recedit a fonte, sitit: qui recedit a thesauro, eget: qui recedit a sapientiâ, hebetatur: qui recedit a virtute, dissolvitur.

^d Thus, when a great English poet, with everything that fortune and rank and genius could give him,—and who had laid out his whole life for pleasure, and not for duty,—yet having hardly reached half the allotted period of man, already exclaimed,

My days are in the yellow leaf;
The flowers, the fruits of love are gone;
The worm, the canker, and the grief,
Are mine alone ——.

what are these deeply affecting words but the confession of one who, having spent all, had found himself in want? The prodigal's misery, his sense of the barrenness of sin, finds presently a yet deeper voice:

'The fire that on my bosom preys Is lone as some volcanic isle; No torch is kindled at its blaze, A funeral pile!' tuous tables of rich men; it finds its way into the palaces of kings. In these palaces, at those tables, the immortal soul may be famishing, yea, ready to 'perish with hunger.'

It was observed just now that we had a right to trace, as a secondary meaning in this parable, the history of the apostasy of the Gentile world from the knowledge and service of the true God. Regard it in that sense, and then this wasting of goods will be exactly described at Rom. i. 19-23; what remains in that chapter corresponding to the prodigal's joining himself to a citizen of the far country, and seeking to fill his belly with the swine's husks. The great famine of the heathen world was at its height when the Son of God came in the flesh: therein consisted in part 'the fulness of time,' the fitness of that time, above all other, for his appearing. The glory of that old world was fast fading and perishing. All child-like faith in the old religions had departed; 'creeds outworn,' they could no longer nourish, ever so little, the spirit of man. The Greek philosophy had completed its possible circle, but it had found no sufficient answer to the doubts and questionings which tormented humanity. 'What is truth?' this was the question which all asked; some in mockery, some in despair; some without the desire, and all without the expectation, of obtaining an answer.

When the prodigal 'began to be in want,' there was here a summons to return to the home which he had forsaken. But his proud heart is not yet subdued, his confidence in his own resources, though shaken. not altogether overthrown. God's first judgments do not always tame; but, like Ephraim, the stricken sinner exclaims, 'The bricks are fallen down, but we will build with hewn stone; the sycamores are cut down, but we will change them into cedars' (Isai. ix. 10; lvii. 10; Jer. v. 3; Amos iv. 6-10; Rev. xvi. 10, 11). In such a spirit as this 'he went and joined himself to a citizen of that country,' - 'fastened' or 'pinned himself upon' him, as Hammond expresses it, hoping to repair his broken fortunes by his helpa (Hos. v 13; 1 Sam ii. 5). 'That citizen,' says St. Bernard, 'I cannot understand as other than one of the malignant spirits, who, in that they sin with an irremediable obstinacy, and have passed into a permanent disposition of malice and wickedness, are no longer guests and strangers, but citizens and abiders in the land of sin.' But may not this term bring out the deep distinction between the prodigal and the lord to whom he addicted himself for awhile? With all his guilt, he was not 'a citizen,' but a stranger, in that 'far land.' He did not feel him-

^{*} So Unger: Ἐκολλήθη contemtim, se obtrusit; see Suicer, Thes. s. v. κολλάομαι. The same use of hærere, adhærere is common in Latin.

self at home, nor naturalize himself there. The other was well to do; the famine had not touched him; herein how far more miserable, though he knew it not, than he who 'began to be in want.' There is hope for him who feels himself a miserable alien in the land of sin: but what hope for one who has made himself 'a citizen' there, who is troubled with no heavenly home-sickness, no divine hypochondria, no remembrance of a Father's house which he has forsaken? For the present indeed there is set forth to us here a deeper depth in the sinner's downward course, a fall within a fall,—a more entire and self-conscious yielding of himself in heart and will to the service of evil. He sells himself to the world; the poor deceptive show of being its master has disappeared; he is evidently its slave. A hint is here of that awful mystery in the downward progress of souls, by which he who begins with using the world as a servant to minister to his pleasures, must submit in the end to a reversing of the relations between them, so that the world uses him as its drudge, and sin as its slave. He becomes cheap in the esteem of that very world, in whose service he has forfeited all. Its good wine, which it offered him at the first, it offers him no longer, but now that he has well drunk, that which is worse^a (John ii. 10). It rejects him, as the sea after a while rejects the carcasses which itself has swallowed up.

It is little help, as may be supposed, which the young man now bankrupt in all now obtains from the new master on whom he has thrust himself; and who, if he must needs engage one who so crouches to him for a morsel of bread, will yet dismiss him out of sight. 'He sent him into his fields to feed swine,' put him to an employment than which in the eyes of a Jew there could be none viler nor more degrading. And now 'he would fain have filled his belly with the husks' that the swine did eat; and no man gave

[»] De Divers. Serm. 8. Theophylact: Προκόψας τῆ κακία. Cajetan: Subjecit se totaliter dæmoni, qui vere est civis regionis peccati.

b Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. on Matt. viii. 30; Gfrörer, Urchristenthum, vol. i. p. 115. Herodotus (ii. 47) describes the swineherds as the only persons excluded from the temples in Egypt.

^{*} These κερατια are not so much the husks of some other fruit, as themselves the fruit of the carob-tree (κερατωνία), called St. John's bread-tree,' from the tradition that the Baptist fed on its fruit in the wilderness. They are common in South Italy, in Spain, on the northern coasts of Africa, and in the Levant; being sometimes eaten by the very poor, but oftener used for the foddering of cattle. In shape they resemble a bean-pod, though larger, and curved more into the form of a sickle: thence called κεράτιον, or little horn, and the tree sometimes in German, Bockshorn-baum. They have a dark hard outside, and a dull sweet taste, hardly justifying Pliny's prædulces siliquæ. (See Rosenmüller, Alle 221d Neue Morgenland, vol. v. p. 198; and Winer, Realwört. s. v. Johannis Brodtbaum). The beans were used for

unto him.' Was it that he looked with a longing eye upon these swine's husks; a share even of these, which he distributed to them, being withholden from himself, that 'no man gave unto him' even of these? So commonly; but, since these must have been in his power, I prefer to understand that in his unscrupulous hunger he was glad to 'fill his belly' with these husks, and did so, —no man giving him any nobler sustenance. That expression is chosen of design; all that he could hope from them was just this, to 'fill his belly,' not to satisfy his hunger; for the food of beasts could not appease the cravings of man. Thus a deepest moral truth will lie in the words,—that none but God can satisfy the longings of an immortal soul; that, as the heart was made for Him, so He only can fill it.

The whole description is wonderful, and in nothing more than the intimate connexion in which his punishment stands to his sin. who would not, as a son, be treated liberally by his father, is compelled to be the servant and bondslave of a foreign master; he who would not be ruled by God, is compelled to serve the devil; he who would not abide in his father's royal palace, is sent to the fields among hinds; he who would not dwell among brethren and princes, is obliged to be the servant and companion of brutes; he who would not feed on the bread of angels, petitions in his hunger for the husks of the swine.'d In his feeding of swine what a picture have we of man. 'serving divers lusts and pleasures,' in whom the divine is for the time totally obscured, and the bestial merely predominant; and in his fruitless attempt to fill his belly with the husks, of man seeking through the unlimited gratification of his appetites to appease the fierce hunger of his soul But in vain, for still 'he enlarges his desire as hell, and is as death, and cannot be satisfied.' One might as well hope to quench a fire by adding fuel to it, as to slake desire by gratifying ite (Ezek. xvi. 28, 29). And the crowning misery is,

weights, and thus it has fallen out that κεράτιον, after long travels in the East, has come back to us through the Arabic in the form of 'carat.'

⁶ Thus Luther: Und niemand gab sei ihm. Bernard (De Convers. 8): Merito siliquas esuriit, el non accepit, qui porcos pascere maluit, quam paternis epulis satiari.

Calvin: Significat præ fame non amplius cogitâsse veteres delicias, sed avide vorâsse siliquas: neque enim cum porcis ipse daret hoc cibi genus, carere potiut
 Additur ratio, quia nemo illi dabat, nam copula; in causalem particulam,

^{. . .} Additur ratio, quia nemo illi dabat, nam copula; in causalem particulam meo judicio, resolvi debet.

[•] Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. vii. 217): Cibus . . . quo corpus non reficitur, sed impletur. Augustine: Pascebatur de siliquis, non satiabatur. Stella: Hominem non satiant, sed ventrem tantum gravant. Compare Lucretius: Atque explere bonis rebus satiareque nunquam.

d Corn. a Lapide.

[•] Jerome (Ad Dam. Ep. xxi. 13): Non poterat saturari, quia semper voluptas famem sui habet, et transacta non satiat; and Bernard (De Convers. 14): Neque

that the power of sinful gratifications to stay that hunger but for the moment is ever diminishing,—the pleasure which is even hoped for from them still growing fainter, and yet the goad behind, urging to seek that pleasure, still becoming fiercer;—the sense of the horrible nature of the bondage ever increasing, with the power of throwing off that bondage ever growing less.^a All the monstrous luxuries and frantic wickedness of imperial Rome show like the last despairing effort of man to fill his belly with the husks.^b In this light we may behold the incredibly sumptuous feast, the golden palaces, the enormous shows and spectacles, and all the pomp and pride of life pushed to the uttermost, the sins of nature, and the sins below nature; while yet from amidst all these the voice of man's misery only made itself the louder heard. The experiment carried out on this largest scale only made the failure more signal, only proved the more plainly that from the food of beasts there could not be drawn the nourishment of men.

It might here be urged, that the picture traced in the parable is an exaggeration alike of the wickedness and of the woe even of those who have forsaken God; that, in the corruptest times not at all, and in more moral epochs only a few, even of these fall so low in misery and guilt; that their fall in a thousand ways is mercifully broken. This is quite true; yet all might thus fall; by the first departure from God all this guilt and all this misery are rendered possible; they are legitimate results; which only do not always follow, because God, in His infinite mercy, does not always suffer sin to put forth ail the bitter fruits which in it as a bitter root are contained. In the present case, it is allowed to put forth its bitterest and its worst; we have one who has debased himself even unto hell; and the parable would have been faulty but for this, it would not have been a parable for all sinners; it would have failed to show that there is no extent of departure from God which precludes a return.

Hitherto we have followed the wanderer step by step in a career

enim parit hanc [satietatem] copia sed contemptus. Sic fatui filii Adam, porcorum vorando siliquas non esurientes animas sed esuriem ipsam pascitis animarum. Sola nimirum hoc edulio inedia vestra nutritur, sola fames alitur cibo innaturali.

^{*} Cajetan: Quieto siquidem dominio jam possidentes dæmones hominem, invident illi satietatem appetitûs, quam tamen procurabant quousque illum plene sibi subjecerunt.

b Augustine's explanation is not virtually different; the 'husks' are for him, seculares doctrinæ steriles, vanitate resonantes; such as had been to himself once his own Manichæan figments. Cf. Jerome (Ad. Dam. Ep. xxi. 13); and H. de Sto. Victore: Sordida figmenta poëtarum, et diversis erroribus polluta dogmata philosphorum.

See, for instance, Suctonius Caligula, xix. 37.

which is carrying him ever farther and farther from his God. But now the crisis has arrived, the περιπετεία of this 'Soul's Tragedy;' and a more grateful task remains,—to trace the steps of his return, from the first beginnings of his repentance to his full reinvestiture in all the rights and privileges of a son. For though he has forsaken his God, he has not been forsaken by Him-not in that far land, nor even among the swine's husks; all the misery which has fallen on him being, indeed, an expression of God's anger against the sin, but at the same time of His love to the sinner. God hedges up his way with thorns, that he may not find his paths (Hos. ii. 6); makes his sin bitter to him, that he may abhor it; pursuing his tugitives, and summoning them back to Himself in that only language which now they will understand. He allows the world to make its bondage hard to them, that they may know the difference between His service and 'the service of the kingdoms of the countries;' and cry to Him, by reason of the bitter bondage (2 Chron. xii. 8; xxxiii. 11-13). On how many, alas! this severe but loving discipline is wasted. They, perhaps, change their yoke, but they do not break it. They betake them to some other citizen of that far country, who promises them a little better fare, or treatment a little less contemptuous. Or, it may be, they learn to dress their husks, that these shall look like human food, and then deny that they are the fodder of swine. Or, glorying in their shame, and wallowing in the same sty with the beasts they feed, they proclaim that there was never meant to be any difference between men and beasts, that the food of one is the food also of the other. But this is not so with all. It was not so with him whose story we are following here. Under that discipline of love 'he came to himself,' -words of deepest significance, saying as they do that to come to one's self, and to come to God, are one and the same thing; that when we truly find ourselves we find Him; or rather having found Him, find also ourselves; d for it is not man in union with God, who is raised above the true condition of humanity; but man separated from God, who has fallen out of, and below that condition.

He remembers now his father's house, and all the abundance there: 'How many hired servants of my father's have bread enough and to

Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. cxxxviii. 3. 4.

b Augustine: Divinæ misericordiæ severa disciplina.

[°] Compare Seneca (Ep. 53): Quare vitia sua nemo confitetur? quia etiam nunc in illis est. Somnium narrare, vigilantis est; et vitia sua confiteri, sanitatis indicium. Cf. Lucretius, iv. 994.

⁴ See Augustine, Serm. xcvi, 2: Si reversus est ad se, exierat a se. Repentance is resipiscentia.

spare, and I perish with hunger!' There is a touch of truest nature here; for the sinner never so feels the discord which he has introduced into his innermost being as when he compares himself with the creation, animate and inanimate, around him and beneath him. He sees the happy animals, undisturbed with his longings, unable to stain themselves with his sin; he beholds suns and stars travelling in their appointed paths, and all fulfilling the purposes for which it was ordained; everywhere else peace and joy; he only

'a jarring and a dissonant thing Amid this general dance and minstrelsy.'

Many, too, of his fellow-men he sees, who, with no very lofty views about living to the glory of God, with no very lively affections towards Him, do yet find their satisfaction in the discharge of their daily duties; who, though they do His work more in the spirit of servants than of sons, rather looking to their hire than out of the free impulses of love, are not without their reward. It is true, they may fall very short of the highest joy which some of His children know; yet, on the other hand, they are far from the misery and destitution into which he has sunk. 'Hired servants' of his Father, they yet 'have bread enough and to spare,' while he, a son, and having once had a son's portion and place, must 'perish with hunger.'

We may picture the forlorn prodigal to ourselves as having sat long while upon the ground, for the earth presents itself as the natural throne of the utterly desolate (Job ii 8, 13; Isai. iii. 26; xlvii. 1; Lam. ii. 10), and revolving there the extreme misery into which he has fallen. But now he gathers up anew his prostrate energies, as a better hope wakens in his bosom. Why should he tarry longer among swine? 'I will arise, and go to my father'—words urged by the Pelagians of old in proof that man could turn to God in his own strength, and needed no drawing from above, no grace at once pre-

^{*} Τῷ αἰσχίστῳ ἐλέθρῳ, λιμῷ τελευτῆσαι, Thucydides, iii. 59.

b Thus Jerome (Ad Dam. Ep. xxi. 14); Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. vii. 220); and Bernard (De Divers. Serm. 8): Quis enim peccati consuetudine obligatus, non se felicem reputaret, si datum esset ei esse tanquam unum ex his, quos in seculo tepidos videt, viventes sine crimine, minime tamen quærantes quæ sursum sunt, sed quæ super terram? Cf. Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. i. pp. 260, 532.

Thus Constance in King John, Act iii. Sc. 1:

^{&#}x27;My grief's so great
That no supporter but the huge firm earth
Can hold it up; here I and sorrow sit;
Here is my throne; bid kings come bow to it.'

⁴ Augustine: Surgam, dixit -sederat enim.

But Augustine (Ep. 186): Quam cogitationem bonam quando haberet, nisi et

venting and following; just as the (self-styled) Unitarians of modern times have found in the circumstances of the prodigal's return an argument that man's repentance is of itself sufficient to reconcile him with God, and this without a Meditator or a sacrifice. But these errors are sufficiently guarded against by innumerable clearest declarations; the first by such as John vi. 44; the second by such as Heb. x. 19-22; nor have we any right to expect that every passage in Scripture, least of all, that parables, which exist under necessary limitations in their power of setting forth the truth, shall contain the whole circle of Christian doctrine. He who will know the truth of God, must consider not what one Scripture says, but what all; and the silence of passages like this must not be pleaded against the plain statements of other portions of Scripture.

'And will say unto him, Father.' That relation his obedience has not constituted, and so his disobedience could not disannul was the ground of his confidence, even that a son once is a son ever. The adoption of son-ship in baptism, and the gifts and calling of God, are on his part without repentance or recall. They may and will perish, who chose to remain in guilty ignorance to the last that these things have been freely given them of God; but having been once given, they may claim and challenge them for their own whensoever they will; nothing which has passed can have extinguished their right to do this. 'I have sinned against heaven and before thee;' -when we give these words their higher application, the two acknowledgements run into one, 'I have sinned against Thee, my Father in heaven; '- 'and,' as he goes on to say, 'am no more worthy to be called thy son.' He shows his repentance to have been divinely wrought, a work of Holy Spirit, in that he acknowledges his sin in its root, as a transgression of the divine law, as wrought against God. Thus did David: 'Against Thee, Thee only have I sinned' (Ps. li. 4); while yet his offences had been against the second table. For we may injure ourselves by our evil, we may wrong our neighbour; but, strictly speaking, we can sin only against God; and the recognition of our evil at first and chiefly an offence against him, is of the essence of all true repentance, and distinguishes it broadly from remorse and all other kinds of sorrow which may follow on evil deeds. This willingness to confess is ever noted in Scripture as the sign of a true repentance begun; even as the sinner's refusal to humble himself in confession before God is the sure note of a continued obduracy (2 Sam. xii. 13; Job. ix. 20; xxxi. 33; xxxiii. 27; Ps. xxxii. 5; xxxviii. 18; Prov. xxviii. 13; Jer. ii. 35; iii. 13; xvi. 10; Hos. xiv. 2; 1 John i. 9, 10). In Augustine's words, 'He shows himself worthy,

in that he confesses himself unworthy; 'a while a scholar of St. Bernard's here exclaims: 'Keep, O happy sinner, keep watchfully and carefully this thy most just feeling of humility and devotion; by which thou mayest ever esteem the same of thyself in humility, of the Lord in goodness. Than it there is nothing greater in the gifts of the Holy Spirit, nothing more precious in the treasures of God, nothing more holy among all graces, nothing more wholesome among all sacraments. Keep, I say, if thou wilt thyself be kept, the humility of that speech and feeling, with which thou confessest to thy Father, and sayest, "Father, I am no more worthy to be called thy son," For humility is of all graces the chiefest, even while it does not know itself to be a grace at all. From it they begin, by it they advance, in it they are consummated, through it they are preserved.'b Thus far all has been well; but the words that follows, 'Make me as one of thy hired servants, '-- are these, it may be asked, the voice of returning spiritual health, so that we should desire to meet the temper which they imply in every normal repentance, or not? For the present we would only call attention to the fact that at a later period he lets them fall (ver. 21), and shall then have something more to say on this question.

There is no tarrying now; he makes haste and prolongs not the time; and what he has determined to do, he does. 'He arose, and came to his father.' He had believed in his father's love; he shall find that love far larger and freer than he had dared to believe. 'IVhen he was yet a great way off, his father saw him, and had compassion, and ran, and fell on his neck (Gen. xlv. 14; xlvi. 29; Acts xx. 37), and kissed him.' The evidences of the father's love are described with a touching minuteness; he does not wait till the poor returning wanderer has come all the way, but himself hastens to meet him; neither does he wear at first an aspect of severity, only after a season

ipsam illi in occulto Pater misericordissimus inspirâsset? Cf. Enarr. in Ps. lxxvii. 39; and the quotation, p. 360.

a And again: Esto accusator tuus, et ille erit indultor tuus; cf. Enar. in Ps. xxxi. 5. Tertullian (De Pænit. 9, 10) has much in connexion with this parable, on the benefit of unreserved confession: Tantum relevat confessio delictorum quantum dissimulatio exaggerat. Confessio enim satisfactionis consilium est, dissimulatio, contumaciæ. In quantum non peperceris tibi, in tantum tibi Deus, crede, parcet. The treatise breathes a far different spirit from that in which the other, De Pudicitia, is written; but does not the less show how serious a thing repentance was accounted in the early Chnrch; how closely linked with outward self-denials and humiliations.

b Guerricus (Bernardi Opp. vol. ii. p. 986): Humilitas siquidem omnium virtutum est maxima, cum tamen virtutem se esse nesciat: ab ipsâ incipiunt, per ipsam proficiunt, in ipsâ consummantur, per ipsam conservantur.

Cajetan: Non audebo petere redintegrationem in statum filii, in pristina dona grandia: sed petam dona incipientium, qui amore æternæ mercedis serviunt Deo.

to be relaxed or laid aside, but at once welcomes him with the kiss. which is more than a token of affection, being the significant, and in the East well understood, pledge of reconciliation and peace (Gen. xxxiii. 4; 2 Sam. xiv. 33; Ps. ii. 12). It is thus the Lord draws nigh unto them that draw nigh unto Hima (Jam. iv. 8); He listens to the first faint sighings of their hearts after Him, for it was He who awoke those sighings there (Ps. x. 17). And though they may be 'yet a great way off,' with far too slight an insight into the evil of their sin, or into the holiness, of God, He meets them, notwithstanding, with the evidences of his favour towards them. Neither does He compel them first to go through a dreary apprenticeship of servile fear at a distance from Him; but at once embraces them in the arms of his love, giving them at this first moment strong consolations perhaps stronger and more abounding than afterwards, when more settled in their Christian course, they will always receive. And this because they need such now, to assure them that they are accepted, despite of all the loathsomeness of their sin; to convince them of that which it is often so hard for penitents to believe, which tasks all their faith, that God has indeed put away their transgressions, and is pacified toward them.

But the prodigal, though thus graciously received, with his sin not once mentioned against him, does not the less make the confession which he had meditated when the purpose of returning was first conceived in his heart. And this is well; for, though God may forgive, man is not therefore to forget. Let us note, too, that it is after, and not before, the kiss of reconciliation that this confession is made; for the more the sinner knows and tastes of the love of God, the more he grieves to have outraged that love. It is under the genial rays of this kindly love that the heart, before bound up as by a deadly frost, thaws and melts and loosens, and the waters of repentance flow freely forth. The knowledge of God's love in Christ is the cruse of salt, which alone can turn the bitter and barren-making streams of remorse into the healing waters of repentance (2 Kin. ii. 19-22). And thus the truest and best repentance follows, and does not precede, the sense of forgiveness; and thus, too, repentance will be a lifelong thing, for every new insight into that forgiving love will be as a new reason why the sinner should mourn to have sinned against

[•] Thus there is an Eastern proverb, 'If man draws near to God an inch, God will draw near to him an ell;' or as Von Hammer (Fundg. d. Orients, vol. iv. p. 91) gives it:

Wer sich mir eine Spanne weit naht, dem eile ich eine Elle lang entgegen; Und wer mir gehund entgegen kömmt, dem eile ich in Sprügen zu.

it. It is a mistake to affirm that men, those, I mean, in whom a real spiritual work is going forward, will lay aside their repentance, so soon as they are convinced of the forgiveness of their sins; and that therefore,—since repentance, earnest, long-continued, self-mortifying repentance, is a good thing,—the longer men can be kept in suspense about their forgiveness the better, as thus a deeper foundation of repentance will be laid. This is a preposterous view of the relation in which repentance and forgiveness stand to each other; their true relation being opened to us in such passages as Ezek. xxxvi. 31, where the Lord says, 'Then' (and for that 'then' means, see ver. 24-30; after I have cleansed you, given you a new heart, heaped my richest blessings upon you, then, under the sense of these) 'shall ye remember your own evil ways, and your doings that were not good, and shall loathe yourselves in your own sight for your iniquities and your abominations.' Cf. Ezek. xvi. 60-63, where the Lord avouches that He has established His covenant with Judah for this very end-'that thou mayest remember, and be confounded, and never open thy mouth any more because of thy shame, when I am pacified toward thee for all that thou hast done.' The younger son, albeit with the clearest evidence that his father is pacified toward him, does not the less confess his shame.^a He does not indeed utter all that he had once intended; he does not say, 'Make me as one of thy hired servants:' for this purpose of shrinking back from his father's love, and from the free grace which would restore to him all, was the one troubled element of his repentance; and in his dropping of these words, in his willingness to be blessed by his father to the uttermost, there is evidence that the grace already received has not been received in vain. Beugel thinks it possible that his father cut him short, left him no opportunity to say what he intended, but suggests also the truer explanation. This being so, that scholar of St. Bernard's, whose excellent words on the precious grace of humility, I quoted just now, is at fault here, exhorting as he does the returning penitent still to persist in taking the place of a servant, even after his father has bidden him to resume the position of a son. This is that false humility, of which we meet so much, and which often is so mightily extolled, in monkery, but of which we meet nothing in Scripture. It is the truest humility, when bidden to go up higher, to go. It was true humility in Peter to suffer the Lord to wash his feet; as it would

^{*} Cajetan: Suscepta gratia non excludit sed exequitur sanctum propositum.

b Vel quod ex obvii patris comitate accensa filialis fiducia omnem servilem sensum absorberet, vel quod patris comitas sermonem filii abrumperet. So Augustine (Quast. Evang. ii. qu. 33): Cum enim panem non haberet, vel mercenarius esse cupiebat; quod post osculum patris generosissime jam dedignatur.

have been false humility, as well as disobedience, to have resisted longer than he did, (John xiii. 6 10). It is true humility in the prodigal, at his father's bidding, to accept at once the position of a son.

'But the father said to his servants, Bring forth the best robe, and put it on him; and put a ring on his hand, and shoes on his feet.' He will restore to him a place and a name in his house, as in these words he plainly declares, all these being ornaments, not of the slave, but of the free; all, therefore, speaking of restoration to his former dignity and honour. Or, if we cannot suppose the Roman customs which accompanied the lifting up of a slave to a freeman's rank to have been familiarly known in Palestine, or alluded to here, yet since the giving of the robe and ring was ever accounted in the East among the highest tokens of favour and honour (Gen. xli. 42; I Macc. vi. 15), these commands would testify to the same.

Few even of those interpreters usually most averse to the tracing of a spiritual meaning in the minuter details of a parable, have been able to resist the temptation here. It has been debated whether 'the best robe,' as in our Translation, or 'the former' robe,' that which he wore of old when he walked a son in his father's house, the robe kept for him long, and now restored, will best express the intention of the original. The difference is not important (though our Translation is clearly right)—nor yet whether we say that by the giving of this robe is signified the imputation of the righteousness of Christ,' or the restoration of sanctity to the soul. If we see in it his rehabilitation in his baptismal privileges, then both will be included. They who shall 'bring forth the best robe' have been generally interpreted as the ministers of reconciliation; and if we may imagine them as first removing from him the tattered garments, the poor swineherd's rags,

^a Thus Tertullian (De Resur. Carn. 57), of the manumitted slave: Vestis albanitore, et aurei annuli honore, et patroni nomine ac tribu mensâque honoratur. Grotius: Δακτύλιον apud Romanos ingenuitatis, apud Orientes populos dignitatis eximiæ signum, aut etiam opulentiæ (Jam. ii. 2; Gen. xli. 42). Cf. Elsner, Biblioth. Brem. vol. iii. p. 906; and the Dict. of Gr. and Roman Antt. s. v. Rings, p. 824.

^b Stolam primam (Vulg.); vestem pristinam, priorem (Tertullian); τὴν στολὴν τὴν ἀρχαίαν (Theophylact); but rather, stolam illam præstantissimam; τὴν τιμιωτάτην (Euthymius): cf. Gen. xxvii. 15: τὴν στολὴν τὴν καλὴ. Πρῶτος is often used in this sense of chiefest, best (I Chron. xxvii. 33; Passow, s. v.: der vornehmste, angesehenste). The στολὴ is the vestris talaris, the stately garment reaching to the feet (Mark xii. 38).

[•] Indumentum Spiritûs Sarcti (Tertullian); Stola, quæ in aliâ parabolâ indumentum dicitur nuptiale (Jerome); Stola prima est dignitas quam perdidit Adam (Augustine); and elsewhere: Spes immortalitatis in baptismo; τὸ ἔνδυμα τῆς ἀφθαρσίας (Theophylact); Sanctificatio Spiritûs, quâ baptizatus induitur et pœnitens rienduitur (Guerricus).

which were hanging about him, then Zechariah iii. 4 will supply an interesting parallel. Those who stand before the Lord there, will correspond to the servant here; and what they do for the High Priest there, removing his filthy garments from him, and clothing him with a change of raiment, and setting a fair mitre on his head, the same will the servants do here for the son; with the difference only that instead of the mitre, the appropriate adornment there of the High Priest, the ring and the shoes are here mentioned; and the symbolic act has in each case the same signification; which there is plainly declared, 'Behold, I have caused thine iniquity to pass from thee.' That passage brought to bear on this leaves it most probable that, by this clothing him with the best robe, is especially signified that act of God which, considered on its negative side, is a release from condemnation, a causing of the sinner's iniquity to pass from him,—on its positive side, is an imputation to him of the merits and righteousness of Christ. (Isa. lxi. 10).

This explanation for other reasons is preferable, since we have the gift of restoration of the Spirit indicated in the ring with which the returning wanderer is also adorned.a In the East, as with us, the ring was often a seal (Esth. iii. 10, 12; Jer. xxii. 24). Here is a point of connexion between the giving of the ring and such Scriptures as Ephes. i. 13, 14; 2 Cor. i. 22, in which a sealing by God's Spirit is spoken of, whereby the faithful are assured, as by an earnest, of a larger inheritance reserved in heaven for them (Gal. iv. 6; Rom viii. 23; 2 Cor. v. 5). Neither shall we plant ourselves altogether in another circle of images if we further regard the ring as the pledge of betrothal: 'And I will betroth thee unto Me forever: yea, I will betroth thee unto Me in righteousness, and in judgment, and in lovingkindness, and in mercies, and I will even betroth thee unto Me in faithfulness; and thou shalt know the Lord' (Hos. ii. 19, 20). Shoes are also put on his feet, to which corresponds the promise, 'I will strengthen them in the Lord, and they shall walk up and down in his name' (Zech. x. 12). The penitent shall be equipped for holy obedience, having his 'feet shod with the preparation of the Gospel

Clement of Alexandria (Potter's ed. p. 1017): Σήμαντρον βασιλικὸν καὶ σφραγίδα θείαν, and presently after, ἀποσφράγεσμα δὸξης. The fragment whence these words are taken is interesting in many respects;—and among others in this, that the author, whether Clement or another, affirms of the Prodigal that he had not merely wasted the natural gifts of God, but especially abused τῶν τοῦ βαπτίσματος ἡξιωμένων καλῶν.

b Ambrose (De Panit. ii. 3): Det annulum in manu ejus, quod est fidei pignus, et Sancti Spiritûs signaculum.

^e The whole chapter affords deeply interesting parallels: ver. 5 (the latter part) answering to ver. 11, 12 here; ver. 6-13 there to 13-19 here; and ver. 14-23 to 20-24.

d Guerricus: Calceamenta, quibus ad calcanda serpentum venena munitur, vel ad

of peace,' (Ephes. vi. 15). No needful strength shall be wanting to him (Deut. xxxiii. 25).—'And bring hither the fatted calf, (I Sam. xxviii. 24; Amos. vi. 4; Mal. iv. 2), and kill it.' It creates a confusion of images again to go back here to the sacrifice of Christ, which was implicitly contained in the giving of the robe. That sacrifice, moreover, is not a consequence of the sinner's return, as the killing of the fatted calf is the consequence of the prodigal's, but the ground which renders such a return possible. Nor should we, I am persuaded, see here any special allusion to the Holy Eucharist, but more generally to the festal joy and rejoicing which is in the heavenly places at the sinner's return.

As the shepherd summoned his friends (ver. 6), and the woman her neighbours (ver. 9), so here the householder his servants, to be sharers in his joy. For the very nature of true joy is, that it runs over, longs to impart itself: and if this be true of the joy on earth, how much more of the purer and less selfish joy in heaven. And summoning his servants to rejoice, the father announces to them the grounds of the joy in which they are invited to share. Some might naturally be presumed to make part of the household now, who had not belonged to it at the time of the young man's departure. To them, therefore, it is needful to announce that this wanderer, whom they beheld just now in the swineherd's rags, is no other than a son of the house, to be henceforth by them treated and honored as such. That there may be no doubt upon this matter, the father solemnly reinstates him in the rights, honours and privileges of a son. 'This my son'—so he names him in the presence of all—'was dead;'—for

evangelizandum præparatur. Grotius: Nimirum pænitentibus in gratiam receptis etiam hoc Deus concedit, ut apti sint aliis aut voce aut certe exemplo docendis; and he quotes well Ps. li. 13. Clement of Alexandria (Potter's ed. p. 1018) has much beautiful, and something fanciful, on these $\dot{\nu}\pi o \delta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$. They are sandles rather than shoes, which are of rare use in the East; the word is used interchangeably with $\sigma a \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} h a b$ the LXX. though there is a distinction (see Tittmann, Synonyms. and the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Sandalium, p. 839).

- Σιτευτός here and Judg. vi. 25 = σιτιστός, Matt. xxii. 4.
- b As Origen (Hom. 1 in Lev.) does.
- Augustine endeavours to evade this difficulty: Tunc enim cuique [Christus] occiditur, cum credit occisum.
 - d So Tertullian (De Pudic. 9) and Clementos Alexandria.
- Arndt (De Vero Christ. ii. 8): Hoc convivium innuit gaudium angelorum, sive vivificantem, lætificantem, et coronantem misericordiam quam Ps. lxiii. 5; Jes. lxvi. 13, depingit.
- t Origen (Hom. 23 in Lev.) on the words, 'My feasts,' which there occur, asks: Habet ergo Deus dies festos suos? Habet. Est enim ei magna festivitas humana salus.

the state of sin is a state of death (I John iii. 14; Matt. viii. 22; I Tim. v. 6; Ephes. ii. I), 'and is alive again;' for the life in God is life indeed, is the only true life (John x. 28)—'he was lost, and is found' (I Pet. ii. 25); and since thus the lost was found, and the dead was alive again, 'they began to be merry.'

At this point the parable, like the two preceding, might have ended. But the mention of 'two sons' at ver. II has already indicated that this has a wider intention; and, complete as is this earlier part within itself, it shall also form part of another and more complex whole, and derive new beauty from the contrast which is now to be drawn between the large heart of God and the narrow grudging heart of man. For the purposes of this contrast the elder son, who hitherto has been named, and no more, is now brought upon the scene. 'Now his elder son was in the field.' While his brother had been wasting his whole portion in excess abroad, he had been engaged at home, on his father's ground, laboriously winning from the earth its painful returns; and now, at the close of one among many toilsome days, is returning home, ignorant of all that had befallen; and only receiving the first hint, when, 'as he drew nigh to the house, he heard music and dancing.' It would be alien to the manners and feelings of the East to suppose the guests themselves engaged in these diversions: they would be but listeners and spectators, the singers and dancers being hired for the occasion. Surprised at these unwonted sounds, 'he called one of the servants, and asked what these things meant.' With what a fine touch the ungenial character of the man is indicated already. He does not at once go in; he does not take for granted that when his father makes a feast there is matter worthy of making merry about. But, as if already resolved to mislike what is proceeding, he prefers to remain without, and to learn from a servant the occasion of the joy; or, as he himself significantly puts it, 'what these things meant,' demanding an explanation, as if they required it. 'And he said unto him, Thy brother is come; and thy frther hath killed the fatied calf, because he hath received him safe and sound.' How delicate is here the observance of the lesser proprieties of the narrative. The father, in the midst of all his natural joy, is vet full of the moral significance of his son's return—that he has come back another person from what he was when he departed, or while he tarried in that far land. He sees into the deep of his joy, that he is receiving him now, a son indeed, once dead, but now alive, once lost to him and to God, now found alike by both. But the servant confines himself to the more external features of the case, to the fact that, after all he has gone through of excess and hardship,

his father has received him 'safe and sound.'a Even if he could enter more deeply into the matter, he confines himself with a suitable discretion to that which falls plainly under his own and every one's eye.

The explanation is not satisfactory to the questioner. The contemplation of his father's joy, of his brother's safety, so far from stirring up any gladness in his heart, moves him rather to displeasure: instead of rushing into his brother's arms, 'he was angry, and would not go in.' Nor even when his father so bore with him that he 'came out and entreated him,' would he lay aside his displeasure, but loud'v complained of the unequal measure dealt out to him and to his brother, of the bounty bestowed upon his brother's misconduct: 'Lo, these many years do I serve thee, neither transgressed I at any time thy commandment; and yet thou never gavest me a kid, that I might make merry with my friends 'b (Gen. xxvii. 9; Judg. xv. 1). And then he invidiously compares this with the treatment of his brother: 'But as soon as this my son,' he says not 'my brother'—was come,' he says not 'was returned,'e as of one who now at length had come back to his own—upon the instant, after many years, not of duty but of disobedience—'which hath devoured thy living,' again invidiously, for in a sense it was his own—'with harlots,' most probably, but only a presumption on his part—'thou hast killed for him' not a kid merely, but 'the fatted calf,' the choicest calf in the stall. would he have said, had he known all? could he have seen his brother arrayed in the best robe, and with all his other adornments, when this which alone he mentions, as it is all which he has learned from his imformant, so fills him with indignation?

It is too joyful an occasion for the father to take that just exception which he might at the tone and temper of this remonstrance. There shall not, if he can help it, be a cloud upon any brow; and instead of answering with severity, he expostulates with the malcontent; shows him the unreasonableness of his complaint; warning him at the same time that he is now, in fact, falling into the very sin which his brother committed when he said, 'Give me the portion of goods that falleth to me.' He too is feeling that he does not truly possess what he possesses with his father, but that he must separate something off from his father's stock, before he can count it properly his own.

a 'Yyıairovra = salvom et servatum, Plautus, Aulul. iv. 6. II; salvom et sospitem, Capt. iv. 2. 93.

b Jerome (Ad. Dam. Ep. 21) on these words, with my friends, asks him: Potest esse tibi aliqua jucunditas nisi patre tecum celebrantre convivium? Cf. Bernard, In Cant. Serm. xiv. 4.

Bengel: Venit, dicit, ut de alieno loquens: non, rediit.

The same mischief lies at the root of his speech, as spoke out more plainly in his brother's; and this the father will bid him see: 'Son, thou art ever with me, and all that I have is thine;' and with this he shows him the unloving spirt, out of which his discontent proceeded, and the fitness of the present joy; 'It was meet that we should make merry and be glad; for this thy brother' (not merely 'my son,' as he ungraciously had put it, but 'thy brother,' kinned to him, and to whom therefore his kindness is due)—'was dead, and is alive again," was lost, and is found.'

With this the parable concludes; nor are we told whether the discontent of the elder brother yielded to these expostulations or not. This for us will be mainly determined by the interpretation of the parable with which we have started. It must be admitted that those who see in the younger brother the Gentile, and therefore in the elder the Jew, find it encumbered here with fewer difficulties than such as deny that in its primary purpose its sets forth their relations to God and to one another. These last must look elsewhere for a solution of difficulties, which resemble closely those we have already met in the parable of the Labourers in the Vineyard. They indeed resolve themselves into this single one,—Is their righteousness, whom the elder brother represents, true or false? An answer either way has its own perplexity. If true, how reconcile this with his contumacy towards his father, and his unloving spirit towards his brother? What true believer charges God with injustice and partiality? grudges, and does not rather rejoice, when one who may have wandered more widely than himself, is brought home to the true fold? How, too. reconcile this assumption with the scope of this part of the parable, aimed as it is against the Pharisees, whose righteousness in the main was not real, but feigned and hypocritical? But on the other hand if, pressed by these embarrassments, we refuse to see in him any true righteousness at all, in what sense shall we understand his having remained ever with his father, or how does an estimate of his character so entirely unfavourable fall in with his uncontradicted assertion of his own continued obedience, or with the meed of approbation and assurances of favour, which he receives from his father's lips?

Each determination of the question is encumbered with difficulties of its own; theirs certainly with great, though perhaps not with the

Schoettgen, Hor. Heò. vol. i. p. 877.

b As Augustine, Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 33.

[•] Jerome's reply to Damasus (Ερ. 21), more than once referred to already, shows these difficulties to have been felt quite as strongly in his time as now. It was just this question which Damasus had asked: Numquid personæ justi tam immanis invidia poterit coaptari? Πολυθρύλητον ζήτημα Theophylact calls it.

greatest, who in the elder brother see the Pharisees, a with a righteousness altogether feigned and hypocritical. His assertions concerning his own continued obedience are suffered, they say, to pass unchallenged, because, even granting them true, the case would not be altered, the father arguing with him e concesso: 6 Be it so, that is not the subject now in hand; allowing your obedience to have been unbroken, your works always well-pleasing in my sight, yet ought you in love to rejoice that your brother has returned, and to take your share in this festal gladness with which he is welcomed home.' But is it not possible, by a middle course, to escape embarrassments which attend this no less than the opposite scheme of interpretation; namely, that we see in this elder brother a low, but not altogether false, form of legal righteousness? He is one whom the law has kept from gross offences; he has been occupied, though in a servile spirit, in the works of that law. So, no doubt, had it been with not a few of the Pharisees. Some were hypocrites; but some sincerely, though in much blindness of heart, followers after righteousness (Rom. x. I, 2). The righteousness indeed was of a low sort, oin the strivings after which, being mostly external, they attained to no such acquaintance with the plague of their own hearts, as should render them mild and merciful to others, no such insight into the breadth of that law which they professed to keep, as should thoroughly abase them before God. Such may have been the murmurers here; not therefore utterly to be rejected, nor the good in them utterly denied; but requiring to be shown what was deficient, narrow, and loveless in their service; _to be invited to renounce their servile for a filial spirit, and to enter into the nobler liberties of that Church and kingdom which Christ was establishing upon earth. Hitherto the elder son had been labouring 'in the field,'d but now he is bidden to a festival. whose work for God had hitherto been servile, the hard task-work of the law, are invited now to 'come in,' to enter into the joy of the Lord, the freedom of the Spirit.^e This part of the parable will then be as much a preaching of the Gospel of the kingdom to the legalist, as its earlier part had been to the gross sinner;—as love to the one spoke there, so love to the other here.

^{*} Jerome, for instance, who says that Christ portrays the Pharisees, non quales sed quales esse debuerant. Theophylact calls them καθ' ὑπόθεσιν δίκαιοι.

b Jerome: Non confirmavit vera esse quæ dixerat filius, sed irascentem aliâ ratione compescuit.

[·] Salmeron: Intelligamus veros justos, sed mediocres.

^d Ambrose: Terrenis operibus occupatus, ignorans quæ sunt Spiritûs Dei. But Augustine (*Enarr. in Ps.* cxxxviii.) rather more favourably: Significat canctos in lege facientes opera et præcepta legis.

[·] Augustine: Ad perfruitionem potioris atque jucundioris exultationis invitat.

But the elder son's reply (ver. 29, 30) to the father's first invitation shows only too plainly that he whom that son represents is ignorant of the nature of that kingdom to which he is invited. He is looking for certain definite rewards of his obedience, to the getting of something from God, in preference to possessing all things in God. Instead of feeling it his true reward, that he had been ever with his heavenly Father, he rather pleads this as establishing his claim to some other reward. In the further rejoinder which the father makes (ver. 31), we must be careful to place the emphasis on the right word, for without this the meaning will entirely escape us It is not, 'Son, thou art ever with me,' drawing thus a contrast between him and his brother, who for so long a time had not been with his father; but 'Son, thou art ever with me,' the emphasis resting on the concluding words. 'What need to talk of other friends? thou art ever with a better than them all, with myself. Why shouldest thou feel hurt that a kid was never given thee, when all that I have is thine?' To make the first clause of the sentence an honourable recognition of his past obedience, or the second a promise that the whole inheritance will devolve on him, is a missing and marring of the whole. Rather in the first words lies at once the keenest, and the most loving, rebuke: 'Am not I more to thee than all besides?' in the second the most earnest warning: 'What is mine is thine, if only thou wilt so regard it; what can I do for thee, if fellowship in my things fails to make thee rich?' How wonderfully does that 'All that I have is thine,' when thus understood, declare to us the true nature of the rewards of the kingdom. In the elder son's esteem, whatever was bestowed on his brother was withdrawn from himself; but in the free kingdom of love one has not less, because another has more; all is possessed by each. The fountain of God's grace is no scanty desert spring, round which thirsty travellers need strive and struggle, muddying the waters with their feet, pushing one another away, lest those waters be drunk up by others before their turn shall arrive; but an inexhaustible river, on whose banks all may stand, and where none need grudge lest, if others drink largely and freely, there will not enough remain for themselves. Not to one, but to each of his true servants and children the Lord can say, 'All that I have is thine.' If any then is straitened, and counts that he has not enough, he is straitened, as

Augustine: Non dicit pater, Omnia possides, sed, Omnia mea tua sunt.

b He should have felt, in Bernard's words: Ipse retributor, Ipse retributio nostra, nec aliud jam quam Ipsum exspectamus ab Ipso.

Augustine, on these words, says: Sic enim perfectis et purgatis ac jam immortalibus filiis habentur omnia, ut sint omnium singula, et omnia singulorum: et enim cupiditas nihil sine angustiâ, ita nihil cum angustiâ caritas tenet.

the elder son here, not in God, but in himself, and in his own narrow and grudging heart.

It is easy to perceive why nothing should be told us concerning the success or failure of the father's attempt to remove the sullen dissatisfaction of his son; why, when we read, we feel a certain abruptness at the close. This was inevitable, seeing that it was still uncertain whether the Scribes and Pharisees might not be won to repentance; which indeed, though of another kind and for other sins, they needed quite as much as the publicans and harlots. Lord, not distinctly declaring that the elder son obstinately refused to the last to enter in, or that he was finally excluded for his contumacy, intimated to these, that as yet the kingdom of God was not closed against them; that they too, as well as the publicans and sinners, were invited and summoned to leave their low, poor, and formal service, 'the elements of the world' (Gal. iv. 3), and to enter into the glorious liberties of the kingdom of grace; they too guests, if they would esteem themselves such, at that marriage festival where He should manifest his glory, changing the weak and watery elements of that old dispensation into the generous and gladdening wine of the new (John ii, 1-11).

For all this, it is impossible to read the parable without an ominous presentiment that the elder brother does refuse to the end to go in. Such refusal there was, and on the largest scale, when the Tews in the apostolic age would take no part in the great festival of reconciliation with which the reception of the Gentile world into the Church was being celebrated; nay rather, with all their might set themselves against it (1 Thess. ii. 14). What a mournful commentary is the whole book of Acts on these words, 'he would not go in; 'would not,' because his brother was received so freely and with such tokens of joy (xiii. 45; xiv. 19; xvii. 5, 13; xviii. 12; xxii. 21-23). If that younger brother had been submitted first to a painful apprenticeship of the law, if he too had been sent to work 'in the field,' it might have been another thing (Acts xv. 1); but that he should be thus made free of the kingdom of God, and brought into the festival at once,—this was more than the elder could endure. Numbers stayed openly and sullenly without. Others, as the Ebionites, only pretended to go in, or went in under a mistaken assumption that it should be as in their

^{*}Anselm's instructive Dialogus Christiani et Judæi concludes with these words from the former: Age nunc, ut dixi, noli stare foris, nec invideas stolæ et calceamentis et annulo, fidei signaculo, quæ mihi pœnitenti filio Pater dedit: sed veni intus, et esto socius gaudii, particeps convivii. Quod si non facis, expectabo et sustinebo indignationem tuam, donec egressus Pater ipse te roget; et interim dicam ad g'oriam ejusdem Patris, Annulus meus mihi, anntlus meus mihi.

narrow hearts they desired (Gal. ii. 12-14), and, discovering their mistake, presently withdrew themselves again. Yet while all this was then the fact, it behoves us of the Gentile Church never to forget that all the conditions of the parable will be reversed at the end of the present dispensation, and the parts so shifted, that it is we who shall at that day be in danger of playing the elder brother's part and falling into his sin. And this we shall do if we repine at the largeness of the grace bestowed upon the Jew, once the son ever with his Father, but now the Prodigal feeding upon husks, far away from his heavenly Father's house (Hos. iii. 4, 5; Rom. xi.).

* Augustine: Irascitur frater major. . . . Stomachati sunt Judæi venire gentes de tanto compendio, nullis impositis oneribus legis, non dolore circumcisionis carnalis, in peccato accipere baptismum salutarem.

b Cajetan's view of the displeasure of the elder brother is interesting, and in its main features original. He spake first of the sweetness which the penitent often finds at his first return unto God, 'the music and dancing;' -for him all the glories of the Gospel have the freshness of novelty, an overpowering gladness, which they cannot possess for one to whom they have been familiar from the beginning. The joy of the latter has indeed been infinitely greater than this one burst of gladness, but spread over larger spaces of time: -so that, seeing the exultation of the newly converted, he may be tempted to ask, with a transient feeling of discontent, why to him also is not given this burst of exulting joy? why for him 'the fatted catf' has been never slain?—The answer is because his father's possessions are, and have been always, his. His joy, therefore, is soberer and more solid, -not the suddenly swelling mountain torrent, but the deep, though smooth, river: and what is given to the other, is given to him just because he is a beginner. Cajetan concludes thus: Adverte hîc, prudens lector, Deum quandoque noviter pœnitentes afficere magna consolatione interni gaudii. donec firmentur in viâ Dei; . . . hæc autem non sunt majoris perfectionis fructus, sed deliciæ quædam sue blanditiæ cwlestis Patris, quæ perfectioribus multis negantur. In this spirit the Mystics observed how in the festivals, the first and eighth days, that is, their beginnings and their glorious consummations, were commonly the days of chiefest gladness; and they compare these joys to sugared dainties, with which those who are children in spiritual things are first allured into Christ's school. Volmar (De Spiri.. Perfect.): Hæc itaque devotionis gratia infantibus dari solet, ut ad bona opera per eam incitentur: quemadmodum venaticis canibus in principio solet gustus ferarum captarum præberi, ut ad venandum eo fortius insistant.

25. THE UNJUST STEWARD.

LUKE XVI. 1-9.

O one, who has seriously considered, will underrate the diffi-culties of this parable,—difficulties which Cajetan found so insuperable that he gave up the matter in despair, affirming a solution of them impossible. It is nothing wonderful that it should have been the subject of manifold, and these the most curiously diverse, interpretations. I shall make no attempt to render a complete account of all of these; such would be an endless task; but, as I go through the parable, shall note what parts of it those interpreters who have best right to be heard have considered its key-words, and the meanings which they have made the whole to render up; I shall at the same time briefly note what seem the weak and unsatisfactory points in those explanations which I reject. For myself, I will say at once that very many of its interpreters seem to me (if one may use a familiar expression) to have overrun their game. I am persuaded that we have here simply a parable of Christian prudence,-Christ exhorting us to use the world and the world's good, so to speak, against the world, and for God.

Having finished the parable of the Prodigal Son, He did not break off the conversation, but—probably after a short pause allowed, that His words might sink deeper into the hearts of His hearers,—resumed; not now, however, addressing the gainsayers any more, but those who heard Him gladly, 'His disciples,' as we are (ver. 1) expressly told. We must not restrict this term to the Twelve (see Luke vi. 13); but as little make it embrace the whole multitude, hanging loosely on the Lord, although up to a certain point well affected to Him. By 'His disciples' we understand rather all whom His word had found in the deep of their spirit, and who, having left

^{*} Schreiter, in a work entirely devoted to this parable (Explic. Parab. de Improbo Economo Descriptio; Lips. 1803), gives an appalling list of explanations offered, and a brief analysis and judgment of them all; but I have not been able to derive much assistance from the book.

the world's service, had taken service with Him. To these the parable was addressed; for them, too, it was meant; since it is little probable that, as some explain, it was spoken to them, but at the Pharisees. These last, it is true, were also hearers of the Lord's words (ver. 14), but the very mention of them as such forbids their being those to whom it was primarily addressed. Christ may have intended—most probably did intend—some of His shafts to glance off upon them, at whom yet they were not originally aimed. It will prove important, in relation to at least one explanation of the parable, that we keep in mind for whom first of all it was intended.

'There was a certain rich man, which had a steward,'-not a landbailiff merely, but a ruler over all his goods, such as was Eliezer in the house of Abraham (Gen. xxiv. 2-12), and Joseph in the house of Potiphar (Gen. xxxix. 4). 'And the same was accused unto him, that he had wasted his goods; ' or rather, 'as wasting his goods;' for it is no past scattering, but a present of which he is accused; and this, as we may certainly conclude, not through mere negligence, but himself deriving an unrighteous gain from the loss and wrong which his master's property suffered under his hands. This of the lord needing that his steward's misconduct should reach his ears through a third party, belongs to the earthly setting forth of the truth: yet it finds its parallel, Gen. xviii. 30, 31. There is no warrant whatever for assuming, as some have done, that the steward was calumniously accused; nor is any hint of this contained in the word which the Lord employs. Satan is the accuser of the brethren (Rev. xii. 9), called, therefore, by this name; but the things of which he accuses them may be only too true. Certain Chaldeans accused the Three Children, malignantly, indeed, but not falsely, of refusing to worship the golden image (Dan. iii. 8); Daniel himself is accused (still the same word in the Septuagint), and not calumniously, of having knelt and prayed to his God, in defiance of the edict of the king. (Dan. vi. 24). Those therefore, who would clear altogether or in part the character of the steward can derive no assistance here.

Procurator therefore (see Becker, Gallus, vol. i. p. 109), and not villicus (Vulg.), as Jerome (Ερ. 121, qu. 6) justly notes: Villicus proprie villæ gubernator est, unde nomen accepit. Οἰκονόμος autem tam pecuniæ quam frugum, et omnium quæ dominus possidet, dispensator; cf. ad Eustoch. Ep. xxii. 35; Greswell, Exp. of the Par. vol. iv. p. 3; Becker, Charicles, vol. ii. p. 37; and for higher uses of οἰκονόμος Luke xii. 42; I Cor. iv. I; Tit. i. 7; I Pet. iv. 10.

b As Schleiermacher, who says: 'The right view of this parable is to be sure very much perverted, if the steward, who, after all has not committed any breach of trust (?) on his own account, nor was charged with it, is notwithstanding to be termed οἰκον. τ. ἀδικίας, and we will not make up our minds to leave οἰκονόμος without an epithet, and to refer this ἀδικίας to ἐπήνεσεν [against this construction see Winer,

Indeed his own words (ver. 3) contain an implicit acknowledgment of his guilt; he who is so dishonest now will scarcely have been honest before; and assuredly we shall do him no wrong in taking for granted that the accusation, brought against him, very probably, by some enemy, and from malicious motives, was yet founded in truth.

Hereupon his lord 'called him, and said unto him, How is it that I hear this of thee?' This is not an examination, but rather the expostulation of indignant surprise,—of thee, whom I had trusted so far, to whom I had committed so much.' And then, the man not so much as attempting a defence, his destitution follows: 'Give an account of thy stewardship; for thou mayest be no longer steward. Those who, like Anselm, see in the parable the history of the rise, progress, and fruits of repentance, lay much stress upon this remonstrance, 'How is it that I hear this of thee?' It is, for them, the voice of God speaking to the sinner, bringing home to his conscience that he has had a stewardship, and has been abusing it; the threat, 'thou mayest be no longer steward,' being in like manner a bringing home to him, by sicknesss or by some other means, that he will soon be removed from his earthly stewardship, and have to render an account. The man feels that he cannot answer God one thing in a thousand; that, once removed hence, there will be no help for him anywhere; he cannot dig, for the night will have come, in which no man can work; and he will be ashamed to beg for that mercy, which he knows will then be refused. Consistently with this view, they see in the lowering of the bills, not a further and crowning act of unrighteousness, but the first act of his righteousness, the dealings of one who will now, while he has time, lay out the things in his power with no merely selfish aims but for the good of others, will scatter

Grammatik, p. 185]: and if the master who treats his servant in so very arbitrary a way, and discharges him, without inquiry, upon a secret information, and who besides discovers no higher measure by which he judges of human actions than prudence, if this character is all along considered a blameless man.' But it is hard to see what Schleiermacher would gain by the altered construction. 'The lord praised the steward for his injustice,' comes nearly to the same thing as, 'The lord praised the unjust steward;' and with such analogous Hebrew phrases as μαμωνα της άδικίας, κριτής της άδικίας (Luke xviii. 6), άκροατής ἐπιλησμονής (Jam. i. 25), he will scarcely persuade that the natural collocation of the words is to be abandoned, even to help out his marvellous interpretation, according to which the rich householder is the Romans, the steward the publicans, and the debtors the Jewish people; the lesson being, 'If the publicans show themselves mild and indulgent towards their nation, the Romans will in their hearts praise them, and they who have now lost all favour with their countrymen will by them be favourably received.' But how, it may be asked, could a coming into favour with the Jewish people, themselves out of all favour with God, be termed a reception into everlasting habitations?

^a Wetstein: Mirantis; de te l quem procuratorem constitui.

for God rather than for himself, seek to lay up in heaven and not on earth. The dishonesty they get over, either by giving this lowering of the bills altogether a mystical meaning, and so refusing to contemplate it in the letter at all, or in a way presently to be noticed. He is still called, they say, the 'unjust steward' (ver. 8), not because he continues such; but because of his former unrighteousness; and for the encouragement of penitents, who are thus reminded that, unrighteous and ungodly man as he had been beforetime, he obtained now praise and approval from his lord. He retained the title, as Matthew, the Apostle, retained that of 'the publican' (Matt. x. 3), in perpetual remembrance of the grace of God which had found him in that ignoble employment, and raised him to so high a dignity; as Zenas is still 'the lawyer' (Tit. iii. 13); Rahab 'the harlot' (Heb. xi. 31); Simon 'the leper' (Matt. xxvi. 6); not that such they were when receiving these designations, but that such they formerly had been. To all this it may be replied that there is nothing in the man's counsels with himself that marks the smallest change of mind for the better, no acknowledgement of a trust abused, no desire expressed henceforward to be found faithful, but only an utterance of selfish anxiety concerning his future lot, of fear lest poverty and distress may come upon him; and the explanation from analogous instances, however ingenious, of his being still characterized (ver. 8) as the 'unjust' steward, is quite unsatisfactory; neither 'publican' nor 'lawyer' conveyed of necessity a sentence of moral reprobation.

But now follow his counsels with himself; and first his confession of an utter inability anywhere to find help: his past softness of life has unfitted him for labour; 'Icannot dig;' his pride forbids him to sue for alms (Ecclus. xl. 28): 'to beg I am ashamed.' Yet this helplessness endures not long. He knows what he will do; and has rapidly conceived a plan whereby to make provision against that time of need and destitution which is now so near at hand. If his determination is not honest, it is at any rate promptly taken; and this—

b Quesnel: Quid aliud remanet animæ quæ Deum atque ejus gratiam amisit, nis peccatum et peccati consecutiones, superba paupertas et segnis indigentia, hoc est generalis impotentia ad laborem, et orationem, et ad omne opus bonum.

a So the author of a sermon in the Bened, edit. of St. Bernard (vol. ii. p. 714), who gives this as the sum of the parable: Multâ laude est dignus, qui relicto errore pristinæ conversationis, diviti Deo satisfaciens redit ad gratiam; and Anselm (Hom. 12), who, however, sees in the steward only an unfaithful ruler in the Church, not every man to whom a dispensation has been committed, which he has been abusing:

—h:says: Laudari a dimino meruit; et nos ergo laudemus eum, . . . nec eum in aliquo, priusquam correctus est, audeamus reprehendere, ut hæe putemus in his quæ erga debitores egit domino fraudum fecisse, sed potius credamus eum in his lucra domini sui prudenti consilio quæsisse, et ejus voluntatem implêsse.

that he was not brought to a nonplus, but at once devised a way of escape from his distresses—is a part of the skill for which he gets credit: 'I am resolved what to do, that when I am put out of the stewardship, they may receive me into their houses,' as one from whom they have riceived kindnesses, and who, therefore, may trust to find hospitable entertainment among them,—a miserable prospect, as the Son of Sirach declares (xxix. 22-28), yet better than utter destitution and want.

Hereupon follows the collusive and fraudulent arrangement between him and his lord's debtors. They owed, it seems, to the householder,-at least the two whose cases are instanced, and who are brought forward as representatatives of many more,—just as but three servants are named out of the ten (Luke xix. 13), to whom pounds had been entrusted, -the 'an hundred measures of oil,' and the other 'an hundred measures of wheat.' It is not likely that these were tenants who paid their rents in kind, which rents were now by the steward lowered, and the leases or agreements tampered with: the name 'debtor' seems to point another way. Again, the enormous amount of the oil and the wheat, both costly articles (Prov. xxi. 17), makes it not less unlikely that they were poorer neighbours or dependants, whom the rich householder had supplied with means of living in the shape of food,—not, however, as a gift, but as a loan, taking from them an acknowledgment, and looking to be repaid, when they had the ability. Rather we might assume the foregoing transactions by which these men came into the relations of his debtors, to have been these,—that he, having large possessions, and therefore large incomings from the fruits of the earth, had sold, through his steward, a portion of such upon credit to these debtors, -merchants, or other factors,—who had not as yet made their payments. They had given, however, their 'bills' or notes of hand, acknowledging the amount in which they were indebted to him. which had remained in the steward's keeping, he now returns to them, - 'Take thy bill,' - bidding them to alter them, or to substitute

[•] In the Vulgate: Amotus a vilicatione; but Tertullian in happier Latin: ab actu summotus.

b'Measures' in our Translation, which may be small or large, fails to intimate this. Better Tyndal and Cranmer: 'tuns of oil' (the Rhemish, 'pipes'), and 'quarters of wheat.' Exactly this quality, one hundred cors of wheat, in an apocryphal gospel, where everything is on a gigantic scale, as with those whose only notion of greatness is size, the child Jesus received in return for a single grain of wheat cast by Him into the ground (Thilo, Cod. Apocryph. p. 302). On the Hebrew measures see Herzog, Real Encpclopädie, vol. ix. p. 148.

[•] Γράμμα = χειρόγραφον (Col. ii. 14) = γραμματείον χρέους δμολογητικόν, by the Vulgate

others in their room, in which they confess themselves to have received nuch smaller amounts of oil and wheat than was actually the case, and consequently to be so much less in the rich man's debt than they truly were. To one debtor he remits half, to another the fifth, of his debt; by these different proportions teaching us, say those who justify his conduct, and even some who do not, that charity should be no blind profuseness, exhibited without respect of the needs, greater or smaller, of those who are its objects, but exercised ever with consideration and discretion, and sowing of the seed by the hand, and not an emptying of it out of the sack's mouth.

In this lowering of the bills, Vitringab finds the key of the parable; his interpretation deserving to be recorded, were it only for its exceeding ingenuity. The rich man is God, the steward the ecclesiastical leaders of the Jewish people, to whom was committed a dispensation of the inysteries of the kingdom. These were accused by the prophets, as by Ezekiel (xxxiv. 2), Malachi (ii. 8). and lastly by Christ Himself (Matt. ii. 3), that they neglected their stewardship, used the powers committed to them, not for the glory of God, but for purposes of self-exaltation and honour,—that they 'wasted his goods.' They feel the justice of this accusation, that they are not in their Lord's grace, and only outwardly belong to His kingdom. Therefore they now seek to make themselves friends of others, of the debtors of their Lord, of sinful men; acting as though they still possessed authority in the things of His Kingdom. And the device by which they seek to win these friends is by lowering the standard of righteousness and obedience, inventing convenient glosses for the evading of the strictness of God's law, allowing men to say, 'It is a gift' (Matt. xv. 5), suffering them to put away their wives on any slight excuse (Luke xxi. 18), and by various devices, 'indulgences' in the truest sense of the word, making slack the law of God (Matt. xxiii. 16); thus obtaining for themselves favour and an interest with men, and, however God's grace was withdrawn from them, still keeping their hold on the people, and retaining their advantages. their honours, and their peculiar privileges. In the casuistry of the Jesuits, as denounced by Pascal, we see a precisely similar attempt. This interpretation has one attraction, that it gives a distinct mean-

happily translated, cautio. See the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Interest of money, p. 525.

Thus Gregory tee great, who quotes from Gen. iv.: Si recte offeras, et non recte dividas, peccâsti.

b Erklär. d. Parab. p. 921, seq. It is the standing interpretation of the Cocceian school (Deyling, Obss. Sac. vol. v. p. 335).

ing to the lowering of the bills — 'Write fifty, write fourscore;'— which very few others do. The moral will then be no other than is commonly and rightly drawn from the parable: 'Be prudent as are these children of the present world, but provide for yourselves not temporary friends, but everlasting habitations. They use heavenly things for earthly objects; but do you reverse all this, and show how earthly things may be used for heavenly.'

With this interpretation very nearly agrees that of the writer of an elaborate article in a modern German Review. He too conceives the parable intended for the Scribes and Pharisees—but to contain counsel for them,—the unjust steward being set forth for them to copy; while Vitringa found their condemnation in it. They were the ministers of a dispensation now drawing to a close; and when in its room the kingdom of Christ was set up, then their much-abused stewardship would be withdrawn from them. The parable exhorts them, in that brief period which should intervene beween the announcement and actual execution of this purpose of God's, to cultivate such a spirit as would alone give them an entrance 'into everlasting habitations,'—the spirit, that is, which they so much lacked, of mildness and love and meekness toward all men, their fellow-sinners. This spirit, and the works which it would prompt, he affirms, are fitly set forth under the image of a remission of debts°—and those, debts

With the interpretation of these words as a lowering of the standard of obedience very nearly agrees the use of the parable in the Liber S. Foannis Apocryphus, a religious book of the Albigenses (Thilo, Codex Apocryphus, p. 884). It is with this very question, 'How much owest thou unto my lord?' and with the bidding, 'Write fifty,' Write four-score,' that Satan is introduced tempting and seducing the inferior angels (blandiendo angelos invisibilis Patris). An ingenious exposition by Gaudentius, bishop of Brescia, a contemporary of St. Ambrose, is in the same line: Villicus iniquitatis diabolus intelligendus est, qui in seculo relictus est, ut immunitatem [immanitatem ?] ejus villici fugientes ad pietatem Dei suppliciter curramus. Hic dissipat facultates Domini, quando in nos grassatur, qui portio Domini sumus. Hic excogitat quomodo valeat debitores Domini, h.e. peccatis involutos non solum aperto prælio persegui, sed sub obtentu fallacis benevolentiæ, blandâ fraude decipere, quo magis eum in domos suas falsa banignitate seducti recepiant, cum ipso in æternum judicandi. . . . Hic debita conservorum suorum relaxare se falso promittit, dum vel in fide vel in opere peccantibus variam pollicetur indulgentiam . . . Laudat [Salvator] astutiam villici minaciter simul et providenter. Minaciter quidem, cum vocabulo iniquitatis pessimam diaboli prudentiam condemnat: providenter autem, dum contra argumentorum ejus consilia discipulos audientes confirmat, ut omni cautelâ atque prudentiâ tam callido atque prudenti inimico repugnent.

b Zyro (Theol. Stud. u. Krit. 1831, p. 776). He is not aware that this had long ago been anticipated by Salmeron (Serm. in Evang. Par. p. 231): Quia enim scribæ et Pharisæi cum lege et sacerdotio in promptu erant, ut deficerent . . . hortatur Dominus ut dent operam, ne austere cum peccatoribus procedant, . . . ut ita sibi præparent amicos, qui eos in Evangelium recipiant.

[·] Weisse (Evang. Gesch. vol. ii. p. 162, seq.) produces as a great discovery, and

due to another, since it is against God that primarily every sin is committed. Such a spirit as this flows out of the recognition of our own guilt, which recognition the writer finds in the absence on the steward's part of all attempts to justify or excuse himself. The same temper which would prompt them to these works of love and grace would fit them also for an entrance into the 'everlasting habitations,' the coming kingdom, which, unlike that dispensation now ready to vanish away, should never be moved. But how, it may be urged, shall this interpretation be reconciled with the words, 'He said also unto His disciples,' with which the Evangelist introduced the parable?"

It will then plainly be addressed not to them, but to the Scribes and Pharisees.

With these new acts of unrighteousness this child of the present world filled up the short interval between his threatened and his actual dismissal from office. It is not said that he attempted to conceal these fraudulent arrangements, or that he called his lord's debtors together secretly,—whether it was that he trusted they would keep counsel, being held together by a common interest and by the bands of a common iniquity,—or that he thus falsified the accounts, careless whether the transaction were blown abroad or not; as now a desperate man, with no character to lose; being at the same time confident that there would be no redress for his lord, when the written documents testified against him. More probably the thing was thus done openly and in the face of day, be the arrangement being one which.

as making everything plain, this view, that the lowering of the bills expressed, not acts of bounty and love with the temporal mammon, but the spiritual act of forgiveness of sins. Being unable to bring this into agreement with ver. 9, 'Make to yourselves friends of the mammon of unrighteousness,' he includes the words in italics in brackets, being 'convinced that Jesus never spoke them!'

a Similar to this is Tertullian's explanation (De Fug. in Pers. 13), only that for him the exhortation is addressed to the entire Jewish people, not to its spiritual chiefs only: Facite autem vobis amicos de mammonâ; quomodo intelligendum sit parabola præmissa doceat, ad populum Judaicum dicta, qui commissam sibi rationem Dominicum male administrâșset, deberet de mammonæ hominibus, quod nos eramus, amicos sibi potius prospicere quam inimicos, et relevare nos a debitis peccatorum, quibus Deo detinebamur, si nobis de dominicâ ratione conferrent, ut aum cæpisset ab hujus deficere gratiâ, ad nostram fidem refugientes reciperentur in tabernacula æterna.

b His 'Sit down quickly and write,' has been urged by some as characteristic of a man wishing to huddle over the matter as fast as possible, for fear of discovery;—by Bengel: $\tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \omega_s$, raptim, furtim; and Maldonatus: Quod dicit $\epsilon i to$, hominis mihi fraudulenti et male agentis esse videtur, timentis ne in scelere deprehendatur, ne quis, dum adulterantur litteræ, superveniat. But they may also be explained as words of one who feels that he must act at once—that to-day he has an opportunity, which to-morrow will have passed from his hands. The transaction was evidently not with the debtors each apart from and unknown to the other, as is slightly yet sufficiently indicated by the σv $\delta \epsilon$ addressed to the second.

from some cause or other, when once completed, could not be overturned. Were a secret transaction intended, the lord's discovery of the fraud would hardly be passed over; and the steward would scarcely obtain for a contrivance so clumsy that it was presently detected, even the limited praise which actually he does obtain. Least of all would he obtain such praise, if it depended merely on the forbearance of his master, in the case of discovery, which the event will have proved must have been probable from the beginning, whether the arrangement should stand good or not. Such forbearance could not have been counted on, even though the words of the lord should lead us in the present instance to assume that he did allow the steward to reap the benefit of his dishonest scheming.

But whether the transaction was clandestine or not, that it was fraudulent seems beyond a doubt. Such, on the face of it, it is; and all attempts to mitigate or explain away its dishonesty are hopeless. It may be, and by some has been said, that this dishonesty is not of the essence of the parable, but an inconvenience arising from the inadequacy of earthly relationships to set forth divine. They must fail somewhere, and this is the weak side of the earthly relation between a steward and his lord, rendering it an imperfect type of the relation existing between men and God,—that in this latter relation, to use Hammond's words, 'the man hath liberty to use the wealth put into his hands so as may be most (not only for his master's, but

^{*} Jensen, however, who has an interesting essay on this parable (Theol. Stud. u. Krit. 1829, p. 699), sees a spiritual significance in the householder's forbearing to break the arrangement: 'That which is related of the master,—how he regards the dealing of the steward,—does not blame it, nor stand to his rights,—srems to me to be the setting forth of the grace of God, through which, instead of entering into judgment with sinful men, He rather rewards the good in them, which, according to strict right, could not even attain to secure them from punishment. For he leaves the steward to enjoy the fruit of his device; and since, after what has been said above, it cannot be conveniently supposed that he had no right to demand a strict reckoning in the matter, it only remains to consider this conduct as a voluntary forbearance on his part.'

b One might say absurd, but that it has been done with so much ability by Schulz, in an instructive little treatise (üb. d. Parabel von Verwalter, Breslau, 1821), as to redeem it from such a charge. The ancient οἰκονόμος, he says, was one with far greater freedom in the admiration of the things committed to him, than any to whom we should apply the title of steward; and the sum of his statement seems this (though the comparison is not his), that his conduct at this latest moment of his stewardship, however selfish it might be, yet was no more dishonest than it would be dishonest on the part of the minister of a kingdom, who had hitherto oppressed the people under him, and administered the affairs of the kingdom for his own interests and pleasures, yet now, when about to be removed, to seek to win the people's love and a place in their hearts, by remitting or lowering the heavy dues and taxes with which before he had burdened them.

also) for his own advantage, namely, to his endless reward in heaven, which, though it were an injustice and falseness in a servant here on earth, who is altogether to consider his master's profit, not his own, yet it is our duty and that which by the will and command of God we are obliged to do, in the execution of that steward's office which the rich man holds under God: and is the only thing commended to us in this parable; which is so far from denominating him that makes this advantage of the treasure committed to him an unjust or unrighteous steward in the application, that it denominates him faithful (πιστός) in the latter part of the parable, and him only false (αδικος) that doth it not.' In worldly things there is not, and there never can be, such absolute identity of interests between a master and a servant, that a servant, dealing wholly with reference to his own interests, would at the same time forward in the best manner his lord's. But our interests as servants of a heavenly Lord, that is, our true interests, absolutely coincide in all things with his; so that when we administer the things committed to us for Him, then we lay them out also for ourselves, and when for ourselves, for our lasting and eternal gain, then also for Him.

"And the lord commended the unjust steward, because he had done wisely." It is the lord of the steward, twice before in the parable called by this name (ver. 3, 5), who is here intended, and not Christ our Lord, who does not speak directly in His own person till ver. 9, the intermediate verse being the point of transition from the parable to the direct exhortation." The attempt to substitute 'cunningly' for 'wisely,' and so by limiting and lowering the commendation given, to evade the moral difficulty of the passage, cannot altogether be justified. 'Wisely' is not the happiest rendering, since wisdom is never in Scripture dissociated from moral goodness. But if more commendation is implied in 'wisely' than the original warrants, in 'cunningly' there is less; 'prudently' would best represent the original, and so in Wiclif's Version it stood, though the word has disappeared from all our subsequent Versions.

a So Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. liii. 2): Cor ejus laudavit domlnus ejus, non attendens damnum suum, sed ingenium ejus. Compare Luke xii. 42; xiv. 23, where in like manner δ $\kappa \delta \rho_{1005}$, without further qualification, is used of an earthly lord.

b In Plato's words (Menex. 19): Πᾶσα ἐπιστήμη χωριζένη δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῆς ἄλλης ἐρετῆς, πανουργία οὐ σοφία φραίνεται. Rather φρονίμως is a middle term, not bringing out prominently the moral characteristics, either good or evil, of the action to which it is applied, but recognizing in it a skilful adaptation of the means to the end—leaving at the same time the worth both of the one and of the other to be determined by other considerations. The φρόνησις stands in the same relation to the σύνετις (understanding) as σοφία to νοῦς (reason). See my Synonyms of the New Testament, § 75-

But concerning the praise itself, which cannot be explained away as mere admiration of the man's cunning, it is true that none but a malignant, such as the apostate Julian, would make here a charge against the morality of the Scripture; or pretend, as he does, to believe that Jesus meant to commend an unrighteous action, and to propose it, in its unrighteousness, as a model for imitation. Still the praise has something perplexing in it; though more from the liability of the passage to abuse, unguarded as at first sight it appears, though it is not really so (for see ver. 11), than from its not being capable of a fair explanation. The explanation is this: the man's deed has two aspects; one, that of its dishonesty, upon which it is most blameworthy; the other, of its prudence, its foresight, upon which, if not particularly praiseworthy, it yet offers a sufficient analogon to a Christian virtue,—one which should be abundantly, but is only too weakly found in most followers of Christ,—to draw from it an exhortation and rebuke to these; just as any other deeds of bold, bad men have a side, that namely of their boldness and decision, on which they rebuke the doings of the weak and vacillating good. There are 'marytrs of the devil,' who put to shame the saints of God; and running, as they do, with more alacrity to death than these to life. may be proposed to them for their emulation. We may disentangle a bad man's energy from his ambition; and, contemplating them apart, may praise the one and condemn the other. Exactly so our Lord disengages here the steward's dishonesty from his foresight: the one can have only His earnest rebuke; the other may be usefully extolled for the provoking of His people to a like prudence; which yet should be at once a holy prudence, and a prudence employed about things of far higher and more lasting importance.

The next verse fully bears out this view of the Lord's meaning:

^{*} Bernard: Martyres diaboli . . . alacrius currunt ad mortem quam nos ad vitam. A story of one of the Egyptian eremites illustrates the matter in hand. Chancing to see a dancing girl, he was moved to tears; being asked the reason, he replied, 'That she should be at such pains to please men in her sinful vocation; and we in our holy calling use so little diligence to please God.' Compare an incident in the life of Pelagia in Lipomannus, Acta Sanctorum, vol. v. p. 226. Thus too St. Louis when in the East was moved to jealousy by observing the zeal of the Mahommedans in transcribing and diffusing the books which they counted sacred; and quoting our Lord's words, 'The children of this world are wiser in their generation than the children of light,' was henceforward stirred up to a more active multiplication of those which would tend to promote a true holiness (Neander, Kirch, Gesch. vol. v. p. 583.)

b Clarius: Laudat ingenium, damnat factum. Augustine (Quæst. Evang. ii. 34) is less satisfactory: E contrario dicuntur istæ similitudines ut intelligamus si laudari potuit ille a domino qul fraudem faciebat, quanto amplius placent Domino Deo, qui secundum ejus præceptum illa opera faciunt. Cf. Jerome, Ad Algas. Ep. 121, qu. 6.

For the children of this world are in their generation wiser than the children of light.' We must find the same fault with 'wiser' here as with 'wisely' of the verse preceding; as 'prulently' should replace it there, so 'more prudent' here." 'The children of this world' are the Psalmist's men of the earth,' those whose portion is here, and who look not beyond; who, born of the world's spirit, order their lives by the world's rule. The phrase occurs only here and at Luke xx. 34; 'children of light' he has in common with St. John (xii. 36) and St. Paul (1 Thess. v. 5; Ephes. v. 8). The faithful are so called by this rather than any other of the many names of honour which are theirs; for thus their deeds, which are deeds of light, done in truth and sincerity, even as they are themselves sons of the day and of the light, are contrasted with the 'works of darkness,' the 'hidden things of dishonesty,' wrought by the children of this present world, and of which he who plays the foremost part here has just given a notable specimen.

The declaration itself has been differently understood, according as the sentence has been differently completed. Some complete it thus: 'The children of this world are wiser in their generation,' namely, in worldly things, 'than the children of light' are in those same worldly things; that is, Earthly men are more prudent than spiritual men in earthly matters; these earthly are their element, their world; they are more at home in them; they give more thought, bestow more labour upon them, and therefore succeed in them better: though it be that this is only as owls see better than eagles—in the dark. But it is hard to perceive how a general statement of this kind bears on the parable, which most are agreed urges upon the Christian, not prudence in earthly things by the example of the worldling's prudence in these things, urges upon him prudence in heavenly.

Others, then, are nearer the truth, who complete the sentence thus: 'The children of this world are wiser in their generation' (in worldly matters) 'than the children of light' in theirs, that is, in heavenly matters; 'the children of light' being thus rebuked that they give not half the pains to win heaven which 'the children of this world' do to win earth,—that they are less provident in heavenly things than those are in earthly,—that the world is better served by its servants

² It would seem that exactly thus an elder Latin Version had astutiores (Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. liii. 2).

b In this last place τέκνα φωτός, but νίοι φωτός in all the others.

[°] So Cajetan: Filii hujus seculi sunt filiis lucis prudentiores, non absolute, sed in natione tenebrosâ, sicut noctuæ melius vident in tenebris animalibus diurnis.

than God is by His. If, however, we would perfectly seize the meaning, we must see in the words, 'in their generation,' -or, rather, 'unto' or 'toward their generation' a-an allusion, often missed, to the debtors in the parable. They, the ready accomplices in the steward's fraud, showed themselves men of the same generation as he was: they were all of one race, children of the ungodly world; and the Lord's declaration is, that the men of this world make their intercourse with one another more profitable, -obtain more from it, -manage it better for their interests, such as those are, than do the children of light their intercourse with each other. b For what opportunities. He would imply, are missed by these last, by those among them to whom a share of the earthly mammon is entrusted,—what opportunities of laying up treasure in heaven, of making to themselves friends for the time to come by showing love to the poor saints, or generally of doing offices of kindness to the household of faith, to those of the same generation as themselves, -whom, notwithstanding this affinity, they yet make not, to the extent they might, receivers of benefits, to be returned hereafter a hundredfold into their own bosoms.

His disciples shall not so miss their opportunities; but, after the example of him who bound to himself by benefits the men of his generation, bind those to themselves who, like themselves, were 'children of light:' 'And I say unto you, Make to yourselves friends

^{*} Είς την γενεάν την έαυτων, which Theophylact explains, έν τφ βίω τούτω: and the Vulgate renders, in generatione sua. Greswell has well shown (Exp. of the Par. vol. iv. p. 52) how untenable such translation is; which, indeed, could never have been entertained, except on the notion so often adopted, -that prepositions in the New Testament have no meaning in particular, that, for instance, eis and èv are promiscuously used; on which matter see Winer, Gramm. § 54. 4. Storr (Opusc. Acad. vol. iii. p. 117) gives rightly the sense: Rebus terrenis unice inhiantes (οἱ νίοὶ τ. aίων. τ.), ut œconomus inductus (v. 1, 3, 4) prudentia erga suam familiam (είς τ. γεν. τ. έαυτ.), hoc est, erga idem sentientes, qui pariter ac ipsi sunt νίοι τ. αίων. τούτ., erga fratres suos, terrena similiter inhiantes (cf. v. 5-7), antecedere solent lucis ac beatitatis sempiternæ (v.9-12) cupidos, qui sæpe non ita (cf. v. 4) student familiam suam (τ. γεν. τ. έαυτ.), hoc est, lucis item cupidos (æque cum ipsis νίοὺς τ. φωτός), et είς τ. αίων. σκηνάς perventuros (v. 9), ipsumque communem familiæ Dominum (Matt. xxv. 40) beneficiis sibi devincire, ut igitur tanto magis fuerit opus, admonititionem inculcare quæ sequitur, Luc. xvi. 9. Weisse (Evang. Gesch. vol. ii. p. 161) translates είς τ. γεν. τ. εαυτ. correctly, Im Verkehr mit ihres Gleichen; Neander too vaguely, Von ihrem Standpunkte.

b Teelman (p. 133): Prudentiores esse filiis lucis asseruntur [filii hujus seculi], sed secumdum generationes suas. Ipsa hæc parabola collata cum studiis et actionibus fidelium, quos sæpe segnities in operando salutem cum timore et tremore, et languor in studio virtutis et bonorum operum et quoquomodo bonorum terrestrium ad usum Deo placentem accommodatione occupat, sufficienti est probationi.

^e Yet for all this, who could be entirely satisfied with such a summing up of the parable as Calvin's? Summa hujus parabolæ est, humaniter et benigne cum proximis

of the mammon of unrighteousness, that when ye fail, they may receive you into everlasting habitations.' This 'mammon of unrighteousness' has been sometimes explained as wealth unjustly gotten, a by fraud or by violence, 'treasures of wickedness' (Prov. x. 2). The words so interpreted would be easily open to abuse, as though a man might compound with his conscience and with God, and by giving some small portion of alms out of unjustly acquired wealth make the rest clean unto him But plainly the first recommendation to the possessor of such would be to restore it to its rightful owners, as Zacchæus, on his conversion, was resolved to do (Luke xix. 8); for 'he that sacrificeth of a thing wrongfully gotten, his offering is ridiculous' (Ecclus. xxxiv. 18; xxxv. 12), and out of such there could never be offered acceptable alms to Him who has said, 'I hate robbery for burnt-offering.' Only when this restoration is impossible, as must often happen, could it be lawfully bestowed upon the poor. Others understand by it not so much wealth by the present proprietor unjustly acquired, as wealth which from the very nature of the world and the world's business can scarcely ever have been gotten together without sin somewhere, -without something of the defilement of the world from which it was gathered clinging to it; b-if not sin in the

nostris esse agendum, ut quum ad Dei tribunal ventum fuerit, liberalitatis nostræ fructus ad nos redeat. But if this is all, why an unjust steward? So too for the early Church writers the parable is often no more than an exhortation to liberal almsgiving. So Irenæus (Con. Hær. iv. 30), Augustine (De Civ. Dei. xxi. 27), Athanasius, Theophylact: so also Erasmus, Luther,—who says: 'It is a sermon on good works, and especially against avarice, that men abuse not wealth, but therewith help poor and needy people,'—and many more.

• Plutarch speaks of some, ἀπὸ ἰεροσυκίας θεοσεᾶοῦντες: and Augustine affirms (Serm. cxiii. 2) that such abuse of the words was actually made: Hoc quidam male intelligendo rapiunt res alienas, et aliquid inde pauperibus largiuntur, putant se facere puod præceptum est. Dicunt enim, rapere res alienas, mammoma est iniquitatis: eiogare inde aliquid, maxime egentibus sanctis, hoc est facere amicos de mammona iniquitatis. Intellectus iste corrigendus est, imo de tabulis cordis vestri omnino belendus est,

b Thus Jerome quotes the proverbs, Dives aut iniquus aut iniqui hæres, as illustrating these words. It is called 'mammon of unrighteousness,' Cajetan says, eo quod raræ vel nullæ sunt divitiæ, in quarum congregatione seu conversatione non intervenerit peccatum, vel habentium, vel ministrorum, vel patrum seu avorum; with which compare Ecclus. xxvii. 2: 'As a nail sticketh fast between the joinings of the stones, so doth sin stick between buying and selling;' and the Italian proverb, Mai divento fiume grande, che non v' entrasse acque torbida. Augustine (Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 34): Quia non sunt istæ divitiæ nisi iniquis, qui in eis constituunt spem atque copiam beatidinis suæ; cf. Serm. L. 4. Tertullian (Adv. Marc. iv. 33): Injustiæ enim auctorem, et dominatorem totius seculi nummum scimus omnes; Malanchton: Vocat mammonam injustam non quod sint injuste partæ [divitiæ], non quod contra conicentiam occupatæ sint, sed propter abusus multiplices, qui in håc infirmitate humani generis sequi solent (Eccles. v. 13).—Could it be proved that 'mammon' (more cor-

present possessor, yet in some of those, nearer or more remote, from or through whom he received it: which being so, he that inherits the wealth inherits also the obligation to make good the wrongs committed in the getting of it together. But the comparison with verse 12, where 'unrighteous mammon,' a phrase equivalent to 'mammon of unrighteousness,' is set against 'true riches,'-these 'true' being evidently enduring goods, such as neither fade nor fail, makes far more likely that 'mammon of unrighteousness' is uncertain, unstable mammon, one man's to-day, and another's to-morrow; which if a man trust in, he is trusting in a lie, in that which sooner or later will betray his confidence. And 'mammon of unrighteousness' it may in a deeper sense be justly called, seeing that in all wealth a principle of evil is implied; for in a perfect state of society, in a realized kingdom of God upon earth, there would be no such thing as property belonging to one man more than another. In the moment of the Church's first love, when that kingdom was for an instant realized, 'all that believed were together, and had all things common' (Acts iv. 32-35); and this existence of property has ever been so strongly felt as a witness for the selfishness of man, that in all ideas of a perfect commonwealth,—which, if perfect, must of course be a Church and a State in one,—from Plato's down to the Socialists', this of the community of goods has entered as a necessary condition. And thus, however the possessor of the wealth, or those who transmitted it to him, may have fairly acquired it, yet it is not less this 'unrighteous

rectly spelt with a single m) was the Plutus of the Syrian mythology, worshipped as presiding over wealth, the antithesisin the words, 'Ye cannot serve God and mammon' would be more pointed yet; but the assertion is quite without proof. Neither Augustine (De Serm. Dom. in Mon. 2; Serm. cxiii. 2) nor Jerome (Ad Algas. qu. 6) gives a hint of the kind. All that Augustine says is this: Quod Punici dicunt mammon, Latine lucrum vocatur: quod Hebræi dicunt mammona, Latine divitiæ vocantur. The turning of mammon into a false god, an error we can well excuse, seeing that Milton has turned it to so grand a use, belongs to the Middle Ages. Lombard (ii. dist. 6): Nomine dæmonis divitiæ vocantur, scilicet Mammona. Est enim Mammon nomen dæmonis, quo nomine vocantur divitiæ secundum Syram linguam. - See a good note by Drusius (Crit. Sac. in loc.).

* The use of ἄδικος for 'false' runs through the whole Septuagint. Thus μάρτυς άδικος, a false witness (Deut. xix. 16; cf. ver. 18); λατρολ άδικοις, 'physicians of no value' (Job xiii. 4; cf. Prov. vi. 19; xiii. 17; Jer. v. 31). So here the 'unrighteous mammon' is the false mammon; which will betray the reliance placed upon it (I Tim.

vi. 17), which we must leave, or which will leave us (Prov. xxiii. 5).

b Augustine: In animam unam et cor unum conflati caritatis igne, quorum nemo dicebat aliquid proprium; and Enarr. in Ps. lxviii. he explains 'mammon of unrighteousness:' Fortasse ea ipsa est iniquitas quia tu habes et alter non habet, tu abundas et alter eget; as elsewhere in the same spirit: Res aleinæ possidentur, cum superfluæ possidentur. Thus Aquinas: Divitiæ iniquitatis, i.e. inæqualitatis; of which one has so much and another so little.

mammon,' witnessing in its very existence as one man's, and not every man's, for the selfishness of man,—for the absence of that highest love, which would make each man feel that whatever was his was every one's, and would leave no room for a mine and thine in the world. With all this, we must never forget that the attempt prematurely to realize this or any other little fragment of the kingdom of God, apart from the rest,—the corruption and evil of man's heart remaining unremoved, and being overlooked or denied,—has ever proved one of the most fruitful sources of worst mischiefs in the world.

The words, 'that when ye fail,'a are an euphemistic way of saying, that when ye die.' Many have shrunk from referring what follows, 'they may receive you,' to the friends who shall have been secured by aid of the unrighteous mammon; such reference seeming to them to ascribe too much to men and to their intercession, to imply a right on their parts who had received the benefits, to introduce their benefactors 'into everlasting habitations,' and so to be trenching on the prerogative which is God's alone. For some who have entertained these misgivings 'they' are the angels, as we find angels (ver. 22) carrying Lazarus into Abraham's bosom; others understand that it is God and Christ who will 'receive;' while for others the phrase is impersonal (cf. xii. 11, 20; xxiii. 31); 'they may receive you' being equivalent to 'you may be received.' But if we look at this verse, not as containing an isolated doctrine, but in vital connexion with the parable of which it gives the moral, we shall at once perceive why this language is used, and the justification of its use. The reference to the debtors is plain; they, being made friends, were to receive the deposed steward into temporary habitations; and the phrase before us is an echo from the parable, the employment of which throws back light upon it, and at once fixes attention on, and explains its

^{*} The other reading, ἐκλίπη ('that when it fails,' i. e. the mammon; cf. Luke xii. 33), is perhaps to be preferred. Many of the oldest Versions attest that reading; which Lachmann has allowed; and Tischendorf (ἐκλίπη): yet not the Vulgate (cum defeceritis), nor yet the older Latin (Irenœus, Con. Hær. iv. 49. quando fugati fueritis). Compare θησανρὸς ἀνεκλεπτος (Luke xii. 33), ἀνεκλιπής θησανρὸς (Wisd. vii. 14), πλοῦτος ἀνεκλιπής (Wisd. viii. 16). Should ἐκλίπη be preferred, the words of Seneca (De Benef. vi. 3) will afford a striking parallel: Egregie mihi videtur M. Antonius apud Rabirium poetam, cum fortunam suam transeuntem alio videbat et sibi nihil relictum . . . exclamare: Hoc habeo, quodcunque dedi. O quantum habere potuit, si voluisset! Hæ sunt divitiæ certæ, in quâcunque sortis humanæ levitate, uno loco permansuræ: quæ quo majores fuerint, hoc minorem habebunt invidiam. Quid tanquam tuo parcis? Procurator es . . . Quæris quomodo illa tua facias? donando. Consule ergo rebus tuis es certam tibi earum et inexpugnabilem possessionem para: honestiores illas non solum, sed tutiores facturus.

most important part. It is idle to press the words further, and against all analogy of faith to assert, on the strength of this single phrase, that even with God's glorified saints, with any except Himself, will reside power of their own to admit into the kingdom of heaven; but idle also to affirm that 'they may receive you,' in the second clause of the sentence, can refer to any other but the friends mentioned in the first-which no one, unless alarmed by the consequences which others might draw from the words, could for an instant call in question.a The true parallel to this statement, at once explaining and guarding it, is evidently Matt. xxv. 34-40. The heavenly habitations being 'everlasting,' are tacitly contrasted with the temporary shelter which was all that the steward, the child of the present world, procured for himself with all his plotting and planning, his cunning and dishonesty,-also, it may be, with the temporary stewardship which every man exercises on earth, from which it is not long before he fails and is removed:-how important therefore, the word will imply, that he should make sure his entrance into a kingdom that shall not be moved.

In the verses which follow (10-13), and which stand in vital coherence with the parable, it is very noteworthy that not prudence, but faithfulness, in the dispensation of things earthly is urged; putting far away any such perversion of the parable, as that the unfaithfulness of the steward could have found a shadow of favour with the Lord. The things earthly in which men may show their faithfulness and their fitness to be entrusted with a higher stewardship, are slightingly called 'that which is least,' as compared with those spiritual

^{*} Cocceius: Δέξωνται posset intelligi impersonaliter, . . . sed filum parabolæ postulat ut referatur ad amicos. Non quod homines suis meritis possint recipere in æterna tabernacula, sed quod filiis Dei lætantibus, applaudentibus, et in Deo ac Spiritu ejus volentibus, a Deo recipiantur ii, qui amici ipsorum esse voluerint. Voluntas justorum et beatorum est efficax, quia est φρόνημα τοῦ πνεύματος, Rom. viii. 27. Cf. Augustine, Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 38; and Gerhard (Loc. Theoll. loc. xxvii. 8, 3): Recipiunt nos tum precibus in hâc vitâ, tum testimonio ac suffragio in die judicii.

b These αἰώνιοι, those πρόσκαιροι. Σκηνή, the tent pitched at evening and struck in the morning, or the temporary booth (Lev. xxiii. 40-43) formed of planks and branches, itself implies anything but a lasting habitation; is directly contrasted with such at Heb. xi. 9, 10, where of Abraham we are told that he dwell in tabernacles (σκηναίς), because he looked for a city with foundations. Compare Isai. xxxviii. 12: 'Mine age is departed, and is removed from me as a shepherd's tent;' Job xxvii. 13; 2 Cor. v. I. But these σκηναί are αἰώνιοι, they are μοναί (John xiv. 2), or mansions, being pitched by God, 'a tabernacle that shall not be taken down' σκηναί αὶ οὐ μὴ σεισθώσιν, Isai. xxxiii. 20).

So the Egyptians called the houses of the living καταλύσεις, but of the dead ἀτδίους οἶκους.
 Cf. Eccles. xii. 5: Man goeth to his long home' (οἶκου αἰῶνος αὐτοῦ).

gifts and talents which are 'much;' they are termed 'unrighteous,' or deceitful 'mammon,' as set over against the heavenly riches of faith and love, which are 'true' and durable 'riches;' they are 'that which is another man's, 'a by comparison with the heavenly goods, which when possessed are our own, a part of our very selves, being akin to our truest life. Thus the Lord at once casts a slight on the things worldly and temporal, and at the same time magnifies the importance of a right administration of them; since in the dispensing of these,—which He declares to be the least,—to be false and with no intrinsic worth, to be alien from man's essential being, He at the same time announces that a man may prove his fidelity, will show what is in him, and whether he may fitly be entrusted with a stewardship of durable riches in the kingdom of God. And in ver. 13 he further states what the fidelity is, which in this stewardship is required: it is a choosing of God instead of mammon for our Lord. For in this world we are as servants from whom two masters are claiming allegiance: one is God, man's rightful Lord; the other is this unrighteous mammon, given to be our servant, to be wielded by us in God's interests, and in itself to be considered as slight, transient, and another's; but which, in a sinful world, has erected itself into a lord, and now challenges obedience from us. This if we yield, we shall not any longer lay out according to God's will that which He lent us to be merely a thing beneath us, but which we shall have allowed a will and voice of its own, and to speak to us in accents of command. We shall not any longer be stewards and servants of God; for that usurping lord has a will so different from His will, gives commands so opposite to His commands, that occasions must speedily arise when one will have to be despised and disobeyed, if the other be honoured and served; God, for instance, will command a scattering, when mammon will urge to a further heaping and gathering; God will require a laying out upon others, when mammon, or the world, a laying out upon ourselves. Therefore, these two lords having characters so different, and giving commands so contrary, it will be impossible to

a Divitiæ non veræ, nec vestræ, as Augustine terms them.

b The Jews have various sayings and parables on the ways in which God proves men in little, to try whether they are worthy to be entrusted with much. Thus David He tried first with 'those few sheep in the wilderness,' which because he faithfully and boldly kept (I Sam. xvii. 34-36), therefore God 'took him from the sheepfolds to feed Jacob his people, and Israel his inheritance' (Ps. lxxviii. 70, 71). See Schoettgen, Hor. Heb. vol. i. p. 300.

[•] Stella has here a lively comparison: Si duobus hominibus aliquâ viâ incendentibus canis sequitnr, non facile judicare poteris uter illorum dominus ejus sit. Ceterum si alter ab altero discedat, statim apparet clarissime quis dominus sit. Canis enim, ignoto relicto, ad notum accedit, eumque dominum esse suum clare ostendit.

reconcile their services (Jam. iv. 4); one must be despised, if the other is held to; the only faithfulness to the one is to break with the other: 'Ye cannot serve" God and mammon.' Such appears to me the connexion between ver. 13 and the two which go before, and between all these and the parable of which they are intended to supply the moral.

* Δουλεύειν, to which word its full force is to be given. Abraham and Job and other of God's saints have been rich but of each of these, in Chrysostom's excellent words here, it could be said, οὐκ ἐδούλευε τῷ μαμμωνᾳ, ἀλλ' εἶχεν αὐτὸν καὶ ἐκράτει, καὶ δεσπότης

[αὐτοῦ] οὐ δοῦλος ἡν. See Suicer, Thes. s. v. δουλεύω.

b Of strange explanations of this parable one of the strangest is quoted by Jerome (Ad Algas Et. 121, qu. 6), from the Commentaries of Theophilus, bishop of Antioch; if indeed these Commentaries are genuine, which Jerome himself elsewhere (De Script. Eccles.) calls in doubt. The unjust steward is the Apostle Paul, who being forcibly thrust out by God from his Judaism, afterwards made himself a place in many hearts, through declaring the remission of sins and the Gospel of the grace of God, and for this was praised, being 'changed from the austerity of the Law to the clemency of the Gospel.' See for the same Abelard's noble hymn, De S. Paulo Apostolo, in my Sacred Latin Poetry, 2nd edit. p. 208. This is only outdone by a modern writer (see Unger, De Par. Jes. Nat. p. 85), who will have it that the Lord meant Himself by the unjust steward! After this we need not marvel that Pontius Pilate and Judas Iscariot have both been suggested. But the meanest of all expositions is Hartmann's (Comm. de Œcon. Improbo, Lips. 1830), of which it will suffice to say that he explains ver. 16 thus: 'Make to yourselves friends of those that are rich in this world (this is his interpretation of έκ τ. μαμ. τ. άδικ.), that, should you get low in the world, you may be sure of a retreat for the remainder of your days.' In Wolf's Curæ, and Köcher's Analecta, other extravagant interpretations may be found.

26. THE RICH MAN AND LAZARUS.

LUKE XVI. 19-31.

THIS parable being addressed to the Pharisees (see ver. 14, 15), a difficulty presents itself at once. 'Covetous' no doubt these were; the Evangelist expressly declares as much (ver. 14; cf. Matt. xxiii. 14), but prodigal excess in living, like that of the rich man in the parable, is nowhere, in sacred history or profane, imputed to them. So far from this, their manner of life was sparing and austere; many among them were rigid ascetics. Our Lord Himself allowed all this; His model Pharisee fasts twice in the week (Luke xviii. 12). Their sins were in the main spiritual; and what other sins they had were compatible with a high reputation for spirituality, which covetousness is, but not a profuse self-indulgence and an eminently luxurious living. Mosheim feels the difficulty so strongly, that he supposes the parable directed against the Sadducees, bof whose selfish indulgence of themselves, and hard-hearted contempt for the needs of others (for they had wrought into their very religious scheme that poverty was a crime, or at all events an evidence of the displeasure of God), we shall then, he says, have an exact description. But the parable cannot be for them; there is no mention of Sadducees present. neither can there be any change between ver. 18 and 19 in the persons addressed; as is still more evident in the original than in our Version

We may, perhaps, explain the matter thus. While it is quite true that covetousness was the sin of the Pharisees, and not prodigal excess, an undue gathering rather than an undue scattering, yet hoarding and squandering so entirely grow out of the same evil root, being alike the fruits of unbelief in God and in God's word, of trust in the

^{*} Josephus (Antt. xviii. 1. 3) says of them, την δίαιταν έξευτελίζουσιν, οὐδὲν ἐς τὸ μαλακώτερον ἐνδιδόντες, and that the Sadducees mocked them for their fasts and austerities.

b De Reb. Christ. ante Const. p. 49. So also Wetstein, who savs of the Pharisees, jejunabant crebro, modestius vestiebantur.

creature more than in the Creator, are so equally a serving of mammon (though the form of the service may be different), that when the Lord would rebuke their sin, which was the trust in the world rather than in the living God, there was nothing to hinder his taking an example from a sin opposite in appearance to theirs,—but springing out of exactly the same evil condition of heart, -by which to condemn them. For we must never forget that the primary intention of the parable is not to teach the dreadful consequences which will follow on the abuse of wealth and on the hard-hearted contempt of the poor. —this only subordinately,—but the fearful consequences of unbelief, of a heart set on this world, and refusing to give credence to that invisible world, here known only to faith, until by a miserable and too late experience the existence of such has been discovered. The sin of Dives in its root is unbelief: hard-hearted contempt of the poor, luxurious squandering on self, are only the forms which his sin assumes. The seat of the disease is within; these are but the running sores which witness for the inward plague. He who believes not in an invisible world of righteousness and truth and spiritual joy, must place his hope in things which he sees, which he can handle, and taste, and smell. It is not of the essence of the matter, whether he hoards or squanders: in either case he puts his trust in the world. He who believes not in a God delighting in mercy and loving-kindness, rewarding the merciful, punishing the unmerciful, will soon come to shut up his bowels of compassion from his brethren, whether that so he may put more money in his chest, or have more to spend upon his lusts. This was the sin of Dives, and source of all his other sins, that he believed not in this higher world which is apprehended by faith,—a world not merely beyond the grave,—but a kingdom of truth and love existing even in the midst of the cruel and selfish world; and this too was the sin of the worldly-minded Pharisees: and his punishment was, that he made discovery of that truer state of things only when the share in it, once within his reach, was irrecoverably gone. That his sin at the bottom is unbelief shows itself again in his supposing that his brethren would give heed to a ghost, while they refuse to give heed to the sure word of Godto 'Moses and the prophets.' For it is of the very character of unbelief, to give to portents and prodigies that credence which it refuses to the truth of God. Caligula, who mocked at the existence of the gods, would hide himself under a bed when it thundered; and superstition and unbelief are as twin births of the corrupt heart of man. They are of those extremes, whose nature it is to meet. We

^{*} Suetonius, Caligula, 51.

must ever keep in mind that this, the rebuke of unbelief, is the main intention of the parable; for if we conceive its primary purpose to warn against the abuse of riches, it will neither satisfactorily cohere with the discourse in which it is found, nor will it possess that unity of purpose, which so remarkably distinguishes the parables of our Lord: it will divide itself into two parts, only slightly linked together,—having not a single but a double point. But when we contemplate unbelief as the essence of the rich man's sin, his hard-heartedness, towards others, with his prodigality towards himself, being only forms of its manifestation, we shall then at once admire the perfect unity of all parts of the parable, the intimate connexion of the conversation with Abraham in the latter part, with the luxurious living of the earlier.

'There was a certain rich man, which was clothed in purple and fine linen, and fared sumptuously every day.' The 'purple and fine linen' are named often together (Esth. 1, 6; Rev. xviii. 12), both being in highest esteem, and the combination of colours which they offered, blue and white, greatly prized. The extreme costliness of the true sea-purple of antiquity is well known. It was the royal hue; and the purple garment then, as now, a royal gift (Esth. viii. 15; Dan. v. 7; I Macc. x. 20; xi. 58; xiv. 43); with it too the heathen idols were clothed (Jer. x. 9); there was as much, therefore, of pride as of luxury in its use. The byssus, or 'fine linen,' was hardly in less price or esteem.^b All then of costliest and rarest he freely bestowed upon himself. Nor was it on some high days only that he so arrayed himself and so feasted. The 'purple and fine linen' were his ordinary apparel, the sumptuous fare his every day's entertainment. Yet with all this, as we cannot be too often reminded, he is not accused of any breach of the law,—not, like those rich men by St. James (v. 1-6), of any flagrant crimes. 'Jesus said not, a calumniator; He

^a Parkhurst is dissatisfied with this 'fared sumptuously' (εὐφραινόμενος λαμπρῶς), which fails to express the exultation and merriment of heart in which the rich man lived. He proposes, 'who lived in jounal splendour;' and Greswell, 'enjoying himself sumptuously.' Teelman (Comm.in Luc, xvi. p. 320, seq.) makes the same objection to the Vulgate, epulabatur laute. The old Italic, jucundabatur nitide (Irenœus iii. 41), would have pleased them better; or Luther's, und lebte herrlich und in Freuden.

^b Pliny (H. N. xix. 4) tells of byssus exchanged for its weight in gold; it served, he says, mulierum maxime deliciis. We have no ἐν διὰ δυοῦν here; not 'fine linen dyed of a purple hue.' The byssus did sometimes receive this colour, yet its glory was rather in its dazzling whiteness (Rev. xix. 8, 14); so Pliny (H. N. xix. 2), speaking of the fine linen of Upper Egypt, Nec ulla sunt eis candore, mollitiâque præferenda: vestas inde gratissimæ. The byssus here is the inner vest, the purple the outer robe. (See the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Byssus, p. 169; Winer, Realwörterbuch, s. v. Baumwolle; Bähr, Symbošik. d. Mos. Cutt. vol. i. pp. 310, 338, vol. ii. p. 72).

said not, an oppressor of the poor; He said not, a robber of other men's goods, nor a receiver of such, nor a false accuser: He said not. a spoiler of orphans, a persecutor of widows: nothing of these. But what did He say?-"There was a certain rich man," And what was his crime?—a lazar lying at his gate, and lying unrelieved.'a Nor is he, -though sometimes so called, as in the heading of the chapter in our Bibles,—'a glutton.' To call him this, a 'Sir Epicure Mammon,' serves only to turn the edge of the parable. He was one of whom all may have spoken well; of whom none could say worse than that he was content to dwell at ease, would fain put far from himself all things painful to the flesh, and surround himself with all things pleasurable.—His name Christ has not told us, but the poor man's only: 'Seems He not to you,' asks Augustine, to have been reading from that book where He found the name of the poor man written, but found not the name of the rich; for that book is the book of life?' 'Jesus,' says Cajetan, 'of a purpose named the beggar, but the rich man He designated merely as "a certain man," so to testify that the spiritual order of things is contrary to the worldly. In the world, the names of the rich are known, and when they are talked of, they are designated by their names; but the names of the poor are either not known, or if known are counted unworthy to be particularly noted.'

'And there was a certain beggar, named Lazarus, a which was laid at his gate, full of sores, and desiring to be fed with the crumbs which fell from the rich man's table.' In the porch or vestibule of the rich man's palace, whose name, though well known on earth, was unrecognized in heaven, the beggar was flung. He was brought hither, perhaps, by the last who took any care of him upon earth; and who with this released themselves of their charge, counting they had done enough, having cast him under the eye, and thus upon the

^a Augustine, Serm. clxxviii. 3. Massillon has from this point of view a deeply impressive Lent Sermon upon this parable.

b Serm. xli.

[•] So Bengel: Lazarus nomine suo notus in cælo: dives non censetur nomine ullo. Euthymius mentions that some called the rich man Nimeusis; and they used to show, perhaps still profess to show, the ruins of his house at Jerusalem. Thus an old traveller: Inde ad quindecim passus procedentibus obviam fiunt ædes (ut volunt) divitis illius epulonis, ex dolatis constructæ lapidibus, magnifico et eleganti opere, altis muris licet ruinosis conspicuæ.

⁴ Λάζαρος = Deus auxilium, God is my help, a name exactly corresponding to the German Gotthelf. The name was a very common one. In the Index to Dindorf's Josephus no less than twenty-three Eleazars are enumerated. The profound impression which this parable has made on the mind of Christendom is attested by the passing of the word lazar into so many languages.

pity, of one so abundantly able to relieve him. How long he may have lain there is not recorded; but long enough for the rich man, as he passed in and out, to have grown so familiar with him that in Abraham's bosom he recognizes him at once. Ignorance, therefore, of the beggar's needs he could not plead. This excuse it was left for another to plead for him; who, in his eagerness to fasten charges of unreason or injustice on Scripture, affirms that he is punished without cause, 'his only crime having been his wealth.' But he could not help knowing, and, if he had not known, that ignorance itself would have been his crime; for, having the leisure of wealth, he should not have remained unacquainted with the want and woe at his doors.

As the rich man's splendid manner of living was painted in a few strokes, in a few as expressive is set forth the destitution of Lazarus. Like Job (Job ii. 7), he was 'full of sores;' hungry, and no man gave to him, -for, doubtless, we should understand that he desired, but in vain, 'to ve fed with the crumbs which fell from the rich man's table' (Judge i. 7); even these were not thrown to him, or in no sufficient measure to satisfy his hunger. Shut out from human fellowship and human pity, he found sympathy only from the dumb animals; 'the dogs'-such, probably, as wander without a master through the streets of an Eastern city (Ps. lix. 14, 15)-' came and licked his sores.' Chrysostom, and others after him, see here an evidence of the extremity of weakness to which disease and hunger had reduced him; there was not in him strength enough even to fray away the dogs, which, licking his sores, aggravated their pain. But scarcely so; medicinal virtue has been popularly ascribed to the tongue of the dog; b which, moist and smooth, would rather assuage than exasperate the smart of a wound. More probably the neglect and cruelty of the rich man are thus enhanced and set in the strongest light. Man had no pity for his fellow-man, no alleviations for his woe; which yet the dogs could feel for, and would have assuaged, if they might. We have thus stroke for stroke Dives is covered with purple and fine linen; Lazarus covered only with sores. One fares sumptuously; the other desires to be fed with crumbs.

b H. de Sto. Victore: Lingua canis dum lingit vulnus, curat (cf. Winer, Real-wörlerbuch, s. v. Speichel). When Hilary (Tract. in Ps. cxxii.) sets him on a

dunghill (in aggestu fimi), this is an exaggeration of his own.

a Strauss (Leben Jesu, vol. i. p. 671); yet not he the first; for there is an essay (reprinted in Hase, Thes. Theol.) by A. L. Königsmann, De Divite Epulone a Christo immisericordiæ non accusato, 1708. But Grotiu, rightly remarks, that Lazarus was cast, in ipso divitis aspectu ut ignorantiam caussari nuilo modo posset; and see Neander, Leben Jesu, p. 205, note.

One has hosts of attendants to wait on his every caprice; though this circumstance is left to our imagination to supply; only the dogs tend the sores of the other.

It has been often said that nothing is expressly told us of the moral condition of Lazarus, of his faith, his patience, his hope. Such is not exactly the case; for, as names are realities in Christ's kingdom of truth, he who received the name Lazarus, or 'God is my help,' from His lips, must have had faith in God; and it was this his faith, and not his poverty, which brought him into Abraham's bosom. In all homiletic use of the parable this should never be forgotten. How often Augustine, having brought home to the prosperous children of the world the tremendous lessons which are here for them, turns round to the poor, warning them that something more is wanting than sores and rags and hunger to bring them into a conformity with Lazarus, and into the place of his rest. With this outward poverty another poverty, even poverty of spirit, must go hand in hand; for that other does not in itself constitute humility, though an excellent help to it; even as the riches of this world do not of necessity exclude humility, but only constitute an enormous temptation, lest they that have them be high-minded, and trust in those uncertain riches rather than in the living God; and he often reminds his hearers how that very Abraham into whose bosom Lazarus was carried, had on earth been rich in flocks and herds and all possessions (Gen. xiii. 2).a

But this worldly glory and this worldly misery are alike to have an end; they are the fleeting show of things, not the abiding realities.

'It came to pass that the beggar died;' and then how marvellous the

^a Thus Serm. xiv. 2: Ait mihi quisque mendicus debilitate fessus, pannis obstitus, fame languidus: Mihi debetur regnum cælorum, ego enim similis sum illi Lazaro: nostrum genus est cui debetur regnum cælorum, non illi generi qui induuntur purpurâ et bysso, et epulantur quotidie splendide. Augustine replies: Cum illum sanctum ulcerosum te esse dicis, timeo ne superbiendo non sis quod dicis, Esto verus pauper, esto pius, esto humilis. Nam si de ipsa pannosa et ulcerosa paupertate gloriaris, quia talis fuit ille qui ante domum divitis inops jacebat, attendis quia pauper fuit et aliud non attendis. Enarr. in Ps. lxxxv. 1: Nunquid vere ille pauper merito illius inopiæ ablatus est ab angelis, dives autem ille peccato divitiarum suarum ad tormenta missus est? In illo paupere humilitas intelligitur honorificata, in illo divite superbia damnata. Breviter probo, quia non divitiæ, sed superbia in illo divite cruciabatur. Certe ille pauper in sinum Abrahæ sublatus est. De ipso Abraham dicit Scriptura, quia habebat hic plurimum auri et argenti, et dives fuit in terrâ. Si qui dives est ad tormenta rapitur, quomodo Abraham præcesserat pauperem, ut ablatum in sinum suum susciperet? Sed erat Abraham in divitiis pauper humilis, tremens omnia præcepta et obaudiens. Cf. Ep. clvii. 4; Enarr. in Ps. cxxxi. 15, and in Ps. li. 9: Quid tibi prodest, si eges facultate, et ardes cupiditate? This last passage is a profound commentary on Matt. xix. 23-26.

change! he whom but a moment before no man served, whom only the dogs tended, is 'carried by the angels into Abraham's bosom.'a Some have by this understood a peculiar privilege vouchsafed to him; that he was brought into the chiefest place of honour and felicity, such as the sons of Zebedee asked for themselves (Matt. xx. 23); not admitted merely to sit down among the rest of the faithful with Abraham at the heavenly festival, but to lean on his bosom, an honour of which only one could partake, as the beloved disciple leaned upon Jesus' bosom at the paschal supper (John xiii. 23). Not so, however; the image underlying these words is not that of a festival at all; in Hades there is no place for such, nor till the actual coming of the kingdom. 'Abraham's bosom' must find its explanation not from Matt. viii. 11; Luke xiii. 29, 30; but rather from John i. 18. It is a figurative phrase to express the deep quietness of an innermost communion. b Besides, the Jews, to whose theology the phrase belongs, spoke of all true believers as going to Abraham, as being received into his bosom. The phrase was equivalent for them to the being 'in the garden of Eden,' or 'under the throne of glory,' gathered, that is, into the general receptacle of happy, but waiting, soulsd (see Wisd. iii. 1-3). Christ, by using, has been rightly considered as sanctioning and adopting, the phrase; it has thus passed into the language of the Church; which has understood by it the

* Luther: En qui dum vivebat, ne unum quidem hominem habuit amicum, repente non unius angeli, sed plurium ministerio honoratur. The belief was current among the Jews that the souls of the righteous were carried by angels into paradise (see Thilo, Cod. Apocryphus, vol. i. pp. 25, 45, 777).

b Lud. Capellus (*Spicilegium*, p. 56): Porro sinus Abrahæ non tam videtur hic dictus a more accumbentium mensæ (uti vulgo accipitur hæc phrasis) quam potius a puerulis qui parentibus sunt carissimi, quos parentes in sinu sive gremio fovent, ın quo etiam suaviter interdum quiescunt. And Gerhard (*Loc. Theoll.* loc. xxvii. 8, 3): Vocatur sinus metaphorâ ductâ a parentibus, qui puerulos suos diurnâ discursitatione fessos, vel ex peregrinatione domum reversos, aut ex advero aliquo casu ejulantes, solatii causâ in sinum suum recipiunt, ut ibi suaviter quiescant.

• Josephus (de Macc. 13) puts this exhortation into the mouth of one of the Maccabean martyrs: οϋτω γὰρ θανόντας ἡμᾶς ᾿Αβραὰμ καὶ Ἰσαὰκ καὶ Ἰακὼβ ὑποδέξονται εἰς τοὺς κόλπους αὐτῶν,

d See Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. in loc.

° For ample quotations from the Greek Fathers, see Suicer, Thes. s. v. κόλπος: compare Augustine (Ερ. 187) and Tertullian (De Animâ, 58). Aquinas (Sum. Theol. pars 3a, qu. 52, art. 2) gives the view of the Middle Ages: Cajetan, of the modern Romish Church: In limbo patrum erat consolatio, tum securitatis æternæ beatitudinis, tum sanctæ societatis, tum exemptionis ab omni pænâ sensûs. Limborch (Theol. Christ. vi. 10, 8) in a striking passage compares the intermediate state of the good to a sweet and joyful dream, while the wicked are as those afflicted with horrible and frightful dreams, each being to waken on the reality of the things of which they have been dreaming; exactly as Tertullian calls that state a prælibatio sententiæ.

state of painless expectation, of blissful repose, to intervene between the death of the faithful in Christ Jesus and their perfect consummation and bliss at His coming in His kingdom. It is 'paradise' (Luke xxiii. 43); the place of the souls under the altar (Rev. vi. 9); it is, as some distinguish it, blessedness, but not glory. Thither, to that haven of rest and consolation, Lazarus, after all his troubles, was safely borne.

'The rich man also died, and was buried;' we naturally conclude, from the course of the narrative, after Lazarus, the mercy of God being manifested in the order of their deaths: Lazarus more early delivered from the miseries of his earthly lot; Dives allowed a longer space for repentance.º But his day of grace comes also to an end. Possibly the setting of Lazarus under his eye had been his final trial; his neglect of him the last drop that had made the cup of God's long-suffering to run over. Entertaining him, he might have unawares entertained angels; but having let slip this latest opportunity, on the death of Lazarus follows hard, as would seem, his own. There is a sublime irony, a stain upon all earthly glory, in this mention of his burial, connected as it is with what is immediately to follow. The world, loving its own, followed him, no doubt, with its pomp and pride, till it could not follow any further. There was not wanting the long procession of the funeral solemnities through the streets of Jerusalem, the crowd of hired mourners, the spices and ointment very precious, wrapping the body; nor yet the costly sepulchre, on which the genial virtues of the departed were recorded. This splendid carrying of the forsaken tenement of clay to the grave is for him what the carrying into Abraham's bosom was for Lazarus; it is his equivalent, which, however, profits him little where now he is.d For

Beatitudo, but not gloria.

b Augustine (Serm. xli.): Sarcina Christi, pennæ sunt. His pennis ille pauper in sinum Abrahæ volavit.

^{*}Thus Jeremy Taylor (Sermon preached at the funeral of the Lord Primate): According to the proverb of the Jews, "Michael flies but with one wing, and Gabriel with two;" God is quick in sending angels of peace, and they fly apace; but the messengers of wrath come slowly: God is more hasty to glorify his servants than to condemn the wicked. And therefore in the story of Dives and Lazarus we find that the beggar died first; the good man Lazarus was first taken away from his misery to his comfort, and afterwards the rich man died."

d'Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. xlviii. 18): Spiritus torquetur apud inferos, quid illi prodest quia corpus jacet in cinnamis et aromatibus involutum pretiosis linteis? Tanquam si dominus domûs mittatur in exilium, et tu ornes parietes ipsius. Ille in exilio eget, et fame deficit, vix sibi unam cellam invenit ubi somnum capiat, et tu dicis, Felix est, nam ornata est domus illius; cf. Enarr. in Ps. xxxiii. 22. According to Jewish notions, it was this very burial which handed him over to his torments; for in the book Sohar it is said: Anima que non est justa in hoc mundo permanet, donec corpus sepultum est, quo facto ipsa deducitur in gehennam.

death has been for him an awakening from his flattering dreams of ease and self-enjoyment upon the stern and terrible realities of eternity. He has sought to save his life, and has lost it. The play in which he acted the rich man is ended, and as he went off the stage he was stripped of all the trappings with which he had been furnished that he might sustain his part: there remains only the fact that he has played it badly, and will therefore have no praise, but uttermost rebuke, from Him who allotted to him this character to sustain.^a

From this verse the scene of the parable passes beyond the range of *our* experience into the unknown world of spirits; but not beyond the range of *His* eye, to whom all worlds, visible and invisible, are

Both of these images, that of awakening from a dream of delight, and bringing to an end some proud part in a play, are used by Chrysostom to set forth the altered condition of the Rich Man after death (Ad Theol. Laps. i. 8): 'For as they who toil in the mines, or undergo some other penalty more terrible even than this, when perchance they fall asleep under their many labours and their most bitter existence, and in dreams behold themselves lapped in delights and in all rich abundance, yet after they are awakened owe no thanks to their dreams; so also that rich man, as in a dream being wealthy for this present life, after his migration hence was punished with that bitter punishment.' And again (De Laz. Conc. 11): 'For as on the stage some enter, assuming the masks of kings, captains, physicians and orators, philosophers and soldiers, being in truth nothing of the kind, so also in the present life wealth and poverty are only masks. As then, when thou sittest in the theatre, and beholdest one playing beneath, who sustains the part of a king, thou dost not count him happy, nor esteemest him a king, nor desirest to be such as he; but knowing him to be one of the common people, a ropemaker or a blacksmith, or some other such, thou dost not esteem him happy for his mask and his robe's sake, nor judgest of his condition from these, but holdest him cheap for the meanness of his true condition: so also here, sitting in the world as in the theatre, and beholding men playing as on a stage, when thou seest many rich, count them not to be truly rich, but to be wearing the masks of rich. For as he who on the stage plays the king or captain, is often a slave, or one who sells figs or grapes in the market, so also this rich man is often in reality poorest of all. For if thou strip him of his mask and unfold his conscience, and scrutinize his inward parts, thou wilt there find a great penury of virtue, thou wilt find him to be indeed the most abject of men. And as in the theatre, when the evening is come, and the spectators are departed, and the players go forth from thence, having laid aside their masks and dresses, they who before showed as kings and captains to all, appear as they truly are; so now, when death approaches and the audience is dismissed, all laying aside the masks of wealth and of poverty depart from hence, and being judged only by their works, appear some indeed truly rich, but some poor; and some glorious, but others without honor.' Cf. Augustine, Serm. cccxlv.; Calderon, La Vida un Sueño, and again, El gran Teatro del Mundo. Arndt (De Vero Christ. i. 20) says of such as the rich man here: Quos homines fortasse non male camelis et mulis comparaveris; nam ut illi per rupes montiumque edita vestes sericas, gemmas, aromata, et generosa vina dorso vehentes, agmen quasi quoddam famulorum custodiæ et securitatis causâ secum trahunt; simulac vero circa vesperam in stabulum venerint, pretiosorum ornamentorum vestiumque pictarum apparatus illis detrahitur, jamque lassi et omni comitatu nudati nil nisi vibices et

equally open and manifest. He appears as much at home there as here; He moves in that world as one perfectly familiar with it; speaking without astonishment as of things which he knows. He continues, indeed, to use the language of men, for it is the only language by which He could make Himself intelligible to men. Yet it is not always easy now to distinguish between that which is merely figure, vehicle of the truth, and that which must be held fast as itself essential truth. We may safely say that the form in which the sense of pain, with the desire after alleviation embodies itself (ver. 24) is figurative. Olshausen will have it that the entire dialogue between Abraham and Dives belongs in the same way to the parabolical clothing of the truth; that it is nothing else than the hope and longing after deliverance, which alternately rises and is again crushed by the voice of the condemning law speaking in and through the conscience. But we are left in such entire ignorance of all the conditions of existence in that mysterious world of Hades, that it seems as impossible to affirm this as to deny it.

But to return; he that had that splendid funeral on earth is now ' 'in hell,'—or 'in Hades' rather; for as 'Abraham's bosom' is not heaven, though it will issue in heaven, so neither is Hades 'hell,' though to issue in it, when cast with death into the lake of fire, which is the proper hell (Rev. xx. 14). It is the place of painful restraint, b where the souls of the wicked are reserved to the judgment of the great day; it is 'the deep,' whither the devils prayed that they might not be sent to be tormented before their time (Luke viii. 31); for as Paradise has a foretaste of heaven, so has the place where he is a foretaste of hell. Dives, being there, is 'in torments,' stripped of all wherein his soul delighted; his purple robe a garment of fire;° or, as he himself describes it, he is 'tormented in this flame.'

livida plagarum vestigia ostentant: ita qui in hoc mundo auro et serico nituerunt, obitûs extremâ vesperâ irruente, nihil habent præter vibices et cicatrices peccatorum per abusum divitiarum sibi impressas. Shakspeare has the same thought:

'If thou art rich, thou art poor, For like an ass whose back with ingots bows, Thou bear'st thy heavy riches but a journey, And death unload thee.'

Some in Augustine's time took all this to the letter. Tertullian (De Animâ, 7) of course had done so; but he has doubts (De Gen. ad Lit. viii. 6): Sed quomodo intelligenda sit illa flamma inferni, ille sinus Abrahæ, illa lingua divitis, ille digitus pauperis, illa sitis tormenti, illa stiila refrigerii, vix fortasse a mansuete quærentibus, a contentiose autem certantibus nunquam, invenitur. Gregory of Nyssa (De Animà et Resurr. vol. iii. pp. 216-221, Paris, 1638) has much of interest on this matter.

b Φυλακή (I Pet. iii. 18) = ἄβυσσος (Luke viii. 31). See Campbell (On the Four Gospels, vol. i. pp. 253-291) on the difference between 4875 and yeerva.

· Augustine (Serm. xxxvi. 6): Successit ignis purpuræ et bysso: eâ tunicâ ardebat, quâ se exspoliare non poterat.

For a while he may have been quite unable to realize his new condition, to connect his present self with his past; his fearful change seeming to him only as some troubled dream. But when convinced at length that this was indeed no dream, but an awakening, then, that he might take the measure of his actual condition, 'he lifted up his eves, and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom' (cf. Isai. lxv. 13, 14). If this is merely a figure, yet assuredly a figure of the true, conveying to us the fact that the misery of the lost will be aggravated by a comparison which they will be ever making of their estate with the blessedness of the saved. 'And he cried and said, Father Abraham,'—for he still clung to the hope that his fleshly privileges would profit him something; he would still plead that he has Abraham to his father (Matt. iii. 9; Rom. ii. 7; John viii. 41), not perceiving that this, which was his glory once, was now the very stress of his guilt. That he, a son of Abraham,—the man of that liberal hand and princely heart, in whom, as the head of the elect family, every Jew was reminded of his kinship with every other, of the one blood in the veins of all, of the one hope in God which ennobled them all from the least to the greatest-should have so sinned against the mighty privileges of his high calling, so denied through his life all which the name 'son of Abraham' was meant to teach him,—it was this which had brought him to that place of torment. Poor and infinitely slight is the best alleviation which he looks for: 'Have mercy on me, and send Lazarus, that he may dip the tip of his finger in water, and cool my tongue; for I am tormented in this flame.' And this is all which he ventures to ask! so shrunken are his desires, so low the highest hope which even he himself presumes to entertain. b It has been observed that this prayer of his is the only invocation of saints whereof the Scripture knows; and it is far from being an encouraging one (Job v. 1). He can speak of 'father Abraham' and his 'father's house;' but there is another Father of whom he will know nothing—the Father whom the prodigal had found; for he is as far as hell is from heaven from the faith of the prophet: 'Doubtless Thou art our Father, though Abraham be ignorant of us, and Israel acknowledge us not (Isai. lxiii. 16).

'But Abraham said, Son, remember that thou in thy lifetime receivedst thy good things, and likewise Lazarus evil things; but now he is comforted, and thou art tormented.' In the answer of the father of the faithful there is no harshness, no mocking at the calamity of his unhappy and guilty descendant. He addresses him as 'Son,'

[·] Bengel: Linguâ maxime peccârat.

b Augustine: Superbus temporis, mendicus inferni.

while, at the same time coupling an allowance of the relationship which the other claimed, with a denial of his request, he rings the knell of his latest hope. And first he brings home to his conscience that all which is happening to him now is just, and that if he will only consider he must consent to it that it is so. 'Thou in thy lifetime receivedst thy good things.' I cannot accept the interpretation which by the 'good things' of Dives would understand certain good actions which in some small measure he had wrought, and the reward of which he had in this present life received; even though it can claim such supporters as Chrysostom in the Greek Church, a Gregory the Great in the Latin, b and Bishop Sanderson in our own. The following paraphrase of the words is from a sermon of Sanderson's:° 'If thou hadst anything good in thee, remember thou hadst thy reward in earth already, and now there remaineth for thee nothing but the full punishment of thine ungodliness there in hell: but as for Lazarus, he hath had the chastisement of his infirmities [his 'evil things'] on earth already, and now remaineth for him nothing but the full reward of his godliness here in heaven.' Presently before Sanderson had said, 'For as God rewardeth those few good things that are in evil men with these temporal benefits, for whom yet in His justice He reserveth eternal damnation, as the due wages, by that justice, of their graceless impenitency, so He punisheth those remnants of sin that are in godly men with these temporal afflictions, for whom yet in His mercy He reserveth eternal salvation, as the due wages, yet by that mercy only of their faith and repentance and holy obedience.' Whether there be such a dealing of God with men as this or not, it is very far-fetched to find it here; and the more obvious explanation of the words agrees much better with the general scope of the parable, and of Abraham's discourse in particular. The 'good things' of the rich man were his temporal felicities, his purple and fine linen, and his sumptuous fare. These, which were 'goods' to him, in his esteem the highest or, indeed, the only 'goods,' and

^{*} De Laz. Con. 3. He lays a stress on the ἀπέλαβες, recepisti, not accepisti; see too Theophylact (in loc.). Thus far the five other passages of St. Luke, in which ἀπολαμβάνειν occurs (vi. 34, bis; xv. 27; xviii. 30; xxiii. 41), quite bear him out.

b Hom. 40 in Evang.: Dum dicitur, Recepisti bona in vitâ tuâ, indicatur et dives iste boni aliquid habuisse, ex quo in hâc vitâ bona reciperet. Rursumque dum de Lazoro dicitur, quia recepit mala, profecte monstratur et Lazarus habuisse malum aliquod, quod purgaretur. Sed illum paupertas afflixit et tersit, istum abundantia remuneravit et repulit. Cf. Moral. v. 1. In like manner the Jewish doctors saidi. Quemadmodum in seculo futuro piis rependitur præmium boni operis etiam levissimi, quod perpetrârunt, ita in seculo hoc rependitur impiis præmium cujuscunque levissimi boni operis.

On Ahab's repentance (1 Kin. xxi. 29).

besides which he would know no other, he had 'received.' He had his choice, the things temporal or the things eternal, to save his life here, or to save it there; and by the choice which he had made he must abide."

This lesson the words, either way interpreted, will contain, namely, that the receiving of this world's good with no admixture of its evil, the course of an unbroken prosperity, is ever a sign and augury of ultimate reprobation^b (Ps. xvii. 14; Job xxi. 7-21; Luke vi. 24, 25; Heb. xii. 8). Nor is it hard to see why. There is in every man dross in abundance, needing to be purged away in the purifying fires of pain He therefore whom these purifying fires come not near, is left with all his dross in him, is no partaker of that holiness, without which no man shall see God. Thus Dives, to his endless loss, had in this life received good things without any share of evil. But now all is changed: Lazarus, who received in this mortal life evil things, 'is comforted' (Matt. v. 4; 2 Cor. iv. 17; Acts xiv. 21), but he is 'tormented.' He has sown only to the flesh, and therefore, when the order of things has commenced in which the flesh has no part, he can only reap in misery and emptiness, in the hungry longing and unsatisfied desire of the soul (Gal. vi. 8). The pity too which he refused to show, he fails to obtain; so that we have here the severe converse of the beatitude; 'Blessed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy' (Judg. i. 7; Matt. xviii 32-34; Jam. ii. 13; Rev. xvi. 6). The crumbs which he denied have issued in the drop of water which is denied to him. Having failed to make 'himself friends of the mammon of unrighteousness,' now when he has failed, he has none to receive him into everlasting habitations.

Nor is this severe law of the divine retaliations the only obstacle to the granting of his request. There is further brought home to the conscience of this man, once so rich, and now so poor, that with death an eternal separation of the elements of good and evil, elements in this world mingled and confounded, begins (Matt. xiii. 40, 41). Like is gathered to like, good by natural affinity to good, and evil to evil; and this separation is permanent: 'Beside all this, between us and you there is a great gulf'e fixed,' not a mere handbreadth only,

Augustine: O mundi bona, apud inferios mala!

b Augustine: Quid infelicius felicitate peccantium?

Meuschen, N. T. ex Talm. illust. p. 66.

^d Augustine: Desideravit guttam qui non dedit micam—a thought which makes Gregory the Great exclaim (*Hom.* 40 in Evang): Oh quanta est subtilitas judiciorum Dei!

[°]To account for 'gulf' as a rendering of $\chi\acute{a}\sigma\mu\alpha$ here, we must remember that there are two 'gulfs' in the English language; which though spelt with the same

as the Jews fabled, but 'a great gulf,' and this 'fixed'b—an eternal separation, a yawning chasm, too deep to be filled up, too wide to be bridged over;—'so that they who would pass from hence to you cannot, neither can they pass to us that would come from thence.' The latter statement contains no difficulty; it is only natural that the lost should desire to pass out of their state of pain to the place of rest and blessedness; but it is not so easy to understand the other—'they who would pass from thence to you cannot;' for how should any desire this? Not, of course, with a purpose of changing their own condition; but they cannot pass, Abraham would say, even for a season; they have no power to yield even a moment's solace to any in that place, however earnestly they may wish it.

But though repulsed for himself, he has still a request to urge for others. If Abraham cannot send Lazarus to that world of woe, at least he can cause him to return to the earth which he has so lately quitted; between these worlds there is no such gulf interposed: 'I pray thee therefore, father, that thou wouldest send him to my father's house; for I have five brethren, that he may testify unto them, lest they also come into th's place of torment.' He and they, Sadducees at heart, though perhaps Pharisees in name, may oftentimes have mocked together at that unseen world, and by Lazarus he would fain warn them now of the fearful reality which he had found it. He could testify at once of heaven and of hell. In this anxiety for the welfare of his brethren, which he, who hitherto had been merely selfish, expresses, some have seen the evidence of a better mind beginning, and the proof that suffering was already doing its work in him, and awakening the slumbering germ of good. With this, were

letters are different words, and with different meanings. There is first 'gulf,' corresponding with the French 'gouffre;' to this the verb 'to engulf' belongs, and this word we have here. There is another 'gulf,' the French 'golfe,' the Italian 'golfo,' connected with the Greek $\kappa \delta \lambda \pi o \varsigma$. With this we have here nothing to do.

- * Eisenmenger, Endeckt. Judenth. vol. ii. p. 315.
- b Augustine (Ad Evod. Ερ. 164): Hiatus . . . non solum est, verum etiam firmatus est.
- Augustine (Serm. xli.): Non dubito quia cum ipsis fratribus suis loquens de prophetis monentibus bona, prohibentibus mala, terrentibus de tormentis futuris, et futura præmia promittentibus irridebat hæc omnia, dicens cum fratribus suis, Quæ vita post mortem; quæ memoria putredinis? qui sensus cineris? . . . quis inde reversus auditus est?
- d In the legend of Er the Pamphylian (Plato, Rep. x. 614) he is to return from the place where souls are judged, ἄγγελον ἀνθρώποις γενέσθαι τῶν ἐκεῖ, to testify of the greatness of the rewards of the just, the dreadfulness of the doom of sinners.
- Aquinas (Summ. Theol. Supp. ad 3 part. qu. 98, art. 4) has a discussion to which this verse gives occasion: Utrum damnati in inferno vellent alios esse damnatos, qui non sunt damnati! He determines, despite this passage, that they would.

it so, would of necessity be connected his own ultimate restoration, and the whole doctrine of future suffering not being vindicative and eternal, but corrective and temporary. But the rich man's request grows out of another root. There lies in it a secret justifying of himself, and accusing of God. What a bitter reproach against God and against the old economy is here involved: 'If only I had been sufficiently warned, if only God had given me sufficiently clear evidence of these things, of the need of repentance, of this place as the goal of a sensual worldly life, I had never come hither. But though I was not, let, at any rate, my brethren be duly warned.' Abraham's answer is brief and almost stern; rebuking, as was fit, this evil thought of his heart: they are warned; they have enough to keep them from that place of torment, if only they will use it: 'They have Moses and the prophets: let them hear them' (John v. 39, 45-47). Christ putting these words into Abraham's mouth, evidently gives no countenance to them who see an entire keeping back of the doctrine of life eternal and a future retribution in the Pentateuch; but to 'hear Moses,' is to hear of these things; as elsewhere more at length He has shown (Matt. xxii. 31, 32; Luke xx. 37).

But the suppliant will not so easily be put to silence: 'Nay, father Abraham; but if one went unto them from the dead, they will repent.'a We are told of the faithful, that 'their works do follow them;' their temper here is their temper in heaven; not otherwise does the contempt of God's word, which this man manifested on earth, follow him beyond the grave. b The word, as he deems, is not sufficient to save men; they must have something more to lead them to repentance. We have reappearing in hell that 'Show us a sign, that we may believe,' so often upon the lips of the Pharisees on earth. will believe, or flatter themselves that they would believe, signs and portents; but they will not believe God's word (Isai, viii, 19, 20). A vain expectation! 'If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead.' Every word in this reply demands to be accurately weighed. Dives had said, 'they will repent;' a moral change will be wrought in them; Abraham replies, they will not even 'be persuaded.' Dives had said, 'if one went unto them from the dead;' Abraham, with a prophetic glance at the world's unbelief in a far greater matter, makes answer, 'No, not though one rose from the dead.' He in fact is saying: 'A far mightier miracle than you demand would be ineffectual for producing a far slighter effect; you imagine that wicked men would

Gregory of Nyssa; "Οπως ἀν ἐκ τοῦ παραδόξου πιθανὸν αὐτοῖς τὸ κέρυγμα γένοιτο.
 Bengel: Vilipendium Scripturæ miser, relicto luxu, secum intulit in inferno.

repent on the the return of a spirit,'—the history of the last days of Saul might have taught him better (2 Sam. xxviii.),—'I tell you they would not even be persuaded by the rising of one from the dead.'a

This reply of Abraham is most weighty for the insight it gives us into the nature of faith as a moral act; not therefore to be forced by signs and wonders: for where there is a determined alienation of will and affections from the truth, no impression which miracles will make, even when accepted as genuine, will be more than transitory. There will not fail to be always a loophole somewhere or other by which unbelief can escape; b and this is well, else we should have in the Church the faith of devils, who believe and tremble. When the historical Lazarus was raised from the dead, the Pharisees were not by this mightiest of all miracles persuaded of the divine mission and authority of Him who had raised him; and this though they did not deny the reality of the miracle itself (John xi. 47; xii. 10). A greater too than Lazarus has returned from the world of spirits; nay, He has risen from the dead: and what multitudes, who acknowledge the fact, and acknowledge it as setting a seal to all his claims to be heard and obeyed, are not brought by this acknowledgment a whit nearer to repentance and the obedience of faith. And it is very observable, how exactly in the spirit of Abraham's refusal to send Lazarus, the Lord Himself acted after His resurrection. He showed Himself, not to the Pharisees, not to His enemies, 'not to all the people, but unto witnesses chosen before of God' (Acts x. 41), to His own disciples alone. It was a judgment upon others, that no sign should be given them but the sign of the prophet Jonas (Matt. xii. 39); and yet mercy too, for they would not have been persuaded, even by one that had risen from the dead.º A satisfaction of the longing, in it-

Observe the change of words: πορευθη in the request of Dives, ἀναστη in the reply of Abraham; ἀπὸ νεκρῶν in the request, ἐκ νεκρῶν in the reply.

b When, for instance, Spinoza declared himself ready to renounce his system and to become a Christian, if only he were convinced of the truth of the raising of the historical Lazarus, he knew very well that in his sense of the word convince, and with the kind of evidence that he would demand, it was impossible to satisfy his demand (see Bayle, Diction. art. Spinoze, note R).

⁶ I cannot forbear to quote here some admirable remarks in Sepp's Leben Jesu Christi, vol. v. p. 486. Aus Christi Parabel fliesst noch eine Lehre für die neuere Zeit. Die Todten stehen nicht auf, noch offenbaren sich die Geister nach Gottes Willen durch Tischrücken, schreiben oder mündliche Rede, denn Christus spricht: Sie haben Moses und die Propheten, wenn sie diese nicht hören, werden sie sich nicht bekehren, auch wenn die Todten wiederkämen. Also spricht der Herr hier vorbedeutsam aus, das der Christ nicht auf Mittheilungen aus der jenseitigen Welt oder dem Reiche der Todten rechnen solle. Solche Geistersehereien können unmöglich zum gottgefälligen Glauben führen: und in Wahrheit gibt es keinen gesetzlichen Verkehr zwischen dem Dies- und Jenseits. Die Juden hatten Moses und die Pro-

self most natural, that one should return from the world beyond the grave, and assure us of the reality of that world,—a satisfaction which Abraham could not give, but which Christ did,—was by him granted to those who were seeking the confirmation of faith, and not excuses for unbelief.^a

Our observations on this parable would be very incomplete if we did not, before concluding, give a rapid sketch of their interpretation who maintain that, besides its literal and obvious, the parable has also an allegorical, meaning. This, though by no means the dominant interpretation, has frequently made itself heard, having found more or less favour with Augustine, with Gregory the Great, and with more modern commentators than one. Should it obtain allowance, the parable, like so many others which we owe exclusively to St. Luke, will set forth the past and future relations of the Jew and the Gentile. Dives will in this case represent the Jewish nation, clad in the 'purple' of the king, and the 'fine linen' of the priest —the kingdom of priests, or royal priesthood (Exod. xix. 6; I Pet. ii. 9). They 'fared sumptuously every day,' being furnished to the full with all things necessury for life and godliness. Salvation was of the Jews (John iv. 22); to them pertained 'the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises' (Rom. ix. 4). But while this sumptuous

pheten um sie zu hören: wir aber haben Christus und die Apostel oder die sonstige Revelationen bauen, will er nicht mit einer unheimlichen, Christo fremden Macht anbinden.

*Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. cxlvii. 14). O Domine, gratias misericordiæ tuæ; voluisti mori, ut aliquis ab inferis surgeret, et ipse aliquis non quicumque, sed Veritas surrexit ab inferis. Plato's legend of the revenant, referred to already (p. 356, note), is a remarkable witness for this craving in the mind of man, that he who gives the assurance of a world after death should have himself visited that world. The same reappears in that, which, however, is an evident imitation of Plato's narrative, the story of Thespesius in Plutarch's admirable essay, De Serâ Numinis Vindictâ.

b Augustine (Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 48): In Divite intelligantur superbi Judæorum, ignorantes Dei justitiam, et suam volentes constituere . . . Epulatio splendida, jactantia legis est, in quå gloriabantur plus ad pompam elationis abutentes eå, quam ad necessitatem salutis utentes. Cf. Gregory the Great (Hom. 40 in Evang.; Mor. xxv. 13): Per epulantem splendide divitem Judaicu spopulus designatur, copiam scilicet legis non ad necessitatem salutis, sed ad pompam elationis assumens; atque in præceptorum verbis non semetipsum ordinate reficiens, sed jactanter ostendens; et per vulneratum Lazarum, qui interpretatur adjutus, forma populi Gentilis exprimitur, quem divinum adjutorium tanto magis erigit, quanto minus de suarum virium facultate conficit. And H. de Sto. Victore (Annott. in Luc.): Dives iste Judaicum populum designat, qui cultum vitre exterius habuit, et acceptæ legis delicius usus est ad nitorem, non ad utilitatem. Theophylact: Πορφύραν καὶ βύσσον ἐνεδέδντο, βασιλείαν ἔχων καὶ ἰερωσύνην. Lomeier has wrought out this view at length, Obs. Analytico-Didact. ad Luc. xvi. p. 91, seq. Von Meyer (Blätter für höhere Wahrheit, vol. vie

fare was theirs, they, instead of sharing it with those who needed it the most, were at all pains that no portion of it should reach any other; or if they did make a proselyte, they made him not for God but for themselves, and he exaggerated all which was worst in themselves (Matt. xxiii. 15). Making their boast of God (Rom. ii. 17), they did nothing to spread among the heathen the true knowledge of His name. There would not have fallen, if they could have helped it, so much as a crumb from their table for these. Lazarus, the beggar, b lay untended at their gate—at their gate and without it; for the Gentiles were 'aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise' (Ephes. ii. 12):- 'full of sores,' for their sins and their miseries were infinite. St. Paul, at Rom. 23-32, gives us a fearful glimpse of some of the worst among these sores, though indeed we must include in them not sins only, but all the penal miseries consequent on sin. And the dogs came and licked these sores, and this was all the alleviation which they had. They were slight and miserable assuagements of its want and woe, ineffectual medicine for its hurts, which the heathen world obtained from its legislators, philosophers and poets. 'Physicians of no value' we have no right to call them; for the moral condition of the world

p. 88) has an exposition identical with this in its spirit; and Bengel: Dives exemplar Pharisæorum; Lazarus exemplum pauperum spiritu. Teelman (Comm. Crit. et Theol. in Luc. xvi. Amstel. 1695) offers an elaborate explanation, like in part, but with important differences. Dives is the Jewish people, but Lazarus is Christ, rejected and despised by the proud nation, and full of sores, bearing, that is, his people's sins (Isai. liii. 3-5). With him agree Vitringa (Erklär. der Parab. p. 939) and Gill (Exp. of the N. T. in loc.). But this was long since suggested by Augustine (Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 38): Lazarum Dominum significare accipiamus . . . jacentem ad januam divitis, quia se ad aures superbissimas Judæorum Incarnationis humiliate dejecit (2 Cor. viii. 9). . . . Ulcera passiones sunt Domini ex infirmitate carnis, quam pro nobis suscipere dignatus est Sinus Abrahæ, secretum Patris, quo post passionem resurgens assumptus est Dominus. Compare Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. viii. 15): Cui [Lazaro] similem illum puto, qui cæsus sæpius a Judæis, ad patientiam credentium et vocationem gentium ulcera sui corporis lambenda quibusdam velut canibus offerebat; then he quotes Matt. xv. 27. - Schliermacher's supposition that Herod Antipas, infamous for his incestuous marriage (see ver. 18), is pointed at in Dives, is curious, yet even this is not original; for Tertullian (Adv. Marc. iv. 34) sees at ver. 18 an allusion to Herod's marriage, and observes how close the connexion between that verse and our parable: Nam et illud [scil. argumentum parabolæ] quantum ad Scripturæ superficiem subito propositum est, quantum ad intentionem sensûs et ipsum cohæret mentioni Joannis male tractati, et sugillatui Herodis male maritati, utriusque exitum deformans, Herodis tormenta et Joannis refrigeria. See Suicer, Thes. s. v. Λάζαρος.

^a H. de Sto. Victore: Non ad caritatem sed ad elationem doctrinam legis habuit. And Gregory the Great (*Hom.* 40) explains the refusal of the crumbs: Gentiles ad cognitionem legis superbi Judæi non admittebant.

b Theophylact: Πένης θείων χαρίτων καί σοφίας.

would have been far worse without them; but yet they could only

heal slightly at the best the hurt of their people.

The desire of the beggar to be fed with the crumbs that fell from the rich man's table finds no exact counterpart in any longing on the part of the Gentiles for the satisfaction of their spiritual hunger from the table of the Jews. Such longing might have been, if these had held and held forth the truth as they ought; but, whether from the repulsive aspect under which they presented it, or from some other causes, this desire did not in any large measure exist; though, indeed, the spread of Judaism, and the inclination, especially among women of rank, to embrace it, is more than once noted by the Roman writers about the time of the first emperors. Still the yearning of men's souls after a truth which they had not was a yearning after something which the Jew had, and had richly; and which, had he been faithful to his position, he would have imparted to them, and they been willing to receive of him. Christ was 'the Desire of all nations; ' every yearning after deliverance from the bondage of corruption which found utterance in the heart of any heathen, was, in truth, a yearning after Him; so that implicitly and unconsciously the nations of the world were desiring to live upon truth which had been entrusted to the Jew, and entrusted to him that he might share it with them.

The dying of Lazarus, with his reception into Abraham's bosom, will find their counterpart in the coming to an end of that economy in which the Gentile was an alien from the covenant, and in his subsequent introduction by 'the angels,' or messengers of the covenant, into all the immunities and consolations of the kingdom of God;which in time past were not a people, but are now the people of God; which had not obtained mercy, but now have obtained mercy' (1 Pet. i. 10; Ephes. ii 11-13). But Dives dies also; the coming to an end of that comparative economy, while it is life to the Gentile, is death to the Jew; who had desparately clung to this, and would know of no other. And now Dives is in torments, -'in hell;' surely not too strong a phrase to describe the anguish and despair, the madness and astonishment of heart, which must be their portion who having once known God, refuse to know Him any more. Who can read the history, as given by a Jew, of the great final agony of the Jewish nation,—when turning fiercely upon its foes, it turned with a vet more deadly fierceness upon itself; like a scorpion, which, girdled with fire, fixes its sting in its own body, -and not feel that all which constitutes hell was already there? And ever since have they

[•] See Neander, History of the Church, vol. i. p. 84 (English transl.)

not been 'tormented'? What a picture of their condition as the apostate people of God does the sure word of prophecy supply (Lev. xxvi. 14-39; Deut. xxviii. 15-68). What gnashing of teeth, what fierce madness of heart, does Christ announce shall be theirs, when they shall see the despised Gentiles sitting down in the kingdom of God, from which they themselves are thrust out (Luke xiii. 28-30). Nor has history failed to justify these pictures to the full.

But as Dives looked for consolation from Lazarus, whom before he despised, so the Tew is looking for the assuagement of his miseries through some bettering of his outward estate,—some relaxation of severities inflicted upon him,—some improvement of his civil condition:—expecting from the kingdoms of the world that which, if they gave him, would be but as a drop of water on the burning tongue. For it is the wrath of God which constitutes his misery; and till this is removed, till he turns from Abraham to Abraham's God, he is incapable of true comfort The alleviation which he craves is not given; it were useless to give it. There is but one true alleviation, that he should be himself received into the kingdom of God, that he should bewail his guilt, and look on Him whom he pierced, and mourn because of Him. Then consolations would abound to him. Everything short of this is but as a drop of water to the fiery tongue. The upholders of this interpretation urge, and with reason, that the absence of any allusion in the parable to a future time, when the great gulf of unbelief which now separates the Jew from his blessings shall be filled up, makes nothing against it. The same silence is observed in the parable of the Wicked Husbandmen. No hint is there given that the vineyard shall one day be restored to its first cultivator, which yet we know will be the case (Rom. xi, 26; 2 Cor. iii. 16).

By the five brethren of Dives will be set forth to us, according to this scheme, all who hereafter, in a like condition and with like advantages, are tempted to the same abuse of their spiritual privileges. The Gentile Church is in one sense Lazarus brought into Abraham's bosom; but when it sins as the Jewish Church did before it, glorying in its gifts, but not using them for the calling out of the spiritual life of men, contented to see in its very bosom a population outcast, save in name, from its privileges and blessings, and beyond its limits millions upon millions of heathens to whom it has little or no care to impart the riches of the knowledge of Christ,—then, as it thus sins, it only too much resembles the five brethren of Dives, who are in danger of coming, and for sins similar to his, to the same place of

[•] Theophylact: 'Εν τῆ φλογὶ κατακαίονται τοῦ φθόνου.

torment. Nor are we to imagine that, before judgment is executed upon a Church thus forgetful of its high calling, it will be roused from its dream of security by any startling summonses,—any novel signs and wonders,—any new revelation,—any Lazarus rising from the dead and bidding it to repent. It has enough to remind it of its duty; its deposit of truth, its talent wherewith to trade till its Lord's return. The parable thus contemplated, speaks to us Gentiles in the very spirit of those awful words which St. Paul addressed to the Gentile converts at Rome: 'Behold, therefore, the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell severity; but towards thee goodness, if thou continue in His goodness; otherwise thou also shalt be cut off' (Rom. xi. 22).

Those who uphold this allegorical interpretation maintain that the parable will not, through admitting it, lose any of its practical value, Whatever, according to the more usual interpretation, might be drawn from it of solemn warning for the children of this present world, who have faith in nothing beyond it,—for all who forget, in the fulness of their own earthly goods, the infinite want and woe of their fellow-men, the same may be drawn from it still. Only in addition to this warning to the world, it will yield another deeper warning to the Church, that it do not shut itself up in selfish pride; glorying in the abundance of its own privileges, but at the same time with no feeling sense of the spiritual wants and miseries of those who know not God, with no earnest effort to remove them; that on such forgetfulness a terrible judgment must follow.

27. UNPROFITABLE SERVANTS.

LUKE XVII. 7-10.

IT has been much debated whether there be any connexion at all, and if so, what, between this parable and its immediate context. Expositors not a few have either expressly denied that any such existed, or have, at all events, been at no pains to trace it. Those, on the other hand, who assert a connexion, do not all trace the same. Thus Augustine, who acknowledges the great difficulty which meets him here, has a singular scheme for linking on the parable with that which went before it, and one very forced and unnatural. I must be content to give a reference to it, a as fairly to state it would occupy more space than its merits would warrant. Theophylact finds the following link between the parable and the verse preceding. The Lord had there declared the mighty works which a living faith would enable his disciples to perform; but then, lest a knowledge of this should entangle them in a snare of pride, a parable which should keep them humble is added. Olshausen suggests as follows. The Apostles by that account of the hindrances they would meet (ver. 1, 2), of the hard duties, hard as they then seemed to them, which were required of them (ver. 3, 4), had a longing awakened in them after a speedier rest and reward. The Lord will make them understand that their work, difficult or not, welcome or unwelcome, must be done; that they are not their own, but his, and to labour for His: if they found their labour a delight, well; but if not, it was not the less to be accomplished. Instead of looking for recompense and release from toil at once, they should take example of the servant, who, albeit he had been strenuously labouring all the day long in the field, 'plowing or feeding cattle,' yet not the less, when he returned to the house,

[·] Quæst. Evang. ii. 39.

b So Cajetan: Petierant Apostoli adjungi sibi donum confidentiæ, quod et eis collatum intelligitur. Et quoniam etiam superbia bonis operibus insidiatur ut pereant, ideo Jesus adjungit parabolam conservativam eorum in verâ recognitione suimet, ne extollantur.

resumed his labours there. Doubtless this is an important truth, and one involved in the parable; but that, 'Lord, increase our faith,' or, 'Lord, give to us more faith,' which calls it out, involves no such meaning as he finds in it; I cannot recognize in it the voice of those desirous of escaping a dispensation committed to them, or snatching prematurely at a reward.

Altogether different from these interpretations, and suggesting quite different connexion, is one first proposed by Grotius; and by Venema * taken up and strengthened with additional arguments and illustrations. The parable, they say, does not represent at all the standing of the faithful under the New Covenant or 'perfect law of liberty,' but the merely servile standing of the Jews under the old; and it grew in this manner out of the discourse preceding. The disciples had asked for increase of faith. The Lord, who will grant their request, will at the time magnify the value of the gift which they ask. That value is so transcendant that all works done without this living principle of obedience are merely servile, and justly recompensed with a merely servile reward; God taking no pleasure in them, and counting that He owes no thanks for them; they who bring such to pass being 'unprofitable servants' after all. They object to any other interpretation, that it sets in a light which is not that of the New Covenant the relations of Christ and his people. Is it likely, they ask, that the same gracious Lord who elsewhere has said, 'Henceforth I call you not servants, but I have called you friends,' should here seek to bring them as under bondage again? should put them in relations with Himself not filial but servile; beforehand declaring that, however much they might labour for Him, He would owe them no thanks for all? How, they demand, does this agree either with the spirit or the letter of words such as these, 'Blessed are those servants, whom the lord when he cometh shall find watching: verily, I say unto you, that he shall gird himself, and make them sit down to meat, and will come forth and serve them' (Luke xii. 37)? But all these embarrassments, they affirm, disappear, so soon as the parable is regarded as setting forth the relation of the Jewish people to God. They were hired to do a certain work, which if they did, they were, like servants, free from stripes; they ate and drank; they received their earthly reward. But advancing no further than to this bare fulfilling of things expressly enjoined b them, and fulfilling

Diss. Sac. p. 262, seq.

b Origen (In Rom. iii.) lays the same stress on τὰ διαταχθέντα, though his purpose is different: Donec quis hoc facit tantum quod debet, i.e. ea quæ præcepta sunt, inutilis servus est (Luc. xvii. 10). Si autem addas aliquid præceptis, tunc non jam

even these without love or zeal or the filial spirit of faith, stopping short so soon as ever they dared, and serving in the oldness of the letter, they were 'unprofitable servants,' in whom their Lord could take no pleasure, and who could look for no further marks of favour at his hands."

All this is ingeniously and plausibly urged; and yet does not carry such conviction with it as need compel us to go back from the ordinary exposition. I shall attempt in the interpretation to meet the difficulties which have thus been urged. It is thus that the parable commences: 'But which of you, having a servant plowing or feeding cattle, will say unto him by and by, when he is come from the field, Go and sit down to meat?' 'By and by' does not mean exactly now what it meant when our Version was made. It was then equivalent to 'straightway;' and a reference to the original will show that it is used with this meaning here. The point of the verse is a good deal obscured for the English reader by this change in the force of the phrase, as is evident, if we substitute 'straightway' for it. But to attain the exact sense of the original it will need further to join this 'by and by' or this 'straightway,' with the command which

inutilis servus eris, sed dicetur ad te: Euge serve bone et fidelis (Matt. xxv. 21). Bernard too (In Cant. Serm. xi. 2), without making Origen's dangerous use of the passage, and lowering the standard of obedience for the ninety-nine, in the hope of exalting it for the one, has implicitly the same explanation. He is speaking, on Cant. i. 2, of a service, rendered indeed, but without alacrity or joy, and ends thus: Denique in Evangelio qui hoc solum, quod facere debet, facit, servus inutilis reputatur. Mandata forsan utcunque adimpleo: sed anima mea sicut terra sine aquâ in illis. Ut igitur holocaustum meum pingue fiat, osculetur me, quæso, osculo oris sui. So too Jeremy Taylor, The Doctrine and Practice of Repentance, i. 4, 15.

b Grotius (in loc.) is rich in materials in support of this interpretation. We might add to thesese one of the Similitudes in the Shepherd of Hermas (iii. sim. 5), which is briefly this: A householder planted a vineyard, and going from home, left his servant the task of tying the vines to their supports, and no more; but the servant having finished this task, thought that it would profit the vineyard, if also he were to weed it and dig it which he did; and the master found it in high order and beauty on his return. Well pleased with his servant, because he had thus done more than was enjoined him, he determined to give him the adoption of sonship, and to make him fellow-heir with his own son. Seneca (De Benef. iii. 18-28) treats an interesting question bearing on the present subject: An beneficium dare domino servus possit? which he answers in the affirmative: Quamdiu præstatur quod a servis exigi solet [τὰ διαταχθέντα] ministerium est, ubi plus quam quod servo necesse est, beneficium: ubi in affectum amici transit, desinit vocari ministerium . . . Quicquid est quod servilis officii formulam excedit, quod non ex imperio sed et voluntate præstatur, beneficium est. This interpretation is Wetstein's as well: Sunt nimirum servi qui serviunt serviliter, hoc est, qui nil nisi jussi faciunt: alii serviunt liberaliter, ut filii qui, non exspectato mandato, sx generosa et nobili indole, sponte et injussi ea faciunt, quæ utilia et Domino placitura credunt. Illos Christus hîc perstringit et vituperat eo fine ut discipulos ad altiorem gradum perducat.

follows it, 'Go straightway, and sit down to meat,' and not with 'say,' which went before. 'And will not rather say unto him, Made ready wherewith I may sup, and gird thyself, and serve me, till I have eaten and drunken, and afterward thou shalt eat and drink?' To wait at table with the dress succinct or girded up, was a mark of servitude, which to keep in mind makes more wonderful the condescension of the Son of God in His saying, Luke xii. 37; and in His doing, John xiii. 4. 'Doth he thank that servant because he did the things that were commanded him? I trow not. So likewise ye, when ye shall have done all those things which are commanded you, say, We are unprofitable servants; we have done that which was our duly to do.

To return to the objections of Grotius and Venema; no doubt the relations of the faithful to their Lord are set forth here under a severer aspect than is usual in the New Covenant. And yet this word of our Lord need not be opposed to such other words of His, as that which was just now urged (Luke xii. 37). It should rather be accepted as furnishing the counterweight of all such. This is the way God might deal; for it is not asserted that thus He will deal; since rather that other is the manner in which He will actually bear Himself towards His faithful servants. One relation according to the strictness of justice He might assume; the other, according to the riches of His grace, He will assume. We, to keep us humble, are evermore to acknowledge that upon that footing He might put all our service done to him, having at the same time this assurance, that so long as we put it upon this footing, He will not; because so long. we, continuing in our humility, are capable of receiving his favours without being corrupted by them. And assuredly the experience of every heart will attest how necessary this aspect of the truth, as well as the other; how needful that in hours when we are tempted to draw back, to shun and evade our tasks, we should then feel that a necessity is laid upon us; that, indeed, while we do them willingly, we do them also the most acceptably; yet whether willingly or not, they must be done; that we are servants, who are not to question our Master's will.

n Philo (De Vit. Cont. § 9) tell us of the Egyptian Therapeutæ: "Λζωστοι δὲ καὶ καθεμένοι τοὺς χιτωνίσκους εἰσίασιν ὑπηρετήσοντες, ἔνεκα τοῦ μηδὲν εἶδωλον ἐπιφέρεσθαι δουλοπρεποῦς σχήματος εἰς τοῦτο τὸ συμπόσιον.

^b Bengel: Miser est quem Dominus servum inutilem appellat (Matt. xxv. 30). beatus qui se ipse.

Needlessly aggravated by our Translators, who render ἔχει χάριν κ. τ. λ.; 'Doth he thank that servant?' cutting off any recognition at all of the servant's work. Better, 'Doth he count himself especially beholden to that servant?' Weiss er dem Knecht besondern Dank? (Weisse; cf. Tittmann, Synonyms, s. v. ἀχρεῖος).

but to fulfil it. Good for us it is to be reminded of this in such moments, and thus kept in the way of duty, till the time of a more joyful and childlike obedience again comes round. When too, because we have accomplished some little work, we count that we may straightway take our ease, and esteem our 'Well done' as already gained, very profitable will be then the warning of the parable, the example of the hind, who having laboured all day in the field, resumes his labours in the house, and only looks to rest and refresh himself, when his master has no further need of his service; good for us that, in the words of the son of Sirach, we learn to 'wax old in our work' (xi. 20), and, so long as we are here, to see in one task completed but a stepping-stone to another which shall be begun; ever as we have surmounted one hill of labour, perceiving a new one rising above it, and girding ourselves for the surmounting of that as well. Well for us, too, is it to know and to confess that we are not doing God a favour in serving Him, but He the highest favour to us in enabling us to this service; and that He, graciously accepting our work and rewarding it, does this solely out of the freedom and riches of His grace; adding to it a worth which of itself it does not possess; that there is another footing, that namely of the parable, upon which He might have put all-yea, upon which, though He does not, yet we must evermore put it, so far as is necessary for subduing every motion of pride and vain-glory, every temptation to bring in God as our debtor-which we evermore are doing, or are on the point of doing.b

Most potent medicine against this disease of pride and vain-glory, the words which Christ here places in the mouth of His disciples will supply; of for if, when they have 'done all,' they shall still confess and say, 'We are unprofitable servants' (cf. Job xxii. 2, 3; xxxv. 7, 8; Ps. xvi. 2), how much more, and with how far deeper self-abasement and shame, when their conscience bears them witness, as the conscience of every man enlightened by the spirit of God must bear witness to him, that so far from having done all that was com-

^{*} Guerricus (Bernardi Opp. vol. ii. p. 1028, ed. Bened.): Neque enim timor iste quem amor castum facit, gaudium tollit, sed custodit; non destruit, sed instruit; non inamaricat, sed condit: ut tanto sit durabilius, quanto modestius, tanto verius, quanto severius, tanto dulcius, quanto sanctius.

b Ambrose (Exp. in Luc. viii. 32): Agnosce esse te servum plurimis obsequiis defeeneratum. Non te præferas, quia filius Dei diceris: agnoscenda gratia, sed non ignoranda natura. Neque te jactes si bene servisti, quod facere debuisti. Obsequitur sol, obtemperat luna, serviunt angeli. . . . Et nos ergo non a nobis laudem exigamus, nec præripiamus judicium Dei et præveniamus sententiam judicis: sed suo tempori, suo judici reservemus.

[·] Augustine: Contra pestem vanæ gloriæ diligentissime militans.

manded, they have in innumerable things grievously failed and come short of their duty, of what they might and ought to have done.*

^a Cajetan: Quod igitur dicitur, Quum feceritis omnia, non ideo dicitur, quod quum merita habuerint facientium omnia præcepta, recognoscant se servos inutiles; ut a fortiori se recognoscant minus quam inutiles, hoc est debitores et reos multorum, quæ debebant seu debent facere.—Our Church in her 14th Article has used this parable against the Romish doctrine of works of supererogation. Cf. Gerhard, Loc. Theoll. loc. xviii. 8, q1.

28. THE UNGUST GUDGE.

Luke xviii. 1-8.

THIS parable, addressed to the disciples, stands in closest relation with all which has gone before; with the announcement of those times of tribulation, when even the disciples 'should desire to see one of the days of the Son of man, and should not see it '(xvii. Then, according to the deeply significant language of the Jewish schools, allowed and adopted by our Lord (Matt. xxiv. 8; cf. John xvi. 21; Rom. viii. 22), will be the birth-pangs of the new creation; and the distresses which shall then come to a head, and which, always felt, shall then be felt more intensely than ever, are here set forth as the motive for persevering prayer. 'He spake a parable unto them to this end, that men ought always to pray,' that it behoved men always to pray, if they would escape the things coming on the earth. It is not so much the duty, as the absolute necessity, of instant persevering prayer that is here proclaimed; while in the further words, 'and not to faint,' there are opened to us many glimpses into the inmost mystery of prayer.

We shall scarcely err, I think, in taking these words rather as words of Christ Himself, than as those of the Evangelist commenting by way of preface on the parable which he is about to record. Christ spake the parable, and at the same time announced the object with which He spake it; namely, 'that men ought always to pray,' and not to faint' But, some will ask, is there not some exaggeration here? Must not this command be taken with very large abatements indeed? Not when we understand of prayer as the continual desire of the soul

[•] Chrysostom, in two sermons (De Precatione) on this parable, has much which is beautiful on prayer. It is the medicine expelling spiritual sicknesses—the foundation of the spiritual building—that to the soul which the nerves are to the body. The man without prayer is as the fish out of water, and gasping for life—as a city without walls, and open to all assaults;—but from him that is armed with prayer the tempter starts back, as midnight robbers start back when they see a sword suspended over a soldier's bed.

Tirinus: Non obstante tædio, metu, tentatione.

after God; having, it is true, seasons of an intenser and more concentrated earnestness, but by no means restricted to these seasons; since the whole life of the faithful should be, in Origen's beautiful words, 'one great connected prayer,'a-prayer, as St. Basil expresses it, being the salt which should salt everything else. 'That soul,' says Donne, 'that is accustomed to direct herself to God upon every occasion, that, as a flower at sunrising, conceives a sense of God in every beam of him, and spreads and dilates itself towards Him, in a thankfulness, in every small blessing that He sheds upon her, . . . that soul which, whatsoever string be stricken in her, bass or treble, her high or her low estate, is ever turned towards God, that soul prays sometimes when it does not know what it prays.'b Admirable are Augustine's utterances on this matter, drawn from the depths of his own Christian experience. Thus in one place: 'It was not for nothing that the Apostle said, "Pray without ceasing" (I Thess. v. 17). Can we indeed without ceasing bend the knee, bow the body, or lift up the hands, that he should say, "Pray without ceasing?" There is another interior prayer without intermission, and that is the longing of thy heart. Whatever else thou mayest be doing, if thou longest after that Sabbath of God, thou dost not intermit to pray. If thou wishest not to intermit to pray, see that thou do not intermit to desire; thy continual desire is thy continual voice. Thou wilt be silent, if thou leave off to love; for they were silent of whom it is written, "Because iniquity shall abound, the love of many shall wax cold." The coldness of love is the silence of the heart; the feruency of love is the cry of the heart.70

With this introduction, indicating the drift of the parable, the Evangelist proceeds: 'There was in a city a judge which feared not God, neither regarded man.' Two strokes describe the reckless and desperate wickedness of the man. He 'feared not God;' all that God's law had spoken of the awfulness of the judge's charge, of the guilt and punishment of the unrighteous judge, he counted light of (Exod. xxiii. 6-9; Lev. xix. 15; Deut. i. 16, 17; 2 Chron xix. 6, 7).

Μία μεγάλη συνεχομένη προσευχή.

b Sermon xi., On the Purification.

e Enarr. in Ps. xxxvii. 10: Ipsum desiderium tuum, oratio tua est, et si continuum desiderium, continua oratio. . . . Frigus caritatis, silentium cordis est: flagrantia caritatis, clamor cordis est; and elsewhere: Tota vita Christiani boni sanctum desiderium est; and again: Lingua tua ad horam laudat, vita tua semper laudet. Cf. Ep. cxxx. 8. 'Ekkapeîr' is a word of frequent use with St. Paul, but elsewhere in the New Testament only here. Augustine (Enarr. in Pr. lxv. 20) warns against the danger of this 'fainting:' Multi languescunt in oratione, et in novitate suæ conversionis ferventer orant, postea languide, postea frigide, postea negligenter: quasi securi fiunt. Vigilat hostis; dormis tu. . . Ergo non deficiamus in oratione: ille quod concessurus est; etsi differt, non aufert.

Nor was it only that higher motive, the fear of God, which he wanted. but its poor and miserable substitute, respect for the opinion of the world, was equally inoperative with him. Some rise above this respect for the opinion of men; others fall below it, and he was one of these; and, worst sign of all, he dared to avow all this to himself (see ver. 4). And it is with such a judge that the Judge of all the earth is likened here! None might have ventured upon this comparison, it would have been overbold on the lips of any, save only of the Son of God. Yet with all this we must beware of seeking to extenuate his unrightfulness,—as some by various forced constructions have sought to do. So far from this, the worst that we think of him, the more encouragement does the parable contain, the stronger the argument for unwearied persistency in prayer becomes. If a bad man will yield to the mere force of the importunity which he hates, how much more certainly will a righteous God be prevailed on by the faithful prayer which He loves. The unrighteousness of the judge is not an accident, cleaving to the earthly form under which the heavenly truth is set forth, such as would have been got rid of, if it conveniently might; but is rather a circumstance deliberately chosenfor the stronger setting forth of that truth,—which truth indeed would not have been set forth at all without it.

'And there was a widow in that city, and she came unto him, saying, Avenge me of my adversary.' We have heard the character of the judge; we may conceive, therefore, how hopeless the case of a suppliant—at once weak and poor,—weak, so that she could not compel him to do her justice,—and poor, with no bribe to offer which should induce him to brave for her sake the resentment of formidable adversaries. Such, no doubt, is the widow of the parable, one 'that is a widow indeed and desolate.' The exceeding desolation of the state of widowhood in the East has been often noticed, and the obviousness of the widow, as one having none to help her, to all manner of oppressions and wrongs; from hence the numerous

^a For a monstrous attempt to get rid of the ἀδικία of the judge, see Theophylact (in loc.); it is not approved by him: cf. Pseudo-Athanasius (De Parab. Script. qu. 30), and Suicer, Thes. s. v. κριτής. It can only be matched by the explanation of Nathan's parable of the Ewe Lamb (2 Sam. xii. 1) given by Ambrose (Apol. Proph. David. 5).

b Augustine (Serm. cxv. I): Si ergo exaudivit qui oderat quod rogabatur, quomodo exaudit qui ut rogemus, hortatur? and Tertullian, on the holy violence of prayer: Heec vis Deo est grata. Clemens too (Potter's ed. p. 947): χαίρει ὁ Θεὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα ἡττώμενος.

[°] For instance, Ward, in his Illustrations of Scripture from the Manners and Customs of the Hindoos. Thus too Terence:

Non, ita me Dii ament, auderet facere hæc viduæ mulieri Ouæ in me fecit.

warnings against such oppression with which Scripture abounds (Exod. xxii. 22; Deut. x. 18; xxiv. 17; xxvii. 19; Mal. iii. 5). Very fitly does a widow such as this represent the Church under persecution, a under that persecution which never ceases, the oppression from an adverse element in which she draws her breath. Nor is it only the Church at large which by this widow is represented here, but every single soul in conflict with those spiritual powers which are arrayed against the truth. The 'adversary' will in either case be the prince of the darkness of this world, the head of all which is fighting against the manifestation of the kingdom of God, either in a single soul, or in the world; the spiritual Herod, who is ever seeking to destroy the heavenly child. But the elect, who, having the first-fruits of the Spirit, groan within themselves, waiting their perfect redemp tion, are here represented as struggling with those adverse powers, as oppressed by them; under the sense of this oppression, and of their helplessness to effect their own deliverance, crying mightily for aid, for the revelation of the Son of man in His glory,—exclaiming with the prophet, 'Oh, that Thou wouldest rend the heavens, that Thou wouldest come down' (Isai. liv. 1); for they know that not till then shall the wicked fall and not rise again, and the Church be set free for ever from all her foes. We apprehend too slightly those cries for deliverance whereof Psalms and prophets are full, when we restrain them to special outward afflictions or persecutions which the Church or any of its members are enduring. The world is always, consciously or unconsciously, by flattery or by hostile violence, oppressing the Church; and Satan evermore seeking to hinder the manifestation of the life of God in every one of its members; and prayer is the cry de profundis which the elect utter, the calling in of a mightier to aid, when the danger is urgent, lest the enemy should prevail. And the widow's prayer, 'Avenge me of mine adversary,' wonderfully expresses the relation in which we stand to the evil with which we contend; -that it is not our very self, but an alien power, holding us in bondage. - not the very 'I,' as St. Paul (Rom. vii.) is so careful to assert, for then redemption would be impossible, but sin, which having entered in would now keep us in bondage. It is a principal work of the Spirit of God to make men feel this distinctness between themselves and the evil which is in them; the new creation

^{*} Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. cxxxi. 15): Omnis anima quæ intelligit se desertam omni auxilio nisi solius Dei, vidua est; omnis Ecclesia una vidua est, deserta in hoc seculo; si sentit illud, si novit viduitatem suam: tunc enim auxilium præsto est illi; and Quæst. Evang. ii. qu. 45: Ipsa vero vidua potest habere similitudinem Ecclesiæ, quæ desolata videtut donec veniat Dominus, qui tamen in secreto etiam nunc curam ejus gerit. Cf. Isai. liv. 1-8.

in this resembling the old, that it is a separating and disengaging of the light from the darkness in the soul of man,—that so the light, brought into direct relation with the fountain of all light, may disperse the darkness and overcome it. The renewed man, knowing that he has an adversary, knows also that this adversary is not his very self, but another; who, resisted, will flee from him; that all dominion by the other exercised upon him is an usurped one, which it will be a righteous thing for God to bring to an end; and thus is ab'e to cry with the widow in the parable, 'Avenge me of mine adversary, or rather, since men seek of a judge not vengeance, but justice,—
'Do me right on mine adversary,' being, as this is, no other than our daily petition, 'Deliver us from evil,' or 'from the Evil One,'—
from him who is the source and centre of all evil.

'And he would not for a while.' When it was asserted just now that the strength of the parable lay in the unlikeness between the righteous judge of the world and this ungodly earthly judge, it was not intended to deny that to man God often seems, as this unjust Judge, with an ear deaf to the prayers of His people For even the elect are impatient in affliction; they expect a speedier deliverance than He always wills to vouchsafe them; and count that they have a claim to be delivered so soon as ever their voices are heard on high." Left long, as they count length, to the will of their enemies, in the furnace of affliction, they are tempted to hard thoughts of God, as though He took part with the oppressors, at any rate was contented to endure them, while the cry of His afflicted people was as nothing in His ears. They are ready to exclaim, they do exclaim, with the storm-tost disciples, 'Carest Thou not that we perish?' 'It is this very temptation, to which the faithful in hours such as these are exposed, that the parable is intended to meet. There is recorded for us next, not, of course, what the judge spoke aloud, scarcely what he spoke in his own hearing, but the voice of his heart, as it spoke in the hearing of God. But afterward he said within himself. Though I fear not God, nor regard man, yet because this widow troubleth me, I will avenge her, lest by her continual coming she weary

Augustine, Enarr. 2a in Ps. xxxiv. 17.

^b Bernard: Audit Deus in corde cogitantis, quod nec ipse audit qui cogitat.

[•] Ύπωπιάζη=sugillet, a pugilistic word; ut sub oculis vibices et maculæ luridæ existan (Wahl). The same emphatic word (τ Cor. ix. 27) describes the discipline by which St. Paul kept under his body. There, as here, another reading, $i\pi \sigma m i \zeta \eta$ or $i\pi \sigma m i \zeta \eta$, has some authorities in its favour. But the birth of that reading, here at least, is easy to trace; transcribers thinking this too strong a word for ought which the widow could effect; for how could she punish him till his face became black and blue? But Bengel well: Hyperbole judicis injusti et impatientis personæ conveniens.

me.' Stirred to do her right by no other motive than a selfish regard for his own interrupted ease, he yet 'will avenge her,' if so to be quit of her ceaseless importunities, and not plagued by her more. The same motive, and not that they were more pitiful than their Lord, moved the disciples to intercede for the woman of Canaan, that she might obtain what she asked: 'Send her away; for she crieth after us' (Matt. xv. 23). No intelligent student of God's word will fail to observe the manner in which this parable and that miracle serve each as a commentary on the other (cf. Ecclus. xxxv. 17).

Between it and the lesson of encouragement which it contained the Lord may have paused for a little, and then resumed: 'Hear what the unjust judge saith. And shall not God avenge His own elect, which cry day and night unto Him, though He bear long with them?' In the first clause of the sentence the emphasis should be laid on 'unjust;' in the other, the epithet of goodness or righteousness, which should complete the antithesis, is omitted, as being of necessity included in the name, God. If the 'unjust judge' is moved by prayer to do right at last, shall not the just 'God avenge His own elect? And the antithesis should be carried through all the members of the sentence. As the righteous God is opposed to the unrighteous judge, so the 'elect,' the precious before God, to the widow, the despised among men; their mighty crying to her mere clamour; and the 'day and night'b which these prayers of theirs fill, to the time, short by com-

It is exactly this exaggeration of language which selfishness uses where its own ease is threatened: thus $\sigma_{\kappa\ell\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu}$, to annoy, means literally to flay; its Spanish equivalent ahorcar, to strangle; we English complain of being 'pestered' or infected with the pest, when we have only been a little troubled; an Italian would declare on the same provocation that he had been 'assassinated;' and these examples might easily be multiplied. Beza's obtundat is happy; ne me obtundas hâc de re sæpius (Terence.) There is a curious variety in the English translations here; 'condemne me' (Wiclif); 'hagge on me' (Tyndale); 'rayle on me' (Cranmer); 'make me weary' (Geneva); 'defame me' (Rheims); 'weary me' (Authorized Version).

* The endeavour to obtain redress by long-continued crying, and by mere force of importunity to extort a boon or a right obtainable in no other way, is quite in the spirit of the East. Chardin (Travels in Persia) tells us that the peasants of a district, when their crops have failed, and they therefore desire a remission of taxes, or when they would appeal against some tyrannical governor, will assemble before the gates of the Schah's harem, and there continue howling and throwing dust in the air (Job ii. 12; Acts xxii. 23), refusing to be silenced or driven away, till he has sent out and demanded the cause, and thus given them at least an opportunity of stating their griefs; or sometimes they would beset him riding through the streets, and thus seek to gain, and often succeed in gaining, their point, not from his love of justice, but from his desire to be freed from annoyance (Burder, Orient. Illust. vol. ii. p. 382).

^b Ημέρας καὶ νυκτός here = πάντοτε of ver. 1. Our English cry too weakly renders the original βοαν = mugire, by which word Tertullian renders it; a mighty crying (Gen. iv. 10; Jon. iii. 8; Jam. v. 4) is here attributed to the elect.

parison, during which her importunities beset the judge. The certainty that they will be heard rests not, however, on their mighty and persistent crying as its ultimate ground, but on their election of God; which is, therefore, here urged, and they named 'his elect,' this being here, among their many names, the most appropriate; compare Dan. xii. I: 'At that time thy people shall be delivered, every one that shall be found written in the book.'-When God is said to 'bear long' with men, the phrase generally sets forth His patience in giving to them time and space for repentance; which is not that which is intended here. It might be better therefore here if the words were rendered, 'though He bear them long in hand?'b-long, that is, as men measure length. He may be slack in avenging His people, as 'men count slackness' (Rev. vi. 10; Ps. xxxv. 17; lxxiv. 10; xciv. 3), as compared with their impatience; but, indeed, 'He will avenge them speedily,' not leaving them a moment longer in the fire of affliction than is needful, delivering them from it the instant that patience has had her perfect work; so that there is, and is meant to be, an apparent contradiction, which yet is no real one, between verses 7 and The relief, which to man's impatience tarries long, indeed arrives speedily; it could not, according to the far-seeing and loving counsels of God, have arrived a moment earlier. Not while Lazarus is merely

[•] Bengel (in Matt. xxiv. 22): Ubi supra robur fidelium ordinarium excedit vis tentationum, electio allegatur.

b This καὶ μακροθυμών (οτ καὶ μακροθυλεῖ, as some MSS. have it) ἐπ' αὐτοῖς has given rise to much discussion. Some refer autois to the oppressors on whom the vengeance is taken: 'Shall not God avenge his elect, though He bear long with their oppressors?' Wolf, with reasan, objects, Impiorum, de quibus ultio sit sumenda, non meminit Christus. But μακροθυμέω is not necessarily differo ultionem, but merely differo, patienter exspecto; see Heb. vi. 15; Jam. v. 7, 8; Job vii. 16; Ecclus. xxxv. 18 (in the Greek xxxii. 18), as Grotius well points out: Est in hâc voce dilationis significatio, quæ ut debitori prodest, ita gravis ei qui vim patitur. Suicer (Thes. s. v.), who has given the meaning rightly (quamvis lente ad vindicandum ipsos procedat), has much good on the parable. The proverb may be brought into comparison: Habet Deus suas horas,-et moras.-Hassler (Tübing Zeitschr. 1832, Heft iii. pp. 117-123) objects to this explanation, and finds here a description of God's patience with his suppliants, as contrasted with the fretful irritation of the judge under the solicitations of the widow; 'Shall not God avenge his own elect, when also He is patient toward them?' shall He not do them right, and so much the more, seeing their reiterated prayers do not vex or weary Him, as that widow's did the judge, arouse no impatience, but only extreme compassion, in his heart? Possibly the Vulgate, Et patientiam habebit in illis? means this; and Luther: Und sollte Geduld darijber haben? This interpretation is not novel. Homberg (Parerga. p. 146) long ago proposed it; and Wolf (Cura, in loc.) sums up the meaning thus: Patientia igitur Dei hîc refertur ad auditionem precum electorum, quod oppositum judicis injusti exemplum probabile reddit, qui non patienter audiebat viduæ querelas.

[•] Unger (De Par. Jes. Nat. p. 136): Opponuntur sibi μοκροθυμῶν atque ἐν τάχει, illud fortasse ad hominum opinionem (ut sit, si vel tardior videatur), hoc ad sapiens

sick, not till He has been four days dead, does Jesus obey the summons of the sisters whom He loved so well (John xi. 6). The disciples, labouring in vain against a stormy sea, must have looked often to that mountain where they had left their Lord; but not till the last watch, not till they have toiled through a weary night, does He bring the aid so long desired (Matt. xiv. 24, 25).

The concluding words, 'Nevertheless, when the Son of man cometh, shall He find faith on the earth?' have this of perplexing, that they appear to call in question the success of his whole mediatorial work.a But though we have other grounds for believing that the Church will, at that supreme moment, be reduced to a little remnant, yet the point is here, not that the faithful then will be few, but that the faith even of the faithful will have almost failed. The distress will be so urgent when the Son of man shall at length come forth for deliverance, that even the hearts of His elect people will have begun to fail them for fear. The lateness of the help Zechariah describes under images of the old theocracy, - Jerusalem shall be already taken, and the enemy within its walls, spoiling and desolating, when the Lord shall come forth, His feet standing on the Mount of Olives, to fight against its enemies (xix. 1-5). All help will seem utterly to have failed, so that the Son of man at His coming will hardly 'find faith,' or rather 'that faith upon earth'—the faith, namely, which hopes against hope, and believes that light will break forth even when the darkness is thickest, and believing this, does not faint in prayer. The words throw light on other words of our Lord's; and receive light from them again: 'for the elect's sake,' lest their faith also should fail, and so no flesh should be saved, 'those days shall be shortened' (Matt. xxiv. 22).º

Dei consilium referendum. Cf. Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. xci. 6: Quod tibi videtur longum, breve est: omnia ista cito transeunt: Quid est longa vita hominis ad æternitatem Dei? Vis esse longanimis? Vide æternitatem Dei. Nam attendis ad dies tuos paucos, et diebus tuis paucis vis impleri omnia. Quæ omuia? Ut damnentur omnes impli et coronentur omnes boni. Istis diebus tuis vis hæe impleri? Implet illa Deus tempore suo. Quid tu tædium pateris et facis? Æternus est, tardat; junge cor tuum æternitati Dei, et cum illo æternus eris.

- * They were used by the Donatists, when the Church urged against them her numbers and her universality (omnes enim hæretici in paucis et in parte sunt: Augustine, Enarr. in Ps. xxxi. 2). The Donatists answered (applying this prophecy to their own day), that the Lord Himself had declared this fewness of the faithful; how He should hardly find faith on the earth.
- b Theophylact: Πάσης προσευχής βάθρον καὶ κρηπὶς ἡ πίστις. Augustine: Si fides deficit, oratio perit: quis enim orat quod non credit?
- Vitringa's explanation of the parable (Erklär, d. Parab. p. 960, seq.) is curious. The unjust judge represents the Roman emperors, the importunate widow the early

Church, seeking to plead its cause before them, and to find in them deliverance from its oppressors. The emperors, after a long while, undertook its defence, ceasing themselves, and not suffering others, to persecute it more. Stranger still is the interpretation of Irenæus (v. 25), and of the author of the treatise, *De Antichristo*, 37. The widow is the earthly Jerusalem, Israel after the flesh, which, forgetful of God, turns to the unjust judge, that is, to Antichrist, despiser alike of God and men (ver. 2), for aid against Him whom she falsely believes her adversary, namely Christ.

29. THE PHARISEE AND THE PUBLICAN.

Luke xviii. 9-14.

COME interpreters find in this parable, as in that of Dives and Lazarus, a prophecy of the rejection of the Jews, with the reception into God's grace of the Gentiles; the Pharisee representing for them that whole nation which would assuredly have accepted him as embodying its ideal—the publican, the Gentiles, with whom these hated ministers of the Roman denomination were commonly classed. They see in the one the Jew, glorying in his own merits, and proudly extolling himself in these, but through this very pride and self-righteousness failing to become partaker of the righteousness of God; in the other the Gentile, who meekly acknowledging his vileness, and repenting his sins, obtains the grace which the Jew has missed. So long as no more is claimed by the advocates of this interpretation than that Jew and Gentile illustrated on the largest scale the solemn truths which are here declared, it may very well pass. But the words which introduce the parable, 'And He spake this parable unto certain which trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others,' words which must give the law to its interpretation, refute this when made the primary intention with which it was spoken. For who were these 'certain which trusted in themselves that they were righteous? Assuredly not Pharisess, nor any who avowedly admired Pharisees, as did the great body of the Jews. What profit would it have been to hold up to such the spectacle of a Pharisee praying as this one prays in the parable. They would have seen nothing unseemly in it; they would have counted it the most natural and fittest

^{*}So Vitringa, Erkl. d. Parab. p. 974. Augustine too (Enarr. in. Ps. lxxiv. 8) allows this application, though not as the primary: Hoc latius accipientes, intelligamus duos populos, Judæorum et Gentium: Judæorum populus Pharisæus ille, Gentium populus Publicanus ille. Judæorum populus jactabat merita sua, Gentium confitebatur peccata sua. So H. de Sto. Victore (Annott in Luc.): Pharisæus Judaicum populum significat, qui ex justificationis legibus extollit merita sua, ex superbiendo recedit. Humiliatus publicanus Gentilem significat, qui longe a Deo positus, peccata confitetur, et lamentando propinquat Deo, exaltatur.

thing in the world that he should pray exactly in this fashion. But a disciple, one already having made some little progress in the school of Christ, yet in danger, as we are all in danger, of falling back into pharisaic sins, such a one would only need his sin to be plainly shown to him, and he would start back at its deformity; he would recognize the latent Pharisee in himself, and tremble and repent. It was in some of His own disciples and followers, that the Lord had detected symptoms of spiritual pride and self-exaltation, accompanied, as these will be ever, with a contempt of others; and it is to their needs that He proceeds in the parable to apply a remedy.

'Two men went up into the temple to pray,' at one, no doubt, of the stated hours of devotion (Acts iii. 1), 'the one a Pharisee, and the other a publican:' a Brahmin and a Pariah, as one might say, if preaching from this Gospel in India—the Pharisee, representing all those who, having made clean the outside of the platter, have remained ignorant of all the uncleanness within—have never learned to say, 'Deliver me from mine adversary,' do not so much as know that they have an adversary; the publican, an example of all those who have found their sins an intolerable burden, and now yearn after One who shall deliver them from these and from the curse of God's broken law. Christ will make His disciples understand how much nearer the kingdom of God is this man than the self-complacent Pharisee, or than any who share in his spirit and temper; that he may be within that kingdom, while the other is certainly without.

'The Pharisee stood and prayed thus with himself.' It is a mistake, growing out of forgetfulness of Jewish and early Christian customs, to urge this, that the Pharisee prayed standing, as an evidence already displaying itself of his pride. Even the parable itself contradicts this, for the publican, whose prayer was an humble one stood also (ver. 13). But to pray standing was the manner of the Jews (1 Kin. viii. 22; 2 Chron. vi. 12; Matt. vi. 5; Mark xi. 25); however, in

^{*} Greswell arrives at the same conclusion, although by another line of argument (Exp. of the Par. vol. iv. p. 247, seq.): 'Of what use in a moral point of view would it be to hold up to the Pharisee the true picture of himself and his sect? or what hope could there be of correcting his characteristic vices, whatever they were, by laying them bare, and exposing them openly and nakedly before himself? Such an exposure might be well calculated to irritate and offend, but not to reform or amend them; for it cannot be supposed that they would willingly be parties in their own disgrace, or patiently acquiesce in their own condemnation.'

b Gregory the Great (Mor. xix. 21) wittily likens this Pharisee, and all who, because of their victory over certain temptations, are exalted with pride, and so perish through their very successes, to Eleazar, who killed the elephant, but was himself crushed by its falling body (I Macc. vi. 46): In prælio elephantem feriens stravit, sed sub ipso quem extrinxit occubuit.

moments of a more than ordinary humiliation or emotion of heart. they may have exchanged this attitude for one of kneeling or prostration (Dan. vi. 10; 2 Chron. vi. 13; Acts ix. 40; xx. 36; xxi. 5). The Church owes this, as so much in the external features of its worship, to the Synagogue.^a Its stations of prayer were so called because standing the Christian soldier repelled the attacks of his spiritual enemy. At the same time, when we weigh the word of the original, this 'stood' may very well be emphatic, indeed we may confidently assert that it is. It implies that he, so to speak, took his stand, planted and put himself in a prominent attitude of prayer; so that all eyes might light on him, all might take note that he was engaged in his devotions (Matt. vi. 5). The words are not always combined as our Translators have combined them, but rather as follows: 'The Pharisee stood by himself,' and prayed thus:'-separatist in spirit as in name, and now also in outward act, he desired to put a distance between himself and all unclean worshippers (see Isai. lxv. 5). The other construction, however, it is generally agreed, should be adhered to.

His prayer at first seems to promise well: 'God, I thank Thee;' for the Pharisees, as Grotius well observes, 'did not exclude the divine help. But they who allow it and use this language are frequently ungrateful to it, allotting, as they do, to themselves the first share in virtuous actions, to God the second; or so recognizing common benefits, as to avoid fleeing as suppliants to that peculiar mercy which their own sins require 'e Thus it was with this man; while a

ⁿ See Bingham, Christ. Antt. xiii. 8. 3.

b Tirinus: Pharisæus stans superbo et erecto animo, quasi Deum ad judicium provocans; so also Theophylant. On the whole attitude of the publican, compare Cyprian, De Orat. Dom. ad init.; and Ambrose, De Off. Minist. i. 18. 70.

So Cameron and J. Cappellus in the Critici Sacri, who makes πρὸς ἐαντόν=καθ'
 ἐαντόν.

^a Hesychius: Φαρισαΐος· ἀφωρισμένος, μεμερισμένος, κοθαρός. Bernard: Gratias agit non quia bonus, sed quia solus; non tam de bonis quæ habet, quam de malis quæ in aliis videt.

[•] An interesting anecdote is told of the writer of these words, which connects itself with this parable. Grotius, returning in 1645 from Sweden to Holland, where he proposed to pass the evening of his days, was wrecked on the coast of Pomerania. He made his way with difficulty to Rostock, where mortal illness, brought on by the hardships and dangers he had undergone, acting on a body already infirm, overtook him. Being made aware of his danger, he summoned Quistorp, a high Lutheran theologian, not unknown in the history of the Lutheran Church, to his side. I will leave to this latter to tell the remainder of the story in his own words: Accessi; propemodum in agone virum constitutum offendi: compellavi, et me nihil maluisse adfirmavi, quam ut mihi cum ipso incolumi sermones sociare licuisset. Regerit ille, Ita Deo visum est. Pergo: ut ad beatam emigrationem se componat, peccatorem se agnoscat, super commissa doleat, moneo; cumque inter loquendum publicani pecca-

due recognition of God's grace will always be accompanied with deep self-abasement, confessing, as we must, how little true we have been to that grace, how short we have fallen of what we might have been. with such helps at command. And thus the early promise of the Pharisee's prayer quickly disappears; for under the pretence of thankfulness to God, he does but thinly veil his exaltation of self; and he cannot thank God for the good which he fancies that he finds in himself, without insulting and casting scorn upon others for the evil which he sees, or fancies that he sees, in them. He thanks God. but not aright; a thanks Him that he is 'not as other men are,' dividing the whole of mankind into two classes, putting himself in a class alone, and thrusting down every one else into the other. And as he cannot think too good things of himself, so neither too bad of others. They do not merely fall a little short of his perfections, but are 'extortioners, unjust, adulterers,'—and then, his eye alighting on the publican, b of whom he may have known nothing but that he was a publican, he drags him into his prayer, making him to furnish the dark background on which the bright colours of his own virtues shall more gloriously be displayed;—finding, it may be, in the deep heartearnestness with which the contrite man beat his breast, in the fixedness of his downcast eyes, proofs in confirmation of the judgment which he passes upon him. He, thank God, has no need to beat his breast in that fashion, nor to cast his eyes in that shame upon the ground.

torem se fatentis, et ut Deus sui misereretur precantis, meminissem, respondet, Ego ille sum publicanus. Progredior, ad Christum, extra quem nulla est salus, remitto. Subjicit ille, In solo Christo omnis spes mea est reposita. Ego clarâ voce precationem illam germanicam germanice recitabam, quæ incipit Herr Jesu Christ, wahrer Mensch, &c.; ille complicatis manibus submissa voce me insequebatur. finivissem quæsivi, an me intellexisset? Respondet, Probe intellexi. recitare ex Verbo Dei quæ jamjam morituris in memoriam revocari solent. Quæro an me intelligat? Respondet, Vocem tuam audio, sed quæ singula dicas, difficulter Quum hæc dixisset, plane conticuit, et brevi post spiritum exhalavit. When one thinks of all which must have divided Crotius the Arminian and Quistrop the Lutheran, each too a formost leader in his own camp, it is deeply interesting to note how in that supreme moment everything which kept them apart falls out of sight, alike on one side and on the other. In Christ, and in his free grace as the one hope of sinners, they are at one. To this, and to this only, the one points; in this, and in this only, the other finds his refuge. Quistorp's letter, which is not addressed to Calov, -as I stated in some former editions, relying on second-hand information which betrayed me here into more than one inaccuracy,—but to Elias Taddel, Professor of Theology at Rostock, is reprinted in Krabbe's Aus dem Kirchl. und. Wissenschaftl. Leben Rostocks, 1863, p. 383.

Augustine says here (Serm. cxv. 3), with an eye to the Pelagians, the ingratigratiae: Quid est ergo qui impie oppugnat gratiam, si reprehenditur qui superbe agit gratias?

b Augustine (Enarr. 1a in Ps. lxx. 2): Hoc jam non est exsultare, sed insultare.

So perfect is he in the fulfilment of the precepts of the second table. He now returns to the first; in that also he is faultless. 'I fast twice in the week.' He has his works of supererogation. Moses appointed but one fast-day in the year, the great day of atonement (Lev. xxvi. 29; Num. xxix. 7); but the devouter Jews, both those who were, and those who would seem such, the Pharisees above all, kept two fasts weekly, b on the second day and the fifth. 'I give tithes of all that I possess; or rather, 'of all that I acquire.' He, another Jacob, has made the same promise to God as the patriarch of old: 'Of all that Thou shalt give me, I will surely give the tenth unto Thee' (Gen. xxviii. 22; cf. xiv. 20). The law commanded only to tithe the fruits of the field and increase of the cattle (Num. xviii. 21; Deut. xiv. 22; Lev. xxvii 30); but he, no doubt, tithed mint and cummin (Matt. xxiii. 23), all that came into his possession (Tob. i. 7, 8), down to the trifles about which there was question, even in the Jewish Schools, whether it was obligatory to tithe them or not (Hos. xii. 8). He will thus bring in God as his debtor; misusing those very precepts concerning fasting and paying of tithes, given to men, the first to waken in them the sense of inward poverty and need, the second to remind them that whatever they had was from God, and should therefore be to God, making even these to minister to his arrogrance and pride. Acknowledgment of wants, or confession of sin, there is none in his prayer,—if that can be called prayer which has nothing of these. Had he, then, asks Augustine, 'no sins to confess? Yes, he, too, had sins; but, perverse and knowing not whither he had come, he was like a sufferer on the table of a surgeon, who would show his sound limbs, and cover his hurts. But let God cover thy hurts, and not thou: for if, ashamed, thou seekest to cover them, the physician will not cure them. Let Him cover and cure them; for under the covering of the physician the wound is healed, under the covering of the sufferer it is only concealed; and concealed from whom? from Him to whom all things are known.º

^{*} Called therefore ή νηστεία (Acts xxvii. 9), νηστείας έορτή (Philo).

b The Latin Fathers are led astray by $\tau \circ \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \acute{a} \tau \circ \nu$ here (in the Vulgate, sabbato), and understand the Pharisee to say that he fasted twice upon the sabbath, whatever they may have understood by this (see Augustine, Ep. xxxvi. 4). But the week was entitled $\tau \grave{a} \sigma \acute{a} \beta \beta a \tau a$, or as here $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \acute{a} \beta \beta a \tau \circ \nu$, deriving its title from its chiefest day.

^{• *}Οσα κτῶμαι — quæ mihi redeunt. It is only the perfect κέκτημαι which means '! possess,' i.e. I have acquired. All the English Versions, with the Vulgate (quæ possideo), share in a common error.

d'Augustine (Serm. cccxc. 6): Rogare veneras, an te laudare? totum te habere dixisti; nihil tanquam egens petisti. Quomodo ergo orare venisti? And Serm. cxv. 6; Parum est, non Deum rogare, sed se laudare; insuper et roganti insultare.

[·] Augustine, Enarr. 2a in Ps. xxxi. 2; Serm. cccli. 1: Non enim ille Pharisæus

It will aggravate our sense of the moral outrage involved in the Pharisee's contemptuous reference to his fellow-worshipper, if we keep in mind that in him we behold one who at this very moment was passing into the kingdom of God, who had come, in the fulness of a contrite heart, to make, as seems evidently meant, the first deep confession of his sins past which had ever found utterance from his lips, in whom amid sore pangs the new man was born. How ugly a thing does the Pharisee's untimely scorn appear, mingling as a harshest discord with the songs of angels, which at this very moment hailed the lost who was found, the sinner who repented. For let us turn now to him. 'And the publican standing afar off,' not afar off from God, for the Lord is nigh unto them that are of a contrite heart, 'would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven,' much less then his hands and his face (I Tim. ii. 8; I Kin. viii. 54; Heb. xii. 12; Ps. xxviii, 2), to that dwelling of the Holy One; for, like the prodigal, he had 'sinned against heaven' (Luke xv. 18), would have exclaimed like Ezra, 'O my God, I am ashamed, and blush to lift up my face to Thee, my God; for our iniquities are increased over our heads, and our trespass is grown up into the heavens' (ix. 6). He stood 'afar off,' not that he was a proselyte or a heathen, or had not full right to approach, for he also was a Jew, but in reverent awe, not venturing to press nearer to the holy place; for he felt that his sins had set him at a distance from God, and until he had received the atonement, the propitiation which he asks for, he could not presume to draw nigher. Moreover, he 'smote upon his breast,' an outward sign of inward grief or self-accusationa (Nah. ii. 7; Luke xxiii. 48), as one judging himself, that he might not be judged of the Lord; acknowledging the far heavier strokes which might justly light upon him; at the same time crying, 'God be merciful' to me a sinner,' or 'to me, the sinful

tam suâ sanitate, quam morborum alienorum comparatione gaudebat. Utilius autem illi erat, quoniam ad medicum venerat, ea de quibus ægrotabat confitendo monstrare, quam distimulare a vulneribus suis, et de cicatricibus alienis audere gloriari. Non ergo mirum, si publicanus magis curatus abscessit, quem non puduit ostendere quod dolebat. Cf. Chrysostom, De Panit. Hom. ii. 4.

• Augustine (Serm. lxvii. 1): Tundere pectus, quid est, nisi arguere quod latet in pectore, et evidenti pulsu occultum castigare peccatum? for, as elsewhere he says: Quid est homo pœnitens, nisi homo sibi irascens? Bengel: Ubi dolor, ibi manus.

b Köcher (Analecta, in loc.) brings out the force of ιλάσθητι here: Eam vocis ιλάσθητι vim esse, ut causam meritoriam propitiatonis, nempe cruentam Christi passioniem et mortem, simul comprehendat et indicet.

• Augustine (In Evang. Joh. Tract. 12): Qui confitetur peccata sua et accusat peccata sua, jam cum Deo facit. Accusat Deus peccata tua: si et tu accusas, conjungeris Deo. Quasi duæ res sunt, homo et peccator. Quod audis homo, Deus fecit: quod audis peccator, ipse homo fecit. Dele quod fecisti, ut Deus salvet quod fecit. Oportet ut oderis in te opus tuum, et ames in te opus Dei. Cf. Serm. xxxvi.

one; 'for as the Pharisee had singled himself out as the most eminent of saints, or indeed as the one holy in the world, so the publican singles himself out as the chief of sinners, the man in whom all sins have met—a characteristic trait! for who, when first truly convinced of sin, thinks any other man's sins can equal his own?

And he found the mercy which he asked. His prayer, like incense, ascended unto heaven, a sacrifice of sweet savour, while the prayer of the Pharisee was blown back like smoke into his own eyes; for 'God resisteth the proud, and giveth grace to the humble:' 'I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other.' Not merely was he justified in the secret unsearchable counsels of God, but he 'went down to his house justified,' with a sweet sense of forgiveness received shed abroad upon his heart; for God's justification of the sinner is indeed a transitive act, and passes from Himself to its object. The Pharisee meanwhile went down from the temple, his prayer ended, with the same cold dead heart with which he went up. By that 'rather than the other' Christ does not mean that the publican by comparison with the Pharisee was justified, for there are no degrees in justification, but that he absolutely was justified, was contemplated of God as a righteous man, and the other not; that here

II; Enarr. in Ps. lxvi. 5; Enarr. in Ps. xxxix.: Sibi non parcebat ut ille parceret, se agnoscebat ut ille ignosceret, se puniebat ut ille liberaret.

The received reading, δεδικαιωμένος . . . η ἐκεῖνος, is without the authority of one single uncial MS., being an almost unauthorized emendation in the Elzevir edition. The question lies between η γὰρ ἐκεῖνος, which has most outward authority in its favour, but is hard! intelligible (see Winer, Gramm. p. 277), and παρ' ἐκεῖνον, which, with less external support, has yet been received, I believe rightly, in the later critical editions. It is probable that ΠΑΡ having by mistake been written ΓΑΡ, the insertion of η and the change of ἐκεῖνον into ἐκεῖνος followed, as needful to make the words render up any sense at all.

b Cra haw, in his Divine Epigrams, sums up the whole very well:

'Two went to pray: oh! rather say, One went to brag; th' other to pray. One stands up close, and treads on high, Where th' other dares not send his eye. One nearer to God's altar trod, The other to the altar's God.'

• It is interesting to find the Pauline δικαιοῦσθαι anticipated here, not merely in the thing, for that of course, but in the word itself, which, in this sense at least, we are wont to attribute exclusively to a later development of doctrine. As was to be expected, it is in the Evangelist who was St. Paul's companion that we find it. On the Pauline element, so plainly to be traced in this Gospel, see Holtzmann, Die Synoptischen Evangelien, 1863, pp. 389-401.

d It is characteristic that this, the very truth which the parable was uttered to teach, should be denied by the chief commentators of the Roman church. Thus Maldonatus: Non significatur aut publicanum vere justificatum fuisse, aut vere damnatum Pharisæum, quanquam ita Euthymius intelligit. He might have added greater

the words were fulfilled, 'He hath filled the hungry with good things, and the rich He hath sent empty away;' 'Though the Lord be high, yet hath He respect unto the lowly; but the proud He knoweth afar off' (Ps. cxxxviii. 6; Isai. lvii. 15; Job v. 11; xl. 11, 12; 1 Pet. v. 5, 6). And the whole parable fitly concludes with words not now for the first time uttered by the Lord, and which would well bear repetition: 'For every one that exalteth himself shall be abased, and he that humbl'th himself shall be exalted' (xiv. 11). The saying constitutes a beautiful transition to the bringing of the children to Jesus, the next incident recorded by the Evangelist.

dames; Tertullian, for instance (Adv. Marc. iv. 36), affirms, alterum reprobatum, alterum justificatum descendisse; and Augustine: Nam superbia in Pharisæo de templo damnato descendit, et humilitas in publicano ante Dei oculos approbata ascendit. But Roman Catholic expositors care very little for the Fathers, when the teaching of these clashes with later developments of doctrine.

Augustine (Enarr. in Ps. xciii. 12): Ille superbus erat in bonis factis, ille humilis factis. Placuit Deo magis humilitas in malis factis, quam superbia in bonis. These are striking words, yet will not bear close examination. There may be, and was here, a humilitas post mala facta, but there is no humilitas in malis factis, since in every sin there is a root of pride out of which it grows, a daring of the creature to lift itself up against the Creator; and again, there can be no superbia in bonis, they ceasing to be good, so far as in them this pride mingles.

b Augustine: Videte, fratres, miraculum magnum, altus est Deus; erigis te, et fugit a te; humilias te, et descendit ad te; and of this Pharisee (*Enarr. 2a in Ps.* xxxi. 4): Noluit humiliari confessione iniquitatis suæ; humiliatus est pondere manus Dei.

30. THE POUNDS.

LUKE XIX. 11-27.

UCH that might have been fitly said upon this parable has been anticipated in treating that of the Talents. The reasons for affirming them to be, not different reports of the same, but altogether different parables, were then given; and it would be superfluous except very briefly, to repeat these reasons here. The words with which St. Luke introduces the parable are important for its right understanding: 'He added and spake a parable, because He was nigh to Ierusalem, and because they thought that the kingdom of God should immediately appear.' It was uttered to repress impatience, to teach the need of a patient waiting for Christ; and an active working for Him till the time of His return. Such was its aim as regarded those who had joined themselves entirely to Him, and had placed themselves in the relation to Him of servants to their Master. But He had also other hearers on the occasion of this His last journey to Jerusalem, such as had not indeed thus attached themselves to Him, but a multitude drawn together by wonder, by curiosity, and by other mingled motives. These, with a certain good will toward Him and his doctrine, and so long as in his presence acknowledging his influence, were not the less exposed to all the evil influences of their age, and in danger of being drawn into the great stream of hostility now running so fiercely and so fast against Him. To this danger they would be exposed still more when his personal presence should be withdrawn from them, when his ignominious death should have seemed to belie his lofty pretensions. For them is meant that part of the parable (ver. 14, 27) concerning the citizens, who hated to have their fellowcountryman set over them as their king, and as soon as he had withdrawn from them for a while, disowned beforehand any authority

[•] Chrysostom (In Matth. Hom. 78) distinctly affirms them to be different: and had not Augustine believed them so, we may confidently assume that in his work De Consensu Evangelistarum he would have sought to bring them into harmony.

which he might obtain over them; but who at his return paid the fearful penalties of their guilt.

'He said therefore, A certain nobleman went into a far country, to receive for himself a kingdom, and to return' (cf. Mark xiii. 34). In the great Roman empire, where the senate of Rome, and afterwards its emperors, though not kings themselves, yet made and unmade kings, such a circumstance as this can have been of no unfrequent occurrence. Thus Herod the Great was at first no more than a subordinate officer in Judæa; a flying to Rome before Antigonus, he was there declared by the senate, through the influence of Antony, king of the lews. In like manner his son Archelaus must personally wait upon Augustus, before inheriting the dominions left him by his father; and then did not inherit them as king, but only as ethnarch. History furnishes many other examples, for it was felt over the civilized world, in the striking words of the historian of the Maccabees: - 'whom they [the Romans] would help to a kingdom, those reign, and whom again they would, they displace' (I Macc. viii. 13). That this claimant of a crown was one well born, a 'nobleman,'b is only what we should naturally expect. No other would be likely to lift his hopes so high; or would give sufficient promise of maintaining himself on his throne, to render the higher authority willing to instal him there. The epithet has no fitness here; for who was of such noble birth as He who, even according to the flesh, came of earth's first blood,—was the Son of Abraham, the Son of David (Matt. i. 1); who, besides all this, was the eternal and only-begotten Son of God?

The kingdom which this nobleman goes to receive can scarcely be, as some understand it, another kingdom, at a distance from the land of his birth; but rather he goes to receive the investiture of that kingdom, whereof before he was one of the more illustrious citizens, and which after a while he returns to reign over, as its king. Either supposition, it is true, would suit his case whom this nobleman represents: He went to be enthroned in His heavenly state, and in heaven to rule over all as the Son of man (Heb. ii. 7, 8; Phil. ii. 9-11). But it may with equal truth be affirmed that He went to receive solemn investiture of that earthly kingdom which He had purchased with His blood, and which hereafter He shall return and claim as His own, sitting on the throne of His father David; and the parable itself suggests this last as the kingdom intended here. It is over fellow-citizens that the nobleman departs to obtain a dominion;

First, procurator (Josephus, Antt. xiv. 9. 2); afterwards, στρατηγός (xiv. 11. 4).
 Εὐγενής. On the distinction between εὐγεμής and γενναῖος see Aristotle, Rhet. ii.
 15. The first is well-born, the second undegenerate.

else would there be no meaning in their message, 'We will not have this man to reign over us.' It is among fellow-citizens that we find him on his return exercising kingly functions; setting his servants over five cities, and over ten (ver. 17, 19); having power of life and death, and executing extreme judgment on those that had sent messages of defiance after him (ver. 27).

Before, however, he went, 'he called his ten servants,'-or rather, 'ten servants of his,'a-'and delivered them ten pounds, and said unto them, Occupy,'b-or, as in the margin, 'Employ in trading,'-'till I come.' The sum which they shall thus 'occupy' is very much smaller than that which, in St. Matthew, the man travelling into a far country committed to his servants there; though I do not know that we need seek any explanation of this. How remarkable is this still ministry, these occupations of peace in which the servants of the future king shall be engaged, and that while a rebellion is raging. A caviller significantly enough demands, 'Why did he not distribute weapons to his servants? Such would have been, under the circumstances described, the most natural thing to do.' Doubtless the most natural, as Peter felt, when he cut off the ear of the servant of the high priest; as all have felt, who have sought to fight the world with its own weapons, and by the wrath of man to work the righteousness of God. Such identifying of the Church with a worldly kingdom has been the idea of Papacy, such of the Anabaptists. Men in either case feeling strongly that there must be a kingdom of God, have supposed that it was immediately to appear (ver. II), and that they, and not Christ Himself, were to bring it into outward form and subsistence; instead of seeing that their part was, with the diligent but silent occupation of their talent, to lay the rudiments of that kingdom, and so to prepare the world for the outbreaking of it; which yet should not actually come to pass till the King Himself returned in His glory.

'But his citizens hated him, and sent a message after him, saying, We will not have this man to reign over us.' These words are gener-

^a Besides that the original requires this, it would be absurd to suppose that, with the immense households of antiquity, which, as Seneca says, were nations rather than families (see Becker, Gallus, vol. i. p. 196), this nobleman, of consequence enough to be raised to a royal dignity, had but ten servants belonging to him.

b · Occupy' is here a Latinism; thus occupare pecuniam, because money in business, or put out to interest, does not lie idle. It is common in early English to find the word so employed; thus in North's Plutarch, p. 629, Phocion, refusing Alexander's gift, says, 'If I should take this sum of money and occupy it not, it is as much as I had it not;' cf. Judg. xvi. II.

[•] A talent was = 243l. 15s.; a pound (mina) = 4l. 1s. 3d. (see the Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Antt. s. v. Drachma, p. 360).

ally taken to imply that his fellow-citizens, aware of his purpose, give him notice beforehand that they, however he may receive at other hands the dominion over them, will own no allegiance to him; they describe more probably an embassage which these despatch to the court whither he is gone, to anticipate and counterwork him there. to proclaim how unwelcome his exaltation will be ;- 'We do not desire that this man should be made our king.'a It was exactly thus that a faction of the Jews, in the case of Archelaus, sent ambassadors to the court of Augustus to accuse him there, and if possible to hinder his elevation over them. b The Jews were especially Christ's fellow-' citizens,' for, according to the flesh, He was of the seed of Abraham, a Jew (Rom. ix. 5; John iv. 22), and a member of the Tewish polity; and they 'hated Him' not merely in His life, and unto death; but every persecution of His servants, the stoning of Stephen, the beheading of James, the persecutions of Paul, and all the wrongs done to His people because they were His, these each and all were messages of defiance sent after Him, implicit declarations upon their part that they would not have Him for their king. Twice before yet He had gone to receive His kingdom, this very declaration found formal utterance from their lips,—once when they cried to Pilate, 'We have no king but Cæsar;' and again, when they remonstrated with him, 'Write not, The King of the Jews' (John xix. 21; cf. Acts xvii. 7). But the stricter fulfilment of these words is to be found in the demeanour of the Jews after His Ascension, their fierce hostility to Christ in His infant Church (Acts xii. 3; xiii. 45; xiv. 18; xvii. 5; xviii. 6; xxii. 22; xxiii. 12; 1 Thess. ii. 15). When we give this parable a wider range, and find the full accomplishment of all which it contains, not at the destruction of Jerusalem, but at the day of judgment—and it is equally capable of the narrower and the wider interpretation,—then these rebellious citizens will no longer be merely the Jews, but all such evil men as by word or deed openly deny their relation and subjection to Jesus, as their Lord and King (in this different from the unfaithful servant, for he allows the relation, and does not openly throw off the subjection, but yet evades the obligation by the false glosses of his evil heart), and their message will have its full and final fulfilment in the great apostasy of the last days, which shall be even as this is, not an evading of the subjection due unto Christ; but a speaking of proud things against Him (Rev.

a The speaking of him in the third person, this man' $(\tau v \hat{v} \tau v)$, seems a strong confirmation of this view; and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a$ (see Luke xiv. 32) is an embassage rather than a message.

b Josephus, Antt. xvii. 11. 1.; B. J. xi. 2. 1.

xiii. 5, 6; Dan. vii. 25; 2 Thess. ii. 1-10); not merely disobedience, but defiance, such as, not content with resisting His decrees, shall provoke and challenge Him to the conflict (Ps. ii. 2).

On the following verses (15-23) there is little to say which has not been said already (see p. 274). At his return, the nobleman, now a king, distributes praise and rewards to as many as have been faithful to him while he was away,—punishments more or less severe to them who have abused the opportunity and taken advantage of his absence." The rewards are royal, and this consistently with the royal dignity wherewith he is now invested; he sets them over cities. In the other parable it is otherwise (Matt. xxv. 14-30); there the master, being but a private man, claims no such power of putting his servants in high places of authority; each parable being thus in perfect keeping and harmony with itself through all its minor details, which is another evidence of their original distinctness from one another The rewards too, as they are kingly, so are they also proportioned to the fidelity of the servants. To him whose pound had made ten pounds it is said, 'Because thou hast been faithful in a very little," have thou authority over ten cities: ' to him whose pound had made five it is said. 'Be thou also over five:' to the first a Decapolis, to the second a Pentapolis assigned. Surely there is vouchsafed to us here a glimpse of the beneficent activity of the glorified saints, a commentary on the ύυμβασιλεύσυμεν of St. Paul (2 Tim. ii. 12). We hear nothing of the other seven servants, but need not therefore conclude that they had wholly lost or wasted the money entrusted to them.° The three who came forward are adduced as specimens of classes, and the rest, since all that we are to learn is learned from the three, for brevity's sake are passed over.—' Those who stood by,' and were bidden to take his pound from the slothful servant, and give it to him that had shown himself the faithfullest, or, at all events, the ablest of all, are the angels, who, as we have seen already, never fail to appear and take an active part in scenes descriptive of the final judgment (Matt. xiii.

a We may compare the conduct of Alexander, rewarding and punishing after his return from his long Indian expedition, from which so many in Western Asia had believed that he never would come back (see Thirlwall, *Hist. of Greece*, vol. vii. p. 62, seq).

b Origen's qcotation (Con. Cels. viii. 74), ἐν ἐλαχίστη πόλει πιστὸς ἐγένου, ἡκε καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν μεγάλεν, sounds like an inexact reminiscence of these words of Christ.

[•] Thus Ambrose (*Exp. in Luc.* viii. 95): De aliis siletur, qui quasi prodigi debitores, quod acceperant, perdiderunt.

a It is characteristic that the σουδάριον (sudarium), which, not exerting himself, this lazy servant does not need for its proper use (in the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, Gen. iii. 19) he uses for the wrapping up of his pound. That he had it disengaged, and free to be turned to this purpose, was itself a witness against him.

41; xvi. 27; xxiv. 31; 2 Thess. 1, 7; Jude 14; Dan. vii. 10). Their wondering remonstrance, 'Lord, he hath ten pounds,' with the manner in which this is at once overruled, so that the lord does not seem so much as to hear it; is intended to fix our attention on the paradox and seeming unfairness of that law of the kingdom, which decrees that the poor should become poorer still, and the rich become ever richer. It is a law which Christ here, by this remonstrance and the inattention with which it is received, will with all emphasis declare to be the law of highest righteousness, the everlasting law of the kingdom of heaven."

When the king had thus distributed praise and blame, rewards and penalties, to those who stand in the more immediate relations of servants to him, to his own household, he proceeds to execute vengeance on his enemies, on all who had openly cast off allegiance to him, and denied that they belonged to his house at all (Prov. xx. 8). 'But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay them before me.' In the marriage of the King's Son the vengeance on the open enemies goes before that on the hypocritical friend or servant (Matt. vxii. 7, 11); here it follows after. This slaying of the king's enemies in his presence, is not to be in the interpretation mitigated or explained away, as though it belonged merely to the outer scheme of the parable, being introduced because such things were done in Eastern courts (1 Sam. x. 27; xi. 12; Jer. lii. 10), and to add an air of truthfulness to the narrative

• Dschelaleddin, whom Von Hammer styles the great religious poet of the modern East, has this same image of life, with all its powers and faculties, as a sum of money to be laid out for God:

O thou that art arrived in being's land, Nor knowest how thy coming here was planned;

From the Schah's palace to life's city, thou On his affairs wert sent, at his command.

Thee thy Lord gave, thy faithfulness to prove. The sum of life, a capital in hand.

Hast thou forgotten thine entrusted pound? Stunned with the market's hubbub dost thou stand?

Instead of dreaming, up and purchase good; Buy precious stones, exchange not gold for sand.

Thou at the hour of thy return wilt see
Thy Monarch set, with open book in hand.

What thou from Him receivedst, He will bring To strict account, and reckoning will demand:

And a large blessing, or a curse from Him Thy faithfulness or sloth will then command.'

THE POUNDS.

Rather it belongs also to the innermost kernel of the parable. words set forth, fearfully indeed, but not so that we need shrink fr applying them to the Lord Jesus, His unmitigated wrath against I enemies,—but only his enemies exactly as they are enemies of a righteousness,—which shall be revealed in that day when grace sha have come to an end, and judgment without mercy will have beguna (Isai. lxiii. 1-6; Rev. xiv 10; xix. 11-16; 2 Thes. i. 7-9; Heb. x. 27). All this found its commencing fulfilment in the overthrow of Jerusalem, and in the terrible calamities which accompanied that day of doom; then doubtless was a coming of Christ to judgment; but it will only obtain its full accomplishment when the wickedness of an apostate world, having drawn to a single head, shall in the destruction of him, the personal Antichrist, and of all that have gathered under his banner, receive its final doom.

*Augustine often uses this and its parallel, Matt. xxii. 13 (as Con. Adv. Leg. et Proph. i. 16; Con. Faust. xxii. 14, 19), in argument with the Manichæans, who, contrasting the severity of the God of the Old Testament with the lenity of the God of the New, argued from this that they were not, and could not be, one and the same God. But, he replies, there is no such contrast. As there is love in the Old Testament, so there is fear, and what should awaken fear, in the New; and he urges the terribleness of this doom in proof. The Manichæans could not betake themselves to their ordinary evasion, that the passage was an interpolation or a corruption, as they accepted the parables (see Augustine, Con. Faust. xxxii. 7) for part of the uncorrupted doctrine of Christ.—We may compare Heb. i. 13, 'till I make thine enemies thy footstool;' and we learn from Josh. x. 24 what the image is, that lies under these words.

392 41; Τhε ma seε pr

PHILADELPHIA:

PRINTED BY WILLIAM SYCKELMOORE,

1420 CHESTNUT STREET.





SEP 1 0 1987

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

